Michigan Department of Will Natural Resources

Remedial Action Plan for

CLINTON RIVER

Area of Concern

November 1988.



Michigan Department of Natural Resources Remedial Action Plan

for

CLINTON RIVER

Area of Concern

November 1988 '

Michigan Department of Natural Resources
Surface Water Quality Division
Great Lakes and Environmental Assessment Section
P.O. Box 30028
Lansing, Michigan 48909

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The initial draft of the Clinton River Remedial Action Plan was prepared by Science Applications International Corporation (SAIC) under contract with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. Thanks and acknowledgements go to Ms. Ann Witzig and Ms. Cindy Hughes.

This draft was produced with information gathered from approximately 35 technical people within the Michigan Department of Natural Resources, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, U.S. Corp of Engineers, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, other state departments, the Clinton River Watershed Council, Southeast Michigan Council of Governments, local government officials and many private citizens. To all who have provided information, a sincere thank you from the coordinator. Special thanks to Peggy Johnson of the Clinton River Watershed Council for editing Chapter 7.

Special thanks goes to Jeff Crum, Tim Fiest, Ken Stern and Tom Young, without whose help this document could not have been produced. In addition, kind thanks go to Julie Rann, Marlene Bole, Connie Pennell and Teresa Kent who labored diligently to type this draft document.

David Kenaga Clinton River Remedial Action Plan Coordinator November 1988

Table of Contents

| 1. | Exec | utive S | ummary | |
|----|-------|---------|---|------|
| | 1.1 | The Cl | inton River Area of Concern | |
| | 1.2 | Design | ated Uses, Impairments and Goals | |
| | | | 1 Features, Land Uses and Water Uses | |
| | | | ial Sources of Pollutants | |
| | | | Point Sources | |
| | | | Nonpoint Sources | |
| | 1.5 | | ical or Presently Occurring Remedial Action | s |
| | | | Combined Sewer Overflows | F |
| | 1. 1. | | Stormwater | • |
| | | | Dredging and Flooding | • |
| | | | Capital Improvements Through Local, State | |
| | | 1.3.4 | and Federal Funding | |
| | | 155 | Point Source Controls | |
| | 1 6 | | ements in Stream Quality | |
| | | | ended Actions | |
| | 1./ | Kecomm | ended ACCIONS | |
| 2. | T | oductio | | 9. |
| ۷٠ | Intr | oductio | | · 11 |
| | 2 1 | D | and Objections | 1: |
| | | | e and Objectives | |
| | 2.2 | Backgr | ouna | 1: |
| | | - • | 1.0.44 | • |
| 3. | Envi | ronment | al Setting | 1. |
| | | * 1 | | • 1 |
| | 3.1 | Locati | | 15 |
| | | | Area of Concern Sections | 15 |
| | | | Source Area of Concern Stations | 15 |
| | | 3.1.3 | | 15 |
| | 3.2 | | 1 Features | 19 |
| | | | Drainage | 19 |
| | | | Topography | 19 |
| | | | Hydrology, Flooding and Stream Modification | |
| | | | Soil Types, Erosion | 2: |
| | 3.3 | Land U | | 2 |
| | | | Urban/Suburban/Residential | 2 |
| | 4.5 | | Sewer Service Areas | 31 |
| | | 3.3.3 | Unsewered Areas | 3: |
| | | 3.3.4 | Industrial | 3. |
| | | 3.3.5 | Recreation | 3. |
| | | 3.3.6 | Agriculture | 3 |
| | | 3.3.7 | Wildlife Habitat | 3. |
| | 3.4 | | n River Water Uses | 3! |
| | - | 3.4.1 | | 3. |
| • | | 3.4.2 | | 30 |
| | 2 | 3.4.3 | | 31 |
| | | 3.4.4 | | 3 |
| | | 3.4.5 | | 3 |
| | | 3.4.6 | | 3 |
| | | | Waste Disposal | 4(|

| | 3.5 | Lake St. Clair Water Uses | 40 |
|----|------|---|----------|
| | | 3.5.1 Fish and Wildlife Habitat | 40 |
| | | 3.5.2 Limnology | 41 |
| | 3.6 | Water Quality Standards, Guidelines and Designated Uses | 41 |
| 4. | Defi | nition of the Problem | 43 |
| | 4.1 | Impaired Uses, Use Attainability, and Other Problems | 43 |
| | 7.1 | 4.1.1 Definition of the Problem | 46 |
| | | 4.1.2 Beach Closings and Partial/Total Body | 46 |
| | | Contact Recreation | 70 |
| | | 4.1.3 Urban Stormwater | 47 |
| | | 4.1.4 Loss of Aesthetic Qualities | 47 |
| | | 4.1.5 Human Health Impacts | 47 |
| | | 4.1.6 Sediment Transport and Sedimentation | 47 |
| | | 4.1.7 Degree of Problem Resolution by Category | 50 |
| | | Within River Sections | |
| | | 4.1.7.1 Section 1 | 51 |
| | | 4.1.7.2 Section 2 | 52 |
| | | 4.1.7.3 Section 3 | 52 |
| | | 4.1.7.4 Section 4 | 53 |
| | | 4.1.7.5 Section 5 | 54 |
| | | 4.1.7.6 Section 6 | 55 |
| | , , | | 55 55 |
| | 4.2 | 4.2.1 Data Presentation and Description with Respect | 56 |
| | | | סכ |
| | | to Data Tables, Map I.D. Numbers and Station Codes | |
| | 4.3 | Historical Summary of Chemical Analysis of Clinton | 56 |
| | | River Water | - |
| | | 4.3.1 Conventional Pollutants in Clinton River Water | 57 |
| | | 4.3.2 Metals in Clinton River Water | 81 |
| | | 4.3.3 Organic Contaminants in Clinton River Water | 91 |
| | 4.4 | | 91 |
| | 7.7 | River Sediments | |
| | | 4.4.1 Clinton River Sediment Conventional Constituents | 108 |
| | | 4.4.2 Clinton River Sediment Heavy Metals Contaminants | 108 |
| | | 4.4.3 Clinton River Sediment Organic Contaminants | 117 |
| | 4.5 | Chemicals in Clinton River Fish Tissue | 130 |
| | 4.5 | 4.5.1 Metals in Clinton River Fish Tissue | 130 |
| | | 4.5.2 Organic Chemicals in Clinton River Fish Tissue | 131 |
| | 4.6 | Clinton River Fish Communities 1971 - 1984 | 135 |
| | 4.0 | 4.6.1 Section 5 Clinton River Fish Community | 153 |
| | | 4.6.2 Section 3 Clinton River Fish Community | 155 |
| | | 4.6.3 Section 4 Clinton River Fish Community | 156 |
| | | 4.6.4 Section 6 Clinton River Fish Community | 156 |
| | | 4.6.5 Section 2 Clinton River Fish Community | 157 |
| | | | 157 |
| | , - | | |
| | 4.7 | Clinton River Benthic Macroinvertebrate Community 1972 - 1984 | 157 |
| | | 4.7.1 Section 5, 1972 - 1984 | 158 |
| | | 4.7.2 Section 3, 1972 - 1984 | 173 |
| | | 4.7.3 Section 4, 1973 - 1982 | 174 |
| | | 4.7.4 Section 6, 1973 - 1983 | 174 |

| | | 4.7.5 | Section : | 2, 1973 | 175 |
|----|---------------|----------------|------------|--|-----|
| | | 4.7.6 | Section | 1, 1973 - 1983 | 175 |
| | | | Summary | en Terretaria de la companya del companya de la companya del companya de la compa | 176 |
| | | | • | | |
| 5. | Poll: | ution S | ources and | d Loadings | 177 |
| | | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| | 5.1 | | | n Branch Clinton River and Its | 177 |
| | | | | tream of Red Run | |
| | | 5.1.1 | | | 177 |
| | | | | Continuous Industrial Dischargers | 177 |
| | | | | Intermittent Industrial Dischargers | 177 |
| | | | | Continuous Municipal Dischargers | 186 |
| | | | | Intermittent Point Sources | 193 |
| | | 5.1.2 | | | 193 |
| | | | | Urban Stormwater | 193 |
| | | | | Rural and Suburban Runoff | 193 |
| | . | | | Industrial Site Runoff | 196 |
| | | | 5.1.2.4 | Landfills, Dumps and Other Sites of | 196 |
| | | | | Potential Environmental Contamination | |
| | 5.2 | | | Red Run and Its Tributaries | 217 |
| | | 5.2.1 | | | 217 |
| | | | | Continuous Industrial Dischargers | 217 |
| | | | | Intermittent Industrial Dischargers | 217 |
| | | | | Continuous Municipal Dischargers | 217 |
| | • | | | Intermittent Point Sources | 222 |
| | 2.5 | 5.2.2 | Nonpoint | | 234 |
| | | | | Urban Stormwater | 234 |
| | | | | Rural and Suburban Runoff | 238 |
| | • | | 5.2.2.3 | Industrial Site Runoff | 238 |
| | | j. | 5.2.2.4 | | 238 |
| | | | | Potential Environmental Contamination | |
| | | | | Atmospheric Deposition | 241 |
| | | | | Sediments | 241 |
| | 5.3 | | | the North and Middle Branches of the | 241 |
| | | | | nd Their Tributaries | |
| | | 5.3.1 | | | 241 |
| | | | | Continuous Industrial Dischargers | 242 |
| • | | | | Intermittent Point Sources | 244 |
| | | 5.3.2 | Nonpoint | | 245 |
| | | | | Urban Stormwater | 245 |
| | | | 5.3.2.2 | | 245 |
| | | ei Constant | | Industrial Site Runoff | 245 |
| | | | 5.3.2.4 | Landfills, Dumps and Other Sites of | 247 |
| | | <i>i</i> . | | Potential Environmental Contamination | |
| | | | 5.3.2.5 | _ | 248 |
| | | | 5.3.2.6 | | 248 |
| | 5.4 | | | n Branch Clinton River Between Red | 249 |
| | | Run an | d the Spi | llway | |
| | | 5.4.1 | Point Son | | 249 |
| | | | 5.4.1.1 | | 249 |
| | | | 5.4.1.2 | Intermittent Point Sources | 249 |
| | | 5.4.2 | | | 249 |
| | | | 5.4.2.1 | Urban Stormwater | 249 |

| | | 5.4.2.2 Rural and Suburban Runoff | 249 |
|------|--------|---|-----|
| | | 5.4.2.3 Industrial Site Runoff | 249 |
| | | 5.4.2.4 Landfills, Dumps and Other Sites of | 249 |
| | | Potential Environmental Contamination | |
| | | 5.4.2.5 Atmospheric Deposition | 250 |
| | | 5.4.2.6 Sediments | 250 |
| | 5.5 | Section 2 - Clinton River Spillway | 250 |
| | | 5.5.1 Point Sources | 250 |
| | | 5.5.2 Nonpoint Sources | 250 |
| | | 5.5.2.1 Urban Stormwater | 250 |
| | | 5.5.2.2 Rural and Suburban Runoff | 250 |
| | | 5.5.2.3 Industrial Site Runoff | 250 |
| | | 5.5.2.4 Landfills, Dumps and Other Sites of | 250 |
| | | Potential Environmental Contamination | |
| | | 5.5.2.5 Atmospheric Deposition | 251 |
| | | 5.5.2.6 Sediments | 251 |
| | 5 6 | Section 1 - Main Branch Clinton of the River (Natural | 251 |
| | 3.0 | Channel) Between the Spillway and the Mouth | 231 |
| 40.4 | at the | 5.6.1 Point Sources | 251 |
| | | 5.6.1.1 Continuous Point Source Dischargers | 251 |
| | | 5.6.1.2 Intermittent Point Sources | 254 |
| | | 5.6.2 Nonpoint Sources | 255 |
| | | 5.6.2.1 Urban Runoff | 255 |
| | | 5.6.2.2 Rural and Suburban Runoff | 255 |
| | | 5.6.2.3 Industrial Site Runoff | 255 |
| | | 5.6.2.4 Landfills, Dumps and Other Sites of | 255 |
| | • | Potential Environmental Contamination | |
| | | 5.6.2.5 Atmospheric Deposition | 265 |
| | | 5.6.2.6 Sediments | 265 |
| | 5.7 | Spills reported through the Pollution Emergency | 265 |
| . , | , | Alerting System | 203 |
| 6. | Clin | ton River Watershed Station Site and Location Maps | |
| | 6.1 | Ambient Surface Water Sampling Stations, 1970-1987 | 272 |
| | | Sediment Sampling Locations, 1970-1987 | 273 |
| | | Fish Sampling Sites, 1971-1985 | 274 |
| | 6.4 | Aquatic Macroinvertebrate Sampling Locations, 1972-1984 | 275 |
| | 6.5 | | 276 |
| | 0.5 | 1987 | 2,0 |
| | 6.6 | Waste Disposal Sites, 1987 | 277 |
| | 6.7 | Potential Sites of Environmental Contamination 1987 | 278 |
| | 0.7 | as Designated Under Act 307 of 1982 | 270 |
| | | Active Hazardous Waste Treatment, Storage or Disposal | 270 |
| | 6.8 | Sites, 1987 | 279 |
| 7. | Rict | orical Record of Remedial Action | 281 |
| | | | |
| | 7.1 | Completed Actions | 281 |
| | | 7.1.1 Construction of Wastewater Treatment Facilities | 281 |
| | | and Collection System Improvements | |
| | | 7.1.1.1 Improvements in the Area of Concern | 281 |
| | | 7.1.1.2 Improvements in the Source Area of | 281 |
| | | Concern | |

| | | | | • | |
|-----|------|----------|------------|--|--------|
| | | | | al Wastewater Pretreatment | 285 |
| | | 7.1.3 | Construct | ted Combined Sewer Overflow Projects | 285 |
| | | 7.1.4 | | er and Runoff Pollution Control Measures | 286 |
| | | 7.1.5 | Dredging | | 288 |
| | | 7.1.6 | Enforceme | ent | 290 |
| | | 7.1.7 | Private a | and Nongovernmental Remedial Actions | 290 |
| • | | 7.1.8 | | Derived and Uses Restored | 291 |
| | 7.2 | Action | s in Progr | ess | 292 |
| | | 7.2.1 | Mt. Cleme | ens Wastewater Treatment Facility | 292 |
| | | | Construct | · · | |
| | | 7.2.2 | Dredging | and Dredge Spoil Disposal | 292 |
| | | 7.2.3 | Waste Sit | e Cleanup | 295 |
| | | | | Selfridge Air National Guard Base | 295 |
| | | | | Red Run Landfills | 295 |
| | | | | Liquid Disposal, Inc. | 296 |
| | | | | G and H Landfill | 298 |
| | | | | South Macomb Disposal Authority | 298 |
| | | | | Landfills 9 and 9A | 270 |
| | | | | Senatifies and M | |
| 8. | Defi | nition (| of Specifi | c Goals, Objectives and Milestones | 301 |
| •• | | | | paired Uses | 301 |
| | | | | F-22-33 | |
| | 8.1 | Uses to | be Resto | ored or Maintained | 301 |
| | | | ed Uses an | | 301 |
| | | | Agricultu | | 301 |
| | | | Navigatio | | 301 |
| | | | | 1 Water Supply | 302 |
| | | | | ter Supply | 302 |
| | | | Warmwater | | 302 |
| | | | | igenous Aquatic Life | 302 |
| | | | | ody Contact Recreation | 303 |
| | | | | y Contact Recreation | 303 |
| | 8.3 | | | ality Objectives | 303 |
| | 0.5 | """ | oc ana qu | marry objectives | 303 |
| 9. | Prog | rams and | l Particip | ants | 305 |
| • • | | | | | |
| | 9.1 | Regulat | tory and A | dministration Programs | 305 |
| | | | | lity Standards | 305 |
| | | 9.1.2 | - | e Status of Point Source Controls | 307 |
| | | 9.1.3 | | e Status of Hazardous Waste Control | 310 |
| | | , | Regulatio | | 510 |
| | | 9.1.4 | _ | Superfund and State Hazardous Waste | 310 |
| | | 7.÷ | Site Clea | | , ,,,, |
| | | 9.1.5 | | Urban Stormwater Pollution Control | 311 |
| | | 3.1.3 | Efforts | ordan bedrawater rolletion control | 711 |
| | | 9.1.6 | | Nonpoint Source Control Efforts | 320 |
| | | 9.1.7 | | Corps of Engineer Projects | 321 |
| | | 9.1.8 | | trol Measures | 322 |
| | | 9.1.9 | | | 322 |
| | | | Chemical | | 323 |
| | | | | t and Planning Activities | 324 |
| | 0 2 | | Involveme | | 324 |
| | 9.2 | LUDITC | THAOTASHE | • | J24 |
| | | | | | |

| | 9.3 Interagency Agreement 9.3.1 Great Lakes Water Quality Agreement of 1978 | 325 325 |
|-----|---|------------|
| 10. | Recommenced Actions | 327 |
| | 10.1 Use Impairments, and Their Historical and Present Causes | 327 |
| | 10.2 Proposed Actions | 327 |
| | 10.3 Recommended Actions to Address Local Impairments | 327 |
| | 10.4 Recommended Actions to Address Great Lakes Impairments | 335 |
| 11. | Bibliography | 337 |
| 12. | Appendicesl | 346 |


LIST OF TABLES

| Table | <u>Title</u> | Page |
|-------|---|------|
| 1.1 | Impaired uses, problems, recommendations, cost estimates for proposed actions and possible funding sources | S |
| 3.1 | Drought flow of Main Branch Clinton River by river mile with additions from tributaries, municipalities and industries | 24 |
| 3.2 | Hydrologic information for the Clinton River at U.S.G.S. gaging stations | 29 |
| 3.3 | Land use summary of the Clinton River Basin | 32 |
| 3.4 | Comparison of estimated and percent annual pollutant loadings to the Clinton River Basin by sections | 38 |
| 3.5 | Marinas and boat facilities located in the vicinity of the Clinton River Federal Navigation Project | 39 |
| 4.1 | Dates and locations where fecal coliform bacteria concentrations historically exceeded Michigan's Water Quality Standards and recent sampling indicating that fecal coliform bacteria are no longer exceeding these | 48 |
| | standards | |
| 4.2 | Selected conventional chemical constituents in Clinton River water, 1970 to 1984 by river section | 58 |
| 4.3 | Dissolved oxygen concentrations less than 6.0 mg/l at three Clinton River stations | 75 |
| 4.4 | Selected metal constituents in Clinton River water, 1971 to 1987 by river section | 82 |
| 4.5 | Selected organic and pesticide constituents in Clinton River water, 1971 to 1985 by river section | 92 |
| 4.6 | Chemicals having final Rule 57(2) Guidelines | 107 |
| 4.7 | Selected conventional contaminant constituents in Clinton River sediments, 1970 - 1985 by river sections | 109 |
| 4.8 | Selected metal contaminant constituents in Clinton River sediments, 1970 - 1987 by river sections | 111 |
| 4.9 | April 1977 U.S. EPA dredged spoil disposal criteria classification for Great Lakes Harbors | 115 |
| 4.10 | Selected organic chemical constituents in the Clinton | 120 |

| 4.11 | Selected organic chemical and polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbon contaminant constituents in the Clinton River, 1970 - 1987 | 121 |
|-------|---|-----|
| 4.12 | Concentrations of heavy metals in fish collected in the Clinton River Basin, 1971 - 1984 | 127 |
| 4.13 | Concentrations of organic constituents and pesticides in fish tissue from the Clinton River Basin 1971 - 1984 | 132 |
| 4.14 | Number of fish collected or catch per unit effort in the Clinton River by river section | 136 |
| 4.15 | Summary of major fish species and number of fish taxa present per station in the Clinton River | 154 |
| 4.16 | Summary of quantitative and qualitative benthic macroinvertebrate sampling in the Clinton River by river section | 159 |
| 5.1.1 | Summary of NDPES permitted dischargers to the Clinton River by river section | 178 |
| 5.1.2 | Permitted and estimated loadings from municipal facilities in Section 5 of the Clinton River | 187 |
| 5.1.3 | City of Pontiac Industrial Pretreatment Program, major significant users | 188 |
| 5.1.4 | Significant industrial dischargers to the Rochester WWTP | 191 |
| 5.1.5 | Comparison of actual and percent annual pollutant loadings to the Clinton River Basin by sections | 194 |
| 5.1.6 | Waste disposal sites in Clinton River Watershed Sections 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6, Macomb and Oakland Counties, Michigan 1987 | 197 |
| 5.1.7 | Michigan sites of environmental contamination in the Clinton River by river section | 200 |
| 5.1.8 | Active hazardous waste treatment, storage, or disposal facilities within the Clinton River watershed by river section | 213 |
| 5.2.0 | Permitted and estimated loadings from municipal facilities in Section 4 of the Clinton River, Red Run | 219 |
| 5.2.1 | Warren WWTP monthly discharge rates into Red Run Drain from 1982 to 1986 | 220 |
| 5.2.2 | Major significant non-domestic users regulated by the | 223 |

and president the extreme

| 5.2.3 | Southeastern Oakland County Sewage Disposal System monthly discharge rates into the Red Run from 1976 to 1986 | 231 |
|------------|--|-----|
| 5.2.4 | Comparison of flow and loadings into Red Run by Southeastern Oakland County Sewage Disposal System and Warren WWTP | 233 |
| 5.2.5 | Red Run shoal removal by the Oakland County Drain Commissioner | 236 |
| 5.3.1 | Permitted and actual loadings from municipal facilities in Section 6, North and Middle Branches of the Clinton River | 243 |
| 5.6.1 | Permitted and actual loadings from Mt. Clemens WWTP in Section 1 | 252 |
| 5.6.2 | Significant or major non-domestic dischargers to the Mt. Clemens WWTP, 1987 | 253 |
| 5.6.3 | Major significant non-domestic dischargers to the Mt. Clemens WWTP, 1987 | 254 |
| 5.6.4 | Comparison of various sediment analysis methods | 257 |
| 5.6.5 | Results of elutriate tests on Clinton River sediments collected by the Corps of Engineers on September 22, 1983, between Mt. Clemens and Lake St. Clair | 258 |
| 5.6.6 | Results of EP-Toxic tests on Clinton River sediments collected by the MDNR on February 10, 1987, at stations COE-83-4 and COE-83-7 between Mt. Clemens and Lake St Clair | 258 |
| 5.7.1 | Pollution Emergency Alerting System Reports in the Clinton River Watershed, 1984 - 1986 | 266 |
| 7.1 | Construction grants activities - Clinton River Basin 1972 to 1987 | 282 |
| 9.1 | Division of responsibility between the Waste Management Division (WMD) and the Environmental Response Division (ERD), February 9, 1987 | 314 |
| 9.2 | Responsible agencies for nonpoint source activities and a tentative list of Best Management Practices for construction projects. | 319 |




LIST OF FIGURES

| Figure | <u>Title</u> | Page |
|--------|---|------|
| 1.1 | Clinton River Area of Concern including the Spillway weir, and river sections 1, 2 and 3. Portions of the source area of concern, river sections 4, 5, and 6 are also shown | 3 |
| 3.1 | Clinton River Drainage Basin | 16 |
| 3.2 | Clinton River Area of Concern, including Spillway Weir and River Sections 1, 2, and 3 | 17 |
| 3.3 | Clinton River Watershed, showing the six river sections | 18 |
| 3.4 | Clinton River and its tributaries showing stream order | 20 |
| 3.5 | Clinton River Drainage Basin showing average slope in feet per mile | 21 |
| 3.6 | Slope of the Main Branch Clinton River from Pontiac to Lake St. Clair in feet per mile | 22 |
| 3.7 | Clinton River drought flow including industrial and municipal flow versus river mile point | 25 |
| 3.8 | Clinton River average annual, monthly and daily flows at Mt. Clemens in water year 1980-USGS Station #04165500 | 28 |
| 3.9 | Location of active U.S.G.S. gaging stations in the Clinton River Basin, 1987 | 30 |
| 3.10 | Suburban sewer interceptor map for areas in the Clinton River Watershed serviced by the Detroit WWTP | 33 |
| 3.11 | Priority septic system management areas in the Clinton River Basin and local sewer service districts of Pontiac, Rochester, Romeo, Almont, Armada, Warren and Mt. Clemens | 34 |
| 4.1 | Concentration of dissolved solids in streams under low flow conditions | 44 |
| 4.2 | Concentrations of dissolved solids in streams under high flow conditions | 45 |
| 4.3 | Fecal coliform bacteria sampling stations near Metropolitan Beach, 1984-1986 | 49 |
| 4.4 | Dissolved oxygen concentrations at Bridgeview, 1970 to 1973 and at Gratiot from 1983 to 1987 | 76 |
| 4.5 | Dissolved oxygen concentration (mg/l) and percent | 77 |

| 5.1.1 | Priority stormwater management areas in developed areas in the Clinton River | 195 |
|-------|---|-----|
| 5.2.1 | Clinton River drought flow including industrial and municipal flow versus river mile point | 226 |
| 5.2.2 | Twelve Towns Sewer District in the Red Run Watershed Section 4 | 227 |
| 5.2.3 | Sewer system servicing the Twelve Towns Sewer District prior to 1973 | 228 |
| 5.2.4 | Outlet structure on Twelve Towns Relief Drains, Oakland County, Michigan | 234 |
| 5.2.5 | Priority stormwater management areas in areas of future development of the Clinton River Basin | 237 |
| 5.2.6 | General site location Red Run area site | 240 |
| 5.3.1 | Sampling stations for the biological survey conducted on the North Branch, Clinton River, and Greiner Drain, Macomb County, August 30, 1979 | 246 |
| 5.6.1 | Sediment sampling locations, and the proposed and filled confined disposal facilities in and along the Natural Channel of the Clinton River, between Mt. Clemens and Lake St. Clair | 259 |
| 5.6.2 | Landfills and disposal sites at the Selfridge Air National Guard Base, Harrison Township, Macomb County, Michigan, 1986 | 261 |
| 5.6.3 | Groundwater flow direction at the Selfridge Air National Guard Base, Harrison Township, Macomb County, Michigan, 1986 | 262 |
| 5.6.4 | Surface drainage pattern at the Selfridge Air National Guard Base, Harrison Township, Macomb County, Michigan, 1986 | 264 |
| 7.1 | Sediment sampling locations and the proposed and filled confined disposal facilities in and along the Natural Channel of the Clinton River, between Mt. Clemens and Lake St. Clair | 294 |
| 7.2 | General site location map for LDI and G and H Landfills in the vicinity of Rochester and Utica, Macomb County, Michigan | 297 |
| 9.1 | Michigan Department of Natural Resources organization chart | 306 |
| 9.2 | Surface Water Quality Division organization chart | 308 |

| 9.3 | Waste Management Division organization chart | 312 |
|-----|--|-----|
| 9.4 | Environmental Response Division organization chart | 313 |

}



LIST OF APPENDICES

| Appendix | <u>Title</u> | Page |
|----------|---|------|
| 3.1 | Clinton River Drainage Basin stream orders and lakes within the River Drainage Basin | 347 |
| 3.2 | Slope values for the Clinton River Basin | 350 |
| 3.3 | Michigan Water Quality Standards | 351 |
| 4.1 | Total phosphorus concentrations (mg/l) and loadings (lbs/day) at M-59 Bridge in Waterford (502), Hamlin Road Bridge (518), and Gratiot Avenue (310), 1974-1986 | 369 |
| 4.2 | Concentrations of total nitrite plus nitrate in the Clinton River at M-59 Bridge in Waterford (502), Hamlin Road Bridge (518), and Gratiot Avenue in Mt. Clemens (310), 1974-1987 | 374 |
| 4.3 | BOD loadings in the Clinton River at M-59 Bridge in Waterford (502), Hamlin Road Bridge (518), and Gratiot Avenue in Mt. Clemens (310) in lbs/day, 1974-1986 | 376 |
| 4.4 | Chloride loadings (lbs/day) in the Clinton River at Gratiot Avenue in Mt. Clemens (310), 1984-1986 | 378 |
| 4.5 | Total dissolved solids concentrations (mg/l) and loadings (lbs/day) in the Clinton River at M-59 Bridge in Waterford (502), Hamlin Road Bridge (518), and Gratiot Avenue in Mt. Clemens (310), 1975 to 1986 | 379 |
| 4.6 | Heavy metals criteria for Clinton River water analysis based on Rule "57" Michigan WQS 1987 | 383 |
| 4.7 | List of references to the background documents which describe the conditions under which the water quality criteria use for the Clinton River apply and the assumptions made in their development | 384 |
| 4.8 | Flow of the Clinton River at M-59 Bridge in Waterford (502), Hamlin Road Bridge (518) and Gratiot Avenue in Mt. Clemens (310) in cubic feet per second, 1974-1986 | 385 |
| 4.8a | North Branch Clinton River average annual monthly and daily flows near Mt. Clemens in water year 1985 | 387 |
| 4.9 | Clinton River macroinvertebrate communities by river section, 1972 through 1984 | 388 |
| 5.1 | NPDES Permit limits for municipal wastewater treatment plant discharges to the Clinton River | 409 |

| 5.2 | Site Description/Executive summaries for Act 307 sites of environmental contamination in the Clinton River Basin that have impacted surface water | 428 |
|-----|---|-----|
| 9.1 | List of public questions and responses to those questions by the Michigan Department of Natural Resources | 441 |

The contribution of the c

April 100 Commence of the Second

1. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

1.1 THE CLINTON RIVER AREA OF CONCERN

The Clinton River was listed by the Great Lakes Water Quality Board (GLWQB, 1985) as an Area of Concern (AOC) because of past reports of conventional pollutants, including high fecal coliform bacteria, high total dissolved solids (TDS), sediment contaminants including heavy metals and oil and grease, and because of impacted biota. The suspected sources of these problems were listed as municipal and industrial point sources, urban and rural nonpoint sources, combined sewer overflows (CSO), and implace pollutants (sediment contaminants). Because the sources were not specifically defined, but investigations were underway, the Clinton River was listed as a Category 2 AOC.

The AOC boundaries were defined as the main branch of the Clinton River and spillway downstream of Red Run. The remainder of the Clinton River watershed was the Source Area of Concern (SAOC).

In the course of developing this Remedial Action Plan (RAP), most of the International Joint Commission (IJC)-identified issues, including high fecal coliform bacteria, high TDS, heavy metals, oil and grease in sediments, and degraded biota were determined to be localized problems having no impact on the Great Lakes.

In fact, the high fecal coliform issue has been resolved, and the high TDS cannot be remediated due to naturally occurring high TDS in the basin soils. The resident warmwater fishery and benthic macroinvertebrate communities remain impaired in the AOC, but their resolution is confounded by naturally occurring low velocity, a flood control spillway, undesirable physical habitat, and contaminated sediments.

The only substances of concern to the Great Lakes is PCB which ranges up to 11.4 mg/kg in the Clinton River sediments downstream of Mt. Clemens.

1.2 DESIGNATED USES, IMPAIRMENTS, AND GOALS

The Michigan Water Quality Standards (WQS) have established the following designated uses for the Clinton River:

- Agriculture
- Navigation
- Industrial water supply
- Public water supply at the point of water intake
- Warmwater (and migratory coldwater) fish
- Other indigenous aquatic life and wildlife
- Partial body contact all year
- Total body contact recreation May 1 to October 31

The designated uses presently impaired are agriculture, warmwater fish, and the benthic macroinvertebrate community.

Agricultural use of the Clinton River for irrigation is impaired because it exceeds the Michigan WQS criteria for TDS. This issue cannot be remediated because it is primarily caused by naturally occurring soil types in the Clinton River Basin.

Warmwater fish and benthic macroinvertebrate communities are impaired due to a mixture of natural and urban-related causes. These include: conventional pollutants, organic and heavy metals contaminants from historic discharges attached to the fine particles settling out in the AOC due to low velocity, high sediment oxygen demand, low river reaeration rates, watershed soil types, agricultural practices, partially blocked river flow, high Great Lakes levels, and little topographical relief resulting in river water stagnation and flow reversals.

The goals of this RAP are to summarize existing data, determine present river conditions, identify sources of pollutants, discern between local and Great Lakes impaired uses, and outline a plan to restore these uses, if possible. Action-oriented recommendations with costs and potential funding sources are identified for remediation of impaired uses.

1.3 NATURAL FEATURES, LAND USES, AND WATER USES

The Clinton River drains most of Oakland and Macomb Counties and flows 80 miles through agricultural, suburban, and densely populated areas before entering Lake St. Clair through its natural channel and an artificially constructed spillway.

For ease of presentation, the drainage basin was divided into six sections, with an additional area (Section 7) located in Lake St. Clair. Sections 1, 2, and 3 are the AOC; Sections 4, 5, and 6 are the SAOC (Figure 1.1).

Section 1 - Main Branch Clinton River downstream of the spillway

Section 2 - Clinton River spillway and tributaries

Section 3 - Main Branch Clinton River between Red Run and spillway

Section 4 - Red Run and its tributaries


Section 5 - Main Branch Clinton River and its tributaries upstream of Red Run

Section 6 - North and Middle Branch Clinton River and its tributaries

Section 7 - Nearshore Lake St. Clair between the Clinton River and spillway mouths

The watershed is mostly old glacial lake bed with gentle contours and well-stratified glacial deposits. Low soil permeability results in low 95 percent exceedence flow and rapid response to surface runoff. The August 95 percent exceedence flow through the spillway is 140 cfs. Of this, 95 cfs is treated wastewater from six of the seven municipal treatment plants in the basin.

The lower watershed has very little geographic relief and is characteristically urban with large areas of impervious surfaces. Combined sanitary and storm sewers overflow (Section 4) when overloaded with urban runoff.



These conditions and severe urban runoff contribute to flooding in the Clinton River downstream of Red Run.

Land use in the watershed is urban, transitional, agricultural, and recreational. The entire AOC is urbanized resulting in overtaxed storm drains, sewers, and treatment works. The main industries in the AOC are automotive related.

A major recreation area near the AOC is Metropolitan Beach, located on Lake St. Clair between the spillway and the river mouth.

Land-based wildlife habitat is limited by the urban nature of the AOC. Nearby Lake St. Clair provides excellent habitat for wintering and migratory waterfowl.

The spillway and natural channel allow passage of increasing numbers of walleye and salmon as far upstream as Yates Park Dam. Sport fishing is common in the watershed, with a resurgence of activity along the main branch. Water withdrawn from the Clinton River is used mainly for industrial and agricultural water supply, not for drinking.

1.4 POTENTIAL SOURCES OF POLLUTANTS

1.4.1 Point Sources

The seven municipal wastewater treatment plants in the Clinton River Basin with continuous discharges are listed below, along with their design flows:

Section 1: Mt. Clemens - 6 mgd

Section 2: None

Section 3: None

Section 4: Warren - 31 mgd

Section 5: Pontiac - 15 mgd

Section 6: Rochester - 2 mgd

Almont - 0.32 mgd

Armada - 0.32 mgd

Romeo - 1.6 mgd

All WWTPs (except Almont and Armada) have industrial pretreatment programs. There is one intermittent municipal wastewater facility, the Southeastern Oakland County Sewage Disposal System Pollution Control Facility (1,852 MG/year) in Section 4. Other communities discharge to the Detroit WWTP or use on-site septic systems.

There are 22 National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permitted industrial dischargers continuously discharging primarily non-contact cooling water. Some of these 22 and five other industrial facilities intermittently discharge stormwater via storm drains or sewers. The largest dischargers are the Ford Motor Sterling Axle Plant (8.5 mgd), Chrysler/Volkswagen(3.5 mgd), and the General Motors Pontiac Motor Division (4.2 mgd). The industrial sources and type of discharge are listed below by River Section.

Section 1 None

Section 2 None

Section 3 Molloy Manufacturing Co. (NCCW)

Section 4 Big Beaver Specialty Co. (NCCW)

Borg Warner Corp. (SW)

C.S. Ohm (NCCW)

Department of the Army (NCCW) Ford Motor Co. (NCCW + SW)

General Electric Carboloy (NCCW + SW)

General Motors Tech Center (SW)

OMI Corp. (NCCW)

Schenck Treble (NCCW + cooling tower blow-down)
Union Carbide (NCCW + lime slurry pond water)
Chrysler/Volkswagen (NCCW, SW coal storage)

Section 5 Auburn Heights Mfg. Co. (NCCW)
Buckeye Pipeline (treated groundwater)
G.P. Plastics (plastics parts rinse water)
Chrysler Tech Center (SW)

Ford Motor Co. (NCCW + treated sanitary)

General Motors Fisher Body (NCCW) General Motors Giddings Road (SW)

General Motors Pontiac Motor Div. (NCCW)

General Motors Truck and Bus (NCCW)

Grand Trunk (SW and oil/water separator effluent)

Higbie Mfg Co. (NCCW)
Molmec Inc. (NCCW)

Section 6 Ford Motor Company Proving Grounds (treated sanitary wastewater proving grounds)

South Macomb Disposal Authority (treated contaminated surface runoff)

TRW Seatbelt Division (NCCW)

NCCW = Noncontact Cooling Water

SW = Stormwater

Point source dischargers to the river are in substantial compliance with their NPDES permits, although a few are behind in their compliance schedules.

Point sources contribute 0.4 percent of the suspended solids, 8.2 percent of the BOD, 16.5 percent of the total nitrogen, and 13.9 percent of the total phosphorus to the Clinton River Basin.

1.4.2 Nonpoint Sources

Major agricultural activity occurs in the North Branch watershed, accounting for 40.9 percent of the BOD, 28.3 percent of the suspended

solids, 38.3 percent of the total phosphorus, and 59.4 percent of the total nitrogen load in the Clinton River Basin.

Urban stormwater runoff is a major nonpoint source contributor of conventional pollutants and possibly metal and organic contaminants. Urban stormwater accounts for 66.5 percent of the suspended solids, 41.3 percent of the BOD, 13.4 percent of the total nitrogen, and 33.5 percent of the total phosphorus to the Clinton River Basin. No stormwater data for heavy metals or organics are available for the Clinton River Basin.

Urban stormwater runoff is the greatest contributor of excess water, total phosphorus, total nitrogen, suspended solids, and BOD, in all river sections except Section 6 and to Red Run in Section 4.

Only one CSO is operating in the AOC. It is located directly across the river from the Mt. Clemens WWTP in Section 1. Additional CSOs in the source AOC discharge to the East Branch of Coon Creek at Armada in Section 6.

Only one open and licensed sanitary landfill is present in the AOC, the Southeast Oakland Incinerator Authority. However, numerous open, closed, or abandoned Type 2 and 3 landfills, transfer stations, and refuse processing stations exist in the basin. Additional potential groundwater contaminant sources include Act 307 sites of environmental contaminantion and active hazardous waste treatment, storage, and disposal facilities. The impact of most sites is largely undocumented. Detrimental effects from landfills on the aquatic life have not been demonstrated in two known studies done to date in the Clinton River Watershed (Kenaga, 1984 and Kenaga and Jones, 1986). Remedial investigations and planning to clean up land based contaminant sources affecting surface water quality are underway at Selfridge Air National Guard Base in the AOC and Red Run landfills, Liquid Disposal, Inc., SMDA 9 and 9A Landfills and G & H Landfill in the source AOC.

Sediments may act as a sink or source of pollutants to surface waters, depending on a variety of environmental factors. The magnitude of contaminants entering the water column from Clinton River sediments is unknown but is thought to be very small.

Little is known about atmospheric loadings of conventional pollutants in the Clinton River Basin. No heavy metals or organic loading data exist for the Clinton River Basin.

1.5 HISTORICAL OR PRESENTLY OCCURRING REMEDIAL ACTIONS

Many remedial actions have already occurred or are presently occurring in the basin.

1.5.1 Combined Sewer Overflows

In 1972, 12 to 14 southeastern Clinton River Basin communities began discharging a majority of their sewage and stormwater to the Detroit WWTP through sewage interceptors. Excess water that cannot be handled by the Dequindre Road Interceptor during high flow is given primary treatment

and chlorination at the Southeast Oakland County Sewage Disposal System Pollution Control Facility (SOCSDF/PCF) prior to discharge to Red Run.

1.5.2 Stormwater

Stormwater management in the Clinton River Basin is undergoing gradual change from simple, localized provision of stormwater channels or sewers to more integrated management systems of land use regulations, on-site structural measures such as retention basins, and preservation of open spaces. Storm sewer management is still in its early stages for this AOC and, to date, little progress has been made. Limited programs have been designed to reduce runoff and conserve soils in the upper watershed. Local and regional planning and regulatory efforts have resulted in some preventive rather than remedial management strategies. Technical assistance has been provided by South East Michigan Council of Governments (SEMCOG), the Clinton River Watershed Council, and the Michigan Department of Natural Resources (MDNR). Thus far, success has been limited in the enforcement of state and local soil erosion and sedimentation regulations.

Michigan has a nonpoint source policy which requires that NPDES permits be developed for certain industrial stormwater discharges. NPDES permits have also been written for CSOs, but no effluent limits have been developed for these overflows.

Recently issued NPDES permits require approved containment facilities for accidental losses of contaminants and immediate MDNR notification.

1.5.3 Dredging and Flooding

The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USCOE) took measures to alleviate flooding in the lower Clinton River by enlarging natural drainage systems (Red Run) and constructing a weir and spillway to divert high river flows more quickly to Lake St. Clair. The weir and spillway dramatically reduced flooding but altered the lower river hydrology and water quality. Most of the Clinton River presently flows over the submerged weir down the spillway. The slower flowing water in the natural channel deposits its sediment load at the divergence of the natural channel resulting in shoaling or islands that divert water away from the natural channel. Periodic dredging at this juncture is required to maintain flow in the natural channel.

1.5.4 Capital Improvements through Local, State, and Federal Funding

Water quality in the AOC has improved due to the near elimination of untreated sewage, the construction of new wastewater treatment plant interceptors, pump stations, and sewer service systems, reduction in the loadings from industrial point sources, and industrial pretreatment. Costs of capital improvments through federal, state, and local funds between 1972 and 1987 totaled \$378.4 million. Of this, federal grants paid \$230 million, the state paid \$50 million, and local governments paid \$100 million.

1.5.5 Point Source Controls

In 1974, the State of Michigan began to issue NPDES permits. These permits are issued to all municipal and industrial facilities discharging to surface waters, and are reviewed every five years on a watershed basis. The Clinton River permits were last reviewed in 1985. This system is largely responsible for the tremendous improvement in Clinton River quality since the 1970's.

1.6 IMPROVEMENTS IN STREAM QUALITY

There has been a demonstrable improvement in Clinton River stream quality since 1970, as evidenced by the following list of changes:

Water

- Decreased total phosphorus concentration
- Decreased BOD, concentration
- Decreased metals concentrations
- Decreased pesticide and PCB concentrations
- Decreased ammonia concentrations
- Decreased fecal coliform bacteria concentration
- No beach closings since 1983
- Decreased suspended solids in Sections 3, 4, and 5
- Improved dissolved oxygen concentrations in Sections 5 and 6
- Decreased levels of chlorophyll a in Section 5 and 3
- No recent exceedences of Rule 57(2) allowable levels for metals.

Sediments

- Decreased sediment metals in Section 5
- Decreased sediment organics in Section 5

Benthic Macroinvertebrate Community

 Significantly improved benthic macroinvertebrate community between Pontiac and Red Run (Section 5)

Fish

- Improved resident fish community in Sections 1 and 6
- Improved fishery in Paint and Stony Creek watersheds
- Recovering resident fish community in Section 5
- Improved walleye and chinook fishery in Sections 1, 2, 3 and part of Section 5

1.7 Recommended Actions

Table 1.1 lists the local and Great Lakes impaired uses, causes, recommended actions, estimated costs, and potential funding sources. These actions are the next steps needed to restore all beneficial uses.

Table 1.1 Impaired uses, problems, recommendations, cost estimates for proposed actions and possible funding sources, October, 1988.

| Local Issues | | | | Fundina |
|--|---|---|--------------|-------------------|
| Impaired Use | Problem | Recommendation | Cost | Funding Source |
| Warmwater fish | Low D. O. Degraded com- munity | Survey to determine extent of problem | 30,000 | S |
| | Low D. O. | Do caged fish study | 47,000 | S |
| | Degraded com- munity toxicity | | | |
| Benthic macroin- vertebrate com- munity degradation | Sediment toxi- cants | Do sediment bioassays | 70,000 | s |
| | Sediment toxi- cants Poor habitat | Support USCOE dredging | 3,000,000 | F |
| | Locally de- graded com- munity | Survey to document extent of problem | \$ 65,000 | s/o |
| Local fish and benthic macroin-vertebrate community degrada-tion | Locally degraded community | Survey to determine sources of oxygen con- suming substances for waste load allocation | 85,000 | s/0 |
| | Low D. O. Poor physical habitat Poor flow regim | Waste load allocation for Clinton River point source dischargers e | \$ 25,000 | S/F |
| | | Complete upgrading of Mt. Clemens and Armada WWTPs | \$23,900,000 | S/F/L |
| | | Reduce frequency or eliminate overflow to Red Run from SOCSDS/PCF | Unknown | S/F/L |
| | Low D. O. Poor physical habitat Toxicants | Do smoke and dye studies for illegal hook-ups | 195,000 | U |
| | Low D. O. Poor physical habitat Toxicants | Enforce Best Management Practices for nonpoint sources | 15,000,000 | ט |
| | | • | | |

| Impaired Use | Problem | Recommendation | Cost | Funding Source |
|---|---|---|---------------------|---------------------------|
| Local fish and benthic macroin- | Low D. O. Low Flow | Determine effect of weir modification | 200,000 | S/L/O |
| vertebrate com- munity degradation | Jan 1971 | | | Astronomics of the second |
| | Diffuse toxi- cant loadings | Increase air quality monitoring | 405,000 | S/F |
| | Local toxicant loadings | Continue and expand 307 and superfund studies | 9,000,000 | S/F |
| Potential local & Great Lakes PCB contamination of | PCB in sediments | Verify presence or absence in previously reported areas | 20,000 | s/o |
| fish | | | | |
| | PCB and other organics in surface water | Monitor water for organic contaminants by river section | 22,000 annually | S |
| | PCB in aquatic environment | Expand fish contaminant monitoring | 97,000 | S |
| Sediments block river flow | Low flow Low D. O. | Define source of sediments | 400,000 | s/0 |
| | Low flow Low D. O. | Remove sediments at Shadyside Park | 200,000 | L |
| Clinton River ecosystem | Disjointed watershed approach | Establish a watershed funded clearinghouse for studies, information, and issues | 200,000 annually | L |
| Great Lakes Issues | | | | |
| Potential fish consumption ad- visories | PCB in fish | Do caged fish studies to determine local PCB sources | 47,000 | S |
| PCB in aquatic life derived from sediments or water | PCB in sediments | Sample sediments for PCB concentrations | 20,000 | S |

PCB in water

Sample water for PCB concentrations

22,000 annually

S/F

F - Federal; S - State; L - Local; O - Other; U - Uncertain

2. INTRODUCTION

The Great Lakes have received nutrients and contaminants from numerous sources resulting in a variety of impairments to the aquatic ecosystem, including eutrophication, localized bottom dwelling aquatic life impairments, and widespread fisheries impairments. Many of the impairments from conventional pollutants, including nutrients, have been largely resolved, thanks to a considerable commitment of funds and technology. However, persistent organics and some metal continue to cause fisheries impairments such as fish consumption advisories, which remain in effect in all the Great Lakes.

To restore the beneficial uses to the Great Lakes, the GLWQB of the IJC encouraged the Great Lakes states and provinces to identify areas where particularly difficult problems were still thought to exist. These locations eventually became known as AOCs and were viewed as significant sources of contaminants to the Great Lakes. For some areas updated information was unavailable or left unreported leaving the GLWQB uninformed as to the progress or present condition of these areas. Although all of the impaired uses in these AOCs were originally thought to extend into the Great Lakes, upon examination of the data, it became apparent that many issues were clearly only local problems which caused no use impairments in the Great Lakes.

In many AOCs, sediment contaminants were considered a potential source of impairment to the Great Lakes. Because removal of the contaminated sediments without stopping or significantly reducing the sources would only temporarily improve the AOC, all sources of contaminants need to be considered. Thus, the remainder of the watersheds upstream of the AOC boundaries were defined as source AOCs if they contributed materials resulting in impaired uses within the AOC. The consideration of upstream contaminants was not limited to sediment contaminants but included all factors that contribute to local and Great Lakes use impairments.

2.1 PURPOSE AND OBJECTIVES

The purpose of this RAP is to gather and analyze existing data to determine present river conditions, identify and distinguish between local and Great Lakes impaired uses, identify sources causing the impaired uses, develop a plan for gathering additional data required for decision making, and list proposed methods for restoration of impaired uses in the AOC.

Most of the problems and impaired uses identified by the GLWQB in the Clinton River were local impairments with no impact on the Great Lakes. However, all of the problems identified by the GLWQB are discussed in this RAP to provide a comprehensive list of problems in the AOC. Inclusion of all of the listed problems in the RAP makes sense from an ecosystem management perspective since it includes perceived as well as documented problems. The objective is to develop clear recommendations for specific private and/or public actions that will guide the restoration of beneficial uses. The RAP process will be ongoing in a step-wise fashion until the uses that can be restored are restored.

2.2 BACKGROUND

The MDNR defined the Clinton River AOC as the main branch of the Clinton River and the spillway downstream of Red Run. The remainder of the watershed is the SAOC. The Clinton River watershed is located in Southeastern Lower Michigan, primarily in Oakland and Macomb Counties, on the northwestern edge of Lake St. Clair.

The GLWQB listed the problems identified by the State of Michigan AOC as:

- (1) High fecal coliform bacteria
- (2) High TDS concentrations
- (3) Contaminated sediments
- (4) Impacted biota

The contaminants sources were listed as:

- (1) Nonpoint urban and rural runoff
- (2) Combined sewer overflows
- (3) Municipal and industrial point source discharges
- (4) Contaminated sediments

Of the listed problems, only PCB-contaminated sediments could be considered as a contributor to Great Lakes impairment. High fecal coliform bacteria is no longer a problem, and impacted biota is a local issue that does not impact the Great Lakes. High TDS concentrations is a local problem that cannot be abated due to soil types in the watershed.

An additional problem, not listed by the IJC but which continues to plague the Clinton River AOC, is low dissolved oxygen. This localized issue does not impact the Great Lakes, but does result in local impairment of the fish and benthic macroinvertebrate communities in the AOC.

The GLWQB reports water quality research activities and the Great Lakes environmental conditions to the IJC. The GLWQB has adopted a category system to track and measure progress in restoring impaired uses in the 42 AOCs. The categories identify the status of the information base, programs which are underway to fill the information gaps, and the status of remedial efforts. According to the GLWQB (1985), removal from the AOC list occurs when evidence is presented verifying that all impaired uses have been restored. The categories are described below.

| Category | | Explanation | | |
|------------|--------|--|--|--|
| 1 | | Causative factors are unknown and there is no investigative program to identify causes | | |
| 2 2 | t Avil | Causative factors are unknown; however, an investigative program is underway to identify causes. | | |

| Category | <u>Explanation</u> | | |
|----------|---|--|--|
| 3 | Causative factors are known, but a Remedial Action Plan has not been developed and remedial measures are not fully implemented. | | |
| | Causative factors are known and a Remedial Action Plan has been developed, however, remedial measures are not fully implemented. | | |
| 5 | Causative factors are known, a Remedial Action Plan has been developed, and all remedial measures identified in the Plan have been implemented. | | |
| 6 | Confirmation that uses have been restored and deletion as an Area of Concern in the next report on Great Lakes Water Quality. | | |

The State of Michigan has listed the Clinton River as a Category 2.

Clinton River stream quality has improved in recent years. Improved waste collection and treatment systems have drastically reduced nutrient and fecal coliform bacteria loadings. Industrial pretreatment programs have resulted in significant reductions in metals loadings to water and sediments.

The magnitude of change can be indicated by comparing the past and present community structures and health of the organisms which are continuously and totally immersed in the aquatic environment. Natural reproduction of chinook salmon has been documented since 1983, with the discovery of live eggs and, later, fingerlings in the vicinity of Dequindre Road bridge. No chinook salmon were stocked, yet a sizable and popular fishery occurs in the river each fall (Personal Communications, Ron Spitler, 1987). There are also winter-long steelhead and year-round brown trout populations. Survival of planted brown trout and steelhead has been documented by surveys and anglers from Crooks Road to Ryan Road.

In the upper river, the benthic macroinvertebrate community has shown excellent improvement, although not complete recovery. The poor benthic macroinvertebrate community in the natural channel downstream of the weir reflects the combination of: (a) the absence of good benthic macroinvertebrate substrate; (b) the contaminated sediment substrate; and (c) low dissolved oxygen in the overlying river water. Apparently, heavy algal growths and high turbidity historically plagued the area, due to point source nutrient enrichment and stagnant river conditions.

3. ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING

3.1 LOCATION

The Clinton River drainage basin is located just north of Detroit, primarily in Oakland and Macomb Counties in the southeastern corner of Michigan's lower peninsula (Figure 3.1). It is bordered to the north by the Shiawassee, Flint and Belle Rivers and to the south and west by the Huron and Rouge Rivers. It flows eighty miles through 26 townships, 25 cities, and nine villages prior to discharging to Lake St. Clair primarily through an artificially constructed spillway near Mt. Clemens and its natural channel. The spillway, created in 1952 by the USCOE, was designed to relieve flooding.

The AOC is defined as the main branch of the Clinton River and the spillway downstream of Red Run (Figure 3.2). The remainder of the watershed is the SAOC which may contribute to the problems that cause impaired uses in the AOC.

For ease of data presentation, the Clinton River basin was divided into six river sections described below (Figure 3.3). The AOC includes Sections 1, 2, and 3. Sections 4, 5, and 6 are the SOAC. The Clinton River flows into Lake St. Clair which is classified as Section 7. Lake St. Clair is not included in the AOC.

3.1.1 AOC Sections

| Section 1 | The natural | channel of | the main | branch of | the |
|-----------|--------------|------------|-----------|------------|------|
| | Clinton Rive | r downstre | am of the | spillway v | weir |


- Section 2 The Clinton River spillway
- Section 3 The main branch of the Clinton River between Red Run and the spillway weir


3.1.2 SOAC Sections

- Section 4 Red Run and its tributaries
- Section 5 The main branch of the Clinton River upstream of Red Run and its tributaries
- Section 6 The Middle and North Branches of the Clinton River and their tributaries

3.1.3 Downstream of Clinton River Basin Section

Section 7 Nearshore waters of Lake St. Clair between the mouth of the Natural Channel and the spillway








Figure 3.3. Clinton River Watershed, showing the six River Sections. Sections 1, 2, and 3 are the Area of Concern. Section 5, and 6 are the Source Area of Concern.

3.2 NATURAL FEATURES

3.2.1 Drainage

The Clinton River basin encompasses 1,968 km² (760 mi²). The Clinton River is a fifth order stream at its mouth, although the majority of the Clinton River presently flows down the spillway (Figure 3.4). The Middle Branch, a third order stream, joins the North Branch, just prior to where the North Branch, a fourth order stream, joins the Main Branch two miles upstream of Mt. Clemens in an area known as "The Forks". Red Run, Stony, Galloway, Paint, and Sashabaw Creeks are second and third order streams feeding the Main Branch (Appendix 3.1).


3.2.2 Topography

Topography is a major factor contributing to the problems identified in the Clinton River basin. The topography determines the river slope (Figure 3.5), which influences the river velocity. When a large slope exists, the result will generally be a healthy velocity and good aquatic substrates (rocks, cobble, and gravel) which leads to well-oxygenated water. When the slope is very small, low velocity results causing suspended particulate matter to settle from the water column in depositional zones containing fine sand, clay, and silt that are poor aquatic life substrates. Low flow areas do not have good reaeration and often have high sediment oxygen demand due to high concentrations of oxygen consuming organic material.

Upstream of Pontiac is a relatively flat plateau dominated by numerous small lakes, with little slope (1.3 ft/mi) and a very low, 95 percent exceedence flow (2.5 cfs). Downstream of Pontiac to Honeywell Drain there is a healthy slope averaging 12 ft/mi (Nowlin, undated). Between Honeywell drain and Utica, the slope is approximately 8 ft/mi which is still healthy. Between Utica and Red Run the slope is to 3.6 ft/mi and becomes problematic. Between Red Run and the North Branch, the slope is 1.5 ft/mi and downstream of the North Branch, the slope is less than 0.1 ft/mi resulting in slow-moving to stagnant water (Figure 3.6).

The major tributaries to the Main Branch, including Stony, Paint, and Galloway Creeks, and the upper reaches of the tributaries to the Middle and North Branch have slopes exceeding 8 ft/mi resulting in good to high quality streams (Appendix 3.2). The upper reaches of Plum Brook, tributary to Red Run, have similar slopes. However, the lower reaches of the North and Middle Branches, and most of Red Run, have slopes near 2 ft/mi, resulting in systems with naturally limited quality aquatic life.

Much of the lower watershed from Utica to the Mouth (sections 1, 2, 3 and about 8 miles of section 5), including most of the North and Middle Branches (section 6) are old glacial lake beds with gently sloping contours and well stratified glacial deposits (USDA, 1982). This area rarely exceeds 650 feet above sea level. The northwest portion (most of Section 5) consists of rolling moraines separated by narrow sand and gravel plains (USDA, 1971).



number, the larger the stream.





Figure 3.5. Clinton River Drainage Basin showing average slope in feet per mile.

Slope Profile



Distance between points is the slope in feet/mile. i.e. between Opdyke Rd and Galloway Creek the slope falls an average of 12 ft per mile.

Figure 3.6. Slope of the Main Branch Clima River from Pontiac to Lake St Clair in feet per

3.2.3 Hydrology, Flooding, and Stream Modifications

Water sources making up the Clinton River include direct precipitation, runoff from land during and after precipitation, groundwater, other tributaries, and discharges from municipal and industrial facilities. Groundwater and tributaries comprise 15.1 percent of the total flow shown in Table 3.1 (Figure 3.7). Municipal WWTP discharges comprise 63.8 percent, and industrial dischargers, primarily noncontact cooling water, contribute 21.1 percent of the total 95 percent exceedence flow. The Clinton River is clearly an effluent-dominated stream at 95 percent exceedence flow, the flow used for all NPDES permit development by the MDNR.

The 95 percent exceedence flow at Pontiac is very small (2.5 cfs) even though the area drained is approximately 120 square miles. The soils in this vicinity are relatively porous allowing groundwater to percolate horizontally into the deeper aquifer rather than the river-based aquifer. This condition is quite different downstream of Pontiac where the soils are not very permeable. This condition, known as "tight soils", generally produces low volumes of water when wells are drilled. Table 3.1 indicates that if there were only groundwater and tributary inputs, the Clinton River 95 percent exceedence flow at the mouth would be 22.5 cfs. The remainder of the flow is imported from outside the watershed through the Detroit Water and Sewer System from Lake Huron and the Detroit River. This "imported" water is used and then discharged from industrial and municipal treatment plants.


Upstream of Red Run minor flows (18.1 cfs) are contributed by Paint and Stony Creeks and some from the Rochester WWTP. Red Run (76.5 cfs), which is nearly 100 percent treated wastewater and industrial noncontact cooling water, more than doubles the 95 percent exceedence flow of the Clinton River. A few miles downstream of Red Run, the North Branch, Romeo, Armada, and Almont WWTPs, and small industrial flows contribute 7.6 cfs which at 95 percent exceedence flow is 50 percent treated municipal effluent. Two miles downstream of the confluence of the North and Main Branches, the river is split by a weir into the natural channel to which the Mt. Clemens WWTP discharges (9.3 cfs) several miles upstream of Lake St. Clair and the Clinton River spillway which conveys the majority of the Clinton River flow rapidly to Lake St. Clair.

Tight soils in the lower Clinton River watershed result in large amounts of surface runoff to the natural streams, the artificially modified streams which have been straightened and deepened to become open drains, underground storm sewers, and combined storm and sanitary sewers which rapidly reach the Clinton River. Stream channelization is extensive in the lower Clinton River where the land use is primarily urban. Because the surrounding land in the lower reach is a very flat, old glacial lake bed, a small rise in the water level results in wide-scale flooding.

Approximately 81 percent of the flood damages in southeastern Michigan occur in the Clinton River Basin (CRWC, 1981). In 1976 and 1977, the annual flood damage to industrial and residential properties was \$9.7 million (USCOE, 1979). To help alleviate the flooding problem, the straightening and widening of Red Run and the Clinton River weir and spillway projects were built by the USCOE.

Table 3.1 Orought Flow of Main Branch Clinton River by River Mile With Additions From Tributaries, Municipalities, and Industries

| Location | Clinton River Flow (cfs) | Tributary Flow (cfs) | HHTP Flow (cfs) | Industrial Flow (cfs) | River Mile Point |
|---|--------------------------------|-------------------------|--|---|------------------------|
| Upstream of Pontiac WHTP | 2.5 | 2.5 | क्षा (वर्ष) () । वर्षा (वर्षा वर्षा) वर्षाय क्ष्मा वर्षाय क्षमा वर्षाय वर्षाय वर्षाय वर्षाय (वर्षा वर्षाय वर् | agus allan dha hair dhe allen a e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e | 141.11 |
| At Pontiac HMTP | 25.7 | | 2 3.2 | | 45.41 |
| Industries between the Pontiac area & Paint C | rk 35.9 | | | 10.2 | 45.0-45.0 |
| Paint Creek and Galloway Creek | 46.9 | 11.0 | | | 95.0 |
| At Rochester WITP | 50.0 | | 3. 1 | e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e | 33.0 |
| At the mouth of Stony Creek | 54.0 | 4.0 | | | 32.5 |
| At the mouth of Red Run | 130.5 | 0.4 | 55.7 | 20.4 | 17.0 |
| At the mouth of the North Branch Clinton R. | 138.1 | 3.6 | 3.5 | 0.5 | 11.5 |
| At Harrington Drain | 139.4 | 1.0 | | 0.3 | 10.0 |
| Mt. Clemens WHTP | 148.7 | | 9.3 | | 6.5 |
| Totals Percent of Flow | 148.7 100.0 | 22.5 15. 1 | 94.8 63.8 | 31.4 21.1 | 0.0 |



The Red Run project will be detailed in Chapter 5. Basically, Red Run was a natural tributary receiving storm and sanitary wastes from the Royal Oak area. As urban development exceeded its capacity, large-scale flooding occurred until 1948, when it was greatly enlarged and straight-ened to carry the combined wastewater from 12 towns in the Royal Oak area to the Clinton River. Later (1965), the Twelve Towns Drainage System was constructed which further expanded Red Run.

In 1973, the Southeastern Oakland County Sewage Disposal System Pollution Control Facility (SOCSDSPCF) was constructed to treat the combined sanitary and stormwater that exceeded the maximum flow of 21 million ft³/day that the Dequindre Road Interceptor could deliver to the Detroit Wastewater Treatment Plant. The SOCSDSPCF continues to provide primary treatment and chlorination for this periodically large combined sewer overflow discharge to Red Run.

The Clinton River Spillway was authorized in 1946 and constructed in 1952 by the USCOE (1979). It runs from the weir at river mile 9.5 where the natural channel turns north toward Mt. Clemens 8.8 miles to Lake St. Clair and provides a shorter, straighter path for river flow than the natural channel. It was designed to direct a major portion of the high flows while restricting flows less than 600 cfs to the natural channel. When the Lake St. Clair water level exceeds 573.2 - International Great Lakes Datum (IGLD), the spillway weir becomes submerged and flows are directed primarily down the spillway.

When this occurs, water quality in the lower reach of the natural channel is significantly affected by stagnant conditions (see also Section 4.2) (MDNR, 1981a). The weir has been submerged most of the time during the summer months, even when flow is below 600 cfs. The portion of the Clinton River water that does not flow down the spillway loses its velocity as it flows north causing sediment accumulations in the natural channel, partially blocking normal flow into the natural channel. Periodic dredging is necessary to remove these shoals to encourage flow down the natural channel.

The first dredging at this site was done in 1962 by the City of Mt. Clemens. Dredging took place again in 1971 and was financed through the Clinton River Spillway Drainage Board, which includes Lapeer, St. Clair, Oakland, and Macomb Counties, and totalled approximately 13,000 cubic yards. A small amount of material was removed in the spring of 1988 without a permit. A permit to dredge more sediment from this site was applied for in August, 1988.

In 1979, the Corp of Engineers proposed an inflatable weir and an increased spillway width with the final 3,000 feet of the canal widened to 210 feet with an earthen bottom and concrete sides. A boat launching facility near the lower end of the spillway was also proposed. This project was deauthorized by the Water Resource Development Act of 1986, Public Law 99-662, and the spillway remains unaltered.

As previously described, the Clinton River is a flashy river system because of its geography and soil types. The average annual, monthly, and daily flows for the water year 1980 measured at Mt. Clemens are shown

in Figure 3.8 (USGS, 1981). Appendix 4.8 shows additional river hydrographs. A summary of Clinton River hydrologic characteristics at various U.S. Geological Gaging Stations (USGS) is presented in Table 3.2 whose station locations are shown in Figure 3.9. Highly variable streamflow and low groundwater yield are typical for urban areas and for these soil types. Stations in areas of impervious surfaces show 20 to 150 times greater maximum discharge and minimum discharges of 60 to 500 times less than stations on the lesser urbanized morainal areas (SEMCOG, 1978a). Each year the USGS summarizes the data gathered at USGS gaging stations. The most recent data available for water year 1987 are available in "Water Resources Data for Michigan, Water Year 1987" from the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS, 1988) for the stations noted on Table 3.2. 1988 will be the last year for the Clinton River gaging stations since the federal funding for these gages has been dropped.

3.2.4 Soil Types, Erosion

The Clinton River Watershed was heavily influenced by the Wisconsin glacial ice age. The soils are the product of weathering and decomposition of glacial deposits placed there some 9,000 years ago. They are gray-brown podzolic soils and vary from poorly drained clays to well-drained sands (SEMCOG, 1978a). The northern two-thirds of Oakland County and the northwestern corner of Macomb County consist of hills with sand and gravel plains, while the remainder of the watershed is primarily an old glacial lake bed.

The northern Oakland County soils are dominated by moderately well to well-drained loams. Some overburden is underlain by gravelly sand where the erosion is moderate to severe, and measures are needed to control erosion and reduce sedimentation in the streams (USDA, 1982).

Southeastern Oakland County soils are poorly to moderately well drained and are sandy, loamy, or clayey throughout. Wetness is a major limitation for these soils, with 41 percent of Oakland County either urban, where soils are covered with impervious surfaces, or poorly to very poorly drained (USDA, 1982).

Eighty percent of the soils in Macomb County are poorly to very poorly drained because of their clayey makeup (USDA, 1971) resulting from being an old glacial lake bed.

Soils drained by Red Run are clays with low permeability which contribute to stream turbidity under all conditions. The soils around Mt. Clemens are poorly drained loams and sandy loams overlaying an ancient lake bed. These soils are susceptible to erosion, but the low-lying relief of this area reduces the potential for soil loss (SEMCOG, 1978a). Seasonal high water tables cause slow permeability.

3.3 LAND USES

3.3.1 Urban/Suburban/Residential

Land use is dictated to varying extents by topography, soil type, and hydrology. For example, one would not intentionally construct a

28

Figure 3.8. Clinton River Average Annual, Honthly, and Daily Flows at Mt. Clemens in water year 1980.


Source: USGS 1987, station # 04165500 Additional hydrographs are shown in Appendix 4.8.

Table 3.2 Hydrologic Information for the Clinton River at USGS Gaging Stations. Discharge Statistics and Flow Exceedences are Based on Data Through 1986.

| Station Name | Period of Record | U.S.G.S Station Number | Drainage Area (sq. mi.) | Distance from Mouth (mi.) | Slope (ft./mi.) | | Maximum Discharge (ofs)X | Minimum Discharge - (cfs)X | Discharge | 50% xceedence Flow (cfs)X | 95% Exceedence Flou (cfs)x |
|---|------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------|-------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Sashabau Creek near Drayton Plains | 1 9 60-1987 | D4160800 | 20.9 | 65.0 | 4.6 | 970 | 101 | 0.03 | 12.7 | в.2 | 0.77 |
| Clinton River near Brayton Plains | 1960-1987 | 04160900 | 79.2 | 60.8 | 5.9 | 940 | 276 | 2.40 | 50. 9 | 42.1 | 7.80 |
| Clinton River et Auburn Heights | 1935-1940 1957-1982 | 04161000 | 123.0 | 42.3 | 5.1 | 846 | 1700 | 4.80 | 104.0 | 89.2 | 26.50 |
| Galloway Creek near Ruburn Heights | 1960-1987 | 04161100 | 17.9 | 39.6 | 17.5 | 830 | 536 | 0.01 | 10.6 | 4.6 | 0.21 |
| Paint Crook at Rochoster | 1 9 60-1987 | 04161540 | 70.9 | 3 3.6 | 13.4 | 755 | 910 | 1.20 | 52.6 | 37.4 | 12.90 |
| Stony Creek near Washington | 19 59-1987 | 04161800 | 68.2 | 31.9 | 14.5 | 7 73 | 427 | 0.90 | 43.0 | 29.5 | 6.80 |
| Stony Creek near Romeo | 1965-1987 | 04161590 | 25.6 | · | . | · | 290 | 0.92 | 17.6 | 11.0 | 2.20 |
| Red Run near Warren | 1980-1987 | 04162010 | MM | - | • | - | 2940 | 0.30 | 31.1 | 6.4 | 1.70 |
| Big Beaver Creek near Harren | 1 9 59-1987 | 04162900 | 23.0 | 4.2 | 21.6 | 599 | 1240 | . n | 4.7 | 2.0 | 0.15 |
| Plum Brook near Utice | 1966-1987 | 04163400 | 16.5 | 6.2 | 25.4 | 625 | 1160 | ٥ | 13.5 | 4.9 | 0.64 |
| Clinton River near Fraser | 1948-1987 | 04154000 | 444.0 | 15.4 | 7.1 | 578 | 8840 | -47.00 | 381.0 | 262.0 | 92.00 |
| East Pond Creek at Romeo | 1959-1987 | 04154100 | 21.8 | 3.6 | 17.2 | 760 | 358 | 0.60 | 16.1 | 10.2 | 2.30 |
| East Branch Coon Creek, Armada | 1959-1987 | 04164300 | 13.0 | 13.9 | 7.9 | 735 | 910 | o | 7.9 | 0.6 | 0.07 |
| North Branch Clinton River Mear Mt. Clemens | 1948 -1987 | 04164500 | 199.0 | 11.0 | 19.9 | 576 | 671)(1 | n. 20 | 127.0 | 37.6 | 4.10 |
| Clinton River at Mt Clemens | 1935-1987 | 04165500 | 794.0 | · - | · | 570 | 21200 | MX | 542.0 | 300.0 | 91.2 |

^{*} cubic feet per second ** indeterminant

Source: USGS 1987



ure 3.9. Location of active U.S. Geological Gage Station in the Clinton River Basin, 1987.

high-rise hotel in mucklands that are inundated with flood water every time it rains. However, the land use also impacts the quality and quantity of water leaving the site. For instance, a very different quality and quantity of materials leave a parking lot or industrial site than a grassy meadow. Clinton River Basin land uses (1975) by river section are shown in Table 3.3. In 1985, the basin-wide estimated land use was 52 percent grassland or brushland, followed by 20 percent active cropland, and 28 percent urban use (T. Starbuck, SEMCOG, Personal Communication October, 1987).

In 1975, grassland or brushland dominated the watershed in river Sections 1, 2, 3, 5 (reported as one unit), and 6, while urban dominated the land use type in river Section 4.

In 1985, active cropland decreased and was converted into fallow fields (grasslands) or to urban land. Urban land was estimated to increase from 24 percent in 1975 to 28 percent in 1985. (T. Starbuck, SEMCOG, Personal Communication, October, 1987).

The entire AOC is urbanized. Areas along the main branch of the river and Red Run experienced rapid urbanization with population growth rates which quickly surpassed projections. This rapid population rise resulted in overtaxed municipal facilities such as storm drains, sewers, and treatment works (SEMCOG, 1978a).

3.3.2 <u>Sewer Service Area</u>

Seven continuously discharging wastewater treatment facilities are located in the Clinton River Basin, but not all domestic or industrial wastewater is treated in the Clinton River watershed or discharged to the Clinton River. Urban areas surrounding Pontiac, Rochester, Mt. Clemens, Armada, Almont, Romeo and Warren are serviced by local WWTPs. Most of the remaining population in the Clinton River watershed is serviced by the Detroit WWTP which discharges to the Detroit River. Communities within the southern corner of the Clinton River watershed, including Utica, Sterling Heights, Troy, Birmingham, Clawson, Beverly Hills, Royal Oak, Madison Heights, Berkley, Centerline, Southfield, Huntington Woods, Pleasant Ridge, Ferndale, Hazel Park, Fraser, Roseville, and the Village of Lake Orion, Clarkston, and several other small northern communities are also serviced by the DWWTP (Figure 3.10). However, not all surface runoff from this geographic area goes to Detroit.

The Twelve Towns district of the Red Run watershed is drained via a combined sewer network (USCOE, 1979). The area is serviced by the Twelve Towns Drain Relief District [also known as the Southeast Oakland County Sewage Disposal System (SOCSDS)] which has a retention basin with a storage capacity of 90 million gallons. Wet weather overflows occur when this capacity and the Dequindre Interceptor capacity (21 million cubic feet per day) is exceeded. Overflows averaged 12 per year between 1973-1987, and are chlorinated prior to discharge to Red Run.

Red Run became an artificial drainage channel from Dequindre Road to the Clinton River (11 miles) in 1952. The drain ranges from 40 to 110 feet wide and drains 140 densely developed square miles (USCOE, 1979).

Table 3-3. Land Use Summary of the Clinton River Basin

| | | Main Branch | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| River Section Drainage Area | Total Hectares (Acres) | Urban Hectares (Acres) | Active Cropland Hectares (Acres) | Grassland Brushland Hectares (Acres) | | | | |
| River Sections 1, 2, | 82,015 | 22,107 | 3,633 | 56,275 | | | | |
| 3 and 5 | (225,143) | (54,627) | (31.460) | (139,056) | | | | |
| River Section 6 | 72,812 | 4,710 | 41,811 | 26,291 | | | | |
| North Branch | (179.921) | (11,639) | (103,316) | (64,966) | | | | |
| River Section 4 Red Run | 32,892 | 20,027 | 622 | 12,445 | | | | |
| | (81,280) | (49,486) | (1,537) | (30,257) | | | | |
| TOTAL | 197,719 | 46,844 | 46,066 | 94,811 | | | | |
| | (486,343) | (115,572) | (136,312) | (234,279) | | | | |
| PERCENT OF TOTAL | 100% | 23.87 | 28.0% | 48.2% | | | | |

Source: Modified from SEMCOG 1978a

Figure 3.10 Suburban sewer interceptor map for areas in the Clinton River Watershed serviced by the Detroit WWTP.






Figure 3.11 PRIORITY SEPTIC SYSTEM MANAGEMENT AREAS IN THE CLINTON RIVER BASIN



Priority area for septic system management.

SURFACE WATER ----

CLINTON RIVER



3.3.4 Industrial

The main industries in the watershed are automotive related and are centered in Pontiac and the southeastern metropolitan area. MDNR estimates that major manufacturing comprised approximately 1 percent of the land use in the basin, while light industrial and minor manufacturing may account for up to 10 percent of the land use.

3.3.5 Recreation

The Clinton River Basin has numerous parks and open spaces that are dependent on high water quality to provide opportunities for water oriented recreation, i.e., swimming, boating, and fishing. The greatest amount of recreational activity occurs in the western portion of the basin. The Rochester-Utica State Recreation area, located along the main branch of the Clinton River contains some of the most scenic areas in the watershed (SEMCOG, 1978a).

Metropolitan Beach, located on Lake St. Clair between the spillway and the mouth, is a major recreation area near the AOC. Metropolitan Beach provides access for hiking, fishing, swimming, and other water activities that require good water quality for optimal use (SEMCOG, 1978a).

3.3.6 Agriculture

About 20 percent of the land use in the Clinton River watershed is active cropland, most of which is in Section 6. Soybeans, corn, and wheat are the major crops (SEMCOG, 1978a).

3.3.7 Wildlife Habitat

Information on land use for wildlife habitat is minimal. The most valuable wildlife habitat lies in the western portion of the watershed and in the less populated areas near the headwaters of the tributaries. The AOC is highly urbanized with wildlife habitat restricted mainly to parks and the river (SEMCOG, 1978a). No Federally listed endangered or threatened species reside in the area (Best, 1986).

3.4 CLINTON RIVER WATER USES

3.4.1 Water Supply

Clinton River water withdrawals are mainly for industrial and agricultural water supply. No drinking water is obtained from the Clinton river. A majority (87 percent) of the watershed population is serviced by the Detroit Water and Sewage Department which uses Lake Huron and the Detroit River as their potable water sources. Mt. Clemens takes its drinking water from an intake south of the Clinton River approximately 300 yards north of the spillway and 5,000 feet offshore in Lake St. Clair. Most small municipalities in the northern part of the watershed rely on groundwater to drink.

3.4.2 Fish and Wildlife Habitat

Most of the significant water-related wildlife habitat in the Clinton River Basin exists along Stony Creek, East Pond Creek, Paint Creek, in the lakes region above Pontiac (Section 5), and the Middle and North Branches (Section 6).

The lower Clinton River is a designated warmwater stream. The US Army Corps of Engineers (1976) reported that yellow perch and alewives dominated fish collections in the lower river in September, 1975. Recent sampling of game fish within the recreational navigation channel include northern pike, yellow perch, pumpkinseed, large and smallmouth bass, rock bass, white bass, black crappie, walleye, and muskellunge (R. Haas, Personal Communication, 1987, MDNR).

3.4.3 Sport Fishing

Sport fishing is common and expanding in the Clinton River watershed. Portions of Paint Creek, East Pond Creek, Gallager Creek, and tributaries to the North Branch upstream of East Pond Creek are designated coldwater streams and stocked annually with brown trout. Stony Creek, above Stony Creek Impoundment, is currently stocked annually with brown trout. Both brown and naturally reproducing brook trout have been collected in stream shocking surveys of the North Branch and Paint Creek (Spitler, MDNR, Personal Communication, 1987). Resident populations of brown trout in the Main Branch of the Clinton River have been established due to annual stocking of 1,500 yearling trout at each of the following stations for the past five years: Crooks Road, Avon Road, Dequindre Road, and Ryan Road (not stocked in 1987) (Spitler, Personal Communication, 1987).

There has been a resurgence of sport fishing in the main branch of the river. In the 1960's, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) conducted a survey along the main branch of the Clinton River from Pontiac to the confluence of the North Branch and found no living fish (Johnson, 1984). In 1980, the USFWS recorded 33 species in that section. In 1984, an area downstream of Yates Dam was sampled by the MDNR Fisheries Division with a D.C. backpack shocker. Four chinook salmon fingerlings (1.5-2.0 inches) were captured downstream of Avon Road (Section 5). abundance of spawning gravel, combined with the presence of fingerlings is evidence of successful natural reproduction in the mainstream of the Clinton River (Nuhfer, MDNR, Personal Correspondence, 1984). The Lake St. Clair Advisory Committee in conjunction with the MDNR Fisheries Division have been working toward increasing the walleye spawning population by planting fingerlings in the Clinton River. Eggs are obtained from walleye captured during the spring "spawning runs" and are raised in the Selfridge Air National Guard ponds (Haas, MDNR, Personal Communication, 1986).

The USFWS fish sampling effort confirms the development of this fishery with estimated 1980 and 1981 spawning runs of 18,700 to 24,000 walleye. Natural reproduction has not yet been documented.

There is no commercial fishing in the Clinton River.

Once water quality appeared to improve sufficiently, MDNR Fisheries Division planted steelhead trout as well as brown trout at a rate of 15,000 smolts each year below Yates Dam. The resulting spring and fall fishery is bringing increasing numbers of anglers to the lower river each year. These anglers are discovering improved fisheries for chinook salmon, coho salmon, steelhead trout, and brown trout in the fall. More trout and steelhead are also present in the spring, along with walleye and suckers.

3.4.4 Contact Recreation

Other than fishing, canoeing and swimming are the major recreational activities in the Clinton River watershed. Most total body contact recreation occurs in the upper reaches and lakes region or at Metropolitan Beach on Lake St. Clair. All public bathing beaches are monitored for bacterial contamination and all are located on lakes. Refer to Chapter 4 for information on beach closings.

3.4.5 Noncontact Recreation

The flood plain along the Clinton River is available for various forms of noncontact recreation. Numerous wetlands and bird/mammal sanctuaries exist. The Rochester-Utica State Park is a popular area for canoeing, camping, hiking, and fishing.

3.4.6 Navigation and Channel Maintenance

The Clinton River is not used for commercial navigation, but the USCOE has maintained a recreational navigation channel from Cass Street Bridge (River Mile 7.5) to the eight-foot contour in Anchor Bay since the late 1800's. The authorized dredged channel is 15.2 meters (50 feet) wide and 2.4 meters (8 feet) deep at Cass Avenue to near the mouth where it widens gradually to approximately 90 meters (300 feet). There are 47 marinas and boat facilities along the Clinton River between Mt. Clemens and the natural channel mouth (USCOE, 1986).

The river hydrology and local topography described in earlier sections of this report describe river Section 1 as a depositional zone. Sediments from upstream are deposited as the velocity approaches zero, or actually flows upstream. The Clinton River is an extension of Lake St. Clair throughout river Section 1. At one time dredged river sediments, called dredge spoils, were probably deposited behind bulkheads along the river bank and used to raise the level of the land along the river for residential development. Some may have also been side cast along the dredged channel within the river itself, or deposited in open Lake St. Clair. As concern for the environment became more widespread, people realized that these generally silty clay sediments were not environmentally compatible with the sediments in the open lake. They also learned that sediment metals levels might be harmful to bottom—dwelling aquatic life, so they began placing dredge spoils in confined disposal facilities.

ယ္က

Comparison of estimated and percent annual loadings to the Clinton River by Sections.

| Area | | Point Source | Urban Starauater | Runoff from Grassland | Runoff from Rotive Cropland | Combined Sover Overflows | Total |
|------------------|-------------------|-----------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|
| Section 1,2,3, a | nd 5 | | ~~~~~~~~~~ | | | | |
| Metric | (55) | 299. G | 29369 | 611 | 1247 | | 31666. D |
| Tons | (800) | 330.0 | 996 | 72 | 101 | ŏ | 1507.0 |
| Per | (N) | 301.0 | 129 | 39 | 40 | ŏ | 511.0 |
| Year | (P) | 21.9 | 55 | 5 | 7 | ŏ | 80.9 |
| Percent | (\$\$) | 0.0 | | | | | |
| Load | (800) | 22.4 | 92.7 66.1 | 2.6 | 3.9 | 0 | 100% |
| | (N) | 50.9 | 25.2 | 4.0 | 6.7 | 0 | 100% |
| • | (P) | 24.6 | 61.9 | 6.5 5.6 | 9.4 | 0 | 100% |
| | | | 7 | | | | 100% |
| Section 4 | | | | | | | |
| Metric | (55) | 139.2 | 10223 | 6 7.0 | 30.0 | 636.0 | 10125 2 |
| Tons | (BOD) | 49.0 | 620 | 27.0 | 29.0 | 170.0 | 19129. 2 989. B |
| Per | (N) | 3.9 | 70 | 13.5 | 11.5 | 49.0 | 155.9 |
| Year | (P) | 14.9 | 34 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 10.4 | 69.5 |
| Percent | (5\$) | 0.7 | 95.3 | 0.5 | | | |
| Load | (800) | 5.6 | 69.7 | 3.0 | 0.2 2.6 | 3.3 | 100% |
| | (N) | 2.5 | 50.0 | 6.7 | 7.4 | 19.1 | 100% |
| | (P) | 21.4 | 40.9 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 91.4 26.5 | 100% |
| | | | | | | | |
| Section 6 | The second second | | | | | | |
| Metric | (55) | 41.1 | 12865 | 1523.0 | 25722 | 0 | 40151.1 |
| Tons | (BOD) | 29.1 | 440 | 109.0 | 2012 | ŏ | 2590.1 |
| Per Year | (N) | 20. 1 | 54 | 56.0 | 1170 | ŏ | 1302.1 |
| TOOP | (P) | 10. 3 | . 24 | 7.5 | 129 | ŏ | 170.0 |
| Percent | (5\$) | 0.1 | 32.0 | 3.0 | 64. 1 | | |
| Load | (BOD) | 1.1 | 17.0 | 4.2 | 77.7 | 0 | 100% |
| | (N) | 1.5 | 4.3 | 4.9 | 89.9 | 0 | 100% |
| | (P) | 6.0 | 14.1 | 4.4 | 75.5 | | 2001 2001 |
| | | | A Section 1 | | | | |
| otal Basin | | | | | | | |
| Metric | (\$\$) | 419.4 | 60457 | 2421.0 | 27007.0 | 636.0 | 90940.4 |
| Tons | (800) | 411.2 | 2056 | 200.0 | 2136.0 | 170.0 | 4901.2 |
| · · · · Per | (N) | 324.5 | 263 | 102.5 | 1229.5 | 49.0 | 1960.5 |
| 1907 | (P) | 46.0 | 113 | 13.7 | 145.0 | 10.4 | 336.9 |
| Percent | (\$\$) | 0.4 | 66.5 | 2.7 | 29.7 | 0.7 | 100% |
| Load | (800) | 8.2 | 41.3 | 4.2 | 42.9 | 3.4 | 100% |
| | (N) | 16.5 | 13.∢ | 5.2 | 62.4 | 2.5 | 100% |
| | (P) | 13.9 | 39.5 | 4.1 | 49.0 | 5.5 | 100% |

Table 3.5 Marinas and Boat Pacilities locates in the Vicinity of the Clinton River Federal Nevigation Project

| • | Assessative Vecha Salas | 30575 South River Road |
|------------|---|---|
| 1. | Aggressive Yacht Sales Alberross Yacht Club | 29325 South River Road |
| 2. | | 32020 North River Road |
| 3. | Atlantis Merine & Industrial | 28830 North River Road |
| 4. | J. Remi Blom | 30200 North River Road |
| 5. | Blue Water Marine Corp. | 31580 North River Road |
| 6. | Bryers Marins | 32575 South River Road |
| 7. | Burr Yacht Sales, Inc. C & N Marina | 323/3 South River Road 32241 North River Road |
| 8. | | 30600 North River Road |
| 9. | C & N Harina | 32190 North River Road |
| 10. | Clinton River Herina Duffy Herine | 32393 South River Road |
| | Ed's Marine | 31677 South River Road |
| | | 32525 South River Road |
| | Fox Marine Gasow Pte. | 33001 South River Road |
| _ | | 32795 South River Road |
| 15. | Elizabeth K. Gasow Douglas J. Harvey | 31707 South River Road |
| 16. 17. | Jerry's Boat Livery | 32705 South River Road |
| • | Land's End Marina, Inc. | 32894 South River Road |
| _ | Lighthouse Inn | 32100 North River Road |
| 20. | Marine Office | 30281 South River Road |
| 21. | Mariners Boat Club | 31970 North River Road |
| 22. | Mariners Landing, Inc. | 31950 North River Road |
| | Markley Marine, Inc. | 31300 North River Road |
| 24. | Virginia Marsh | 31675 South River Road |
| 25. | Ken Hershell's Herina | 31687 South River Road |
| 26. | McMachen Marina | 30077 South River Road |
| 27. | Michigan Marine and Salvage | 32475 South River Road |
| 28. | Norsal Marina | 32825 South River Road |
| 29. | North River Road Marins | 30310 North River Road |
| 30. | North Star Sail Club | 32041 South River Road |
| 31. | Pal Marine | 31743 South River Road |
| 32. | Pearson Yachts | 32685 South River Road |
| 33. | Penta Marina | 30292 North River Road |
| 34. | Pier 7 | 30400 North River Road |
| 35. | Chris Pike Harine Services | 31695 South River Road |
| 36. | Romick's Boats | 32081 North River Road |
| 37. | Ruddy's Landing | 31785 South River Road |
| 38. | Sail Haven South | 32393 South River Road |
| 39. | Sail Haven North | 30310 North River Road |
| 40. | Sailmaster's of Michigan | 30055 South River Road |
| 41. | Sailor's Cove Marins | 30200 North River Road |
| 42. | Sarns Marine Manufacturing | 30530 North River Road |
| 43. | Scrimshaw Yachts | 31637 South River Road |
| 44. | Ship Chandler Marina | 32489 South River Road |
| 45. | South Bank Marine | 31784 South River Road |
| 46. | South River Marina | 31865 South River Road |
| 47. | Turowskie's | 31631 South River Road |
| | | |

[□] Compiled from 1986 Michigan Department of Resources - Land Resource Program Data and 1982 Michigan State University Cooperative Extension Service

Between 1964 and 1979, dredge spoils were deposited in a Confined Disposal Facility (CDF) near the river mouth. The CDF reached capacity in 1979. In January, 1976 the USCOE prepared a draft Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) for maintenance dredging and a replacement CDF (USCOE, 1976). The project did not proceed for lack of a local sponsor. In 1982, the MDNR assumed this role and in 1986, the USCOE prepared a supplementary draft EIS for a proposed CDF (USCOE). This CDF will hold 291,200 cubic meters (370,000 yd³) of dredged material. An estimated backlog of 148,000 cubic meters (175,000 yd³) of undredged sediment is presently in the authorized recreational navigational channel (USCOE, 1987). The CDF was completed in the Fall of 1988.

3.4.7 Waste Disposal

The Clinton River is used as a receiving stream for treated municipal and industrial wastewater from Pontiac, Rochester, Warren, Romeo, Almont, Armada, and Mt. Clemens.

In 1986, municipal and industrial discharges accounted for 0.4 percent of the suspended solids, 69 percent of the BOD₅, 14 percent of the total nitrogen, and 11.7 percent of the total phosphorus in the Clinton River basin (Table 3.4). Total NPDES permitted flow from industrial and municipal facilities, 126 cfs, is a significant percentage of the total 95 percent exceedence flow of the Clinton River (Table 3.1) (Figure 3.7).

Numerous landfills, waste disposal sites, Act 307 sites of possible environmental contamination and hazardous waste treatment, storage and disposal facilities are located in the Clinton River basin (see maps 6.6, 6.7, and 6.8). Movement of waste or contaminants from these sites to the Clinton River or its tributaries is not well documented. These sites are discussed in Chapter 5.

3.5 LAKE ST. CLAIR WATER USES

Lake St. Clair is the receiving water for the Clinton River but is not part of the Area of Concern.

Lake St. Clair connects Lake Huron with Lake Erie via the St. Clair and Detroit Rivers, and is a vital link for Great Lakes commercial water transportation. It is the most concentrated center of recreational boating in the world (Johnson, 1983). Johnson (1985) reported that over 50,000 pleasure boats use Lake St. Clair annually. The Lake St. Clair Clinton River area supports approximately 100 marinas with an estimated 0.5 million user days which generate an annual income of \$3 million (Johnson, 1983) (Table 3.5).

3.5.1 Fish and Wildlife Habitat

Lake St. Clair provides much habitat for fish and birds, and is an important resting site for birds along the Mississippi flyway (Best 1986). The Michigan side of Lake St. Clair is largely developed, but some areas are suitable waterfowl habitat. A large number of wintering and migratory waterfowl, including redhead, canvasback, common goldeneye,

and bufflehead ducks, as well as tundra swans, are periodically found (Best, 1986).

Lake St. Clair supports a variety of sport fish and is best known for its walleye and muskellunge fishing. Commercial fishing on the lake has been banned for many years (R. Sptiler, Personal Communication, 1987). Other species commonly caught include: northern pike, yellow perch, black crappie, rock bass, large and smallmouth bass, channel catfish, and bluegills. The MDNR presently supports trout and walleye stocking in the Clinton River, Lake St. Clair vicinity (Limno-Tech, 1985). Spawning areas for largemouth bass, channel catfish, and bluegill are located near the mouth of the spillway.

The Lake St. Clair Fisheries Station found the most common catches to be walleye, rock bass, and yellow perch in a seven month sampling period. Haas, et al (1983) found that over half of the sport fishing in Lake St. Clair occurs in Anchor Bay.

3.5.2 Limnology

Limnological data characterizing nearshore Lake St. Clair in the vicinity of the Clinton River natural channel and the spillway mouths are sparse. In 1973, water quality in the vicinity of the Clinton River natural channel and spillway mouth was surveyed (MDNR, 1973). Lake St. Clair in the vicinity of the Clinton River spillway mouth was characterized as eutrophic.

This conclusion was based on elevated total phosphorus and chlorophyll a concentrations, low diversity and pollution tolerant dominated benthic macroinvertebrate communities, and typically eutrophic phytoplankton assemblages dominated by Stephanodiscus sp. In addition, sediments at the spillway mouth contained elevated levels of heavy metals and PCB. These data suggest that loading from municipal and/or industrial discharges were entering Lake St. Clair through the spillway. Those conditions were not reported off the natural Clinton River mouth. No bacteria problems were reported.

In 1980, the MDNR surveyed a similar area to assess the fecal coliform concentrations in nearshore Lake St. Clair. Fecal coliforms exceeded MWQS for a radius of 3,000 feet from the mouth of the Clinton River spillway, but not off the mouth of the natural channel. The most likely source of the bacteria was from the Clinton Township WWTP Number One outfall, located immediately upstream of the weir at the entrance to the spillway. This WWTP was decommissioned in 1981.

3.6 WATER QUALITY STANDARDS, GUIDELINES, AND DESIGNATED USES

Michigan's Water Quality Standards were amended in November, 1986 and are included as Appendix 3.3 (MWRC, 1986). Major standards affecting the AOC are:

- 1. All waters of the State are protected for total body contact during warm water months (i.e., waters shall not contain more than 200 fecal coliform organisms per 100 milliliters) (Rule 323.1062).
- 2. A minimum of 7 mg/l of dissolved oxygen in all Great Lakes and connecting waterways shall be maintained. A minimum of 7 mg/l dissolved oxygen shall be maintained in river segments designated for coldwater fish. All other warm water rivers shall maintain 5 mg/l of dissolved oxygen (Rule 323.1064).
- 3. Waters that serve as migratory routes for anadromous salmonids shall maintain a minimum of 5 mg/l of dissolved oxygen (Rule 323.1064).
- 4. Dredging/CDF projects are not necessarily exempt from standards.

In addition, the provisions of the antidegradation rule have been strengthened. All Michigan waters of the Great Lakes, trout streams, reaches of county/scenic, wild/scenic, and scenic/recreational rivers are protected against any degradation of water quality. Dredging criteria are based on U.S. EPA Dredge Spoil guidelines.

4. DEFINITION OF THE PROBLEM

4.1 IMPAIRED USES, USE ATTAINABILITY, AND OTHER PROBLEMS

The designated uses of the Clinton River are described in Section 1.6. The only known use impairments in the AOC are: (1) Agricultural water use for irrigation, because waters in the AOC exceed Michigan's Water Quality Standards for total dissolved solids (500 mg/l) (Rule 323.1051); (2) Aquatic life (Rule 323.1100), because a diverse and abundant macroinvertebrate community is not present in the AOC and does not support a healthy resident warmwater fishery.

This chapter identifies the locations where these local impairments occur. Other problems, including low dissolved oxygen, beach closings, partial/total body contact recreation, loss of aesthetic qualities, human health impacts, sediment transport, sedimentation, and urban storm water are reviewed here since they relate to water quality and past and/or present exceedences of Michigan's Water Quality Standards.

Impairment of Agricultural Use for Irrigation Due to High Total Dissolved Solids (TDS)

TDS is determined by weighing the residue left after a filtered water sample is evaporated. Elevated concentrations of TDS usually contain chlorides over 500 mg/l resulting in objectionable tastes. It is primarily of interest for agricultural irrigation since elevated levels of TDS can have detrimental effects on sensitive crops. Michigan's WQS Rule 323.1051 requires that TDS not exceed 500 mg/l as a 30-day average and at no time is TDS in the water to exceed 750 mg/l as a result of controllable point sources. TDS are related to soil types, with fine clay and silty soils producing higher TDS than coarse soils. The soils in the Clinton River watershed are generally clay-silt resulting in high background TDS ranges from 300 mg/l to 500 mg/l TDS (Figures 4.1 and 4.2). Average measured TDS concentrations from Clinton River tributaries, including Paint Creek, Stony Creek, Trout Creek, and East Pond Creek, range from 300 to 330 mg/l upstream of any point source discharges. Urban nonpoint and point source loadings add to the already high TDS levels resulting in concentrations exceeding Michigan's Water Quality Standards. TDS is not a treatable parameter.

Degraded Benthic Macroinvertibrate Community

Pollution-tolerant macroinvertebrate species have long dominated in the AOC. Likely causes are a combination of low dissolved oxygen levels, sediment contaminants, and unsuitable substrates for aquatic life. It is unlikely that high quality aquatic life will exist in the AOC, primarily due to stagnant conditions and poor substrate (See Section 4.3.1, Dissolved Oxygen).




Figure 4.1 Concentration of dissolved solids in streams under low-flow conditions.

Source: U. S. Geological Survey, 1970.




Figure 4.2 Concentration of dissolved solids in streams under high-flow conditions.

Source: U.S. Geological Survey, 1970.

Degraded Warm Water Resident Fish Community

Degraded resident fish communities are present in the AOC, most likely due to poor oxygen concentrations resulting from stagnant water, high sediment oxygen demand, enriched conditions, and possibly poor physical habitat.

4.1.1 Definition of the Problem

The Clinton River was identified by the IJC as an AOC several years after the State of Michigan had identified it as a "problem area." The original problems identified by Michigan included those that were, and still are, associated with many urban areas including excessive loadings of conventional pollutants and heavy metals which tend to accumulate in and contaminate river sediments. These pollutants result in the inability of healthy communities of aquatic life to dwell in the river. Most of these problems are localized and do not result in impairments in the Great Lakes.

The sources of these pollutants include industrial and municipal treatment plants but urban stormwater appears to be the major contributor for many contaminants. Excessive conventional pollutants (i.e. nutrients) generally give rise to enriched conditions resulting in aesthetically unpleasant water quality. These conditions also result in widely fluctuating dissolved oxygen concentrations allowing only pollution tolerant aquatic organisms to survive. As a result, the majority of naturally occurring water purification organisms are impacted, drastically reducing the density and diversity of the aquatic community. Consequently, the assimilative capacity of the stream is reduced and stream quality recovery time is greatly lengthened causing desirable fish communities to avoid these areas.

Lack of oxygen is toxic to some sedentary aquatic organisms. Toxicity may also occur if other contaminants in the water exceed long-term safe concentrations for naturally occurring aquatic organisms. Long-term safe concentrations have not been determined for many contaminants either individually or in the myriad of possible combinations of contaminants that could occur. Furthermore, species specific chronic toxicity values are not available. However, criteria for some contaminants have been established based on the most sensitive species tested in laboratory and field bioassays.

An additional urban problem is fecal coliform bacteria, which has been used to indicate the presence of human wastes in aquatic systems. Water is used to transport domestic waste to wastewater treatment plants via sewers which may also receive stormwater. The wastewater treatment plants occasionally overflow untreated combined wastewater and stormwater during wet weather, and then fecal coliform bacteria are found in the surface water, making it unhealthy for total body contact recreation.

4.1.2 Beach Closings and Partial/Total Body Contact Recreation

In the past, Metropolitan Beach on Lake St. Clair had been periodically closed due to the presence of elevated levels of fecal coliform bacteria

in the water (Table 4-1). In recent years (1983 to present) this has not been a problem (Figure 4.3).

Limited data are available concerning the suitability of the rest of the AOC for partial and total body contact recreation. In 1980 fecal coliform concentrations exceeding the State Water Quality Standard of 200 organisms/100 ml occurred at the mouth of the spillway channel (Horvath, 1981). No studies have been done to document the absence of fecal coliform bacteria, but the suspected source was eliminated in 1981.

Generally, the high fecal coliform problems experienced in the Clinton River basin have been resolved by upgrading WWTPs, chlorinating large known CSOs in the lower river, fixing sewer line breaks as they occur, and discontinuing flows from outdated facilities. The only CSOs in the basin that do not receive primary treatment and chlorination prior to discharge are at Armada.

4.1.3 Urban Stormwater

Urban stormwater entering the river via storm sewers that may contain many contaminants is uncontrollable at present, and contributes to flooding.

There are few methods to deal with stormwater other than retention basins in the headwaters. The extent of impact of stormwater flow and contaminant loadings has not been measured and will be difficult to quantify given the variability of natural systems.

4.1.4 Loss of Aesthetic Qualities

There are no documented reports of aesthetic impacts caused by poor water quality in the AOC. Occasionally, however, the stagnation of river water at Mt. Clemens has resulted in undesirable odors.

4.1.5 Human Health Impacts

There are no reported human health impacts in the AOC.

4.1.6 Sediment Transport and Sedimentation

Sedimentation in the lower Clinton River (Sections 1 and 3) occurs naturally because of the local topography and soil types. The lower 10-17 miles acts as a natural settling basin.

Two things have recently occurred that exacerbate sedimentation in Section 1.

A. High Great Lakes water levels result in stagnation, producing estuary conditions, and causing the spillway weir to be submerged nearly all of the time.

Table 4.1. Dates and locations where fecal coliform bacteria concentrations historically exceeded Michigan's Water Quality Standards, and recent sampling results indicating that fecal coliform bacteria are no longer exceeding these standards. Sources: MDNR undated file, and Macomb County Health Department, 1987.

| Public Bathing Beach | County | Time Feriod | Cause |
|---|--|---|---|
| A. Vater Year 1977-78 | , | | |
| New Baltimore Hetropolitan Beach Hetropolitan Beach Hemorial Park St. Clair Shores Civic Center | Macomb Macomb Macomb Macomb Macomb | 7/31-8/11 7/31-8/11 Labor Day Labor Day Labor Day | Clinton River Sever Spill Clinton River Sever Spill Clinton River Sever Spill Clinton River Sever Spill Clinton River Sever Spill |
| B. Vater Year 1982-83 | | | |
| Civic Center Beach Hemorial Park | Macomb Macomb | 7/22/83 7/29/83 | Sanitary Sever Break Sanitary and storm sever discharge from the Hartin retention and settling basin |

ANNUAL GEOMETRIC MEANS-FECAL COLIFORM

| Sampling | Location * | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 |
|------------|------------|------|------|------|
| No. | 1 | 14 | 4 | 7 |
| %0. | 2 | 14 | 4 | 7 |
| %0. | 3 | 12 | 9 | 7 - |
| No. | 4 | 12 | 5 | 5 |
| No. | 5 | 12 | 3 | 4 |
| No. | 6 | 14 | 3 | 6 |
| No. | 7 | 12 | 7 | 19 |
| No. | 8 | 16 | 6 | 11 |
| %0. | 9 | 10 | 5 | 5 |
| . ov. | 10 | 12 | 10 | 4 |


The sampling locations are described as follows:

Sampling locations 1-6 are evenly divided across the beach front with location one being at the East end and location six being at the West end;

- location seven is the point where the Black River discharges into the lake;
- location eight is the Black River at the Metro boat harbor;
- location nine is the Black River at the entrance to the Metro boat basin:
- location 10 is the Metro boat basin.

^{*} See figure 4.3 for locations

Figure 4.3. Fecal Coliform sampling stations near Metropolitan Beach 1984-1986.



B. The submerged weir allows much of the Clinton River flow to go down the Spillway instead of the natural channel. This results in increasing sedimentation in the natural channel, which further decreases the flow down the natural channel because of partial channel blockage at the natural channel/spillway divergence.

These problems are not unique to the Clinton River, nor have they been ignored in the Clinton River Basin; but, they are localized and do not reach the Grat Lakes. Remedial actions are already in place and their estimated cost to date is discussed in Chapter 7. However, several hydrologic, topographic, and geographic factors described in Chapter 3 magnify the above problems in the AOC.

With the exception of sediment transport and sedimentation, these problems are primarily restricted to urban areas.

4.1.7 Degree of Problem Resolution by Catagory Within River Section

All of the problems identified by the WQB do not exist in all river sections. Some are naturally occurring problems from urban development or from the basin topography. Also, some problems have been fully resolved while other problems have only been partially addressed. In addition, some problems are just emerging, while for other problems, not enough data exists to determine the extent of problem resolution.

For these reasons, the IJC identified problems were divided into six categories listed below.

| Category Number | Degree of Resolution |
|-----------------|--|
| | Historical point source problems that have been resolved. |
| 2. | Historical point source problems that are partially resolved or are being addressed through structural or engineering modifications. |
| | Naturally occurring problems that are continuing to cause aquatic life or agricultural impacts. |
| 4. | Problems from urban development. |
| 5. | Emerging problems. |
| 6. | Not enough data to determine the degree of problem resolution. |

In the following paragraphs, the degree of resolution by category number is described within each river section.

4.1.7.1 Section 1 - The Natural Channel of the Clinton River Downstream of the Spillway

Category 1

Problems in the natural channel of the Clinton River downstream of the spillway have been historically attributed to upstream point sources, urban runoff, Mt. Clemens WWTP, and CSO loadings. These conventional and metals loadings have been drastically reduced by improvements in upstream point source industrial and municipal treatment facilities. These discharges are presently in substantial compliance with their NPDES permits.

Category 2

A. Reductions in conventional pollutants, fecal coliform bacteria and heavy metals loadings will result when Mt. Clemens completes its normal and wet weather facility (WWTP) improvements (completion date December, 1988).

Some sediment contaminants are proposed to be removed by dredging by the U.S. COE under the Recreational Navigational Channel Dredging project. The proposed dredging date is 1989 or 1990.

Category 3

- A. River velocity is likely to remain unchanged (unless modifications are instituted) resulting in stagnant water and fine particle sedimentation. This leads to poor substrate for the benthic macro-invertebrate community and low dissolved oxygen. Dredging the shoal at the spillway/natural channel split may improve flow.
- B. Section I will continue to have high total dissolved solids (TDS) concentrations based primarily on naturally high TDS plus wastewater additions. There is no economically achievable treatment for removal of TDS.

Category 4

Continued stormwater loadings from urban runoff contain undocumented quantities of conventional, metals, and organic contaminants which temporarily reduce water quality. These constituents may be transferred to the sediments, resulting in long-term stream degradation.

Category 5

Selfridge Air National Guard Base Landfills - See Section 5.6.2.4 for progress and studies underway.

Category 6

Additional data are needed to document reductions in conventional pollutant and fecal coliform bacteria concentrations and the condition of the benthic macroinvertebrate and resident fish communities inside and outside of the dredged channel.

4.1.7.2 Section 2 - The Clinton River Spillway

The spillway presently has no point source discharges and is basically an extension of Section 3 designed to alleviate flooding by routing the water by a shorter path around Mt. Clemens to Lake St. Clair.

Category 1

Resolved historical problems include elimination of conventional pollutants, fecal coliform bacteria and heavy metals by elimination of some of the direct point source discharges and improvements or removal of upstream discharges. However, there are very little data to document these changes in the spillway.

Category 2

None.

Category 3

Elevated TDS as discussed in category 3 of Section 1

Category 4

Stormwater loading as discussed in category 4 of Section 1

Category 5

None.

Category 6

Additional data is needed to document the sediment oil and grease, heavy metal and organic contaminant concentrations, the benthic macroinverte-brate and resident fish communities, and the bottom sediment substrate types for aquatic habitat suitability.

4.1.7.3 Section 3 - The Main Branch Clinton River Between Red Run and The Spillway

Section 3 is a relatively slow flowing, meandering section which receives a large flow from River Section 4, and a relatively small flow from River Section 6.

Category 1

Resolved historical problems largely reflect upstream reductions of heavy metals and fecal coliform bacteria. Conventional pollutants have also been considerably reduced.

Category 2

Conventional pollutants causing low dissolved oxygen may still be a problem although much work and money has already gone into water quality improvements. More restrictive NPDES permits, improved stormwater

treatment, or other alternatives may be necessary in Sections 4, 5, and 6 to meet water quality standards in Section 3.

Category 3

Sedimentation due to geographical and hydrological factors as discussed in category 3A and 3B of Section 1.

Category 4

Urban stormwater as described in category 4 of Section 1

Category 5

None

Category 6

Sediment contaminant concentrations and condition of the benthic macroinvertebrate and resident fish communities as in Section 2.

4.1.7.4 <u>Section 4 - Red Run</u>

Red Run has had major physical alterations and it receives a large intermittent discharge of combined storm and sanitary sewage after primary treatment and chlorination, and a discharge from a major WWTP. Its drought flow is 0.4 cfs, but receives 48 cfs of treated municipal effluent and 20 cfs of industrial noncontact cooling water.

Category 1

Resolved historical problems include conventional pollutants, heavy metals and fecal coliform bacteria from municipal and industrial point sources.

Category 2

Partially resolved historical problems include:

- A. Conventional, heavy metals and fecal coliform bacteria from one large intermittent point source (SOCSDS/PCF).
- B. Reductions of some sediment contaminants by dredging sediment depositional zones.
- C. Some problems with conventional pollutants from municipal discharges may still exist because low dissolved oxygen concentrations are reported in Section 4 and downstream in Section 3.

Category 3

- A. TDS as described in Category 3B of Section 1.
- B. Build up of sediments in Red Run requiring periodic dredging with unknown impacts on aquatic life.

Category 4

Stormwater as described in Category 4 of Section 1.

Category 5

An emerging problem is the impact of landfill leachate on the aquatic life in Red Run and the Clinton River from old landfills located along Red Run.

Category 6

The extent of oil and grease, heavy metals and organic sediment contamination and the condition of the benthic macroinvertebrate community is unknown.

4.1.7.5 Section 5 - Main Branch Clinton River Upstream of Red Run

Category 1

- A. Point source loadings of conventional pollutants, heavy metals and fecal coliform bacteria in water, and sediment oil and grease and heavy metals have been considerably reduced due to improvements in wastewater treatment at municipal facilities.
- B. Recovering benthic macroinvertebrate communities
- C. Recovering fish community.

Category 2

No new structural improvments occuring at the present.

Category 3

Elevated TDS as discussed in Category 3B of Section 1.

Category 4

Stormwater loadings as discussed in Category 4 of Section 1.

Category 5

The impact of the cessation of flow augmentation at Pontiac on the water quality and aquatic community downstream.

Category 6

The resident fish community downstream from Pontiac may need to be resurveyed to determine its current status.

4.1.7.6 Section 6 - North Branch Clinton River

The watershed of Section 6 is primarily agricultural and most conventional loadings are from nonpoint sources.

Category 1

- A. Historical problems resolved include conventional pollutants, metals, and fecal coliform bacteria at Romeo and Almont.
- B. Recovered aquatic communities.

Category 2

- A. Sediment oil, grease and heavy metal contaminants have been considerably reduced now that Armada has completed its WWTP.
- B. Combined sewer overflows at Armada will continue to discharge primarily conventional pollutants resulting in localized water quality and aquatic life degradation until they have completed their CSO project.

Category 3

Elevated TDS as discussed in Category 3 of Section 1.

Category 4

Stormwater loading as discussed in Category 4 of Section 1.

Category 5

None.

Category 6

A macroinvertebrate community survey is needed to document the improvement in stream quality now that Armada has completed its WWTP.

4.2 CONDITION OF THE CLINTON RIVER ECOSYSTEM

The following section describes the chemical conditions of water and sediment in the Clinton River basin between 1970 and 1987. Exceedences of Michigan's Rule 57(2) allowable levels and U.S. EPA dredge disposal guidelines are noted.

Chemical contaminants in fish are described and exceedences of chemical criteria for the edible portions are indicated.

The quality of the aquatic macroinvertebrate and fish communities are described by river section for the years 1970 to 1984, where data are available.

4.2.1 Data Presentation and Description with Respect to Data Tables, Map ID Numbers and Station Codes

The data in this chapter are based on all samples collected during a particular year at each sampling location. In some years there was only one sample at that location and that value is reported. Some years, many samples were taken at that location and the average value is reported. Values less than detection were included as one-half the detection level.

The data are summarized within the six river sections described earlier. Since water flows from upstream to downstream and the impact of point and nonpoint sources is generally cumulative, most discussions of water, sediment, fish, and aquatic macroinvertebrates are generally described by river section in the following order: Sections 5, 4, 3, 6, 2, 1, and 7 where appropriate. Because not all sections have data in all years, the river sections in the data tables are presented in numerically consecutive order. Within each river section, the data are ordered from upstream to downstream to reflect changes across geographical distances within the river sections. Within each station, data are ordered by year to reflect changes across time.

Each station has a map ID number which can be located on the data tables and the attached maps located in Chapter 6. The first value in the map ID number reflects the river section. The remaining two digits reflect the position relative to other river sampling stations for all years from upstream to downstream. Data for all years at a given station may be easily reviewed using the map ID number in the data tables.

The map ID numbers for each data set are unique to that data set and are not transferable to another data set on another map. For example, the 106 in the sediment table is not the same location as 106 on the water or macroinvertebrate table or map. Fish are listed as F106, indicating that they are "fish" only.

The station code abbreviates the document name from which the data were gathered and sometimes the year collected. The last page of each data table shows these station codes and a more complete document citation which can be found in the Literature Cited Section.

4.3 HISTORICAL SUMMARY OF CHEMICAL ANALYSIS OF CLINTON RIVER WATER

All available chemical data between 1970 and 1987 for selected water parameters were reviewed and summarized (Tables 4.2 through 4.4). Major conventional parameters include dissolved oxygen, BOD₅, fecal coliform bacteria, total dissolved and total suspended solids, nutrients, hardness and chlorides. Metals include total arsenic, aluminum, cadmium, copper, chromium, cyanide, iron, lead, mercury, nickel, silver, selenium and zinc. Organic parameters include a variety of classes of materials, some of which are pesticides, herbicides, phthalates, polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons, and PCB.

These data reflect the chemical analysis of ambient grab samples collected from the Clinton River and its tributaries and reflect the condition of the river where aquatic life live. They do not include effluent samples collected from point source discharges. Loadings from point source dischargers are described in Chapter 5. The intent of this summary is to present general water quality at given locations across the approximate 17-year span.

4.3.1 Conventional Pollutants in Clinton River Water

Total Phosphorus

Phosphorus is generally the limiting nutrient for most aquatic plant growth in the northern midwest U.S. so phosphorus additions normally result in increased plant growth. Increased plant growth sometimes results in nuisance algal growths, and often results in widely fluctuating dissolved oxygen concentrations. Considerable effort has been made to limit phosphorus loading to fresh waters, by reducing phosphorus in soaps and detergents and through large capital expenditures for phosphorus removal from wastewater.

Concentrations of total phosphorus in the Clinton River at station 502 in the 1970s averaged about 0.030 mg/l (see Map 6.1). Downstream of Pontiac, concentrations increased to about 0.135 mg/l and downstream of Rochester, concentrations increased further to 0.178 mg/l (Table 4.2). Phosphorus concentrations reached 0.195 mg/l at station 552 just upstream of Red Run. Total phosphorus concentrations in Red Run were significantly greater, averaging 0.900 mg/l in the 1970s. Flows containing elevated phosphorus from Red Run raised the Main Branch to approximately 0.440 mg/l at station 302.

Samples from Section 6, the North and Middle Branches of the Clinton River, contained phosphorus concentrations averaging nearly 0.200 mg/l, resulting in total phosphorus concentrations approaching 0.300 mg/l at station 310. Local inputs in the vicinity of Mt. Clemens resulted in even higher total phosphorus concentrations in Sections 1 (greater than 0.330 mg/l) and 2 (0.400 mg/l).

By 1980, total phosphorus concentrations were 0.020 mg/l at station 502, 0.070 mg/l downstream of Pontiac, 0.130 mg/l downstream of Section 4, and 0.160 mg/l downstream of Section 6 (Appendix 4.1). Total phosphorus concentrations were locally higher (0.200 mg/l) in Section 1 at stations 103 and 109, and in Section 2 at station 210 in the vicinity of Mt. Clemens. At station 115, concentrations reflect Lake St. Clair water with total phosphorus concentrations at 0.020 mg/l. Reductions in total phosphorus have resulted in improved stream quality in the Clinton River.

Dissolved Oxygen

During the 1970s, the annual average dissolved oxygen (D.O.) ranged from 4.2 to 13.2 mg/l in Section 5 with the lowest values at stations 502, 517, and 556 in the Upper River, below Pontiac, and near the confluence of Red Run and the North Branch. Values ranged from 3.4 to 11.0 mg/l D.O. in Section 4 with lowest concentrations at stations 406, 408, and 409. Section 6 D.O. concentrations were 5.9 mg/l and greater. Section 3 D.O. concentrations ranged from 3.9 to 12 mg/l while Sections 1 and 2

THERE 4. 2. SELECTED CONVENTIONAL CHEMICAL CONSTITUTENTS IN CLINTON SEVER MATER, 1979-1994. SECTION 1, MAIN BROKEN OF THE CLINTON SEVER BONNISTREMS OF THE SPILLING. DESALTS ONE VERBLE METHOLS. RESULTS ONE 18 MAL, MILESS OTHERWISE MOTER.

| BIT 18 | STAFTON CODE | TENP C | COMDUCT. Garhos/CN) | 0.0. | <u>**</u> | | C#0 | PEC COLE | | SVSP. | CILAPINA A (ug/L) | IPPONESS | ca | TOTAL PO1 | TOTAL ORTHO PO1 | \$101-m (c)m | 1013 1011 | 1103 8 1103 | ORS. HETR. |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------|--------------------|----------------|--------------|-------------------------|----------|--------------|----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|----------------------|----------------------|---------------|
| | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | • |
| 101 - 90 101 - 94 | DMRNTC-500366 LFICRS-3 | 19.5 20.0 | 50 5.0 210.0 | 11.7 | | 6.1 | 31.0 | 508.0 | - | 50.0 66.0 | - | - | • | 0.26 | 0.00 | 0.022 | | 1.32 0.66 | |
| | BHRHTC-500368 LF1CRS-4 | 10.2 20.3 | 590.0 210.0 | 9.0 | 8.2 7.3 | 7.1 | 31.0 | 220.0 6 | 352.0 | 25.5 34.0 | 6.0 | - | . - | 0.23 | 0.00 | 0.034 | | 1.34 49.05 | |
| 103 - 04 | LFICRS-5 | 20.5 | 9.005 | 10.3 | 7.9 | - | • | | • | 33.0 | - | • . | - | - | - | • | 0.90 | <0.05 | - |
| 101 - 73 101 - 86 | BMRRTM-500213 BMMTC-500213 | 22.9 10.3 | 374.0 895.0 | 6.6 | 7.9 0.3 | 5.0 0.0 | 27.0 | <500.0 190.0 b | 102.0 372.0 | 41.0 10.0 | 10.0 | - | 17.0 | 0.21 | 0.11 | 0.053 | 0.56 0.66 | 0.52 1.30 | |
| 105 - 03 | ERG-0 | 17.0 | 270.0 | 6.2 | - | - | • | • | . • | . • | - | • | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| 106 - 04 | LFICRS-6 | 17.0 | 100.0 | 9.6 | 7.2 | • | • | • | - | 31.0 | • | - | - | - | - | • | €.01 | 40.01 | - ' |
| 107 - 90 | ####FC-500375 | 10.6 | 955.0 | 16.1 | •.• | 15.0 | 35.0 | 215.0 b | 360.0 | 20.5 | 23.6 | • . | - | 0.15 | 0.02 | 0.006 | 0.03 | 1.17 | 2.25 |
| 100 - 03 | E86-7 | 10.0 | 200.0 | 8.9 | •.• | • | - | • | - | - | - | • | | • | - | • | - | • | - |
| 109 - 73 109 - 73 109 - 74 | DHRPTH-900214 CRHC-0 | 23.0 27.5 22.0 | 9.00 | 7.7 9.7 7.6 | 0.0 0.1 7.0 | 2.2 3.6 3.9 | : | 301.0 267.2 0 | 256.0 255.3 | | 1.9 | 111.7 | 34.5 10.7 | | U | - | 9.27 9.06 9.66 | 0.26 0.07 0.62 | |
| 109 - 75 | | 20.0 21.0 | - | 7.5 | 7.7 | 4.2 3.5 | - | 393.1 0 277.0 0 | 323.8 | 49.8 19.7 | - | - | - | 0.20 | - | - | 8.67 8.57 | 1. % 0.70 | - |
| 109 - 77 109 - 70 109 - 79 | CRNC-0 | 22.0 23.0 19.6 | - | 9.6 6.5 | 7.5 7.4 8.1 | 7.9 6.0 4.7 | - | 990.0 8 201.3 8 | 476.2 | | • | - | - | 0.40 0.43 0.22 | : | - | 0.97 | 2.05 2.07 1.06 | - |
| 109 - 00 | BHRNTC-SOB214 LTICRS-7 | | 530.0 170.0 | 16.1 | 0.9 | 12.0 | 34.0 | 335.0 b | 300.9 | 13.0 | 31.0 | - | - | 0.16 | 0.02 | 9.007 | | 1.00 | 2.15 |
| 110 - 03 110 - 04 | ERO-6 LTICRS-8 | 10.0 10.3 | 200.0 170.0 | 9.8 | 7.7 | - | - | • | : | 21.0 | : | - * | - | • | • | : | 40.0 1 | - <0.02 | - |
| l11 - 90 | 6WMTC-900364 | 10.0 | 407.5 | 15.3 | •.• | 0.7 | 20.5 | 395.0 b | 320.0 | 10.5 | 21.0 | • . | - | 0.15 | 0.01 | 9.006 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 2.15 |
| 112 - 00 | 001701FC-900363 | 19.2 | 472.5 | 17.0 | •.7 | 0.9 | 37.0 | 210.0 b | 360.0 | 14.5 | 39.0 | - | • | 0.10 | 0.01 | 0.004 | 0.01 | 0.72 | 2.50 |
| 113 - 04 | LITICRS-9 | 17.9 | 160.0 | 9.1 | 7.7 | - | - | - | - | 22.0 | • | • | - | • | - | - | ⋖.•1 | - | • |

ሂ

Table 4.2. continued.

| | HIP 18 8-YEAR | STATION CODE | TEMP C | CONDUCT. | 0.0. | pH | 900 | C000 | FEC COLI | | SVSP. | | MAGNESS | Cl | TOTAL PO1 | TOTAL ORTHO PO4 | 10HZ 10HZ 10HZ | (043 (041 | M02 8 | erg. Hitr. |
|-----|----------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|-------|--------|-------------------------|----------------------|--------------|-----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| | 114 - 03 | ERG-S | 10.0 | 190.0 | 0.0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | • | . • | - | - | - |
| | 115 - 70 115 - 71 115 - 72 | | 12.3 12.7 12.1 | 701.3 410.2 503.5 | | 6.0 0.0 7.9 | 7.6 4.0 5.4 | - | \$35.0 234.5 146.3 | 454.9 270.7 327.4 | 17.5 | - - | 243.2 175.0 105.4 | 07.1 43.0 54.1 | | u u | - | 2.05 0.01 0.77 | - | 0.90 0.77 0.70 |
| • | 115 - 73 115 - 76 115 - 80 | | 17.3 | 407.3 652.3 240.8 | 6.4 | 0.1 7.7 7.3 | 3.7 6.6 0.5 | - 11.1 7.0 | 74.3 771.5 < 10.0 | 201.4 | 14.7 | - | 179.2 209.7 105.0 | 32.2 73.4 | 03.8 20.0 | U 1.00 0.00 | • | 0.47 2.40 0.41 | 0.37 0.90 0.30 | 0.40 6.50 |
| , · | 115 - 04 | LTICRS-10 ER0-4 | 17.0 | 170.0 195.0 | 7.5 | | - | - | - | • | 20.0 | - | • • / | - | - | - | | 4 .01 | - | - |
| | 110 - 01 | LTICRS-11 | 17.5 | 160.0 | 9.1 | 0.0 | - | • | ~ | - | 20.0 | - | - | • | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| | 119 - 64 | LFICRS-12 | 17.0 | 150.0 | 9.3 | •.• | - | - | - | - | 10.0 | - | - | •. | - | - | _ | - | • | • |
| | 121 - 73 | OHRRTH-500215 | 22.9 | 241.3 | 9.1 | | 2.3 | • | < 20.0 | 156.0 | 20:0 | • | - | 0.0 | • | . • | • | 0.05 | 0.10 | 0.34 |
| | | DMMLSC-500215 LTICRS-13 | 22.0 | 376.5 160.0 | 9.7 | 7.4 | • | • | 391.0 - | 239.0 | 6.0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | • | - | - - |
| | 127 - 03 | E86-2 | 10.0 | 190.0 | 0.2 | - | - | - | • | | | - | • | - | .= | • | - | | - | - |
| | 124 - 03 Examples | ERO-1 | 16.0 | 100.0 | | 0.5 | - | - | - | - | - | | _ ********** | - | - | - | - | | - | |

b = Results based on calony counts outside the acceptable range.
6 = Value calculated as geometric mean instead of arithmetic mean (average).
8 = Unreliable data.
< = Actual concentration is less than the value shown.

Table 4.2. continued.

| WF 18 | | | COURNCY. | | | | | PEC COLI | TOTAL DESS. | 9V9P. | CHLAPINA. | | | TOTAL | FOURL CRITHS | m-1645 | 1 | 102 8 | ors. |
|----------|----------------|--------|------------|------|------|------|-------|----------|----------------|------------|-----------|-----------|------|-------|-----------------|--------|-------|----------|-------|
| 0-YERR | STATION CODE | TEMP C | (unhos/cu) | 8.6. | pill | 900 | COO | 5/100 H | 541.105 | 901105 | (ug/L) | Innancess | a | POI | P01 | 1013 | 1011 | 1003 | MITR. |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | - | | | | | | |
| | | | | | • | | 5 E C | | s. an | HT 681 621 | VER SPILL | MAY . | | | | | | | |
| ~~1 | DMR(1H-500 100 | 22.4 | 535.0 | | | 6.6 | _ | 2120.6 | 225 0 | 67.0 | _ | _ | - | | | - | 1. 10 | 0 66 | 0.31 |
| | | | •••• | | | | | | | 25.0 | | <u>-</u> | 70.0 | ú | - | _ | | | |
| 201 - 74 | | 22.0 | • | | | 3.3 | | 451.4 (| | | - | - | • | _ | • | • | - | 1.32 | |
| 201 - 75 | | 21.0 | - | | | 3.0 | | 899.7 6 | | 17.0 | • | • | - | 0.32 | - | - | | 1.51 | |
| 201 - 76 | CANC-A | 23.0 | • . | 0.2 | 7.9 | 2.0 | - | 314.4 0 | 479.0 | 10.6 | - | • | | 0.30 | - | - | 9.64 | 1.97 | - |
| 201 - 77 | CRMC-A | 21.0 | - | 9.0 | 7.0 | 5.6 | - | 6.7 0 | 501.3 | 61.9 | - | - | - | 0.37 | - | - | 0.70 | 3.29 | - |
| 201 - 70 | CRMC-A | 22.1 | - | 7.4 | 7.3 | 46.6 | - ' | 179.6 0 | 501.4 | 101.4 | • | - | - | 0.70 | - ' | - | 1.04 | 2.79 | • |
| 201 - 79 | CRMC-A | 16.6 | - | 7.6 | 7.5 | 3.2 | _ | • | 456.5 | 34.0 | - | | - | 0.29 | - | - | 1.01 | 2.16 | - |
| 201 - 80 | BHRNFC-500100 | 17.9 | 702.5 | 18.9 | | 4.6 | 23.5 | 1300.0 | 420.0 | 10.0 | 22.0 | • | - | 0.21 | D. 10 | 0.014 | 0.36 | 2.45 | 1.25 |
| | LTICRS-2 | 19.3 | 410.0 | | 7.9 | | | - | _ | 36.0 | • | _ | | | _ | | 0.30 | | _ |
| 201 - 01 | Files-E | 17.5 | 110.0 | 7.7 | | _ | _ | | | | | | | - | | _ | | _ | _ |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 202 - 91 | LTICRS-14 | 10.0 | 110.0 | 7.1 | 7.9 | - | - | • | - | 10.0 | • | • | - | 7 | - | | 0.35 | - | - |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 203 - 00 | DHMLSC-500229 | 22.7 | 655.0 | - | • | - | - | 4140.0 | 414.0 | - | - | • | - | | - | - | - | - | - |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 204 - 84 | LTICRS-18 | 10.0 | 630.0 | 0.6 | 7.0 | - | - | - | • | 34.0 | | - | • | - | - | - | 0.19 | - | - |
| | . •••• | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 205 - 80 | DMRLSC-506333 | ** * | 630.2 | _ | _ | _ | _ | 3700.0 | 417.4 | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ |
| 247 - 50 | - 300333 | | | | | | | | 707.7 | | | | | | | | | | - |

^{5 =} Yelus calculated is geometric men insteed of arithmetic men (average).

U = throliable data.

^{# =} Estimated from conductivity

Table 4.2. continued.

| | | | | | | | | | TOTAL | | CHLAPIAL | _ | | | term. | | 1003 | H02 | |
|----------------------|---|-------------|----------------|------|-----------|------|----------|----------|--------------|--------------|------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|------------|------|--------------|------|
| HAP 10 | | | COMMUTT. | | | | | FEC COLI | DE 55. | SUSP. | | | | TOTAL. | ORTHO | WI-1002 | • | • | ers. |
| 0-YEAR | STAFTON COSE | LESA C | (unhes/cu) | ə.e. | p# | 940 | C | 6/100 ml | 501.105 | 901195 | (wg/L) | MANDRESS | a | P01 | 101 | 1013 | 1011 | 1403 | MR. |
| | | - | | | | | | | | | | | | | _ | | | | |
| | | | 8 E C T 1 (| | | | | # IM G | Times a | 1461 1 | | | - N | 101.00 | 7. | | | | |
| 301 - 91 | terne_4 | 22.6 | 810.6 | - | _ | _ | | | - | - | | | | - | - | • | - | | • |
| 301 - 01 | USF N3-1 | aa.v | | | | _ | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 302 - 73 | DHRRTH-500200 | 20.7 | 790.0 | 6.4 | 7.0 | 6.0 | - | 654.0 | 520.0 | 29.0 | - | | 00.5 | v | • | • | 1.00 | 1.20 | 1.64 |
| 302 - 74 | | 20.0 | • | 7.3 | 7.0 | 4.7 | - | 301.0 (| 465.0 | 37.2 | • | - | - | U | - | - | 2.75 | 1.77 | - |
| 302 - 75 | CRIIC-E | 21.0 | • | 10.0 | 7.0 | 3.9 | • | 201.5 | 146.0 | 50.3 | - | 5 · · • | - | 0.32 | | • * • *; | 0.76 | 1.94 | - |
| 302 - 76 | SEHCOS-C10 | 10.0 | • | 6.7 | 7.6 | | - | 4720.0 | 453.0 | 37.7 | 5.2 | - | 33.0 | 0.53 | | • . | 0.10 | 1.20 | 0.96 |
| 302 - 76 | CRUC-E | 15.1 | . • | | 7.9 | | • | 620.0 (| | 27.3 | • | • | - | 0.34 | | . • | 0.64 | 2.00 | - |
| 302 - 77 | | 17.0 | - | 1.5 | 7.6 | | - | 150.7 | | 50.4 | • | • | - | 0.40 | - | - | | 3.77 | - |
| 302 - 78 | | 10.0 | | | | 12.3 | - | 240.6 (| | 84.4 | • | • | - | 0.01 | | - | | 2.25 | - |
| 302 - 79 | | 17.2 | | | 7.9 | | | | 569.0 | 24.5 12.6 | - | 255.0 | | 0.22 0.13 | 8.00 | • | | 3.75 4.80 | |
| | BMRST8-\$00208 | 2.0 | . 055.0 | 12.5 | 7.0 | 1.0 | 23.6 | 100.6 | 540.0 | 12.0 | | <i>2</i> 43.0 | | • | - | - | •.,. | 1.00 | - |
| 302 - 07 | CTYMR-Garfield | 21.2 | • | •.• | • | - | • | - | - | - | _ | | - | - | | _ | - | | - |
| 303 - 73 | DHRPTH-500231 | 25.0 | - | 8.7 | ●.0 | 3.6 | - | - | - | 62.3 | - | 241.7 | 75.0 | • | • | . - | 1.53 | 1.60 | 1.13 |
| 301 - 73 | DMRTH-500209 | 20.1 | 732.5 | 5.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | - | 1670.0 | 520.0 | 31.0 | - | - | 77.0 | • | • | • | 2.11 | 1.70 | 1.01 |
| 305 - 73 | 9HRPTH-500223 | 24.0 | - | 4.0 | 7.9 | 13.0 | - | • | - | 30.3 | - · | 250.0 | 79.3 | • | | | 1.00 | 1.97 | 1.53 |
| | | | | | | | _ | | - | 20.5 | | 257.0 | •• • | | | | | 1 42 | 1.00 |
| 306 - 73 | | 23.7 | - | 7.7 | 7.6 | 12.7 | - | • | - | 24.5 | | . A7.0 | 89.0 | • | - | | | 5.30 | |
| 306 - 73 | • | 13.8 7.2 | 010.0 030.7 | 9.9 | 7.9 | - | - | 340.0 | 501.0 | - | | | 102.7 | IJ | _ | | • | 1.33 | • |
| 306 - 74 306 - 75 | •••• | 10.0 | 712.7 | 9.0 | 8.0 | | | 2314.2 | 111.0 | - | - | 265.0 | 60.1 | . ŭ | - | | • | 2.17 | |
| 306 - 76 | | 11.0 | 717.9 | 10.6 | 7.9 | - | - | 3001.2 | 411.7 | - | | 249.1 | 75.0 | - | - | - | • | 2.60 | |
| 306 - 77 | | 8.3 | 639.1 | - | 0.0 | - | - | • | • | 86.2 | • | - | 77.0 | | 9. 10 | - | 0.20 | 1.01 | |
| 306 - 77 | | 11.6 | 632.9 | 0.1 | 7.8 | - | - | - | 475.9 | - | | 252.5 | 111.2 | 0.32 | - | - | 0.22 | 3.12 | - |
| 306 - 70 | | 10.5 | 025.7 | 9.2 | | - | _ ' | - | 403.5 | - | , · | 245.0 | 110.0 | 0.23 | - | | 0.29 | 3.07 | 1.03 |
| *** | • | 10.6 | 639.3 | 9.2 | 7.9 | - | - | - | 497.2 | - | - | 263.3 | 105.1 | 0.19 | - | - | 0.19 | 4.10 | 1.33 |
| 306 - 08 | 112-4-04165500 | 11.3 | 765.4 | 9.4 | 7.9 | - | - | - | 467.6 | - | | 249.2 | 67.3 | 0.19 | - | - | 0.16 | 3.63 | 0.90 |
| 306 - 00 | BHRSTO-300010 | 1.5 | 995.0 | 12.0 | 7.0 | 1.4 | 19.0 | 149.0 | 536.0 | 7.0 | - | 275.0 | - | 0.13 | 0.03 | - | 0.25 | 1.90 | - |
| 306 - 01 | 112md-04165500 | 12.0 | 765.7 | 9.9 | •.1 | - | - | • | 407.0 | - | | 250.9 | 99.3 | 0.16 | 0.04 | | 0.13 | 2.99 | 0.76 |
| 306 - 62 | 112ur 4-04165500 | 11.0 | 720:0 | 7.6 | 7.7 | - | - | • | 120.0 | - | - | 230.0 | 96.5 | 0.20 | 0.09 | - | - | - | - |
| 306 - 03 | 112md-04165590 | 11.3 | 705.3 | ., | 0.8 | - | - | • | 140.3 | • | - | 300.0 | | 0.09 | | • | - | - | • |
| 306 - 84 | | 9.5 | 8.110 | | 7.9 | 2.2 | - | . • | 517.4 | 31.6 | 6.0 | 265.0 | | 0.10 | | - | | | 1.02 |
| 306 - 05 | | 10.6 | 729.2 | 9.0 | 0.1 | - | - | • | 439.6 | - | - | 290.0 | | 0.11 | | • | 0.15 | - | 0.65 |
| 306 - 96 | 11214-4-04165500 | 15.2 | 704.7 | 9.0 | €.2 | - | - | - | 457.\$ | • | •- | 265.0 | 6 5.5 | 0.12 | 0.05 | | 0.09 | 3.00 | 0.90 |
| 307 - 73 | DHRRTH-500211 | 21.0 | 740.0 | 4.0 | 7.6 | 5.2 | - | 2190.0 | 507.0 | 36.0 | - | • | 72.0 | 0.72 | 0.54 | - ' | 1.76 | 1.60 | 1.03 |

Table 4.2. continued.

| 0-VERR | STATEM COSE | TEP C | COMMET. | | 944 | 940 | C00 | FEC COLI | | 9057. 90L105 | CHLAPHYL R Gug/L) | (WRANESS | а — | POTAL POT | TOTAL ORTHO PO1 | \$101-100 C301 | (101) 1 1101 | 002 003 | ons. MITR. |
|----------|-------------------------|-------|---------|------------|-----|------------|------------|----------|---------|-----------------|-------------------------|----------|-------------|--------------|-----------------------|-------------------|--------------------|------------|---------------|
| 300 - 73 | . DHENELIN-200515 | 20.1 | 909.0 | 0.3 | 7.9 | 6.1 | - | 1001.0 | 546.0 | 16.0 | • | - | 105.0 | u | u | - | 0.16 | 0.72 | 0.12 |
| 300 - 01 | 112ard-01165500 | 12.9 | 791.3 | 0.9 | •.• | - | - | - | 453.5 | - | - | - | 99.2 | 0. 12 | 9.07 | - | - | - | - |
| 309 - 74 | CRIC-D | 22.0 | - | 6.9 | 7.9 | 2.0 | - | 300.1 6 | 539.3 | 27.4 | | • | - | u | - | - | 1.44 | 1.65 | - |
| 309 - 75 | CRHC-0 | 21.0 | - | 10.0 | 7.0 | 3.6 | - | 151.0 | 435.6 | ₩.3 | - | - | - | 0.27 | - | - ' | 0.65 | 1.46 | - |
| 301 - 76 | CHRC-B | 15.4 | - | 9.5 | 7.9 | 2.4 | - | 490.0 6 | 500.0 | 20.5 | - | • | - | 8.29 | - | - | 0.51 | 2.26 | - |
| 309 - 77 | CMRC-B | 21.0 | • , | 0.9 | 7.6 | 6.4 | - | 964.0 8 | 402.9 | 107.6 | - | - " | - | 0.40 | - | - | 0.57 | 3.57 | - ' |
| 309 - 78 | CHRC-B | 21.0 | - | 6.0 | 7.4 | 4.2 | - | 460.0 6 | 560.0 | 32.4 | - | - | - | 0.20 | - | - | 0.71 | 3.16 | - |
| 309 - 79 | CHEC-0 | 10.2 | - | 0.6 | •.• | 3.3 | - | - | \$42.0 | 26.0 | • | • | - | 0.23 | - | • | 9.45 | 2.79 | - |
| 310 - 74 | DMRST0-500233 | 11.1 | 795.5 | 0.2 | 7.0 | 6.5 | - | 1140.0 | 133.4 | 24.7 | 3.1 | 253.0 | %. 0 | u | u | ٠_ | 1.63 | 1.34 | 1.11 |
| 310 - 75 | DHR\$T0-\$00233 | 15.1 | 649.5 | 0.1 | 7.0 | 3.3 | 23.3 | 2200.0 | 422.0 | 40.0 | 9.3 | 266.5 | 66.6 | 0.30 | 0.26 | - | 0.43 | 1.70 | 0.00 |
| 310 - 76 | COECOF-500233 | - | P66.4 | 0.3 | 7.0 | 5.6 | 25.9 | 1145.7 | - | - | - | 260.5 | 89.4 | 0.60 | 0.40 | • | 1.50 | 1.30 | 0.90 |
| 310 - 76 | SENCOS-C22 | 10.0 | - | 5.5 | 7.6 | 1.5 | - | 5632.0 | 445.0 | 27.0 | 3.9 | - | 90.0 | 8.39 | - | • | 0.19 | 3.14 | 0.70 |
| 310 - 76 | 14RST0-500233 | 11.1 | 670.0 . | 9.4 | 7.9 | 2.9 | 17.6 | 1230.0 | 453.0 | 29.0 | 7.7 | 249.9 | 74.0 | 0.30 | 0.23 | • | 0.26 | 2.34 | 0.80 |
| 310 - 77 | DHRS18-500233 | 13.4 | 770.0 | 0.1 | 8.0 | 3.3 | 21.0 | 2726.T | 503.3¤ | 31.5 | 0.0 | 252.5 | 166.2 | .0.34 | 0.25 | - | 9.24 | 3.27 | 0.01 |
| 310 - 70 | DHR\$ FO-5 00233 | 11.4 | 899.2 | 7.3 | ●.● | 3.5 | 22.7 | 2921.7 | 584.5× | 10.3 | 7.6 | 202.9 | 129.6 | 0.21 | 0.15 | • | 0.24 | 3.50 | 0.05 |
| 310 - 79 | DHR\$10-\$00233 | 10.0 | 643.3 | 7.2 | 0.1 | 2.6 | 24.3 | 790.0 | 540. jn | 27.4 | 5.1 | 235.0 | 115.0 | 0.22 | 0.13 | - | 0.19 | 3.36 | 0.97 |
| 310 - 👀 | CHR510-500233 | 12.1 | 750.0 | 9.3 | 7.9 | 3.5 | 27.3 | 3010.0 | 522.0 | 30.0 | 3.2 | 202.5 | 91.4 | 0.10 | 0.10 | - | 9.19 | 2.90 | 1.07 |
| 310 - 03 | DHR519-500233 | 14.0 | 702.5 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 3.6 | 30.0 | · • | 455.34 | 34.9 | 11.0 | 270.0 | 77.3 | 0. 19 | 9. 10 | - | 0.20 | 2.43 | 1.02 |
| 310 - 84 | LTICAS-1 | 10.0 | 430.0 | 8.7 | 7.0 | - | - | - | - | 42.0 | - | - | - | | | - | 0.11 | - | - |
| 310 - 65 | DHRST0-500233 | 10.0 | 900.4 | 9.4 | 7.9 | 2.0 | • | - | 301.0 | 47.9 | 4.3 | 252.0 | 105.4 | 0.19 | 9.07 | • . | 0.20 | 2.02 | 1.14 |
| 310 - 96 | DHR\$10-500233 | 10.0 | 769.3 | 9.1 | ●.● | 3.5 | - | • | 490.94 | 42.5 | 6.1 | 143.0 | 103. 1 | 0.16 | 0.11 | - | 9.16 | 1.64 | 1.05 |
| 310 - 07 | DHRS19-500233 | 6.0 | 939.4 | 10.0 | 8.Z | 1.7 | - | - | 610.6± | 21.6 | 6.1 | - | 136.4 | 0.13 | 0.07 | - | 0.16 | 2.02 | 0.01 |

^{6 =} Value calculated is geometric men instead of arithmetic men (average).
U = breakishin data.
U = Estimated from conductivity

Table 4.2. continued.

| ≢≡ | **** | 3 | **** | *** | **** | 3 5 | ******* | 102 - | , | 100 |
|-----------------|---|------------|---|--|--|------------|--|------------------|----------------|--------------|
| == | 22222 | 7 | 2227772 | 2222 | ********** | 7 : | * 22323773 | = = | | 35 |
| CTYMEN-Utica Rd | Dets 10-5000-6 Dets 10-5000-6 Dets 10-5000-6 Dets 10-5000-6 SDX 06-C 12 | SENCOS-C11 | DMMCTH-SOC287 CDMC-H CDMC-H CDMC-H CDMC-H CDMC-H CDMC-H CDMC-H CDMC-H CDMC-H CDMC-H | Desp'il-500227 SENCOS-CU USFNS-4 15 mile CTYMMR-15 mile | CTV##-14 #1 • | SERCO8-C13 | CHART-P CHART- | USFHS-2 Hound Ad | | STATION COME |
| 23 | -4-55 | 17.3 | N-30-200 N-30-200 | 2222 | N523NN | 7.7 | | 3 - | 3 | 2 |
| .80.0 | 3×30 | • | 3 | .5 | | • | | 1.055.0 | | conduct. |
| 80 NO | | : | , 07%,000 | **** | ************ | \$: | | | | |
| | 70777 | : | 7770777 404044 | 1123 | 444444444 | 3 | | | | 1 |
| | | - | ***** | | | 2 | | | 8 8 | 8 |
| | ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | • | ***** | , | | | | | = | 18 |
| | ************************************** | 35-A | ĝ Veriĝe. Ociono | | | 2391.0 | . 28.8 | · | | A SEC OF |
| | 977. 977. 977. 977. 977. | 47.0 | ###################################### | \$. | | 334.0 | 7777 | | 2 | 20.55 |
| | ##725 | 74.2 | 254222 | | = | | * ************************************ | | 17 | 2 × |
| ,. | | 11.5 | • • • • • • • • | • • • • | 1111111111 | F : | | | TAVINGTEL S | 3- |
| | . 2222 . 2222 . 2000 | • | ****** | | | • | | • | | SS 3 manual |
| | 5255 | 3.0 | , , , , , , , , , , , , | 72.00 | ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | 34.0 | | | | 2 |
| | | 9. 1 | 99997 97385 | e | 55488 55488 | 0. 13 | 3-2223 | | . * | 3 |
| | | | 1111111 | 1.11 6 | 11111 i #cccc | • | | • 1 | r ³ | 3 |
| | 11111 | • | | | | • • * | | | ı | 20 E |
| | tt=25 | •. 16 | | | 99-9-5-5-7-7-5-5-7-7-5-5-5-5-5-5-5-5-5-5 | | 2 2222 | • 1 | ı | 1 |
| | 0.56 | 0.50 | 7447409 54483545 | 51 | ************************************** | | 0.71 | | , | 3- |
| | 22288 | | | | | - | | | i | 3.0 |

Table 4.2. continued.

| 1-4ER | | STETTER CUSE | 90 | Carbos/ca | | 1 | 1 | 8 | 1 0 1/2 0 1/2 0 1/2 | MESS. | SELEC. | 3 | 22 June 100 | 8 | #E | | 196. 196. | 2 - E | ğ - 2 | . E |
|---|----------|-----------------------------|----------|-----------|-----|-----|-------------|------|--|----------|--------|------------|-------------|-------------|------------|------|--------------|-------|----------------------|----------|
| | . | | | 11318 | | | | | THE GL | | 1 5 | TREENTER | its erste | * | | ŧ | | | | |
| 25 | 22 | SENCOG-1 DIRESTO-630600 | == | , \$ | 2. | 23 | : | | | | ;; | ÷. | | Z Z | 22 | , = | | 83 | 3.25 | .s. |
| • | | 00800-019000 | e. | ESS. | - | | - | • | 307.8 | X3.X | 23.7 | • | 20.3 | * | = | 3 | | | 2. | |
| • | | DHC5TO-630529 | = | 536.8 | 9 | | 2 | | 25 | 223.0 | | • | 8. X | - | | | | 8 | Z | . 52 |
| • | | DHRSTO-630529 | 5.5 | 522.0 | • | - | ~ | ä | 476.0 | 20.0 | 2 | • | 23.0 | ₹. | 3 | 6.63 | • | 8 | 2.3 | 5 |
| ٠ | | SENCO6-2 | | • | • | | : | | 528.0 | 2 | - | ~ : | • | 83.0 | 8 | • | • | 2 | 2. | 7 |
| ٠ | | DHRST0-630529 | 9.0 | 2.2 | | • | = | 17.6 | | 43.3 | ? | 1 | 231.8 | 7 | 3 | ë ; | | 3 | 25 | 7; |
| ٠ | | DHRST0-630529 | 2: | 547.1 | - | - | = : | 2 | 5.0. 7.0. 7.0. 7.0. 7.0. 7.0. 7.0. 7.0. | r R | 3: | • 1 | 22. | 2 | 3 6 | 9 | • • | ŖĮ | | |
| 25 | 21 | CARSTO-630329 | === | 7.02 | - | | | | | | | | 22 | | 3 | | • | 88 | 9.79 | 3 |
| • • | | DATE STO-630529 | | 9 | 9 | | 7 | 2 | 210.2 | 7 | Ξ | • | 220.0 | £ | 3 | | • | Ş | Ξ. | 3 |
| • | | DRS10-630529 | | 873.3 | 2 | : | | = | 156.0 | 372.Z | : | : | 200 | 83.0 | 3 | .0 | • | 8 | 0.17 | 0.57 |
| • | | DIRECTO-630529 | 2 | 505 | 2 | : | 2.2 | 2 | 7 | 7.2. | 3 | • | 2 | 13.4 | 3 | ē. | • | 8 | 9.13 | 8 |
| • | | DHRST0-630529 | = | 575.0 | | ~ | | 2 | | 27.2 | - | • ; | 225.0 | Z i | 3 | = | • | 5 | 5: | |
| • | | DER STO-6 30529 | 2 | 20.00 | • | 3 | 2: | | • | E I | - | - | | 3 | Ä | | | | 2: | |
| ٠ | | Dem 510-630529 | = | 7.0 | | 3 | | | . 1 | | | . ; | | | į | | . (| | × × | |
| • | | | | | | | | | ٠. | | | • | | | į | | ٠. | | 2 | |
| | 5 | COCCU-DICTION | ? | . 619 | | | : | , | 1 | | | 1 |) | į | | | | | : | 3 |
| 8 | 2 | Dest 11-630629 | 23.7 | • | 3. | • | 8 .0 | • | • | • | ţ | • | 229.0 | = | | • | • | 3 | 6.23 | . |
| 3 | 2 | DESTR-630630 | 23.7 | • | 3 | • | | • | • | • | : | • | 28.3 | ţ | • | 3 | | 3. | 0.23 | 3.6 |
| | | 1 | | | • | • | | | 7 | * | • | , | • | | • | • | | 2 | £ | |
| | | | 2 | • | - | | | • | | | Ţ | • | • | ı | 9.0 | • | | ~ | 0.25 | • |
| • | | | X | • | ~ | 3 | | | 20.2 | 20.0 | | • | • | • | 8 | | • | X | 9.10 | • |
| • | | | 21.0 | • | 2 | - | 8.5 | • | 2.3 | 2.0 | = | • | • | • | 2 | • | • | 0.22 | 3 | |
| 5 | 21 | 7 | 7.5 | • | - | : | # · | • | | Ž | 2: | • (| • • | . 1 | S | | | 5.5 | 2.5 | |
| • | | | | • | • | - | | ı | ı | | | | | | | | | • | 2 | |
| * | 2 | Dempth-630631 | X | • | : | : | - | | | | 2 | ٠ | 204.7 | * | > | > | • | • | 3.6 | 53. |
| *************************************** | 2 | BBBFTR-63668 | ž | 28.0 | | ~ | 7. | | 9 .7 | 912.0 | : | • | • | : | • | • | • | 8.8 | 9.6 | 3. |
| \$ | 2 | DIRPTR-630599 | Ž | 2.2 | 2 | 3 | 33 | | F31.4 | 376.0 | :: | • (| • | 33 | 3 2 | | | 8: | 20.0 | 5.6 |
| • | 2 | | - | • | | | ÷ | ı | ı | ı | ; | ı | | | • | • | ı | | | ; |
| 55 | 21 | SEICOG-4 Bress 70-630632 | 3.5 | . 5 | :: | 7.2 | 22 | 1.1 | ij. | ë. | :: | ?. | . 6 | | | | • • , | ** | 5.03 6 .07 | Z 2 |
| 510 | 2 | Detto 18-630598 | Ž | • | : | : | - | • | ◆ 10.0 | 930.0 | ; | | ٠ | 2 | • | • | ť | 3.0 | 1.29 | 3. |
| 512 - | 2 | Despt 18-638597 | ī | - | - | 7: | | • | 4 10.0 | 4 | = | • | • | 2.3 | • | • | , | | 5.17 | 5 |
| \$13 - (| 8 | Demos (0-630717 | 24.8 | 27.e | 7.3 | 7.5 | • | | | 12. | 2. | • | • | • | | | ı. | 8. | 3.07 | 9.9 |
| • | | BERF TH-6 30433 | % | • | 7. | : | 3.5 | | • | | 15.3 | ٠ | 245.0 | 2 | = | • | | 9.31 | 2.76 | 3 |
| - 116 | 8 | DIRSTO-630633 | Ž. | 728.7 | : | : | • | | | | - | • | • | • | | .03 | | 8 | 3.27 | 9.35 |

Table 4.2. continued.

| 2 | # | S. | 8 | , : | • | 0.72 | | | • | | 0.32 | 0 | 8 | 2 | 7. | 9.7 | ŗ | ? | 8 | % • | 2 | 8 | 8 | | 8 | ; | 5 | Š. | S. | 0.71 | | | • | | | • | 0.8 | 6 .8 | £. | 6.64 | 0.83 |
|--------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|---------|------|---------------|---------------|--------|---------------|-------------|------------------|-----------------|----------------|------------------|---------------|---|---------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|---------------|---|-----------------|-----|---------------|-----------------|---------------|----------------|----------|-------|----------|-----------|------------|-------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| 2 - | - 1 | 2.31 | 83 | 7 | | 2 | 2.21 | 3.3 | 3.6 | ĭ | 2.66 | 2.60 | 2.12 | 3.35 | 5 | 3.5 | Į | * | 2.3 | 2.2 | ÷. | 2.3 | 7 1 7 1 | | 2 | | 2. | ₹. | S. S | 3.10 | 5 | 2 | 2. 2. | \$ | 8 | Ç | 0.03 | S | 0.15 | 9. | 0.16 |
| : - | E | Y . | 8.6 | | 3 | | 5 | 0.53 | 0.52 | 2 | + | 7 | 5 | .33 | 1.7.0 | 0.33 | 6.3 | <u>.</u> | • .03 | 0.0 | 8 | ~ | Ņ. | | === | | 0.12 | 69.13 | = | 0.07 | 6.39 | 6.52 | 3 | | ? ? | Ç | 9.0 | | 8 | 0.03 | 0.03 |
| +10eZ | 2 | 1 | | • | | | 6 | | | , | | | | | • | | | | | | | ı | r | | | | | , | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| . 5 | _ ! | | | | | | | | | | | | | 20 | 8 | £ | ī | 2 | 8 | 8 | 2 | 8 | > 1 | s : | : a | | | | | _ | | | | | | | | | | _ | _ |
| | E | 3 | 3 | | | | ا <u>م</u> | ۱ <u>۸</u> | | ' 24 | 3 | 3 | 3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | • | | 3 | • | • | • | • | · | ا م | <u> </u> | 2 | • | • | • | • | 3 |
| | 2 | • | 3 1 | • | | | | | . 5 | | 2 | = | | | | | | | | | | | | | 8 | : | • | - | • | • | 3 | • | • | • | 25 | š | 3 | - | • | 9 | 2 |
| 1 | 8 | 3 | 8 | | • | ¥ | ' | .• | ٠ | ١ | 115.3 | 2 | * | 2 | 3 | 120.2 | ======================================= | 3 | 2 9 | 13.0 | 2 | 2 | | | 35 | 3 | i | = | 3 | 2 | • | • | ٠ | • | • | | ž | 22. | 2 | 2 | 22.0 |
| | | • | 243.3 | • | | • | • | • | | • | _ | - | | - | - | | _ | • | _ | • | • | • | | | | | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • |
| - | 3 | • | t | | | | | • | • | | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | • | ı | : | • | • | 7.5 | ٠; | ŗ, | | • | • | | • | • | • | • | | • | • | • | 1 | • | • | • |
| , | <u>.</u> . | 16.0 | 17.7 | | | | | 16.9 | 2 | 12.0 | 32.0 | 20. D. | | 17.0 | 22.4 | 13.4 | 7.7 | | 13.5 | 2.8 | 7. | 21.6 | 7. | | į | | | : | 13.0 | •: | 17.2 | 13.2 | 7. | 2.5 | 23.0 | - | 12.0 | 13.0 | • | 1 | |
| Tera MSS. | Series | 442.0 | 1 | = | | 3 | ŧ | 527.7 | \$21.4 | 20 2.5 | 519.0 | | | * | *2.6 | 535.0m | 557.9 | 529.2m | * | 33.E | 3.33 | 470.6 | 3 | | 2.5 | | 9 | ×1.0 | ÷ | 507.0 | 25 | 833.9 | 5 | 293.0 | 25.7 | | 292.0 | 233.0 | 312.0 | 331.0 | 312.0 |
| 755 CORT | 7 8 2 | c 21.4 | • ! | | | 12.5 | | 3.2 | 62.6 | • | | 5.02 | Ţ | 1169.0 | 162.5 | 7639.5 | 200 | \$24.0 | 362.2 | 454.7 | 112.0 | • | • | • | | | 214.3 | 6.63. | 110. | 4130.0 | 154.3 6 | 11.0 | 4.2. | 33.0 | 63.6 | • | c 10.0 | 1100.0 | 1016.7 | 1%.7 | 1100.0 |
| | 81 | | | ı | | | | | | | | | | _ | | _ | - | _ | _ | 22.1 | _ | • | , | | | | | | | | | | | | | • | • | | | , | |
| | | 9.0 | 5.5 | | | | | | 7 | 2.2 | • | 7 | - | ~ | ~ | | Ţ | 7. | 2.5 | 7. | 2.7 | ۲. | 7. | N | | | N | 2.6 | 2.6 | 2.3 | 2.2 | 7 | 8.3 | 7 | , , | | | : | . | 9.0 | 1.3 |
| | 1 | 2.2 | - | : | : | | | 3 | - | 2 | - | | | | 2 | | • | ~. | - | = | = | : | 5. | • | | | : | = | : | : | - | - | • | | | = | : | : | ~ | 7. | : |
| | 3 | ; | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 7 | • | • | • | :3 | = | _ | ~ (| | 2.2 | | T. | 7. | : | ~. | - | | • | | - | | : | 6.3 | | 6.3 | 5.5 |
| CHESCLT. | Contractor) | 127.7 | | | _ | | | • | • | • | | | | | | | | _ | _ | | | | | _ | 2 . S | | 725.0 | 725.4 | 73.e | 702.0 | • | • | • | • | | | 471.7 | 485.0 | # 1.7 | \$10.0 | 510.0 |
| | | 23.7 | 24.3 | 23. | | | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 . | : | 2 | • | 2 | | 15.3 | 12.5 | • | Ξ. | 13.1 | 13.1 | 12.4 | 9.0 | 2.3 | | ! ; | 23.1 | 22.0 | 2 | 13.6 | -16 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 2.8 | 2 | 3 | 24.9 | 23.7 | 21.2 | 23.3 |
| | STATION CORE | DATE TR-6305% | DHRPTH-630595 | 2000 - X-1-200 | 7 3 4 5 | | T JAN | | T THE | | CACON YOUNG | Part Actor Actor | PAROCTO-6 W0262 | Dept 10-630252 | Date STO-6.30252 | DRESTO-630252 | DHESTO-630252 | DHESTO-630252 | DHRST0-630252 | DMRST0-630252 | DMRST 0-630252 | DHRST0-630252 | DHRSTO-630252 | DIRECTO-630252 | DEEN TO-6 30252 | | BERFTR-63006P | Despt R-6 30594 | BENETH-630594 | DIRRTH-6 30682 | ,- Calc. | 7 | CARC | CBIC-K | CRIC-K | X-340 | DemPCK-650613 | DHRPCK-630614 | BHBPCK-630615 | DHRPCK-630617 | DOMPCK-630616 |
| | | 2 | | | | | | | 2 | _ | : | :: | :: | X | 2 | ~ | 2 | ٤ | 2 | 5 | 2 | 2 | I | T. | ¥ 2 | ; | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | Z | Z | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| ŧ | -128 | 515 - | - 218 | - 215 | - 25 | | | | 2 | - 215 | | | | | | 9 | 315 | 215 | | 215 | 5 | 218 | | ======================================= | | | 22 | 520 | 2 | 2 | 423 | 3 | 525 | 522 | 522 | 222 | 2 | 528 | \$25 | 527 | 528 |

Table 4.2. continued.

| 16.6 Pe.0 | et .0 et .0 | 6.63 59.6 10.6 | - - | • | • | 0.65 6.85 6.85 | - 6.625 | - - | 0.61 0.65 | 0.25£ 0.0€€ | 6.62 6.685 | : | 7.0 2.0 | _ | 0.T 8.T | 8.518 5.458 | | | 61 - 658 61 - 068 61 - 168 |
|---------------|----------------|----------------------|----------|----------|--------------|----------------------|----------------|--------|--------------|----------------|------------------|--------------|------------|-------------|------------|----------------|--------------|--------------------------|----------------------------------|
| PO.0 | 61.0 | | | •- | • | | 0.025 | - | | | 6.005 | - | 6.0 | 5.0 | 8.1 | \$.158 | 1.15 | | - |
| | | 10.0 | - | | • | 0.85 | - | • | | | | | | | | | | ac 200 3 - whereal | 62 - 161 |
| | ••• | | | | | | | _ | 0.3 | 920.0 | 1.315 | - | 0.0 | 5.0 | 8.4 | 8.458 | 6.15 | 000005-220000 | |
| 05.0 | 91.0 | 50.8 | • | • | • | 6.æ | 0.015 | - | 0.21 | - | 0.01 | - | 8.0 | | | 0.0CP | 8.11 | BHRST0-630004 | \$1 - 50 |
| | 9. 15 | \$0.0 | - | Ä | Ä | 6.75 | 1.8.5 | - | 9.15 | | 410.0 | | £-1 | ••• | | - | 9.61 | PIRST 0-630004 | 67 - 568 |
| 61.0 | CI .0 | \$0.0 | | | | 0.65 | 0.03E | - | 2.8 | 9.916 | 120.0 | | 6.0 | 1.1 | P. P | 220.0 | 8.55 | 9000219-020004 | P1 - 565 |
| 06.0 | SS.0 | 10.0 | • | • | • | 0.1S | - | • | 0.35 | 0.126 | £.68S | - | 6.0 | €.0 | 1.1 | 852.0 | 8.1S | DMM-CK-630621 | 61 - 666 |
| 16.9 | ••• | \$0.0 | - | - | 50.0 | 0.OP | - | 6.4 | 8.51 | 9.0% | 0.3061 | - | \$.£ | 1.0 | 6.0 | - | 6.81 | 9-903H2S | 85 - PC |
| | | | | •- | | | | | | | | - | | | | - 555 | | | E1 - 800 |
| 11.1 | | 10.0 €0.€ | · - | • | 7 | 9.00 9.00 | 0.235 | - | 9.66 | 9.180 9.180 | 1.245 2.565 | - | £.1 | 6.1 | F.: | 222°0 | 6.81 | DIESTH-630622 | E1 - 26 |
| ••• | ••• | ••• | - | • | | • | £.£8\$ | • | 0.51 | • | - | - | 0.S | * '0 | | - | | 2C30C3-N19MI | |
| 65.0 | | 50.0 | | | - | | | | - • | | 0.005 | • | P.S | 6.1 | - • | 0.001 | 5.61 | 1-030C3-HT/MHG | |
| 26.0 | es.s | 10.0 | - | | | 6.51 2.21 | - | _ | 0.S | 0.03 | | - | | • • | • • | | | | |
| • | 05.0 | €0.0 | - | | | 0.61 | 0.065 | - | 0.15 | 0.665 | | | • | •.• | P.8 | 0.03 | 0.11 | \$10000-012116 | et - 661 |
| \$2.0 84.0 | 11.0 15.0 | €0.€ \$0.€ | : | | 10.0 | 8.SS 8.85 | : | 1.8 | 0.5 | 0.10.C | 9.00t 9.17S | : | 2.1 6.0 | 1.0 | | 9.100 | 8.21 7.11 | 2EHC08-5 DMW1M-630602 | 51 - 0H |
| ••• | 00.S | 15.0 | - | A | • | ●.11 | - | - | ●.● | 0.108 | 0.505 | • | £.5 | •.• | ●.● | 0.114 | 8.91 | 303003-N1AMIG | ES - 114 |
| £0.0 | 2.60 | | - | = | n | - | • | - | \$.51 | 1.68 | 541.0 | - | 1.6 | 6.5 | 9.6 | • | 0.05 | CHHC-1 | 11 - IN |
| - | 1.34 | ₩.0 | - | - | 91.0 | • | • | • | 2.65 | 113.4 | 9.25S. | - | 1.6 | ••• | | - | 9.15 | CENCO-P | ST - IN |
| 69.0 | | 35.0 | • | - | PS.0 | 6.0¢ | : | 2.5 | 8.CI 15.5 | 0.5tr 0.81c | 9.050f 9.1.16 | : | 2.1 2.6 | 6.4 | 1.0 | : | 0.11 0.15 | CUNC-7 2EMC08-8 | N - 11 |
| . : | 16.5 | 85.8 67.8 | | - | 0.10 0.25 | : | • | - | 1.55 | 2.368 | 9.2 | - | 1.3 | 0.1 | | • | 0.05 | C-31913 | 11 - 11 |
| - | 15.5 | | - | - | 81.0 | - | - | - ' | P.05 | F.015 | 0 F.88 | - | 1.6 | ••• | 1.0 | - | 8.61 | CIRIC-1 | 01 - IN |
| - | 56.1 | 6€.0 | · . | - | £0.0 | • | • | _ ; | 9.61 | 0.000 | - | - | £.5 | •.1 | | - | 0.0t | C-3002 | |
| - | 03.6 | - | - | 30.0 | St .0 | 0.61 | 0.025 | - | 0.31 | 6.00 | - | 0.61 | 6.1 | 6.0 | | 0.014 | | | to - 03 |
| | 01.€ | - | | 30.0 | er.e | 0.00 | | - | 0.51 | 0.00 | | 0.65 | | | - | 0.014 | - | | CO - CH |
| | 03.6 | _ | | 30.0 | 11.0 | | • ••• | _ | 0.55 | 0.05 | | 0.15 | | ٠. | | 0.014 | • | | CO - SP |
| | 03.6 | - | _ | 10.0 | 01.0 | 0.00 | | | 0.et | 0.05 | - | | 9.5 AJ | P.0 | | 0.214 | - | | (0 - % |
| • | A3.E | • | | U1 | 11.0 | W1 | .0.61S | _ | V 1 | W7 | - | 0.01 | | | _ | WT. | | | |
| | | | - : | " | - | e.ef | | - | 0.01 1.11 | 0.102 | 0.011 | - | 0.E | 5.0 | | 0. SCT _ | 6.65 | DMRTH-500201 | |
| DB.U | 0.5 08.5 | | - | 9 0.0 | 10.0 | 9.10 | 238.0 270.0 | • | 0.3 | 0.002 | 9.05 | ●. 55 | | 8.0 | | | 0.6 | 105008-012MIG | |
| • | 3.10 | | - | 30.0 | | | 2.535 | | 8.61 | 0.0CF | - | 0.61 | | 5.0 | | 8.551 | - | 9-167 | CO - 11 |
| - | OF.E | • | | 30.0 | 61.0 | 0.00 | 0.00\$ | • | 0.6 | 0.02P | - | 0.81 | 1.1 | ٠. | - | 0.0F1 | • | 1-163 | (0 - D) |

Table 4.2. continued.

| MP 10 0-YEAR | STATION CODE | TEMP C | COMPUCT. | 0.0. | pit | 940 | COO | FEC COLI | | SALIOS | | MADESS | C1 | TOTAL PO1 | FOTAL ORTHO PO1 | 1013 | 1903 | M03 W05 | ers. MTR. |
|----------------------|---------------|--------|----------|-------------|-----|------|------|----------|----------------|--------|-----|--------------|-------|--------------|-----------------------|------|------|------------|--------------|
| \$49 - 83 | LD1-8 | - | 735.0 | - | 0.5 | 1.0 | 14.0 | - | 460.0 | 14.0 | • | 255.0 | ••.• | 0.10 | 0.06 | - | - | 3.40 | - |
| 550 - 03 | L01-9 | - | 740.0 | - | 0.4 | 1.1 | 15.0 | - | 440.0 | 15.0 | - | 260.0 | 96.0 | 0.10 | 0.06 | - | - | 3.40 | - |
| 551 - 73 | DHRTH-500202 | 19.4 | 730.0 | ●.● | 7.9 | 3.2 | - | 162.0 | 401.0 | 14.0 | | | 72.5 | • | ¥ | - | 0.23 | 1.61 | 0.66 |
| 552 - 73 | | 19.3 | 720.0 | 7.9 | | 2.0 | - | 110.0 | 401.0 | 10.0 | - | 260.0 | 70.5 | ¥ | U | - | 0.22 | 1.01 | |
| 552 - 73 | DHRP1H-500283 | 23.7 | - | 7.7 | 9.1 | 3.1 | - | | | 22.3 | • | 261.7 | 70.0 | ŭ | • | - | 8.42 | 2.15 | 0.77 |
| 552 - 74 | | 20.0 | - | 0.5 | 7.9 | 3.5 | - | 236.6 6 | 475.0 | 27.0 | : | : | - | 0.17 | | | 0.14 | 1.29 | - |
| 552 - 75 | CRHC-0 | 20.0 | | 19.6 | 7.5 | 3.7 | - | 164.7 0 | 100.0 | 41.4 | 5.6 | - | 31.0 | | | Ξ | 0.20 | 3.51 | |
| 552 - 76 | SENCOG-9 | 10.0 | • | 7.3 | 7.0 | 1.0 | - | 1732.0 | 405.0 435.9 | 20.3 | 3.4 | = | 31.0 | 0.17 | - | - | 0.57 | 1.68 | 0.67 |
| 552 - 76 | | 21.5 | - | 7.6 | 7.0 | 3.3 | - | 236.4 8 | 500.4 | 35.4 | | | _ | 0.10 | | - | 0.54 | 2.10 | _ |
| 552 - 77 | CRHC-6 | 19.0 | - | | 7.6 | 5.1 | - | 139.4 0 | 502.8 | 10.2 | _ | | _ | 0.26 | - | - | 0.51 | 2.06 | - |
| 552 - 70 | | 20.0 | - | 9.3 | 7.1 | 3.0 | - | 137.7 0 | 495.5 | 29.5 | - | - | Ξ | 0.13 | | _ | | 2.14 | _ |
| 552 - 7 9 | CRHC-6 | 17.1 | - | 8.1 | 7.6 | 2.0 | • | - | 733.5 | 27.9 | _ | . | _ | 4.15 | _ | _ | V. W | 2 | _ |
| 553 - 73 | DWRTH-\$00204 | 19.3 | 734.0 | 7.0 | 7.9 | 2.7 | - | 190.0 | 474.0 | 27.0 | - | . • | 71.5 | v | u | | ●.20 | 1.72 | 0.07 |
| 554 - 73 | DMRFH-500205 | 19.7 | 729.0 | 7.7 | 0.1 | 3.9 | - | 230.0 | 460.0 | 33.0 | - | • | 72.5 | U | U | - | 0.17 | 1.72 | 0.75 |
| 555 - 73 | DHRRTH-500204 | 19.7 | 723.0 | 7.1 | 7.9 | 2.9 | - | 206.0 | 401.0 | 34.6 | . • | • | 73.0 | v | U | - | 0.19 | 1.00 | 0.93 |
| 556 - 71 | DHRSTQ-500047 | 11.0 | 450.0 | 4.2 | 7.7 | 21.0 | • | 3500.0 | 292.0 | | • | 170.0 | 66.0 | | ¥ | - | 1.00 | - | 0.70 |
| 556 - 72 | BHRS10-500047 | 12.2 | 796.1 | 0.1 | 7.0 | 4.1 | - | 6767.8 | 517.64 | | - | | 100.1 | ¥ | U | - | 8.82 | 1.70 | |
| 556 - 73 | DHRS10-500047 | 16.4 | 736.9 | 0.0 | 7.9 | 4.1 | - | 492.6 | 471.34 | | | 263.5 | 91.9 | | U | - | 0.33 | 1.69 | |
| 556 - 74 | DHR510-500047 | 10.6 | 679.5 | 9.7 | 7.9 | 3.4 | - | 202.0 | 133.6 | 34.9 | - | 259.3 | 76.2 | u | u | - | 8.27 | 1.45 | |
| 556 - 74 | CRMC-F | 20.0 | - | 0.2 | 7.7 | 2.0 | - | 316.1 6 | 530.7 | 34.1 | - | - | - | U | | | 0.35 | 2.50 | |
| 556 - 75 | CRMC-F | 21.0 | | 10.1 | 7.7 | 3.6 | - | 101.9 6 | 412.0 | 41.7 | - | - | - | 0.16 | - | - | 9.40 | 1.49 | |
| 556 - 75 | BHRSTQ-500047 | 2.0 | 720.0 | 13.2 | 0.1 | 3.0 | 22.0 | 370.0 | 436.0 | 20.0 | - | 275.0 | 96.0 | | 0.06 | - | 0.56 | 1.20 | 0.76 |
| 556 - 76 | CRNC-F | 22.0 | - | 7.0 | 0.6 | 2.7 | - | 140.2 0 | 470.2 | 26.1 | - | - | - | 0.10 | - | - | 0.66 | 1.64 | - |
| 556 - 77 | CRNC-F | 19.0 | - | 0.5 | 7.6 | 5.1 | - | 7.5 6 | 517.0 | 29.6 | - | - | - | 0.22 | | - | 0.51 | 2.41 | - |
| 556 - 70 | CRUC-F | 20.0 | - | 7.9 | 7.4 | 2.9 | - | 63.8 6 | \$43.0 | 64.4 | | - | + | 0.20 | | - | 0.39 | 2.12 | - |
| 556 - 79 | CRMC-F | 17.1 | - | 1.1 | 7.0 | 2.0 | - | - | \$32.5 | 30.6 | - | | - | 0.14 | | - | 0.34 | 2.13 | - |
| 556 - 00 | DIMSTO-500047 | 2.0 | 620.0 | 12.8 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 20.0 | 30.0 | 520.8 | 12.0 | - | 260.0 | - | 8.07 | 0.04 | • | 9.30 | 2.00 | - |
| 554 - 07 | CTYMAR-Hoyes | 21.5 | - | 6.7 | - | - | - | - | - | • | - | • | - | - | - | • | - | - | - |

^{6 =} Volue calculated as geometric men instead of arithmetic men (average)
LR = Laboratory accident
U = Unreliable data.
E = Estimated from conductivity.
< = Retual concentration is less than the value shown.

Table 4.2. continued.

| S-VEAR | STATION COME | TENP C | CHEUCT. | 9.0. | pH | • | COO | A 100 M | POTAL DISS. SOLIOS | ger | CHEAPINE (up/L) | INVOICE22 | <u>a</u> | TOTAL PO1 | TOTAL ORTHO PO4 | 1013 2011-1003 | 1013 1001 | M05 | DPS. MITR. |
|--|--|--|-----------------|------------|--|--|-------|--|----------------------------------|--|--------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|--|-----------------------|-------------------|------------------------------|--|----------------------|
| SECTI | | 1 AND 15 | BOLE PANE | * | THE C | 111110 | a Rtv | ER 849 TH | EIR TRIE | WIRE | i | | | | | | | | |
| 601 - 73 | D1473100-1 | 13.0 | - | 9.3 | 0.2 | - | - | • | • | - | . • | - | - | | u | · - | 0.02 | 0.49 | 0.30 |
| 602 - 73 | DIRHO0-2 | 10.0 | - | 9.0 | 0.3 | - | - | - | • | | | - | - | • | U | - | 0.03 | 0.20 | 0.30 |
| 603 - 73 | DIR:100-3 | 10.5 | • | •.• | 0.3 | • | - | • | • | - | - | • | - | u | ¥ | - | 0.02 | 0.60 | 0.55 |
| 601 - 73 601 - 75 | DHRHOD-4 DHRHOD-410059 | 22.0 17.4 | \$76.3 | ::3 | •.3 | 1.6 | = | 2540.0 | 354.0 | 10.0 | . : | 315.0 | 10.0 | U 0.07 | e.03 | - | | | 0.35 0.53 |
| 605 - 73 605 - 75 | DHRH00-6 DHRH00-110060 | 20.0 17.3 | 622.5 | 7.4 7.4 | :.; :.; | 2.9 | - | 3175.0 | 392.0 | 16.0 | = | 300.0 | 37.0 | u 0.37 | U 0.27 | = | 8.15 8.21 | 1.60 | 8.60 8.67 |
| 606 - 75 | DIFFER-110001 | 17.6 | 622.5 | 7.5 | 0.1 | 3.0 | - | 2000.0 | 300.0 | 10.0 | | 300.0 | 35.0 | 0.41 | 0.35 | - | 0.20 | 0.K | 0.65 |
| 607 - 73 607 - 75 | DHRHOD-7 DHRHON-140063 | 24.0 17.0 | 631.3 | 7:6 | :: :: | 1.9 | : | 1130.0 | 412.0 | 11.0 | : |)15.0 | 31.0 | 0.45 | 0.30 | : | | 2.10 1.07 | 0.66 0.57 |
| 600 - 80 | DHRST0-110102 | 13.9 | 670.0 | 9.6 | 0.4 | 2.5 | 13.6 | 216.0 | 431.0 | 11.0 | 1.0 | | - | 0.47 | 0.41 | - | 0.02 | 2.23 | 0.71 |
| 605 - 00 | DHRST0-110101 | 15.4 | 061.0 | 9.3 | 0.1 | 2.5 | 10.0 | 110.0 | 610.0 | 15.0 | 2.0 | - | - | 9.06 | 0.03 | - | 0.03 | 0.11 | 0.64 |
| 611 - 00 | DHR510-900362 | 13.3 | 710.0 | 9.6 | 0.4 | 2.3 | 14.3 | 300.0 | 473.0 | 14.0 | 1.0 | . • | - | 0.34 | 0.20 | • | 0.02 | 1.94 | 0.57 |
| 612 - 73 | DWH00-10 | 24.0 | - | 6.0 | 0.3 | - | - | - | - | - | | - | - | v | U | - | 0.13 | 0.03 | 0.02 |
| 613 - 73 | 9HM99-11 | 23.8 | - | 7.4 | •.3 | - | - | - | • | - | - | - | - | • | • | - | 0.66 | 0.66 | 0.51 |
| 611 - 73 614 - 73 | DHRH00-12 DHRST0-500241 | 23.5 10.6 | 675.0 | 6.4 | ::3 | 1.0 | = | 1500.Q | 416.0 | 3.0 | : | 320.0 | 45.0 | 0.16 | 0.11 | - | | | 0.50 0.45 |
| 615 - 75 | DHR\$T0-500203 | 20.5 | 525.0 | 7.3 | O. 1 | 1.5 | - | 626.0 | 312.0 | 1.0 | • | 210.0 | 15.0 | 0.01 | 0.01 | - | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.53 |
| 616 - 75 | DWS10-300200 | 19.1 | 511.0 | 7.7 | 0.1 | 1.2 | - | 1066.0 | 326.0 | 0.0 | • | 235.0 | 47.0 | 0.01 | G. 01 | • | 0.03 | 0.10 | 9.56 |
| 617 - 75 | DMS10-500207 | 10.0 | 553.0 | 7.7 | 0.2 | 1.2 | • | 776.0 | 346.0 | 5.0 | - | 240.0 | 17.0 | 0.01 | 0.02 | - | 0.82 | 0.07 | 0.42 |
| 610 - 75 | DMST0-500290 | 19.0 | 536.0 | 7.4 | 0.2 | 1.6 | - | 0 72.0 | 332.0 | 22.0 | • | | 31.0 | 4.67 | 0.01 | - | 0.34 | 0.00 | 0.57 |
| 619 - 75 | DHR\$T0-500291 | 10.9 | 540.0 | 7.9 | 0. L | 1.7 | - | 10%.0 | 346.0 | 12.0 | • | | 34.0 | 0.01 | 0.03 | - | | | 0.52 |
| 620 - 72 620 - 75 | DHRS10-500292 | 14.5 10.6 | 720.0 \$59.0 | 3:1 | 7.2 6.2 | 2.0 | - | 160.0 2110.8 | #1:5" | 17.0 | • | 260.0 | 35.0 35.0 | 0.03 | 0.03 | = | 0.63 0.62 | 9.03 | 0.21 0.50 |
| 621 - 73 621 - 74 621 - 75 | DHRSTO-500110 DHRSTO-500110 DHRSTO-500110 | 12.0 25.5 19.6 | 610.8 612.8 | ; ; | | 1.7 3.1 2.6 | = | 220.0 < 10.0 <340.0 | 476.0m 412.0 390.0 | 11.0 2.0 7.0 | : | 315.0 270.0 200.0 | 70.0 19.0 45.0 | 9.39 | ų 0.29 | = | 0.00 0.47 0.41 | 0.46 0.64 0.21 | 1.30 0.50 0.39 |
| 622 - 73 622 - 74 622 - 75 622 - 75 622 - 76 622 - 76 622 - 77 622 - 70 622 - 79 | DIMINOD-13 CRIC-N DIMSTO-900242 CRIC-N SCHCOB-1P CRIC-N CRIC-N CRIC-N CRIC-N CRIC-N | 23.5 19.6 10.0 17.6 20.0 20.0 10.0 | 649.0 : | 11.4 | 0.2 7.0 0.3 7.9 7.9 0.0 7.0 7.5 | 2.2 2.5 2.5 2.7 2.5 1.6 1.1 2.8 | | 231.5 0 1300.0 395.2 0 2655.0 279.6 0 447.2 0 93.7 0 | 414.0 412.3 405.0 405.2 | 11.9 0.0 17.8 20.4 0.0 17.5 22.0 11.8 | •.a | 300.0 | 10.0 60.0 | 0.21 0.16 0.43 0.26 0.41 0.32 | 0.16 | | 6.31 6.50 6.53 6.64 | 0.64 6.86 0.40 0.01 0.64 0.13 0.61 0.50 | 0.51 0.51 0.56 |

Table 4.2. continued,

| MIF 18 9-VERR | STRETON CADE | 100 | conduct. | 3 | ī | 1 | 8 | FEC COL.1 | DISS. SELIOS | 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 200 | CAMPA | SSECOLO | 3 | = | 28E | 1-10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1 | 2-1 | ¥-9 | 11. 12. |
|--------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------|----------------|-------------|-----|------|-------|-----------------|------------------|---|-------------------------|---|----------------|-------------------|-------------|---|------|--------------|------------|
| 2: | 900 | • | 1 | 3 | 77 | 1 .2 | | 1520.0 | | , 2 | ••• | 230.0 | | ». | »°° | ٠. | 8: | | 2.0 |
| | | | | : | ~ | : | | 9.86. | \$0.0 | 3 | • | • | | 9.03 | 0.03 | • | Ë | 0.63 | 0.61 |
| 529 | 15 BHRS 10-500294 | | 8 | Ş | ••• | Ţ: | Ċ | | \$21.0 \$57.0 | 2.0 7.0 | Ę | • • | 28 | 28 | 6.9 | • • | | 10 28 | 8 |
| - 929 | 'S DHRST0-500295 | 2.5 | 623.0 | 7. | : | 2.4 | ´ . | 2222.0 | 4 | -: | • | • | 2 | 1.23 | ÷ | | 6.93 | \$ | 0.65 |
| | - Cant 1 | : | | 2 | | 2.2 | | 44.3 | 617.0 | 11.3 | • | ٠ | • | 3 | • | ľ | | 9.3 | • |
| | | | • | | 2 | | • | 2 | Ŧ | Y.S | | • • | • • | 25 | ٠. | ٠. | | 2 2 | |
| 627 - 7 627 - 7 | | 2 | • • | | | | | | 2 | X | | | • • | 53 | , , | | | 25 | |
| 627 - 7 | | 9.4. 2.5 | | | :: | 22 | | ė. | 7. | | | 1 | • | X | | | | 9 | |
| ٠ | 76 SERCO6-21 | 17.7 | • | | : | 3.1 | | 1764.0 | 9.0 | 143.7 | 23.7 | • | | | | • | | Š | .91 |
| • | 13 DWWDR-1 | • | 1,290.0 | | : | | • | • | 0.00 | • | • | • | 2-10.0 | | | | | • | |
| 0.9 | 13 Destina-2 | • | 1.450. | • | 2 | • | • | | 0.00 | • | • | | 6.0 | • | • | • | | • | |
| 100 | 71 BHRSTO-500045 72 DHRSTO-500045 | 2.3 | 560.0 616.1 | ;; , | | 500 | • • • | 2200. | X43 | 85. | • | 61. 64. | 525.6 625.6 | 331 | 33 i | | **. | , <u>9</u> , | 25, |
| 632 | 73 BMST0-500045 | | 10 | ••• | 00 | *** | • • | 123.3 | 424.7 | 32.5 | • • | 010 | 7.5 | 22 | 22 | • • | 323 | 222 | 57.3 |
| 223 | 75 DMRST0-5000-15 | | - Q- | ~ ~ | :: | 77 | | 3.0.9 1260.9 | 2.2 4.2 | . K | • | | 25 | Ä | R. | ٠. | XC. | 32 | .63 |
| • | 79 DHRSOR-1 | • | • | • | • | : | • | • | • | ×. | , | • | 2.0 | • | 9.8 | • | 9.6 | 9.16 | |
| • | 79 DHROOR-3 | ·,• | • | • | • | 3.0 | • | · · | • | 8.0 | • | • | ¥6. | • | 9. 15 | | 5 | 0.22 | |
| • | 79 DHRGOR-2 | • | ٠, | • | • | : | • | • | • | 23.0 | • | | 3 . | • | 8. | | 0.0 | 9.16 | |
| 1 | 2 | | 1,030.0 | : | .0 | 1.2 | • | 312.0 | 6 10.0 | •: | • | • | 51.0 | 9.0 | 0.0 | • | : | 6 .4 | 1 |
| • | | 10.5 | 770.0 | : | ~ | : | | 3512.0 | 54.0 | •: | • | • | 3 5.0 | 2 | 0.26 | ţ | 23 | 0.63 | 0.32 |
| - 9K 9 | 75 DMRTDR-500284 | _ | 741.3 | • | ? | 5 | | 4220.0 | 4 60.0 | ř. | • | • | \$ | 9.3 | 0.22 | | | 0.65 | • |
| 639 - 1 | 76 SENCO6-10 | 17.6 | • | ; | : | : | | 2430.0 | 442.0 | 62.0 | 9.1 | • | 2 | | | , | .3 | 0.33 | 0.55 |
| - 04-9 | 73 DHRRTH-500210 | 20.5 | 6.4.9 | : | : | | | * | 4.0 | 9 | • | • | 4.5 | > : | 9 | • 1 | 2; | *: | 5. |
| 23 | | 2 | | | 22 | 20 | | 7. T | , X | XX. | | • | | 2.8 | ٠, | | 77 | × | |
| 9 | 200 | 22.5 | | : | | 7.7 | ٠. | 520.0 | 9.5 | 62 | ٠, | | • • | 22. | ٠. | • • | | -: *: | ٠, |
| 225 | | 22.5 | • | | 7.7 | ~~ | | 2.2 | 2£ | 7.0. | | • • | • • | 9.5 9.5 9.5 | ٠. | | 125 | -r. | , |
| # # 64 | he calculated is | 1 | ric non la | | 7 | 111 | | | | ****** | 12 2 2 3 3 1 3 1 | A 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 | 1 | | | | | ; ; | |

69

Table 4.2. continued.

| WAT 18 8-VEAR | STATION CARE | TEIP C | CHAUCT. (inhos/co) 9.8. | <u> </u> | PEC COLI | TOTAL MISS. SOLIAS | SVSP. | COPAL) | MARKESS | a | TOTAL PO-1 | 1017 | \$000 \$ \$000 | ens. | |
|------------------|-----------------|--------|----------------------------|--------------|----------|--------------------------|-------|-----------|-------------|--------|---------------|------|----------------------|------|--|
| | S E (| | 7. LAKE ST. | CLAMB 300 TI | M AICHMI | of the | CTIMA | H REVER W | 1947N 600 1 | THE SF | ELLINN. | | | | |
| 702 - 00 | BHRLSC-\$00411 | 23.4 | \$19.0 | | 7.0 | 144.5 | | | | | | | | | |
| 703 - 60 | DHPL SC-\$00410 | 24,3 | 250.0 | | 15.0 | 159.6 | | | • | | | | | | |
| 704 - 60 | DHPLSC-500-109 | 24.7 | 274.4 | | 214.0 | 167.4 | | | | | | | | | |
| 707 - 00 | DHFL SC-500-100 | 23.9 | 227.6 | | 19.0 | 141.6 | | | | | | | | | |
| 700 - 00 | DMRLSC-500403 | 22.0 | 212.0 | | 11.0 | 136.0 | | | | | | | | | |
| 703 - 00 | DHML SC-500-107 | 23.9 | 221.2 | 1 | 31.0 | 146.4 | | | | | | | | | |
| 710 - 00 | DHFLSC-800399 | 22.0 | 214.2 | | 1.0 | 130,4 | | | | | | | | | |
| 711 - 00 | 3HFLSC-500-106 | 24.3 | 294.6 | | 49.0 | 166.6 | | | | | | | | | |
| 712 - 🗪 | DHML SC-880-102 | 23.6 | \$12.6 | | 8.0 | 130.6 | | | | | | | | | |
| 713 - 00 | DHRLSC-500495 | 21.6 | 261.6 | | 40.0 | 172.6 | | | | | | | | | |
| 714 - 60 | DHFL.SC-500404 | 21.0 | 3.035 | | 49.0 | 173.6 | | | | | | | | | |
| 715 - 00 | DHPL SC-500398 | 23.3 | 213.2 | | 4.0 | 135.6 | | | | | | | | | |
| 716 - 80 | DHRLSC-500401 | 21.0 | 247.2 | | 72.0 | 160.0 | | | | | | | | | |
| 717 - 00 | DW4LSC-500397 | 23.5 | 212.6 | | 1.0 | 135.6 | | | | | | | | | |
| 710 - 00 | DHRLSC-500400 | 21.3 | 306.2 | | 326.0 | 199.6 | | | | | | | | | |
| 719 - 00 | DIFFL SC-500391 | 21.5 | 209.6 | | 7.0 | 137.0 | | | | | | | | | |
| 720 - 00 | BHFL SC-500396 | 23.6 | 213.4 | | 8.0 | 130.6 | | | | | | | | | |
| 721 - 00 | DHRLSC-508398 | 22.2 | \$10.0 | | 5.0 | 130.4 | | | | | | | | | |
| 722 - 00 | DHFL SC-500309 | 22.9 | 212.4 | | 4.0 | 130.6 | | | | | | | | ; | |
| 723 - 00 | DHRLSC-800395 | 23.9 | \$15.6 | | 3.0 | 141.6 | | | | | | | | | |
| 724 - 00 | DMRL SC-500300 | 23.4 | 211.6 | . * | 6.0 | 136.4 | | | | | 100 | | | | |
| 725 - 00 | DHRLSC-500307 | 23.6 | 215.6 | | 7.0 | 139.0 | | | • | | | | | | |
| 726 - 80 | DHRLSC-500394 | 23.0 | 216.6 | | 13.0 | 141.4 | | | | | | | | | |
| 727 - 00 | DHFL SC-500306 | 23.0 | 251.2 | | 110.0 | 159.6 | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

Z

Table 4.2. continued.

| 25 | | 1 | Commercy. | : | 1 | 5 E2 | 75C CR.1 | MESS. | SEL 185 | 3 | 953 | 5 | # E | 2 - E | -2 | 9 = |
|----------------------|--|------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-------|------------|--|--------------|------------------|--------|-----|------|-----|-------|----|------|
| 222 | Ders 10-500061 Ders 10-500061 Ders 16-500061 | 222 | | | | | 7.2.2 | | | ٠. | | | | | | |
| 2222 | DNES FO-500064 DNES FO-500004 DNES FO-500004 DNES FO-500004 DNES FO-500004 | IZZZZ | | | • | " • | 2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2.2. | | | | | | | | | |
| 2222 2222 2222 | DHRS F0-500002 DHRS F0-500002 DHRS F0-500002 BHRS F0-500002 BHRS F0-500002 | 2222 | | | . 1 2 | ∀ | 32228 | | | | | | | | | |
| 222 | DHRST0-500003 DHRST0-500003 DHRST0-500003 | 222 | | | | • | 12.0 | | | | | | | | • | • |
| 732 - 80 | Defet SC-500353 | 2.7 | 231.2 | | | | % | 150.0 | | | | | | | | |
| 733 - 80 | Deft. SC-500361 | 2 4 | 200. | | | | 8 .0 | 1X.1 | | | | | • | | | |
| 7. 2. | DIST. SC-500363 | 22.2 | 811.0 | | | | 7 | 133.4 | | | | | | | | |
| 8 . 82 | DIELSC-500362 \$ | 23.2 | 871.0 | | | - | 3 | 177.0 | | | | | | | | |
| 7X · 85 | CHELSC-500379 | 7. | 79. | | | ~ | | 15 .7 | | | | | | | | |
| 727 - 80 | DIST. SC-508388 | 33.6 | 230.K | | | | ž. | ¥. | | | | | | | | |
| 2. 8 | DIENT SC-200301 | 22.5 | 211.2 | | | | • | . X | | | | | | | , | |
| 8 - 8 | DIEM. SC-500376 | 23.6 | 230.0 | | | _ | 5.0 | 7. | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | DHML SC-500377 | 23.5 | 23.4 | | | | : | 1 2.0 | | | | | | | | |
| Z - Z | CHIRL SC - 500378 | 22.7 | \$12.6 | | | | : | .0. | | ; ; | | | | | | |
| 08 - QL | DIMESC-500392 | 2.5 2.3 | 5.0 | | | | | 137.0 | | | | | | | | |
| 743 - 80 | DISM. SC - 500 305 | 21.3 | | | | | | 137.0 | | | | | | | | |
| | 423230004251080 423230004251080 423230004251080 423230004251080 | 722X | 2.5.2% 2.5.2% 2.5.2% | 6.6 6.5 7.7 | ::: | | 255 | 222 | 5.5.2 0.8.8.0 | | | 12.5 | *** | 1288 | | 0.58 |

Table 4.2. continued.

| | | | | | | | | TOTAL | | CHLAPHAL | | | | Hel3 | Wes . | ers. |
|----------|------------------|--------------|----------------|------------|-----|-----|-----------|-----------------|------------------|----------|-------------|-----------------------------|--------|-------|-------|--------------|
| MP 19 | | | COMBUCT. | | -41 | | FEE COLI | OISS. SOLIOS | 9457. 901,105 | (10/1) | MARKESS | Cl | refal. | 1004 | 1003 | MIR. |
| 0-VENR | STAFTON COME | TEN C | (unhos/cn) | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 745 - 71 | 423400000219020 | 23.5 | 220.0 | 5.8 | 7.0 | • | 0.0 | 130.6 | | • | - | 6.6 | | 0.23 | | 0.15 0.32 |
| 745 - 72 | 423406006249020 | 16.0 | 220.0 | 10.9 | 7.9 | - | 41.5 | 140.0 | 10.0 | • | | 15.0 | - 1 | <0.02 | | |
| 745 - 73 | 423400000247020 | 10.3 | 220.0 | 0.7 | 9.6 | - | 3.0 | 135.0 | 26.0 11.0 | : | _ | 3.0 | ĭ | 0.02 | | 0.75 |
| 76 - 74 | 423400000249020 | 27.0 | 245.0 | 12.7 | • | - | - | 120.0 | 11.0 | _ | | | • | | | |
| | | | | 10.1 | 7.0 | • | <1.5 | 130.6 | 5.0 | - | - | 7.5 | • | <0.07 | | . 0.19 |
| 146 - 72 | 423500000245000 | 15.5 | 207.5 | 10.1 | 6.1 | - | 4.0 | 130.0 | 8.5 | • | - | 13.6 | v | <0.02 | | |
| 146 - 13 | 423500000245000 | 17.0 10.5 | 190.0 217.0 | 10.7 | •:• | • | | 120.0 | 5.0 | - | - | 6.6 | | 0.02 | - | 0.13 |
| 746 - 74 | 423500000245000 | 16.9 | 211.0 | •••• | | | | | | | • | | _ | <0.00 | | 6.10 |
| 747 - 72 | 423730000245000 | 15.5 | 205.0 | 9.9 | 7.2 | - | <₽.0 | 130.0 | 5.5 | | - | 7. 5 12. 0 | ŭ | <0.82 | | |
| 147 - 73 | 423730000245000 | 16.0 | 195.0 | | | - | <1.5 | 125.0 | 6.0 | - | - | 6.8 | ĭ | 0.01 | | 0.13 |
| 747 - 74 | 423730004245000 | 17.0 | 220.0 | 9.3 | - | - | - | 120.0 | 5.0 | • | - | •.• | • | •••• | • | |
| | | | | | | | _ | - | 5.9 | 2.3 | • | 7.0 | 0.01 | - | | 0.21 |
| 746 - 75 | 423730000247300 | 10.6 | 210.6 | ●.7 | €.2 | - | - | - | 4.7 | | | | ••• | | | |
| | | | 210.0 | 5.9 | 1.8 | • | - | - | 6.0 | - | • | 7.0 | 0.01 | - | - | 8.17 |
| 749 - 75 | 123521006211320 | 10.2 | 210.0 | • | • | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | DMMLSC-508329 \$ | 22.7 | 211.0 | - | - | - | 6.0 | 130.2 | - | | | | | | | |
| 750 - 00 | marrar-appara | | ••••• | | | | | | | • • • | 35.0 | | | 0.0 | | 0.27 |
| 751 - 73 | DHMLSC-900327 | 20.0 | 100.1 | 9.7 | 0.7 | 0.1 | - | 127.7 | 6.5 | 3.70 | 73.0 | | • | ψ | • | • |
| 136 - 15 | | | | | | | _ | 127.75 | 6.5 | 1.50 | 100.0 | | | 0.0 | | 0.51 |
| 752 - 73 | DHRLSC-500331 | 20.5 | 191.9 | 0.3 | •.7 | 0.4 | - | 321.1- | ••• | | | | | | | |
| | | | 195.0 | • • | • • | 0.5 | - | 125.74 | 6.0 | 0.9cc | 35.0 | | • | 0.6 | 2 | 0.27 |
| 753 - 73 | DHMLSC-500332 | 20.7 | 135.0 | U.U | •.• | • | | • | | | | | | | _ | 0.55 |
| | DHRLSC-500334 | 22.4 | 212.0 | 7.0 | 0.0 | 9.6 | | 139.5 | 29.0 | 17.90 | 105.0 | | • | 0.0 | , | ₩.>> |
| 754 - 73 | DMMT 2F 20232.1 | | ••••• | | | | | | | | 135.0 | | | 0.5 | | 1.01 |
| 195 - 73 | DHRLSC-500336 | 24.0 | 461.0 | 7.2 | 1.1 | 2.9 | - | 260.0 | 29.3 | 13.10 | 135.0 | | . • | | • | |
| 150 - 15 | | | | | | | | 126 .Sr | 11.3 | 6.50 | 100.0 | | | 0.0 | 1 | 0.41 |
| 796 - 73 | DHMLSC-506336 | 21.6 | 203.0 | 7.2 | 0.6 | 9.1 | • | 140. | | | | | • | | | |
| | | | | 7.7 | | 1.3 | - | 105.0 | 20.6 | 15. le | 100.0 | | • | 0. t | 3 | 0.53 |
| 757 - 73 | DHMLSC-500339 | 23.4 | 208.0 | | ٠.٠ | • | _ | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 26.2 | 102.0 | 0.7 | 8.1 | | - | 123.0 | 7.6 | 1.5c | 95.0 | | U | 0.1 | | 6.26 |
| 756 - 73 | DMRLSC-500346 | | ***** | | | | ********* | | 2001 | ***** | ****** | - | - | | - | |

I a Value is geometric mean.

b a Booults based on colony counts outside the acceptable range.

co a corrected:

If a Laboratory Accident.

U a threliable data.

I a Estimated from conductivity.

< a Actual concentration is less than the value shows.

ranged from 4.1 to 10 mg/1 with lowest values at stations 201, 103, 109, and 115.

Although the data are very sparse for the 1980s, D.O. concentrations in Sections 5 and 6 have improved slightly while D.O. concentrations in Sections 1, 2, 3 and 4 have not improved.

The dissolved oxygen values reported in Table 4.2 are annual averages, most of which are above the 5.0 mg/l minimum required by Michigan Water Quality Standards for warm water streams. However, these averages are a combination of data from all seasons collected during the daylight hours.

There are two sources of oxygen to aquatic systems. The first is reaeration, or that oxygen which dissolves from the atmosphere into the water. Turbulence brought on by rapid, non-laminar flow introduces some oxygen into surface water. The other factor infusing oxygen into water is photosynthesis. Photosynthesis is the process whereby aquatic plants take in carbon dioxide and water in the presence of sunlight to produce oxygen. The more aquatic plants and sunlight, the more oxygen present during the daylight hours. At night, plants respire, that is, they take in oxygen and give off CO₂. So, at night, the more plants, the less oxygen is present. The lowest dissolved oxygen levels are found in the very early morning before dawn, since bacteria and plants have been respiring (consuming oxygen) all night.

This is a natural cycle where the dissolved oxygen level increases during the day and decreases at night, but the fluctuations in high quality systems are minor. In enriched systems with many plants, the dissolved oxygen fluctuations are often very wide. Bacteria, respiring as they decompose dead plants and other organic materials (such as those released from some WWTPs), also consume dissolved oxygen, which fish and benthic macroinvertebrates require for survival.

Warmer water holds less dissolved oxygen than cold water. Sediments may also demand dissolved oxygen in the form of sediment organic materials or sediment chemicals that also consume oxygen. Bacteria also cause oxygen demand.

Thus, a series of warm cloudy days may result in total loss of dissolved oxygen in enriched streams which have little reaeration.

Some aquatic organisms are very sensitive to even very short term, severe reductions of dissolved oxygen, while other organisms are more tolerant of low dissolved oxygen. When dissolved oxygen is absent, most sedentary aquatic life perishes.

Most Clinton River dissolved oxygen measurements were made during the daylight hours, when photosynthesis was occurring and dissolved oxygen would be high. This suggests that the minimum dissolved oxygen values were much lower than the reported average values as indicated by the aquatic community.

Below Red Run, the community remains heavily impacted, especially in Sections 3 and 1. In this area, reaeration is slight due to low slope.

In addition, the river is enriched by municipal discharges and stormwater, and sediment oxygen demand and BOD loadings are high. These conditions have also plagued the fish community in this river reach since the 1970s.

Table 4.3 shows the results of individual samples collected during the day at three stations, between 1972 and 1987. Figures 4.2 and 4.3 show the mean dissolved oxygen concentrations for two stations between 1970 and 1987 based on STORET data. Concentrations at Gratiot Avenue (310) fell below 4.0 mg/l on occasion and frequently below 6.0 mg/l dissolved oxygen. Note the wide fluctuations by season with lowest dissolved oxygen levels in the summer. Percent dissolved oxygen saturation fluctuates into the 60% range frequently and went as low as 40% in 1974. Wider dissolved oxygen concentration fluctuations are apparent at Bridgeview, located in Section 1 (115) where dissolved oxygen ranged from 2.0 to approximately 15 mg/l.

Recent MDNR modeling has indicated that with the present NPDES effluent limits for Clinton River municipal dischargers during summertime drought flow, the Clinton River will not meet Michigan's Water Quality Standards for dissolved oxygen (Reznick, 1987). This modeling indicated that from downstream of Red Run to the North Branch, where the modeling effort stopped, dissolved oxygen standards will be violated, with the lowest dissolved oxygen occurring at the confluence of the North and Main Branches.

Because the slope between the North Branch and Lake St. Clair is small (0.1 foot per mile), and river velocity is slow, it is expected that reaeration in this reach will be negligible. Also, the enriched river conditions do not end at the North Branch confluence, but continue on through the Spillway to Lake St. Clair. These factors suggest that violations of dissolved oxygen standards will continue through the Spillway to Lake St. Clair during summer drought flows. The problem could be further intensified by nutrient enrichment from the Mt. Clemens WWTP effluent flowing upstream during flow reversals and then downstream through the Spillway. The water chemistry data in this Section and a study performed by Limno Tech Inc. (LTI 1984) for the City of Mt. Clemens have demonstrated these flow reversals.

Organic Nitrogen


Organic nitrogen concentrations increased downstream of Pontiac and even more downstream of Red Run. Values in the 1970s were slightly higher in Sections 3 and 4. In the 1980s organic nitrogen concentrations were slightly higher in Sections 5 and 1. The higher concentrations in Section 1 were apparently due to a local source. There were no data for organic nitrogen in the mid-1980s.

Nitrite Plus Nitrate (NO, + NO,)

 NO_2 and NO_3 concentrations in the 1970s were low at station 502 (0.220 mg/l) but increased to 2.88 and 3.08 mg/l downstream of Pontiac at stations 518 and 522, respectively. Rochester had little impact on NO_3 concentrations. Section 4, station 401 and Section 6, station

Table 4.3 Dissolved oxygen concentrations less than 6.0~mg/L at three Clinton River stations.


| Static Locati Map II Storet | lon:): | Waterford-M59 upstream of Pontiac 502 630529 | Hamlin Rd. downstream of Pontiac 518 630252 | Gratiot Rd. upstream of Mt. Clemens 310 500233 |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------|--|---|--|
| Date | 7-72 | | 6.0 | |
| | 8-72 | | 5.1 | |
| | 9-72 | | 6.0 | • |
| | 6-73 | | 6.0 | 5.6 |
| • | 8-73 | | 5.6 | |
| | 6-74 | | | 6.0 |
| | 7-74 | | | 3.8 |
| | 8-74 | | | 3.5 |
| | 9-74 | | | 5.3 |
| | 5-75 6-75 | | | 5.5 |
| | 6-75 7-75 | 4.0 | | 5.2 |
| | 8-75 | 4.0 | 6.0 | 5.6 5.2 |
| | 6-76 | | 5.6 | 5.5 |
| | 7-76 | 6.0 | 3.0 | J.J |
| | 5-77 | 4.2 | | 4.6 |
| | 7-77 | | | 5.4 |
| | 8-77 | 5.5 | | 5.7 |
| | 8-78 | 4.8 | | |
| | 9-78 | 5.0 | 5.9 | |
| | 7-79 | 4.0 | | |
| | 7-80 | | | 5.1 |
| | 7-81 | 5.3 | | |
| | 6-83 | 5.8 | | 4.5 |
| | 6-84 7 -84 | 4.2 4.0 | | |
| | 8-84 | 3.9 | | |
| | 7-85 | J. J | | 5.0 |
| | 8-85 | | | 6.0 |
| | 8-86 | 5.1 | | 5.0 |
| | 9-86 | | | 5.7 |
| | 6-87 | 3.9 | | |




and at Gratiot from 1983 to 1987.

Dissolved Oxygen Concentration at Bridgeview, 1970 to 1973,

Figure 4.4





SAMPLE DATE

00301

STARTING DATE 70/1 /21

640 were similar at 1.0 mg/1, but Section 4 stations 406, 407 and 410 contained 3.1 to 4.5 mg/1 of NO_2 and NO_3 . This slightly increased the downstream water concentrations in Section 3 at stations 302, 306, 309 and 310 to 2.74, 2.95, 2.48 and 2.50 mg/1, respectively. Reduced NO_2 and NO_3 concentrations were reported for Sections 1 and 2 with values of 0.52 mg/1 at station 103, 1.05 mg/1 at station 109, and 1.96 mg/1 at station 201.

Although data are very sparse, the NO₂ and NO₃ concentrations appear to be very similar in the early 1980s and the 1970s (Table 4.2, Appendix 4.2). There may have been an increase in NO₂ and NO₃ in Section 4 since concentrations in Section 3 increased over upstream concentrations in Section 5.

Ammonia (NH, + NH,)

In the 1970s, ammonia concentrations at station 502 were 0.102 mg/l and increased to 0.445 mg/l at stations 518 and 522 below Pontiac. The Rochester area had little impact and downstream stations (552, 556) in Section 5 and station 640 in Section 6 were similar to station 522.

Section 4 stations 406 and 408 contained 4.350 and 3.200 mg/l which impacted Section 3 stations 302 through 310 with concentrations averaging about 0.850 mg/l. The trend continued into Section 2 at station 201, and Section 1 at stations 103 and 109, where ammonia concentrations averaged 0.950, 0.560 and 0.800 mg/l, respectively.

In the 1980s, Section 5 ammonia concentrations were less impacted by the Pontiac area with stations 547 and 556 at 0.200 and 0.300 mg/l, respectively. There are no 1980s data from Section 4 or 6, but Section 3 stations reflect drastically decreased inputs from Section 4 with concentrations at stations 302, 306 and 310 at 0.310, 0.160 and 0.190 mg/l, respectively. Sections 2 and 1 continued to be impacted by local WWTPs (the Clinton Township #1 WWTP) since stations 201, 103, and 115 contained NH₃ and NH₄ concentrations of 0.360, 0.660 and 0.400 mg/l, respectively.

Chlorophyll a

Chlorophyll a is a measure of the pigment in floating aquatic plants (algae). Higher chlorophyll a values indicate higher production which is resultant from nutrient enrichment and can cause rapid fluctuations in dissolved oxygen concentrations. Chlorophyll a concentrations in the 1970s were generally slightly higher in Sections 5 and 2 than in the 1980s. There were no chlorophyll a measurements in Sections 4 and 6 in the 1980s. Chlorophyll a concentrations in Section 1 were higher in the 1980s than in the 1970s. Section 2 contained elevated chlorophyll a in the 1980s, but there are no 1970s data for comparison.

BOD_

Biological oxygen demand (BOD₅) patterns in the 1980s were very similar to the 1970s with lowest values reported at station 502 followed by moderate increases downstream of Pontiac at station 518 (Table 4.2, Appendix 4.3). In the 1970s, values remained slightly elevated in

Sections 3, 2, and 1 while BOD, values declined in Section 3 in the 1980s, apparently because of lowered BOD, inputs from Section 4. BOD, in Sections 2 and 1 were higher in the 1980s. The lower portion of Section 3 (station 310) and Sections 1 and 2 were all above (3.5, 2.6 and 8.8 mg/1 BOD,) values reported in upstream Section 3 (1.4 to 1.8 mg/1). These data suggest a local BOD, source or a lack of reaeration in this section.

COD

Measurements of chemical oxygen demand (COD) in the 1970s showed slight increases from station 502 (17 mg/1) to station 518 (22 mg/1) downstream of Pontiac. Red Run COD values were nearly twice (37 mg/1) the Main Branch COD values, but the impact on downstream Section 3 water quality was not apparent. There are no COD values for Section 2, but at station 115, COD values were very high (41.1 mg/1). COD measurements in the early 1980s were similar to earlier years where values increased downstream of Pontiac and remained similar through Section 3 and 2 with elevated levels in Section 1 downstream of Mt. Clemens. However, at station 115 in 1980, COD measurements were very low, reflecting Lake St. Clair waters.

Hardness

Hardness varied little within each section. Hardness varied little among the sections, averaging about 250 mg/l over the years. Section 6 hardness was greatest (300 mg/l). Lake St. Clair water impacts Sections 1 and 2 resulting in variable hardness of 105 to 198 mg/l at station 109 and 115, respectively. There were no hardness measurements in the spillway but hardness should be approximately 250 mg/l based on the hardness in Section 3.

Suspended Solids

Suspended solids at station 502 were similar across all years and increased downstream of Pontiac. Elevated suspended solids from Section 4 contributed to high concentrations in Section 3, as did flows from Section 6. Suspended solids concentrations decreased as these solids began to settle out in lower Section 3, and in Sections 2 and 1. In the 1980s, suspended solids were higher in Sections 1 and 2 than in the immediately upstream Section 3 suggesting local suspended solids inputs.

Chloride

In the 1970s, chlorides at station 502 were 50 mg/l (see Map 6.1). Downstream of Pontiac, chlorides increased to about 85 mg/l and remained at 85 to 95 mg/l downstream of Red Run and the North Branch. Chlorides in Sections 1 and 2 were less than upstream concentrations indicating dilution with Lake St. Clair water. Stations sampled through the years show that chlorides increased about 5 to 10 mg/l across the basin between 1973 and 1987. Data in Table 4.2 and Appendix 4.4 indicate a sharp rise in chloride loadings between February and April at station 310 in 1985 and 1986, probably due to road runoff.

Total Dissolved Solids

In the 1970s, total dissolved solids (TDS) concentrations at station 502 averaged 365 mg/l and ranged between 342.0 and 389.4 mg/l (Appendix 4.5). Downstream of Pontiac, TDS increased to about 500 mg/l. TDS in Sections 4 and 6 averaged 615 and 480 mg/l respectively, resulting in lower Section 3 concentrations of approximately 500 mg/l. Sections 1 and 2 TDS concentrations were less, probably as a result of Lake St. Clair water flowing upstream through the natural channel (Section 1) into the Spillway (Section 2). TDS concentrations have changed little since the 1970s. Total dissolved solids concentrations often exceed the Michigan Water Quality Criteria of 500 mg/l in Section 5 downstream of Pontiac and in Sections 4 and 3.

While it is true that TDS are added by dischargers, the headwaters of the Clinton River in Sashabaw (301), Paint (292), Stony (299), Big Beaver (334), Bear (355), and Coon (480) Creeks, Plumb Brook (474), Taft (610), Yates (460) and McBride (380) Drains contain total dissolved solids near or exceeding 300 mg/l. These high TDS values originate from the water-shed soil types (Figure 4.1).

Fecal Coliform Bacteria

The fecal coliform bacteria data are difficult to interpret because some results were reported as geometric means, calculated to compare with Michigan Water Quality Standards, and others were only available as arithmetic means. All data are old and probably do not represent present conditions. Only four values, two at station 502 and two at station 518, are more recent than 1980. One out of four of these results was greater than 200 counts per 100 ml. Annual arithmetic mean fecal coliform bacteria counts ranged from 11 to 528 in the 1970s, and 41 to 218 from 1980-1982 at station 502. Counts were slightly higher at station 517 and 518, downstream of Pontiac, with occasionally higher counts (7639 1977).

Below the Rochester WWTP, similar counts were reported with occasionality values (1020, 1732) at stations 541 and 552, respectively. Counts from Sections 4 and 6 were similar, with stations 403 and 407 in Red Run and stations 605 and 639 showing elevated counts (1000-2548) in the mid-1970s. The results were the same in the mid and late 1970s for the Main Branch at stations 306, 109, and 115, and the Spillway at station 201.

In 1980, fecal coliform concentrations exceeded the 200 counts per 100 ml at station 518 downstream of Pontiac, station 310 in Mt. Clemens, station 201 in the spillway and station 109 at I-94 downstream of the Mt. Clemens WWTP. Elevated fecal coliform bacteria in the spillway resulted in Water Quality Standards exceedences in Lake St. Clair for approximately 3000 feet from the shoreline (Horvath 1981).

Metropolitan Beach is located along the southern edge of the Clinton River delta, north and east of the outfall from the Clinton River spillway. Since the 1960s, the majority of Clinton River flow has exited through the spillway. In the 1970s and 1980s, Metropolitan Beach was closed periodically due to the presence of elevated levels of fecal

coliform bacteria in the water (Table 4.1). The 1983 closing was caused by the failure of a 3.3 m interceptor sewer. In addition, water in the natural channel to which the Mt. Clemens WWTP discharges and to which there were combined sewer overflows reverses and flows down the spillway as well. The Clinton Township WWTP #1 outfall was located at the entrance to the spillway in 1980.

The Clinton River upstream of the spillway receives effluent from six other continuously discharging WWTPs and a very large CSO at Red Run. Recent sampling at Metropolitan Beach (Figure 4.3) indicates no exceedences of the fecal coliform bacteria standard.

4.3.2 Metals in Clinton River Water

Metals in water have been analyzed at least once since 1970 at sixty of the nearly 200 water sampling stations in the Clinton River basin, and seven stations in nearshore Lake St. Clair. Most stations were sampled during the mid 1970s. Twelve stations were sampled in 1980, and three stations were sampled in 1981, 1982, 1984, 1985 and 1986. Water was sampled at fifteen stations for metal analysis in 1983 and eight stations were sampled in 1987 (Table 4.4) (see Map 6.1).

Concentrations of metals in water at headwater stations (upstream of Pontiac) are generally low and have changed little over the years. Metals concentrations in water at each station have generally decreased over the years where point source discharges have been and continue to be present. Metal concentrations in water were variable during the midseventies, with the highest concentrations of copper, chromium, lead, iron, nickel, and zinc reported in Red Run. High heavy metals concentrations from Red Run contributed to elevated metal concentrations in Sections 3 and 2. Elevated metals were only infrequently reported in Section 1 suggesting that most of the flow from Section 3, and the main branch of the Clinton River, passes through the spillway (Section 2) not the natural channel (Section 1). Low concentrations may also be due to dilution by Lake St. Clair water or from settling out of fine particulate matter to which metals are often adsorbed.

When data across all years were reviewed, chromium, copper, iron, nickel, lead and zinc followed similar patterns. The lowest concentrations were found upstream of Pontiac at station 502 or at station 115, 3.5 miles upstream from the mouth in the natural channel. Concentrations at station 115 reflect the influence of Lake St. Clair water.

These same metals increased 115% to 360% downstream of Pontiac at station 518 over levels at station 502. Concentrations of these metals in Red Run ranged from 130% to 1,434% greater than those at station 518 in the Main Branch of the Clinton River resulting in increases of 125% to 250% at station 302 downstream of Red Run after mixing. This was most pronounced for nickel and zinc, especially during 1978. Concentrations of these metals in the North Branch at stations 632 and 640 were generally greater than those at station 502, but always less than those at station 302.

THILE 4.4. SELECTED METAL CONSTITUTEDAYS IN CLINTON REVER MATER, 1973-1907. RESULTS ONE VENILY OVERNOES IN MAL.

| MAP 10 MADER-YEAR | STATION COST | Total Capper | Total Chrondun | Total How-Cr | | | | Codelun | Total Musima | Heroury | | Broomle | Fotal Solonium | | Zin |
|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|-----------------|----------|--------------|------------|----------|-----------------|----------|--------|---------|-------------------|----------|------|
| | | 5 E C T | 1 0 8 1. | ne w | ili ma | ICIN OF TI | E CLIM | M RIVER | OCHESTREA | M OF THE | SPILLM | w. | | | |
| 109 - 74 | CRHC-B | 10.0 k | 10.0 k | | | 630.0 | 10.0 | | | | | | | | 40.6 |
| 109 - 75 | CRIC-D | 0.0 | 1.0 | | | 1127.0 | | Ť | | | | | | | 37. |
| 109 - 76 | CRHC-0 | 8.0 | 10.0 k | | | 100.0 | 19.0 | ě | | | | | | | 32. |
| 109 - 79 | CRISC-0 | 17.0 | 21.0 | | | 675.0 | 61.0 | • | | | | | | | 92. |
| 109 - 79 | CRIC-9 | 0.5 | 19.0 | | | 1615.0 | 30.6 | • | | | | | | | 91. |
| 115 - 71 | \$10-500000 | | | ●.● | | | | | | •.• | | | | 0.0 | |
| 115 - 72 | \$10-50000 0 | | | 10.0 k | | | | | | 0.2 k | | • | | \$00.0 k | |
| 115 - 73 | \$10-500000 | | | 10.0 k | | | | | | 0.2 k | | | | 100.0 k | |
| 115 - 76 | COECOF-SOCOCO | 1.3 | | 6.6 | 2.3 | 627.7 | 3.3 | | | . 0.1 | | | | | 7. |
| 115 - 00 | \$10-500000 | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1.0 k | |
| 116 - 75 | C0E-4 | 19.0 | | 10.0 k | | 10.0 | 10.0 | k 5.0 k | | | | 10.d k | | 25.0 k | 7. |
| 121 - 73 | \$10-50021\$ | 10.0 | | 10.0 k | | 220.0 | | | | | | | | 100.0 L | 70. |
| | | | • | | | _, | inten tit | | | • | | • | | | |
| 201 - 73 | STO-500100 | | | 10.0 k | | | | | | 0.2 k | | | | 10.0 k | |
| 201 - 74 | CRMC-A | 10.0 k | | | | 620.8 | | 2.0 k | | | | | 3.1 | | 60. |
| 201 - 73 | | 6.7 | | | | 1677.0 | 19.7 | • | | | | | | | 52 |
| 201 - 76 | | | 10.0 k | | | 520.0 | 10.0 | | | | | | | | 47. |
| 201 - 70 | | | 23.0 | | | | 200.0 | | | | | . • | | | 256 |
| 101 - 79 | CHHC-N | 10.5 | 10.0 | | | 1670.0 | 10.0 | • | | | | | | | 79 |
| 103 - 00 | ST8-500229 | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0,0 k | |
| | | 5 E C T | 108 3. | 107611 6 | MINICH O | r ne a | .200°CO RI | IVER BET | HEEN NED R | MI MM 11 | € SP1U | MW. | | | |
| 102 - 73 | 510-200200-73 | 50.0 H | | 10.0 k | | 770.0 | | | | No Bota | | | | 10.0 k | 66 |
| 302 - 74 | CRNC-E | 20.0 | 20.0 | | | | 30.0 | | | | - | | * * | | €0. |
| 002 - 75 | | 15.0 | 7.7 | | | | 20.7 | ě | | | | | | | 18 |
| 002 - 76 | SEHCOG-10 | | | | 34.0 0 | 1241.0 | | - | | | * | | | | |
| 02 - 76 | CRIAC-E | 5.0 | 10.0 k | | | 730.0 | 11.0 | | | | | | | | 36 |
| 102 - 70 | CRINC-E | 14.5 | 17.0 | | | 875.8 | 240.0 | | | | | | | | 120 |
| 102 - 79 | CRIC-E | 15.5 | 17.5 | | | 1530.0 | 41.8 | ¥ | | | | | | | 114 |
| 102 - 00 | \$10-500200 | | | | | | | | | | | | | 5.0 k | |
| 02 - 67 | CTYMAR-OARFIELD | 12.5 | 10.0 k | | 10.0 k | • | LO.0 L | . 0.1 | | 0.2 k | 0.1 k | | | 10.0 k | 42 |

Table 4.4. continued.

| HIP ID | STRFIGN CODE | Total Capper | Total Chronium | Fotal Hou-Cr | Total Lood | Total Iren | Total Mickel | Total Cadelus | Total Mudnus | Total Hercury | | Total Arsonic | Total Solonium | Total Cyanido | Tatal Zinc |
|------------|------------------|-----------------|-------------------|-----------------|---------------|---------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|------------------|-------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|---------------|
| | | | | | | | | ** | | | | | | | |
| 306 - 74 | 112180-01165500 | 13.0 | 20.0 k | | 14.0 = | | • | | - | U | Ü | 3.0 | 1.0 k | - | 40.0 |
| 306 - 75 | 1121409-04165500 | 11.0 | 20.0 k | | 13.3 = | | - | | | | | 2.0 | 1.0 k | - | 55.0 |
| 306 - 76 | 112480-04165500 | 20.0 k | 20.0 k | | | 1527.5 | • | | - | u u | | 1.7 | 1.0 k | • | 53.3 |
| 306 - 77 | 1121/0-04165500 | 20.0 k | . 20.0 k | | 29.0 H | | • | | • | | U | 1.7 | 1.0 k | - | 50.0 |
| 306 - 70 | 112489-04165500 | 0.3 | 20.0 k | | 10.5 A | | • | | - | U | | 2.0 | 1.0 k | • | 52.5 |
| 306 - 79 | 112HRD-04165500 | 7.7 | 20.0 k | | 9.3 | %5.0 | | | - | v | U | 2.5 | 1.0 k | - | 43.3 |
| 306 - 00 | 112140-04165500 | 7.7 | 16.7 | | 7.5 | 1050.6 | 7.7 | V | - | u | u | 3.5 | 0.0 | - | 30.0 |
| - 306 - 01 | 112HRD-04165500 | 9.0 | 13.3 | | 11.0 | 536.7 | 10.7 | v | • | U | • • | 2.0 | 0.0 | - | 50.0 |
| 206 - 05 | 112HR0-04165500 | 22.7 | 10.0 | | 6.3 | 1747.5 | 15.7 | v | - | u | u | 2.0 | 1.0 k | - | 70.0 |
| 309 - 74 | CRIIC-B | 10.0 | 20.0 | | | 640.0 | 40.0 | u | | | | | | | 40.0 |
| 309 - 75 | CRHC-B | 9.0 | 5.3 | | | 143.0 | 21.7 | v | | | | | | | 51.7 |
| 309 - 76 | CRUC-D | 5.0 | 10.0 k | * | | 670.0 | 30.0 | Ð | | | | | | | 49.0 |
| 309 - 70 | CRHC-D | 22.0 | 19.0 | | | 635.0 | 212.0 | | | | • | | | | \$17.0 |
| 309 - 79 | CRNC-B | 13.5 | 10.5 | | | 1290.0 | 42.5 | v | | | | | | | 111.5 |
| 310 - 74 | \$10-500233 | | | 10.0 k | | | | | | NC | | | | 10.0 L | |
| 310 - 75 | \$10-500233 | | | | | | | | | HC | | | | 10.0 t | |
| 310 - 76 | 510-500233 | 7.0 | 36.0 | | 2.0 | 1200.0 | 49.0 | MC | | MC | MC | 2.0 | 2.0 | 10.0 t | 27.0 |
| 310 - 76 | SEHCO6-22 | | | | 31.0 × | 1113.0 | | | | | | | | | |
| 310 - 76 | COECDF-500233 | 7.0 | | 10.0 | 5.0 | 10.0 | 39.0 | | | 0.2 | | | | | 16.0 |
| 310 - 77 | \$10-500233 | 9.0 | 9.0 | | 32.0 M | 1700.0 | 61.0 | MC | | HC | HC | 3.0 | 1.0 k | 4.0 k | 39.0 |
| 310 - 70 | STO-500233 | 0.0 | 12.0 | | 11.0 | 660.0 | 98.0 | NC | | NC | MC | 2.0 | 1.0 k | 3.0 4 | 29.0 |
| 310 - 29 | \$10-500233 | 1.0 | - | | 19.0 0 | 690.0 | 50.0 | HC | * 1 | MC | MC | 2.0 | 0.4 k | 3.0 k | 40.0 |
| 310 - 00 | 510-500233 | 11.5 | 5.5 k | | 25.0 # | 705.0 | 27.5 | MC | | MC | MC | 1.0 | k 1.0 k | 6.0 L | |
| 310 - 03 | 510-500233 | 17.7 | 6.7 | | 6.7 | 1255.7 | 23.7 | 0.3 | | 0.5 k | MC | 1.7 | 0.6 k | 14.0 k | |
| 310 - 04 | 510-500233 | 0.7 | 4.4 k | | 7.2 | 990.0 | 23.7 | 0.4 | 100.0 k | 0.5 k | 0.2 k | 2.0 | 0.5 k | | 35.5 |
| 310 - 05 | \$10-500233 | 0.1 | 4.6 | | 0.0 | 1073.0 | 10.3 (| | 1100.6 | 0.5 k | 0.5 k | 3.0 (| | | 40.6 |
| 310 - 66 | \$10-500233 | 7.6 | 4.0 k | | 10.3 | 2130.1 | 8.9 | 0.6 | 5740.0 | 0.5 k | 0.5 k | 3.0 | k 3.0 k | | 40.9 |
| 310 - 07 | 510-500233 | 4.2 | 3.0 k | | 3.2 | 450.0 | 14.4 | 9.2 | | | | | 1.0 k | | 31.0 |

[&]quot; Volve exceeds long term safe criteria.

MC=Nothed changed, data is wereliable.

L=Actual concentration is less than the value shown.

U=Mroliable data.

Table 4.4 continued.

| HIP 10 HARRER-VERR | STATION COOL | Total Copper | Total Chresten | Total Non-Cr | | Fetal Eren | Total Metol | Fotal Codelum | Total Mundaus | Total Horcary | | Fotal Arsonic | Fotal Solonium | Total Cyanido | Total Ziec |
|-----------------------|--------------------|-----------------|-------------------|-----------------|--------|---------------|----------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|-------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|---------------|
| | | | | | 108 | 4. 100 | | o ITS MI | outantes. | | | | | | |
| 403 - 74 | CRHC-P | 10.0 | 10.0 | | | 1490.0 | 160.0 | W | | | | | | | 160.0 |
| 403 - 75 | CRUC-P | 25.7 | 10.0 | | | 4317.0 | 19.7 | ŭ | | | | | | | 301.7 # |
| 493 - 76 | CRHC-P | 0.0 | 10.0 | | | 1200.0 | 15.6 | Ü | | | | | | | 263.0 # |
| 403 - 76 | CRHC-P | 10.5 | 29.0 | | | 1265.0 | 34.0 | v | | | | | | | 222.0 |
| 403 - 79 | CRUC-P | 9.0 | 21.5 | | | 1360.0 | 25.0 | Ū | | | | | | | 65.6 |
| 403 - 67 | CTYMER-VINDIVICE | 11.9 | 10.0 k | | 10.0 k | - | 10.0 | k 0.2 | | 0.2 k | 0.1 k | | | 10.0 k | 50.3 |
| 406 - 71 | 519-600011 | 25.0 | | | 10.0 | 130.0 | | | | 0.0 | 8.0 | | | 0.0 | 50.0 |
| 406 - 72 | ST0-900011 | | | 10.0 k | | • | | | | 0.2 k | | | | 20.0 | |
| 406 - 73 | ST0-800011 | | | 30.0 | | | | | | 0.2 k | | | | 30.0 | |
| 406 - 71 | STO-500011 | | | 40.0 | | | | | | 0.2 k | | | | 10.0 k | |
| 406 - 74 | CRNC-0 | 40.0 | 60.0 | | | 200.0 | 200.0 | | | | | | | | 200.0 H |
| 406 - 75 | CRUC-0 | 20.3 | 37.7 | | | 1963.0 | 90.3 | Ü | | | | | | | 196.8 |
| 406 - 76 | CRNC-0 | 22.0 | 13.0 | | | 470.0 | 466.0 | ı Ü | | | | | | | 304.8 H |
| 406 - 78 | CRHC-0 | 13.6 | 24.0 | | | 435.0 | 1330.0 | | | | | | | | 636.5 M |
| 406 - 79 | CRIFC-0 | 11.5 | 16.5 | | | 040.0 | 131.5 | • | | | | | - | | 107.0 |
| 407 - 67 | CTYMER-15 MILE | 13.1 | 10.0 k | | 10.0 k | - | 19.0 | 0.4 | | 0.2 k | 0.1 k | | | 10.0 k | 50.3 |
| 400 - 73 | ST0-900207 | 40.0 | | 10.0 k | | 700.0 | | | | | | | | 16.0 k | 110.0 |
| 400 - 74 | CRHC-M | 30.0 | 40.0 | | | 700.6 | 160.0 | v | | | | | | | 160.0 |
| 400 - 75 | CRHC-M | 17.7 | 50.7 | | | 2503.0 | ee.3 | | | | | | | | 173.0 |
| 400 76 | CRNC-N | 21.0 | 30.0 | | | 400.0 | 117.0 | • | | | | | | | 210.0 E |
| 408 - 78 | CRIIC-N | 15.5 | 10.0 | | | 1445.0 | 1260.0 | | | | | | | | 295.5 H |
| 400 - 79 | CRMC-M | 10.5 | 22.8 | | | 1210.0 | 146.0 | • | | | | | , | | 150.0 |
| 400 - 00 | STO-900207 | | | | | | | | | | | | | 16.0 | |
| . 410 - 71 | ST 0-500016 | | | | | 540.0 | | 2.0 # | | HC | • | | | 0.0 | |
| 410 - 72 | STO-900046 | | | 10.0 k | | | | | | 0.2 k | | | | 20.0 k | |
| 410 - 73 | STO-500046 | | | 10.0 k | * . | | | | | 0.2 k | * | | • | 10.0 k | |
| 410 - 74 | ST9-500046 | | | 90.0 | | | | | | 0.2 k | | | • | 10.0 k | |
| 411 - 07 | CTYMAR-UTICA RD. | 14.4 | 10.0 k | | 10.0 L | - | 24.5 | 0.6 | | 0.2 k | 0.1 k | | • | 10.0 k | 62.0 |

N Value encode Long Term Safe Criteria. NC=Nethod changed, data is wrotishte. k=Netual concentration is less than the value shown.

Table 4.4. continued.

| 70 TO | | | Sayar Geosisi | 10.01 | 9.0. | 9.0 | <u> </u> | | 9.0 | | 07.05.0 07.05.0 |
|--|-------------|--|-----------------------|------------|--------------------------|------------|-------------------------|---|------------|------------|--|
| To the state of th | | 68684 | | | | 5.0 7 | | 60000-menu.n. 60000-menu.n. 600000-menu.n. 1111 | | 9 6 | ; |
| Solvelle | | 00 1 0 0000 33 7 7 3777 | | | | | | 00 L 0 4000 AR A A ARAR | | | |
| Marcary Silver Manue | | 00000000000000000000000000000000000000 | | * | - | | • | 2 2 20000000000000000000000000000000000 | | | |
| 캻 | E | vv-vv0000 0000000vvvv 77 777777 | | | | | | ecseccentum acseccentum aa aaaaaaa | | | |
| Tetal Bross | GATZ-N | ***** ******************************** | | | | | | | | 0.2 k | • |
| 31 | TREPUTATION | 57.5 ••• | | | | | | * 2.00 | | | |
| 33 | 1 | | 2222 | | | | 33333 | openio-inino numberessa numberess | | | 2222 |
| 100 | | ###################################### | 2~2~2 00000 3 3 | 8.0 | 2 S | 30.0 k | 8.363 ovees | Vitaring and a second and a second and a second | 27.0 | 8.0 E | 01-000 REEX |
| 35 | | 90000000000000000000000000000000000000 | SEE SE | 9 | 8 8 | 6.00 | Second Second | Seccession of the second of th | 230. | 525.e | 500000 500000 |
| 33 | | | | | | | ٠. | <u> </u> | | | |
| 31 | Ī | ** | | | | 5.0 7.0 | | 565 666 777 | | 2 9.9 | |
| 20 mg | | KKKKKKKK COCCCCCC AAA-AAYAAAY | 5-5-2 6-660 7 7 | | | | 2~2 <u>~2</u> | gint naideichnan | | | 50000 20000 |
| 3 | 8 E C T | tutunëtunutu eddodeeedei | | 9 9 | 2 9 | 10.0 | | ā∏ ≡āgāānu āu edaddendadee | 5.0 | | <u> </u> |
| | | . , | | | | | | | | | |
| STATION CORE | | | ***** | ST8-630608 | STO-630599 STO-630590 | ST0-63059F | +++++ 22222 55555 | RESERVE SERVE SERV | 518-630067 | STB-630594 | # ##### # ##### # #################### |
| 24 | | CIERCESSUSIUS | | 2 : | 5 5 | 2 | | reireres susies. | = | 2 | e iree |
| | | 00000000000000000000000000000000000000 | | 1 | , , | • | | | • | | |
| -1 | | <u> </u> | ******* | * 1 | g 2 | \$12 | ***** | | 813 | 25 | |

Table 4.4. continued.

| HATER-YEAR | STAFFAM CORE | Total Capper | Total Corandon | Total Bou-tr | [:12 | [otal from | folal Mickel | ciddle. | Alexanor | Total Horowy | Silve Silve | Total Promic | Solonius (| Total yeards | Total Zinc |
|--|---|------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|-------------|-------------------------|------------------------------|---------|----------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|------------|-----------------|---|
| 520 - 73 | STO-630619 | 20.0 | | | 50.0 k | 300.0 | | | | , | | | | | 300.0 H |
| | | | | 10.0 k | | | | | | | | | | 18:8 k | |
| 器: 肾 | \$10-630004 \$10-630004 | | | 10:4 K | | | | | | 4.2 K | | | | | 95.0 |
| 535 - 73 | \$10-630622 | 30.0 | | 10.0 k | 50.0 | \$30.0 | | | | | | | | 19. 0 k | |
| 537 - 73 | STB-630604 | | | | | 200.0 | | | | | | | | | |
| 539 - 70 | \$10-500012 | | | | | | 10.0 | | | | | | | | 20.0 |
| 511 - 7 1 | CRHC-1 | 19.7 | | | | 773.8 750.8 930.9 | 10.0 4.0 10.0 k | | | | | | | | 20.0 53.0 22.0 47.0 56.5 |
| 511 - 12 511 - 12 511 - 12 | CRHC-1 CRHC-1 CRHC-1 CRHC-3 | 1.1 | | | | \$30.8 \$10.8 | 10.0 k | ü | | | | | | | 56.5 |
| \$41 - 79 842 - 83 | LRI-1 | 29.0 1 | : 50.0 k | | 5.0 (| \$45.0 | 50.0 k | 1.0 k | 236.0 | 8.2 k | 10.0 k | 10.0 k | 2.0 k | 10.0 k | 19.0 |
| 513 - 03 | 191-2 | 20.0 1 | 59.0 k | | 5.0 1 | 625.0 | 50.0 k | 1.0 k | 200.0 k | 0.2 k | 10.6 k | 10.0 k | 2.0 k | 10.0 k | 20.0 |
| 544 - 03 | LDI -3 | 20.0 1 | 50.0 k | | 6.0 1 | 635.0 | 50.0 k | 1.0 k | 396.5 | 0.2 k | 10.6 k | 19.0 k | 2.0 k | 10.0 k | 22.5 |
| 545 - 83 | LDI-4 | 20.0 | 10.0 k | | 0.2 | 500.0 | 90. 0 k | 1.0 k | 220.0 | 0.2 k | 10.0 k | 10.0 k | . 2.0 k | 10.0 k | 15.0 |
| 546 - 03 | LDI-S | 20.0 1 | 10.0 k | | 5,0 1 | t 505.0 | 50.6 k | 1.0 k | 370.0 | 0.2 k | 10.0 k | 10.0 k | | 10,0 k | 23.0 |
| 517 - 60 517 - 63 | 519-900301 | 20.0 | 5.0 k | | 13:1 | \$:8:8 | ₩. | 1:8 k | 292.0 | 1:3 E | 13:8 k | , ,1:2 t | 1:8 k | 3:8 k | 23.0 15.0 |
| | | 20.0 1 | | | 5.0 | 470.0 | 50.0 k | 1.0 k | 205.0 | 0.2 k | 10.0 k | 10.0 k | 3.0 | 10.0 k | 17.0 |
| 540 - 03 | L91-7 | 20.0 (| | | 5.0 | k 865.0 | 50.0 k | 1.0 k | 201.0 | 0.2 k | 10.0 k | 10.0 k | . 0.2 | 10.0 k | 13.0 |
| 549 - 63 550 - 63 | LDI-9 | 20.0 | | | 5.0 | k 530.0 | 90.0 k | 1.0 k | 331.0 | 0.2 k | 10.0 t | 10.0 t | 2.0 k | 19.0 k | 15.0 |
| 550 - 43 | 510-500202 | | | | | | | | | | | | | 3.0 | |
| | | 10.9 | 19.9 k | | | 130.0 | 19:2 | | | | | | | | 20.0 30.3 25.0 69.5 02.5 |
| 552 - 79 552 - 75 552 - 76 552 - 76 | CRISC - S CRISC - S CRISC - S CRISC - S CRISC - S | 10.0 13.0 13.0 12.0 | 19.0 | : | | 770 8 777 8 130 8 | 10.0 10.0 20.0 20.0 | . į | | | • | | | | 63.5 |
| \$52 - 74 \$52 - 75 \$52 - 76 \$52 - 76 \$52 - 79 | CRRC-8 | 12:8 | 27:3 | | | | 26.0 | Ü | | | | | | 3.6 | 42.3 |
| 553 - 60 | ST8-500204 | | | | | \$10.6 | | | | | | | | 3.0 | 10.0 |
| 936 - II | \$10-500017 | 10.0 | | 10.0 k | 10.0 | •60. | , | | | H | • | | | 12:2 | k 50.0 |
| \$5\$ - IS | \$10-\$000-17 | 30.0 | | 18:8 1 | | 600.6 | 1 | | | 8:3 E | | | | 10.0 | k. |
| 33 - 93 | \$10-5000-17 CBUC-F | 10.0 | k 19.9 t | | | 120. 9 | 19.9 | k # | | | | | | •••. | 110.0 32.0 |
| 556 - 17 556 - 18 556 - 19 556 - 19 556 - 19 | CRUC-F | 13 | 15:8 | | | . 30: | 13:6 | Ĭ | | | | | | | 110.0 32.0 26.0 55.0 76.0 k 33.3 |
| 536 - 71 536 - 75 536 - 75 536 - 74 536 - 75 536 - 75 536 - 70 536 - 70 | \$10-3000-17 0-3000-17 0-30 | 13:1 | . []. | | 10.0 | 1399:1 | 11:3 | k 0.1 | | 0.2 k | 0.1 | k | | 10.0 | k 55.3 |
| 556 - 67 | CEVIAN-HAVES NO | | × 30.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | |

H Value exceeds Long form Safe Criteria.

Sibroliable sole.

Table 4. 4. continued.

| HAP TO HAMBER-YEAR | STATION COSE | Total Capper | Total Chronius | Total Non-Cr | Total Load | Total Iron | Fotal Mickel | Total Cadelun | Total Aluminum | Fotal Hercury | | Fotal Arsonic | Fotol Folonium | Fotal Cyoni do | fetal Zinc |
|-----------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|----------------|---|-----------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|---------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|---------------|
| | | SECT | 1 0 H 6. | MIREN | me Me | OLE BRAD | ICH OF T | HE CLIMFO | M RIVER AM | D DEIG I | TR10WFA | RIES. | | | |
| 610 - 75 | \$10-500230 | 460.0 H | | 10.0 k | 5.0 k | 550.0 | 5.8 k | 2.0 k | | | | | | | 5.0 k |
| 619 - 75 | \$10-500291 | 0.55 | | 10.0 k | 5.0 k | 700.0 | 5.0 k | 2.0 k | | | | | | • | 6.0 |
| . 621 - 72 | 570-500110 | | | 10.0 k | | | | | | 0.2 k | | | • | 20.0 k | |
| 621 - 73 621 - 74 | SI 9-500 1 10 STO-500 1 10 | | | 10.0 k 10.0 k | | | | | | 0.2 k 0.2 k | | | | 20.0 k | |
| 622 - 74 | CRUC-H | 10.0 k | 10.0 k | | | 260.0 | 10.0 | | | | | • | | | 10.0 |
| 622 - 75 | CRMC-N | 6.7 | 4.7 | | | 633.0 | 6.0 | ŭ | | | | | | | 29.0 |
| 622 - 76 | CRHC-H | 5.0 k | 10.6 k | 4 | | 400.0 | 11.0 | ŭ | | | | • | 1.5 | | 26.0 |
| 622 - 70 | CRMC-M | 7.0 | 12.0 | | | 120.0 | 26.0 | ŭ | | | | | | | 59.0 |
| 6.22 - 79 | CRNC-N | 3.0 | 17.0 | | | 425.0 | 22.0 | Ŭ | | | | | | | 30.5 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | • | |
| 627 - 74 | CRMC-L | 10.0 k | 10.0 k | | | 320.0 | 10.6 | U | | | | | | | 120.0 |
| 627 - 75 | CRMC-1 | 15.0 | 4.7 | | | 633.0 | 11.7 | ₩ ' | | | | | | | 29.7 |
| 627 - 76 | CRHC-1 | 5.0 | 10.6 k | | 1 | 300.0 | 10.0 k | • | | | | | | | 49.0 |
| 627 - 70 | CRMC-I | ●.0 | 14.0 | | | 105.0 | 23.0 | u | | | | | | | 7H. B |
| 655 - 58 | CRMC-1 | 5.5 | 15.5 | | | 275.0 | 19.0 | u | | • | | | | | 12.5 |
| 629 - 03 | MANUR-1 | 30.0 | 50.0 k | | 5 0.0 k | 9250.0 | 50.0 k | 20.0 k | | | | | | | 55.0 |
| 630 - 63 | DHRHDR-2 | 20.0 k | 50.0 k | | 50.8 k | 3100.0 | 50.0 k | 20.0 k | | | | | | | 230.0 x |
| 631 - 83 | OHIOMOR-3 | 20 .8 k | 50.0 k | | 50.0 k | 1710.0 | 50,0 k | 20.0 k | | | | | | | 50.0 k |
| 632 - 71 | \$10-500045 | 5.0 | | | 10.0 | 640.0 | | 2.0 | | | | | • | | 60.0 |
| 632 - 72 | STQ-500045 | | | 10.6 k | | • | | | | 0.2 k | | | | 20.6 k | • |
| 632 - 73 | \$10-500045 | | | 10.0 k | | | | | | 0.2 k | | | | 20.0 k | |
| 632 - 74 | ST0-5000-15 | | | 10.0 k | | | | | | 0.2 k | | | • | 20.0 k | |
| 6% - 75 | 9HRT0R-500286 | 19.0 | | 10.0 k | 36.0 E | 500.0 | 5.0 k | 2.0 k | | | | | • : | | mu.o |
| 637 - 75 | MRTOR-500205 | 15.0 | | 10.0 k | 5.0 k | 550.0 | 5.0 k | 2.0 k | | | | | | | 21.0 |
| 630 - 75 | SHRTER-500201 | 10.0 | | 10.0 k | 5.0 k | 3900.0 | 10.0 | 2.0 k | | | ٠. | | | • | 110.0 |
| 6-10 - 74 | CRMC-C | 10.0 k | 10.0 k | | | 660.8 | 10.8 k | u | | | | | • | | 40.0 |
| 6-10 - 75 | CRMC-C | 6.7 | 7.0 | | | 1450.0 | 7.0 | ũ | | | | | | | 7.78 |
| 6-81 - 76 | CRNC-C | U | Ü | | | Ų | U | U | | | | | | | U |
| 6-10 - 70 | CRIAC-C | 12.5 | 24.0 | | | 700.0 | 31.0 | v | | | | | | | 202.5 |
| 1.40 - 79 | CRMC-C | 7.5 | 15.0 | | | | 21.5 | Ü | | | | | | | 111.5 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

H Value exceeds Long Term Safe (LTS) criteria. HH Value exceeds Rcute Tends Criteria (96 Neur LC 50). U=threliable data. k=Rctual concentration is less than the value shown.

Table 4.4. continued.

| IMP 10 MANGER-VEAR | STAFTION CODE | Total Capper | Total Chronium | Total Hou-Cr | Iotal Load | Total Iron | fotal Mickel | Total Cadnium | Fotol Alumimos | Fotol Horcury | • | Total Resonic | Fotol Solonium | Total Cyanido | Total Zinc |
|-----------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------|-----------------|---------------|---------------|-----------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|---|------------------|-------------------|------------------|---------------|
| ********* | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | SECI | 10 8 7. | LAKE S | IT. CLIN | R IN THE | E AECEME! | IY OF THE | Crimien I | HVER HOU | | SPILLIMW | • | | |
| 744 - 71 | 423230000251000 | | | | | 360.0 | | | | | | | | | |
| 741 - 72 | 123230000251000 | | | | | 600.0 | | | | | | | | | |
| 744 - 73 | 123230000251000 | 20.8 h | 20.0 k | | 50.0 L | 750.0 | 30.01 | k 10.0 t | | 1.6 | | | | | 55.0 |
| 744 - 74 | 423230006251000 | 5.0 h | | | | 100.0 | 15.0 1 | | | | | | 5.0 k | 5.0 4: | 111.0 |
| ••• | | • | | | •••• | | | | | | | | | 4 • | VV-2 |
| 745 - 71 | 423400000249020 | | | | | 190.0 | | | | | | | | | |
| 745 - 72 | 423400000243020 | | | | | 370.0 | | | | | | | | | |
| 745 - 73 | 423496000249020 | 20.0 k | 20.0 k | | 50.6 k | 450.0 | 30.6 (| k 10.0 k | | 2.2 | | | | | 50.0 k |
| 745 - 74 | 423400000249020 | 5.0 k | 5.0 k | | 5.0 k | 260.0 | 15.0 (| k 1.0 k | | | | | 5.0 k | 5.8 I: | 5.0 |
| | | | | | | | | | • | | | | | F | |
| 746 - 72 | 423500000245000 | | | | • | 185.0 | | | | | | | | | |
| 746 - 73 | 423500000245600 | 20.0 k | 20.0 k | | 50.0 k | 120.0 | 30.0 1 | k 10.0 k | | 1.5 | | | | | 85.0 |
| 746 - 74 | 423500000245000 | 5.0 k | 5.0 k | | 5.0 k | 70.0 | 15.0 | k 1.0 k | | | | | 5.0 k | 5.0 k | 5.0 k |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 747 - 72 | 423730008245008 | | | | | 230.0 | | | | | | | | | |
| 747 - 73 | 423730000245000 | 20.0 t | 20.0 k | | 50.0 k | 110.0 | 30.0 (| k 10.0 k | | 1.6 | | | | | 50.0 k |
| 747 - 74 | 423730000245000 | 5.8 k | 5.0 k | | 5.0 k | 00.0 | 15.0 1 | 1.0 k | | | | | 5.8 k | 5.0 k | 5.0 k |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 740 - 75 | 423730006247306 | 2.0 k | 2.0 k | | 4.0 k | 266.0 | | 0.2 k | 119.0 | | | | | | 21.0 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 743 - 75 | 423524000244320 | 2.0 k | 2.0 k | | 4.0 k | 161.0 | | 0.2 k | 75.0 | | | | | | 10.0 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 750 - 75 | COE-7 \$ | 0.0 | | 10.0 k | | 37.8 | 10.0 1 | 5.0 k | | | | 10.0 k | | 25.0 k | 6.0 |

S=This station is actually near the mouth of the Clinion River (Section 1), k=Return concentration is less than the value shown.

In the Main Branch, further downstream at station 310, metals decreased from 7 to 70% of what they were at station 302. Concentrations remained relatively similar or increased at station 201 during the 1970s. There was no clear pattern of increase (copper, zinc) or decrease (iron, nickel) at station 109, located in the natural channel east of the Mt. Clemens WWTP. This station may be either upstream or downstream of the WWTP effluent depending on the direction of flow as described in Chapter 3. Metals were generally an order of magnitude less at station 115 than at station 310.

Cyanide, selenium, arsenic, silver, mercury and aluminum did not follow these patterns. Mercury, silver and arsenic were barely detectable or undetectable over time throughout all river sections. Silver was detected once in 1979 at station 519 and once in 1980 at station 518 downstream of the Pontiac WWTP. Mercury was detected only once in 1978 at station 115. Selenium was reported three times in 1983 downstream of LDI at station 547, 548 and 549.

Arsenic was reported in the 1970s and early 1980s at stations 502, 519, 306 and 310. All values have been less than 3 ug/l except at station 519 in 1986 where arsenic was 5 ug/l. Aluminum has not been analyzed for in water over the years but was recently reported in elevated concentrations at stations 519 and 310, downstream of Pontiac and Red Run. Cyanide was detected near 3 to 5 ug/l in Sections 3 and 5. However, these concentrations are older data (1972-1980) and very near the detection level. All cyanide data reported in Table 4.4 are of questionable value.

Lead exceeded water quality criterion for aquatic life once in 1977 at station 502 but has been less than criterion since (Table 4.4, Appendix 4.6). There are no lead data between stations 502 and 518, but at station 518 the lead criterion was exceeded between 1977 and 1982 and also in 1986. There are six primarily automotive related industrial discharges and the Pontiac WWTP discharges to the Clinton River between these two stations. There are no lead data between station 519 and 306/310 during these years, but lead concentrations at stations 306/310 were greater than at station 519 and also exceeded Water Quality Criteria intermittently between 1974 and 1980. Red Run enters the Clinton River between stations 519 and 306. Unfortunately, there are no lead data for Red Run during these years, but it is likely that Red Run was a source of lead since it was a source of other metals.

The lead criterion was not exceeded in 1986 at any station throughout the basin except at station 518. All water samples collected in Section 4 (Red Run), which was a suspected metals source in earlier years contained less than 10 ug/l total lead during 1987 (City of Warren, 1987).

Zinc also exceeded the water quality criterion for aquatic life, primarily in Red Run at stations 403, 406 and 408, between 1974 and 1978 (Appendix 4.6). The impact on Section 3 zinc concentrations was not severe enough to exceed the zinc criterion there, but zinc concentrations did exceed this criterion in 1978 at station 201, further downstream in the spillway. Zinc did not exceed the criterion at any station after 1978.

Nickel was the only other metal to exceed the water quality criterion for aquatic life for more than one station during one year. During 1974, 1976 and 1978, the nickel criterion was exceeded in Red Run at station 406, and in 1978 this criterion was also exceeded at stations 408, 302, 309 and 201.

In 1978, Red Run contained 1,330 and 1,260 ug/1, total nickel at stations 406 and 408, respectively. These high nickel concentrations in water continued into Sections 3 and 2 where stations 302, 309, and 201 contained 240, 212, and 200 ug/1 total nickel, respectively. Compared to other years, Section 1 1978 nickel concentrations were only slightly higher (61 ug/1). Total nickel in Section 5 and 6 ranged from less than 20 to 50 ug/1 throughout the period. The nickel criterion has not been exceeded at any stations since 1978.

Samples from other stations exceeded water quality criteria for various metals, but only sporadically (Table 4.4, Appendix 4.6). Elevated lead levels in water in Taft Drain, station 636, are unexplained. Neither of the dischargers in the vicinity discharge near the sampling location. It may be that the drain was impacted by road runoff containing lead from leaded gasoline (MDNR 1976).

Elevated zinc in water at station 630 in McBride Drain suggests that some metals had leached from the SMDA Landfill 9 and 9A. The drain is frequently stagnant and was at the time of collection. Landfill leachate is being pumped from a leachate collection tank at this facility and transported to a local WWTP. However, there have been spills from SMDA 9 and 9A (Section 5.3.3).

Elevated copper concentrations at station 618 are also unexplained. Possibly, the Bruce Township WWTP was the source of this elevated copper, but copper was not noted further downstream. The Bruce Township WWTP was decommissioned in the late 1970s.

The elevated zinc at station 531 in Paint Creek during 1973 was the result of elevated discharges of zinc (up to 6,000 ug/1) from Avon Industries at Goodison. Avon Industries went out of business prior to 1975 (MDNR 1975).

Cadmium concentrations at station 518 are likely the result of one of the six industrial or the two municipal discharges to the Clinton River at Pontiac during 1977 and 1978. Results of later sampling indicated that cadmium was either less than detection (0.2 ug/l) or very low (0.5 ug/l) and did not exceed the Water Quality criterion for the protection of aquatic life at any station.

Metal concentrations in Lake St. Clair are sparse and rather old, but these data suggest that mercury was present in the lake water at concentrations of 1.5 to 2.2 ug/l in 1973, which may explain the mercury found at station 115. Copper, chromium, lead, nickel, cadmium, selenium and cyanide were all less than detection in nearshore Lake St. Clair between 1971 and 1975. Zinc concentrations ranged from 5 to 85 ug/l, likely depending on river flow and wind direction. Iron was also extremely variable, ranging from 33 to 750 ug/l. Highest iron concentrations were

found at station 744 in 1972 and 1973, followed by station 745 in the same years.

4.3.3 Organic Contaminants in Clinton River Water

Compared to conventional and metals data for water, organic data for the Clinton River are relatively sparse. Analyses have been completed for over 90 organic chemicals and pesticides in the Clinton River watershed between 1970 and 1986 as given in Table 4.5. This table also includes station locations which correspond to Map 6.1 and station codes for all water sampling stations. These analyses were completed at one or more of the 25 stations where organics were sampled. Most analyses revealed that organic constituents were less than the detection levels. Other organic chemical constituents were detected but they were found at concentrations less than the Rule 57(2) allowable levels. These criteria developed by the the MDNR using Rule 57(2) procedures for the Clinton River are shown in Table 4.6. Appendix 4.7 gives the references to the background documents which describe the conditions under which these criteria apply and the assumptions made in their development.

There were no organic analyses for Sections 2 or 4. There were no exceedences of any Rule 57(2) allowable levels in sections 6 and 7. PCB exceeded Rule 57(2) allowable levels at station 106 in 1971, 1972 and 1974, station 310 in 1976 and stations 509, 511 and 518 in 1980. More recent water samples collected in 1983 revealed no PCB above the detection level (0.05 ug/1 PCB). The manufacture and sale of PCB was banned in the early 1970s, but PCB is still in use in some products and is a particularly persistant environmental compound.

One analysis for 1,1,1-trichloroethane in 1980 at station 109 was above the 0.012 ug/1 Rule 57(2) allowable levels. The source of this is unknown, but it is located "downstream" of the Mt. Clemens WWTP outfall, which is the only point source discharge in Section 1.

The pesticide p,p' DDT in Section 1 exceeded the Rule 57(2) allowable level in 1974. Diazinon in Section 3 in 1982 and dieldrin in Section 5 in 1983 both exceeded the Rule 57(2) allowable level. More recent work in 1982 at station 306 revealed that DDT was less than the detection level (0.01 ug/1), but no resampling for diazinon has occurred. This reduction in p,p' DDT concentrations in water may be due to the ban of DDT in the early 1970s. Dieldrin was reported as less than detection at station 306 in 1982 and station 310 in 1976 and 1979.

4.4 HISTORICAL SUMMARY OF CHEMICAL ANALYSIS OF CLINTON RIVER SEDIMENTS

Clinton River sediment data has been assembled from 64 stations between 1970 and 1987 (Map 6.2). Data are arranged like the water data, ordered from upstream to downstream within each river section. Within each station, the data are ordered by year. Section 1 has the greatest amount of data because of the periodic recreational navigation channel dredging by the COE. Other data in the basin are sparse.

TABLE 4.5. SELECTED ORGANIC AND PESTICIDE CONSTITUIENTS IN CLINTON RIVER WATER. RESULTS ARE YEARLY AVERAGES IN UG/L.

| ******************* | ***** 9 | EC | TION | . 1 4≇ : | ******** | ***** | FVETTT### |
|--|---------|----------|-----------|---------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| | MAP ID | NUMBER : | 104 | 109 | 116 | 116 | 116 |
| CHEMICAL NAME | STATION | #-YEAR : | 500213-90 | 500214-30 | 500189-71 | 500189-72 | 500189-7 |
| ,1-Dichloroethane | | | 1.0 k | 1.0 k | | | |
| ,2-Dichloroethane | | | 1.0 k | 1.0 k | | | |
| ,1-Dichloroethylene | | | 1.0 k | 1.0 k | | 1.6 | |
| ,1,1-Trichlorgethane | | | 1.0 k | 3.0 | | | |
| ,1,2-Trichloroethane | | | 1.0 k | 1.0 k | | | |
| ,2-Trans-Dichloroethylene | | | 1.0 k | 1.0 k | | | |
| ,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | | | 1.0 k | 1.0 k | | | |
| ,3-Dichlaraprapene | | | 1.0 k | 1.0 | | | |
| richloroethylene | | | 1.0 k | 1.0 k | | | |
| etrachlorgethylene | 1.40 | | 4.0 | 2.0 | | | |
| thyl benz ene | | , . | 50.0 k | 50.0 k | | | A. L |
| enzene | | | 50.0 k | 50.0 k | | | |
| oluene | | | 50.0 k | 50.0 k | | | |
| arbon tetrachloride | | | 1.0 k | 1.0 k | | | |
| ethylene chloride | | | 1.0 k | 1.0 k | | | |
| inyl chloride | | | 1.0 k | 1.0 k | | | |
| hlorofore | | | 6.0 | 1.0 k | | | |
| niline | | + 1 | 100.0 k | 100.0 k | | | |
| tyrene | | | 50.0 k | 50.0 k | | | |
| - Tylene | 1.0 | | 50.0 k | 50.0 k | | | |
| -Xylene | | | 50.0 k | 50.0 k | | | |
| -Tylene | | | 50.0 k | 50.0 k | | | |
| ieldria | | | 2,,,, | | 0.002 | 0.001 | 0.003 |
| 0) | | | | | 0.009 | 0.006 | 0.005 |
| DE CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTO | | | | | 0.001 k | | 0.004 |
| P' DDT | | | | | 0.004 | 0.001 k | |
| P' DDT | 14.55 | 144 | | | 0.001 k | | |
| DT | | | | | 0.031 | 300.0 | |
| CB-1248 | | | | | ***** | ****** | 0.170 |
| CB-1254 | | | | | 0.218 | 0.320 | 77.67 |

k = Actual concentration is less than the value given.

Table 4.5. continued.

| | MAP ID | NUMBER | : 104 | 109 | 116 | 116 | 116 |
|-----------------------------|---------|--------|-------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------|
| CHEMICAL NAME | STATION | #-YEAR | : 500213-90 | 500214-80 | 500189-71 | 500189-72 | 500189-7 |
| ,1-Dichloroethane | | | 1.0 k | 1.0 k | | : | |
| .,2-Dichloroethane | | | 1.0 k | 1.0 k | | | |
| ,1-Dichloroethylene | | | 1.0 k | 1.0 k | | | |
| ,1,1-Trichloroethane | | | 1.0 k | 3.0 | | | |
| ,1,2-Trichloroethane | | | 1.0 k | 1.0 k | | | |
| ,2-Trans-Dichloroethylene | | | 1.0 k | 1.0 k | | | |
| 1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | | | 1.0 k | 1.0 k | | | |
| ,3-Dichlaropropene | | | 1.0 k | 1.0 | | | |
| richloroethylene | | | 1.0 k | 1.0 k | | | |
| etr ach loroethylene | * | | 4.0 | 2.0 | • | | |
| thylbenzene | | | 50.0 k | 50.0 k | | | |
| enzene | | | 50.0 k | 50.0 k | | | |
| oluene | | | 50.0 k | 50.0 k | | | |
| arbon tetrachloride | | | 1.0 k | 1.0 k | | | |
| ethylene chloride | | | 1.0 k | 1.0 k | 9 | | |
| inyl chlori de | | | 1.0 k | 1.0 k | | | |
| hlorofore | | | 6.0 | 1.0 k | | | |
| niline | | | 100.0 k | 100.0 k | | | |
| tyrene | | | 50.0 k | 50.0 k | | | |
| -Xylene | | | 50.0 k | 50.0 k | | | |
| -Xylene | | | 50.0 k | 50.0 k | | | |
| -Tylene | | | 50.0 k | 50.0 k | | | |
| ieldrin | | | | | 0.002 | 0.001 | 0.003 |
| DD . | | | | | 0.009 | 0.006 | 0.005 |
| DE . | *. | | | | 0.001 k | | 0.006 |
| P' DDT | | | | | 0.004 | 0.001 k | |
| P' DOT | | | | | 0.001 k | | |
| DT | | | | | 0.031 | 900.0 | |
| CB-1248 | | | | | | | 0.170 |
| CB-1254 | | | | | 0.218 | 0.320 | ***** |

k = Actual concentration is less than the value given.

Table 4.5. continued.

| | | ŧ | ŧ | 2 | | | | |
|--|------------------|----------------|-------------|---------------------------------|---------------------|-------------|-----------------------|-----------------|
| CHEMICAL MINE | Station 6-Year . | 10-51-00209114 | 94165508-82 | | 508233-77 500233-79 | Sec233-73 | \$00233-00 | BAKER-500233-05 |
| .) McMoreprese | | | | 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | | | 5.0 100.0 k | |
| | | | | | | | S | |
| - Kal ome | | | | | | | 2 9 9 9 1 | |
| - Year ease | | | | | | | 3 | |
| - de la | | 3 | 0.0 | | | | | |
| ield in | | 3 1 | | 9 .05 k | | 9.0 20.0 | | |
| individual and a second a second and a second a second and a second a second and a second and a second and a | | • 5 | | 9.05 k | 3 | | 0.02 k | |
| rotechior esoules | | . 3 | | 0.02 k | ₩ 28. | | 8.62 k | |
| of transpired or | | > : | | | 8. 8 4 | | e. 2 | |
| of athles | | - 3 | | | | | | |
| Ar at the Gar | | 3 | 20.0 | | | | | |
| of the state of th | | | 9.0 | | | | | |
| 15.6 | | > : | | | | | | |
| | | • = | | | | | | |
| It agine | | 0.20 | | | | | | 6.532 |
| inerine. | | > { | ' ; | | | | | 0.2% |
| 9 T | | 3 3 | - | | | | | |
| X OL | | . E | 0.0 F | | | | | |
| | | > : | 0.25 | | | • | • | |
| hlordene | | » : | 2 ! 2 ! | 2 5 | 5 5 7 7 | | 5 (| |
| | | • • | | 3 | | | | |
| | | > 1 | | | | | | |
| 10-1242 | | • | | 0.10 k | 6. 5 7 | 5 | 9.10 k | |
| CB-1254 | | | | 0.5 7 | 2 2 3 3 | | 0.10 k | |
| • | | | | 3.5 | 2 § | 2 2 3 | 2 8 | • |
| is (2-Ethylhoni) Frihalato i-M-Balad Phihalato | <u>*</u> | | | 3 8 | | 8 | 2 2 2 | |
| . 5 | | | | | | | | 0.063 |
| ertal os | | | | | | | | 0.022 |
| et. ibezin | | | | | | | | 0.00 |
| lachiler | | | | | | | | 0.105 |
| 114 OU | | | | | | | | 95.0 |
| tetol achiar | • | | | | | | | 3 3 3 3 |

mpies newroped mase 110.0, 4.0, and 3.1, therefore the average is misleading.
The second mase 1.0 k, 1.0 k, and 43.0, therefore the average is misleading,
any volue shams
and yard for but not detected Getection level not given).

Table 4.5. continued.

| | HWP ID | HAMBER 1 | 509 | 511 | 510 | 524 | 525 | 830 | 533 | 535 | 547 |
|-----------------------------|--------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-------------|----------|----------|
| CHENICAL MANE S | TAFLON | 9-YEAR I | 630632-00 | 630720-80 | 630252-00 | 630613-73 | 630614-73 | 630619-73 | 630621-73 (| 30622-73 | 500201-0 |
| , 1-Dichloroethane | | • | 1.0 k | 1.0 k | 1.0 k | | | , | ****** | | 20.0 k |
| ,2-Dichlaroethaue | | | 1.0 k | 1.0 k | 1.0 k | | | | | | 20.0 1 |
| ,2-Dichior obenzene | | | | | | | | | | | 0.1 |
| , 1-Dichi oroethyl ene | | | 1.0 k | 1.0 k | 1.0 k | | | | | | |
| , 1, 1-Trichloroethane | | | 1.0 k | 1.0 k | 1.0 k | | | | | | 1.0 1 |
| . 1. 2-Trichieroethane | | | 1.0 k | 1.0 k | 1.0 k | | | | | | |
| ,2-Trans-Bichleroethane | | | 1.0 k | 1.0 k | 1.0 k | | | | | | |
| , 1,6-Trichlorophonol | | | 0.35 | 0.1 k | 0.1 k | | | | | ٠, | |
| .4,5-fri Iso Octul Ester | | | | | | 3060.0 | 500.0 k | 16-10.0 | 500.0 k | \$850.D | |
| richleroethylene | | | 1.0 k | 1.0 k | 1.0 k | | | | | | 2.0 |
| etrachloreethulene | | | 1.0 k | 1.0 k | 1.0 k | | | | | | 1.0 |
| is (2-Ethylhomyl) Phihalate | | | 2.0 k | 2.0 k | 2.0 k | | | | | | 1.0 |
| -Butul Benzul Phthalate | | | 1.0 k | 1.0 k | 1.0 k | | | | 4.5 | | 1.0 |
| i-H-Butyl Phihalate | | | 1.0 k | 1.0 k | 1.0 k | | | | | | 1.0 |
| i-H-Octul Phthalete | | | 6.9 | 1.0 k | 3.2 | | | | | | |
| i ethyl phthal ate | | | 1.0 k | 1.0 k | 1.0 k | | | | | | |
| h) oraethane | | | 1.0 k | 1.0 k | 1.0 k | | | | | | |
| unzene . | | | 50.0 k | 50.0 k | 50.0 k | | | | | * | 50.01 |
| thul benzene | | | 50.0 k | 50.0 k | 50.0 k | | | | | | 50.0 |
| apthal ene | | | 10.0 k | 10.0 k | 10.0 k | | | | | | |
| oluene | | | 50.0 k | 50.0 k | 50.0 k | | | | | • | 50.0 (|
| CP | | | 0.2 k | 0.6 | 0.2 k | | | | | | |
| CB-1242 | | | 7.1 | Ð. I | 2.2 | | | | | | 0.1 |
| CB-1240 . | | | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | | | | | | |
| CD-1254 | | | 0.1 k | 0.1 k | . 0.1 k | | | | | | 0.1 |
| C9-1260 | | | 0.1 k | 0.1 k | 0.1 k | | | | | | 0.11 |
| ettalene Chloride | | | | | | | | | | | 1.0 |
| arbon Tetrachloride | | | | | | | | 8. | \$ a | | 1.0 1 |

Table 4.5. continued.

| | - | 542 | 543 | 541 | 545 | 546 | 547 | 540 | 519 | 350 |
|------------------------------|------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-----------|
| CHEMICAL WAVE | STATION 8-YEAR . | LDI-1-03 | FBE-5-02 | LDC-3-03 | LDC-4-83 | LD1-5-03 | LDE-6-03 | t0t-7-03 | 191-0-03 | 1.01-9-03 |
| H-ni tresodi phonyl ani ne | | 2.0 k | | 2.0 k | | 2.0 k | | | | |
| Bis (2-Ethulhonul) Phihalate | | 7.0 | 10.0 | 70.0 | 132.0 | 9.0 | | , | | |
| Honzul butul phthalate | | 10.0 | 14.6 | 26.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | | | | |
| NI-M-Butul Phihalate | | 3.0 k | 2.0 k | 4.0 | 3.0 k | 3.0 k | | | | |
| Bi-H-Octul Phthalate | | 1.0 k | 6.0 | 25.5 | 55.0 | 9.0 | | | | |
| Diethylphthalate | | | | 1.0 k | 2.0 k | 1.0 k | | | | |
| Chi or abonzone | • | 3.0 k | 1.0 k | | | | | | | |
| 1.1.2-Trichieroothene | | 1.0 k | | | | | | | | |
| 1.1.2.2-fetrachtereethane | | 7.0 | | | | | | | | |
| Ethulbenzene | | 3.0 k | 1.0 k | | | | | | | 174 |
| Nothulono Chiorido | | 2.0 k | 2.0 k | , | 2.0 k | 2.0 k | | | | |
| Branofern | | 6.0 | 2.0 k | | | | | 5 - | | |
| Chloradibronomethana | | 2.0 k | | | | | | | | |
| Tetrachi proethane | | 2.0 k | 1.0 k | | | | | | . • | |
| Taluene | | 2.0 k | 1.0 k | 1.0 k | 1.0 k | 1.0 k | | | | |
| 2-Butanone | | 3.0 k | | | | | | | | |
| 2-Novanone | | 5.0 | | | | | | | | |
| Sturene | • | | 2.0 k | 1.0 k | 1.0 k | | | | | |
| Intal Hylones | • | 8.0 | 2.0 k | 1.0 k | 1.0 k | | | | | |
| Dieldein | • | | | • | | | 0.24 | | | |
| Heptachler Epoulde | | | | | | | 0.29 k | 0.46 k | 0.30 k | 0.23 k |
| 2.4-8 | . : | | | | | | 0.60 | 6.20 | 5.70 | 5.50 |
| Endosulfan Sulfate | | | | 1.13 | | | | | | 0.75 k |
| Endrin aldohydo | | | | | | | | | | 0.30 k |
| 2,4,5-f | • | | | | | | 0.10 | 0.05 k | 0.05 k | 0.05 k |

k a Actual concentration is less than the value shown.

Table 4.5. continued.

| • | HAP ID MURDER : | 429 | 630 | £ 21 |
|---------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| CHEMICAL MARE | STATION 4-YEAR : | BWRMDR-1-83 | DMRHDR-2-83 | DWRHDR-3-8 |
| 1.1-Bichloroethane | | 1.00 k | 1.00 t | 10.00 k |
| .2-Bichloroethans | | 1.00 k | 1.00 k | 10.00 k |
| .1-Dichloroethene | | 1.00 k | 1.00 E | 10.00 k |
| 1,2-Bichloroethene | | 1.00 ¥ | 1.00 k | 10.00 k |
| ,2-Bickloroproces | | 1,00 k | 1.00 t | 10. 9 0 k |
| 1,1,1-Trichlargethese | | 1.00 k | 1.00 k | 10.00 k |
| l,1,2-Trichloroethame | | 1.00 k | 1.00 k | 10.00 k |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloreethame | | 1.00 k | 1.00 k | 10.00 k |
| -Brood-3-Chioropropane | | 1.00 k | · 1.00 k | 10.00 k |
| ireaci ora | | 1.00 k | 1.00 E | 10.00 t |
| reactichlorosethase | | 1.00 k | 1.00 t | 10.00 t |
| Chlorodibroscoethane | | 1.00 k | 1.00 k | 10.00 k |
| Alorofore | | 1.00 k | 1.00 k | 10.00 k |
| Carson Tetrachloride | | 1.00 k | 1.00 k | 10.00 t |
| ichlorocethane | | 1.00 k | 1.00 t | 10.00 k |
| Methylene Chloride | | 1.00 k | 1.00 k | 10.00 k |
| [richloroethane | 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | 1.00 k | 1.00 k | 10.00 k |
| ietrachlereethene | | 1.00 k | 1.00 k | 10.00 k |
| Chlorobenzane | | 1. 00 k | 1.00 k | 10.00 k |
| lenzene | | 5.00 k | 5.00 k | 5.00 k |
| loluene | 1.1:11 | 5.00 k | 5.00 k | 5.00 k |
|)-Iyiene | | 5.00 k | 5.00 k | 5.00 k |
| f-Iylene | | 5.00 k | 2.00 F | 5.00 k |
| P-lylene | | 5.00 t | 5.00 k | 5.00 t |
| Ethylbenzene | | 5.00 k | 2.00 F | 5.00 t |
| Styrene | | 5.00 k | 5.00 k | 5.00 k |
| Hexachlorobutadiene | | 0.05 k | 0.05 k | 0.05 k |
| Hexachlorocyclopentadieme | | 0.05 k | 0.05 k | 0.65 k |
| Hex ach larobenzane | | 0.05 k | 0.05 k | 0.05 k |
| Dichlorobenzene | | 0.65 k | 0.05 k | 0.05 k |
| Octachlorocyclopentene | | 0.05 k | 0.05 k | 0.05 k |
| Pentachloronitrobenzene | | 0.05 k | 6.05 k | 0.05 k |
| PCB-1916 | * * * | 0.10 k | 0.10 k | 0.10 t |
| PCD-1221 | | 0.10 k | 0.10 k | 0.10 k |
| PC9-1232 | • | 0.10 k | 0.10 k | 0.10 k |
| PC3-1242 | | 0.10 k | 0.10 k | 0.10 k |
| PC9-1249 | | 0.10 k | 0.10 k | 0.10 k |
| PCD-1254 | | 0.10 k | 0.10 k | 0.10 k |
| PCD-1240 | | 0.10 k | 0.10 k | 0.10 k |
| PC3-1262 | | 0.10 k | 0.10 k | 0.10 k |
| DDE . | | 0.05 t | 0.05 k | 0.05 k |
| 303 | • . | 0.05 k | 0.05 k | 0.05 k |
| BOT | | · 0,05 k | 0.05 t | 0.05 t |
| Hex abronobenzene | | 0.05 k | 0.05 k | 0.65 k |
| Heptachlor | | 0.05 k | 0.05 t | 0.05 k |
| Heptachlor epezide | | 4.65 k | 0.05 k 0.05 k | 0.05 k 0.05 k |
| Toxaphene | | 0.05 k | 0.05 k | 0.05 k |
| Chlordane | | 0.65 k 0.05 k | 0.05 k | 0.05 k |
| Lindane | • | 0.05 k | 0.05 k | 0.05 k |
| Hirex | | 9.05 k | 0.05 k | 0.05 k |
| Methoxychier . | | 0.05 k | 0.05 k | 0.05 k |

Table 4.5. continued.

| | MAP ID NUMBER | : | 429 | 630 | 631 |
|----------------------------|----------------|---|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| CHERICAL NAME | STATION 8-YEAR | : | BMRMD9-1-63 | DMRN9R-2-83 | 34RHSR-3-83 |
| Bis(2-Ethylhery))Phthaiate | | | 0.50 Ł | 0.50 k | 0.50 t |
| Betyl Benzyl Phthalate | | | 0.50 k | 0.50 ± | 0.50 k |
| Bi-H-Butyl Phthalate | | | 0.50 t | 0.50 t | 0.50 k |
| Digthyl Phthalate | | | 0.50 k | 0.50 Ł | 0.50 k |
| Bi-N-Octyl Phthalate | | | 0.50 t | 10.00 | 0.50 k |
| Dieldria | | | 0.03 k | 0.03 k | 0.03 k |
| Endquelfan I | | | .0.07 k | 6.03 k | 0.63 Ł |
| Endris | | | 0.03 k | 0.03 k | 0.03 t |
| Biethylhexyl Phthalate | | | 7.30 | 11.00 | 4.20 |
| | | | | | |
| 2,4-9ichlorophesel | | | 2.50 k | 2.50 t | 2.50 k |
| 2,3,5-Tricklorophenel | | | 2.50 k | 2.50 k | 2.50 k |
| 2,4,5-Trichlerophenel | | | 2.50 k | 2.50 k | 2.50 k |
| 2,4,6-Trichlerophenel | | | 2.50 k | 2.50 k | 2.50 k |
| Pentachi graphenoi | | | 2.50 k | 2.50 t | 2.50 k |

k = Actual concentration is less than value given.

Table 4.5. continued.

| ************* | | • | S E C | T | I | 0 N 7 | **** | ************ | ************** |
|--------------------|----------------|---|---------|------|------|-------------|------|-----------------|-----------------|
| | MAP ID NUMBER | : | . 7 | 44 | | 745 | | 746 | 747 |
| CHENICAL NAME | STATION #-YEAR | : | 4232380 | 0825 | 1080 | 42340800824 | 9020 | 423500008245000 | 423730008245000 |
| PCB-1221 | | • | 0. | 001 | k | 0.001 | k | 0.001 k | 0.001 k |
| PCB-1232 | • | | 0. | 001 | k | 0.001 | k . | 0.001 k | 0.001 k |
| PCB-1242 | | | 0. | 001 | k | 0.001 | k | 0.001 k | 0.001 k |
| PCB-1248 | | | 0. | 001 | k | 0.001 | k | 0.001 k | 0.001 k |
| PCB-1254 | | | 0. | 100 | k | 0.001 | k | 0.001 k | 0.001 k |
| PCB-1260 | | | 0. | 001 | k | 0.001 | k | 0.001 k | 0.001 k |
| Aldrin | | | 0. | 001 | k | 0.001 | | 0.003 | 0.002 |
| Dieldrin | | | 0. | 001 | k | 0.001 | k | 0.002 | 0.002 |
| Endrin | | | 0. | 001 | k | 0.001 | k | 0.001 k | 0.001 k |
| Heptachlor | | | 0. | 001 | k | 0.001 | | 0.001 | 0.001 |
| Heptachlor Epoxide | | | 0. | 001 | k | 0.002 | | 0.002 | 0.002 |
| Methoxychlor | | | 0. | 001 | k | 0.001 | k · | 0.001 k | 0.001 k |
| Lindane | | | 0. | 100 | k | 0.001 | k | 0.001 k | 0.001 k |

k = Actual concentration is less than value given.

Table 4.5. continued. Station code listing for all water sampling sites.

| STATION COL | E REPORT FROM WHICH DATA WAS EXTRACTED |
|-------------|--|
| COE | Maintenance dredging of the federal navigation channel at Clinton River (Final environmental statement). COE 1976. |
| ÇOECDF | Confined disposal facility for maintenance dredging at Clinton River (Final environmental statement). COE 1976. |
| CTYWAR | I.P.F. Annual report (by City of Warren WWTP). 1987. |
| ER6 | Field methodology, Clinton River collections for COE. Environmental Remsearch Group 1983. |
| DNRGDR | Biological survey of Greiner Drain and North Branch Clinton River, Macomb County, Michigan. MBNR. Saalfeld 1980. |
| DNRLDI | Report on the impact of Liquid Disposal, Inc. on the Clinton River, Macomb County, Michigan. MDNR. Kenaga and Jones 1986. |
| , DNRLSC | Evaluation of bacterial pollution in Lake St. Clair in the vicinity of the Clinton River. MDNR. Horvath et al. 1981. |
| DNRMDR | A water, sediment, and benthic macroinvertebrate survey of McBride Drain in the vicinity of South Macomb disposal authority landfill 9a, Macomb County, Mich. MDNR. Kenaga 1984. |
| DNRHTC | Clinton River study at Mt. Clemens. MDNR. Allen and Buda 1980. |
| DNRNBA | North Branch of the Clinton River at Almont. MDNR. Staff Report 1975. |
| DNRNBB | Biological survey of the North Branch of the Clinton River. MDNR. Grant 1975. |
| DNRPCK | Paint Creek study: Lake Orion to Mouth. MDNR 1973. |
| DNRPTM | Clinton River study: Rochester to Mouth. MDNR 1973. |
| DNRPTR | Clinton River study: Pontiac to Rochester. MDNR 1973. |
| DNRTDR | Taft Drain study. MDNR. Staff Report 1976. |
| LTICRS | Clinton River and spillway water quality and hydraulics surveys of 1984 (draft, for Mt. Clemans). Limno-Tech Inc. 1985. |
| SEMCOS | Water quality in southeast Michigan: The Clinton River basin. SEMCOG 1978. |
| USFWS | Fisheries report, Red Run Drain and lower Clinton River. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service 1981. |

Table 4.5. continued.

CLINTON RIVER WATER SAMPLING STATIONS. (LOCATIONS)

Corrected Coordinates

Matching Stations with correct coordinates

| CODE | * | | CODE | | | | |
|------------|------------|----------------------------|--------|------------------|------------|----------------------------|---|
| NUMBER | LATITUDE | LONGITUDE | NUMBER | STATION NUMBER | LATITUDE | LONGITUDE | . DESCRIPTION |
| | SECTIO | N i | | SECTION | 1 | | |
| 101 | 42 35 03.0 | 082 52 27.0 | 101 | LTI-3 | | 082 5 2 27.0 | Cl. R. @ CL. R. Drive & Inches St. |
| | | | 101 | 500366 | 42 35 03.0 | 082 52 27.0 | CLINTON R 1300 FT DWST OF SPILLW |
| 102 | | 082 52 27.0 | | LTI-4 | | 082 52 27.0 | Cl. R. @ Cl. R. Drive & Headle St. |
| 103 | 42 35 29.0 | 082 52 35.0 | | 500365 | | 082 52 35.0 | CLINTON R 2700 FT ABV CASS AVE B |
| | | | 102 | LTI-5 | | 082 52 35.0 | Clinton River at Cass Ave. bridge |
| 104 | | 082 52 34.0 | | 500213 | | 082 52 36.0 | CLINTON R AT CROCKER ST BR; CITY |
| 105 | | 082 52 04.0 | | ERG-B | | 082 52 04.0 | Cl. R. just east of Gratiot Ave. |
| 106 | | 082 51 50.0 | | LTI-6 | | 082 51 50.0 | Clinton River at Trailer Park |
| 107 | | 082 51 52.5 | | 50037 5 | | 082 51 52.5 | CLINTON RIVER 2300 FT ABV 1-94 B |
| 108 | | 082 51 32.0 | | ERG-7 | | 082 51 32.0 | Cl. R. just west of 1-94 |
| 109 | 42 35 26.0 | 082 51 27.0 | | 500214 | | 082 51 27.0 | CLINTON R AT I-94 BRIDGE; HARRIS |
| | | | 109 | CRNC-B | | 082 51 27.0 | CLINTON R AT I-94 BRIDGE; HARRIS |
| | | | 109 | LTI-7 | | 082 51 27.0 | CLINTON R AT I-94 BRIDGE; HARRIS |
| 110 | 42 35 34.0 | 082 50 42.0 | | LTI-8 | | 082 50 42.0 | Cl. R. & Clintonview & Cl. Shore Rd |
| | 40 75 70 4 | A00 EA 47 A | 110 | ERG-6 | | 082 50 42.0 | C1. R. off N. end of Chartier Rd. |
| 111 | | 082 50 43.0 | | 500364 500363 | | 082 50 43.0 | CLINTON R 3600 FT DNST OF 194 BR |
| 112 | | 082 50 16.0 082 50 13.0 | | LTI-9 | | 082 50 16.0 082 50 13.0 | CLINTON R 7200 FT DNST OF 194 BR |
| 113 114 | | 082 50 03.0 | | ERG-5 | | 082 50 13.0 082 50 03.0 | Cl. R. at 20725 S. River Road Cl. R. west of Dridgeview Rd. |
| 115 | | 082 49 30.5 | | CDECDF-500008 | | 082 49 30.5 | CLINTON R BRIDGEVIEW RD AT HOUTH |
| 113 | 42 33 43.0 | 082 47 30.3 | 115 | LTI-10 | | 082 49 30.5 | CLINTON R BRIDGEVIEW RD AT HOUTH |
| | | | 115 | 500008 | | 082 49 30.5 | CLINTON R BRIDGEVIEW RD AT HOUTH |
| 116 | 42 TS 25 A | 082 49 20.0 | | 500189 | | 082 49 20.0 | CLINTON RIVER AT S RIVER ROAD: I |
| 110 | 72 33 23.0 | VOL 47 2010 | 116 | COE-4 | | 082 49 20.0 | CLINTON RIVER AT S RIVER ROAD; I |
| 117 | 42 35 22.0 | 082 49 17.0 | | ERG-4 | | 082 49 17.0 | Cl. R. east of Bridgeview Rd. |
| 118 | | 082 49 14.0 | | LTI-11 | | 082 49 14.0 | Cl. R. 2500 ft downstr. Bridgeview |
| 119 | | 082 48 51.0 | | LTI-12 | | 082 48 51.0 | Cl. R. 6500 ft downstr. Bridgeview |
| 120 | | 082 48 37.0 | | ERG-3 | | 082 48 37.0 | Cl. R. at end of Jefferson Ave. |
| 121 | | 082 48 14.0 | | 500215 | | 082 48 14.0 | CLINTON R AT ISLAND NEAR HOUTH.; |
| 122 | | 082 47 58.0 | | F11-12 | | 082 47 58.0 | Clinton R. at Markley Marine |
| 123 | | 082 46 58.0 | | ERG-2 | | 082 46 58.0 | Cl. R. near south; upstr. ERG-1 |
| 124 | | 082 46 05.0 | | ERG-1 | | 092 46 05.0 | Cl. R. At mouth; tip of earthfill |
| ******* | SECTIO | N 2 | | SECTION | 2 | | |
| 201 | 42 34 35.0 | 082 52 15.0 | 201 | 500188 | 42 34 35.0 | 062 52 15.0 | CLINTON R SPILLE HARPER AVE; CLIN |
| | | | | LTI-2 | | 082 52 15.0 | CLINTON R SPILLE HARPER AVE; CLIN |
| | | | | CRMC-A | | 082 52 15.0 | CLINTON R SPILLE HARPER AVE; CLIN |
| 202 | | 082 51 44.0 | | LTI-14 | | 082 51 44.0 | Cl. R. @ Metropol. Parkway/Spillway |
| 203 | | 082 50 51.5 | | 500229 | | 082 50 51.5 | CLINTON R. SPILLMAY & JEFFERSON |
| 204 | | 082 50 51.0 | | LTI-15 | | 082 50 51.0 | Cl. R. & I-94 bridge over spillway |
| 205 | 42 33 34.0 | 082 50 32.0 | 205 | 200333 | 42 33 34.0 | 082 50 32.0 | LAKE ST.CLAIR & CLINTON R. SPILLWAY |

Table 4.5. continued.

| | SECTION 3 | SECTION | 3 | |
|-----|------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| 301 | 42 34 25.0 082 57 36.0 | 301 USFMS-7 | 42 34 25.0 082 57 36.0 | Clinton River below Red Run |
| 302 | 42 34 39.0 082 57 12.0 | 302 CRWC-E | 42 41 44.0 082 39 13.0 | Clinton River at Garfield Rd. bridge |
| | | 302 SENCOS-10 | 42 41 44.0 082 39 13.0 | Clinton River at Garfield Rd. bridge |
| | | 302 CITYWAR-GARFIEL | 42 41 44.0 082 39 13.0 | Clinton River at Garfield Rd. bridge |
| | | 302 500208 | 42 34 39.0 082 57 12.0 | CLINTON R AT GARFIELD RD BR; CLI |
| 303 | 42 34 40.0 082 57 06.0 | 303 500231 | 42 34 40.0 082 57 06.0 | CLINTON R 100YD UPST GARFLD R: C |
| 304 | 42.35 16.0 082 55 40.0 | 304 500209 | 42 35 16.0 082 55 40.0 | CLINTON R OFF CLINTON R RD; CLIN |
| 305 | 42 35 35.0 082 55 01.0 | 305 500225 | 42 35 35.0 082 55 01.0 | CLINTON RE R. RD PUMPHOUSE: CLIN |
| 306 | 42 35 45.0 082 54 35.0 | 306 04165500 112WRD | 42 35 45.0 082 54 35.0 | CLINTON RO HORAVIAN DRV; N. SIDE |
| | | 306 5000 10 | 42 35 45.0 082 54 35.0 | CLINTON RE HORAVIAN DRV; W. SIDE |
| 307 | 42 35 26.0 082 54 09.0 | 307 500211 | 42 35 26.0 082 54 09.0 | CLINTON R AT SOLF COURSE BRIDGE; |
| 308 | 42 35 21.0 082 54 15.0 | 308 500212 | 42 35 21.9 082 54 15.0 | HARRINGTON DRN & HARRINGTON BLVD |
| | | 308 SENCOG-23 | 42 35 16 ' ' 54 04.0 | HARRINGTON DRN & HARRINGTON BLVD |
| 309 | 42 35 21.0 062 53 57.5 | 309 CRMC-D | 42 35 2 | Clinton River at H-97 |
| 310 | 42 35 03.0 082 52 58.0 | 310 SEMC06-22 | 42 35 00 0 58.0 | CLINTON RE NO. BOUND GRATIOT AVE |
| | | 310 COECDF-500233 | 42 35 03.0 082 52 58.0 | CLINTON RO NO. BOUND SRATIOT AVE |
| | | 310 LTI-1 | 42 35 03.0 082 52 58.0 | CLINTON RE NO. BOUND GRATIOT AVE |
| | | 310 500233 | 42 35 03.0 082 52 58.0 | CLINTON RE NO. BOUND GRATIOT AVE |
| | SECTION 4 | SECTION | 4 | |
| 401 | 42 31 27.0 083 05 11.0 | 401 USFWS-1 | 42 31 27.0 083 05 11.0 | Red Rum at Dequindre Road |
| 402 | 42 31 44.0 083 02 52.0 | 402 USFWS-2 | 42 31 44.0 083 02 52.0 | Red Run at Hound Road |
| 403 | 42 31 32.0 083 01 45.0 | 403 USFWS-3 | 42 31 32.0 083 01 45.0 | Red Rum at Van Dyke bridge |
| | | 403 CRMC-P | 42 31 32.0 063 01 45.0 | Red Run at Van Dyke bridge |
| | | 403 CITYMAR-VANDYKE | 42 31 32.0 083 01 45.0 | Red Run at Van Byke bridge |
| | | 403 SENCEG-15 | 42 31 32.0 083 01 45.0 | Red Run at Van Byke bridge |
| 404 | 42 31 24.0 083 01 24.0 | 404 SENCOG-14 | 42 31 24.0 083 01 24.0 | Bear Creek n. of Chicago Rd. bridge |
| 405 | 42 32 31.0 083 02 52.0 | 405 SENCO6-13 | 42 32 31.0 083 02 52.0 | Big Beaver Creek & Hound Rd. bridge |
| 404 | 42 32 14.3 083 00 23.1 | 406 CRWC-0 | 42 32 14.3 083 00 23.1 | RED RUM AT 14 MILE RD BRIDGE; CI |
| | | 406 500011 | 42 32 14.3 083 00 23.1 | RED RUN AT 14 HILE RD BRIDGE; CI |
| | | 406 CITYWAR-14 MILE | 42 32 14.3 083 00 23.1 | RED RUM AT 14 MILE RD BRIDGE; CI |
| 407 | 42 33 10.0 082 59 07.0 | 407 500227 | 42 33 10.0 082 59 07.0 | RED RUN AT 15 HILE ROAD; STERLIN |
| | | 407 USFNS-4 | 42 33 10.0 082 59 07.0 | RED RUN AT 15 HILE ROAD; STERLIN |
| | | 407 SENCOG-16 | 42 33 10.0 08 2 59 07.0 | RED RUM AT 15 HILE ROAD; STERLIN |
| | | 407 CITYWAR-15 HILE | 42 33 07.0 082 59 00. 0 | RED RUN AT 15 HILE ROAD; STERLIN |
| 408 | 42 34 00.0 082 58 14.0 | 408 500207 | 42 34 00.0 082 58 16.0 | RED RUM AT 16 HI RD BR; CITY OF |
| | | 408 USFWS-5 | 42 34 00.0 082 58 16.0 | RED RUM AT 16 MI RD BR; CITY OF |
| | | 408 CRWC-N | 42 34 00.0 082 58 16.0 | RED RUM AT 16 HI RD BR; CITY OF |
| 409 | 42 36 02.0 083 04 18.0 | 409 SENCOG-11 | 42 36 02.0 083 04 18.0 | PLUM BROOK AT RYAM ROAD BRIDGE |
| 410 | 42 34 21.0 082 59 25.0 | 410 500046 | 42 34 21.0 082 59 25.0 | PLUM BROOK AT SCHOENHERR DR; STE |
| | | 410 SEMCOG-12 | 42 34 21.0 08 2 59 25.0 | PLUM BROOK AT SCHOENHERR DR; STE |
| 411 | 42 34 04.0 082 58 12.0 | 411 CITYWAR-UTICA R | | Red Rum at Utica Road |
| | | 411 USFWS-6 | 42 34 04.0 082 58 12.0 | Red Run at Utica Road |
| | | | | |

Table 4.5. continued.

| | SECTION 5 | | SECTION | 5 | | |
|------------|------------------------|-----|---------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------|--|
| EA. | 42 43 12.0 083 21 13.0 | 501 | SEMCOG-1 | 42 47 12 0 | 083 21 13.0 | SASHABAM CRE MAYBEE RD: INDEPEND |
| 501 | 42 43 12.0 063 21 13.0 | 301 | | | 083 21 13.0 | SASHABAN CRE MAYBEE RD: INDEPEND |
| 245 | 42 39 37.0 083 23 25.0 | 502 | 630529 | | 083 23 25.0 | • |
| 502 | 42 37 37.0 063 23 23.0 | 302 | | | 083 23 25.0 | CLINTON R AT M-59 BRIDGE; MATERFORD |
| EA7 | 42 39 12.0 083 23 49.0 | 503 | SENCO G -2 630629 | 42 37 37.0 | 083 23 49.0 | CLINTON R AT M-59 BRIDGE; MATERFORD |
| 503 | 42 37 40.0 083 23 41.0 | 504 | 630630 | | 083 23 41.0 | CLINTON R AT PONTIAC LK RD; WATE CLINTON R. AT COOLEY LK RD: NATE |
| 504 | 42 37 19.0 083 19 31.0 | 505 | CRWC-M | 42 37 19.0 | | |
| 505 | 42 37 27.0 083 18 57.0 | 506 | 630631 | | 083 18 57.0 | Cl. R. at Telegraph Rd./Sylvan Lk. |
| 506 | 42 37 33.0 083 17 52.0 | 507 | 630600 | | 083 17 52.0 | CLINTON R. AT ORCHARD LK RD; PON CLINTON R. AT GILLESPIE STREET: |
| 507 508 | 42 38 48.0 083 16 08.0 | 508 | 630599 | 42 37 33.0 42 38 48.0 | | CLINTON R. HI GILLESPIE STREET; CLINTON R ABV PONTIAC STP NOI IN |
| 509 | 42 38 33.0 083 15 37.0 | 509 | 630632 | 42 38 33.0 | | CLINTON R & M-59 BRIDGE; PONTIAC |
| 307 | 42 30 33.0 063 13 37.0 | 307 | SENCOS-4 | 42 38 33.0 | | CLINTON R & N-59 BRIDGE; PONTIAC |
| 510 | 42 38 23.0 083 15 25.0 | 510 | 630598 | | 083 15 25.0 | CLINTON R ABV PONTIAC STP NO2 IN |
| 511 | 42 38 11.0 083 15 05.0 | 511 | 630728 | 42 38 11.0 | | CLINTON R 50 FT DWNSTR AUBURN W |
| 512 | 42 38 01.0 083 14 46.0 | 512 | 630597 | 42 38 01.0 | | CLINTON R AT AUBURN RD; PONTIAC |
| 513 | 42 37 56.0 083 14 17.0 | 513 | 630717 | 42 37 56.0 | | CLINTON R AT 1-75 BR; PONTIAC TW |
| 514 | 42 38 02.0 083 13 27.0 | 514 | 630633 | | 083 13 27.0 | CLINTON R. AT AUBURN RD: PONTIAC |
| 515 | 42 38 14.0 083 13 14.0 | 515 | 630596 | | 083 13 14.0 | CLINTON R AT SQUIRREL RD; PONTIA |
| 516 | 42 38 38.0 083 12 52.0 | 516 | 630725 | 42 38 38.0 | | CLINTON RIVER AT H-59 BR; PONTIA |
| 517 | 42 39 14.0 083 11 35.0 | 517 | CRWC-L | | 083 11 35.0 | CLINTON R AT ADAMS RD BRIDGE: AVON |
| 911 | 42 37 14.0 003 11 33.0 | 517 | SENCOG-5 | • | 083 11 35.0 | CLINTON R AT ADAMS RD BRIDGE; AVON |
| | | 517 | 630595 | 42 39 14.0 | | CLINTON R AT ADAMS RD BRIDGE; AVON |
| EIO | 42 39 02.5 083 10 40.0 | 518 | 630252 | 42 39 02.5 | | CLINTON R AT HAMLIN RD BR: AVON |
| 518 519 | 42 39 03.0 083 10 26.0 | 519 | 630067 | | 083 10 26.0 | CLINTON R. AT CROOKS RD; AVON TO |
| 520 | 42 39 57.0 083 09 13.0 | 520 | 630594 | | 083 09 13.0 | CLINTON R AT AVON RD; AVON THP, |
| 521 | 42 40 32.0 083 08 05.0 | 521 | 630602 | 42 40 32.0 | | CLINTON R AT DIVERSION RD IN CIT |
| 522 | 42 40 31.0 083 07 59.0 | 522 | CRWC-K | | 083 07 59.0 | Clinton River at Rochester Road |
| 523 | 42 40 37.0 083 07 46.0 | 523 | 630635 | | 083 07 46.0 | CLINTON R. UPSTREAM PAINT CR; AV |
| 524 | 42 47 00.0 083 14 35.0 | 524 | 630613 | | 083 14 35.0 | PAINT CREEK AT H-24 BRIDGE: ORIO |
| 525 | 42 46 53.0 083 13 52.0 | 525 | 630614 | 42 46 53.0 | | PAINT CR AT ATMATER ST; CITY OF |
| 526 | 42 46 03.0 083 13 06.0 | 526 | 630615 | 42 46 03.0 | | PAINT CREEK AT KERN RD: ORIGIN TW |
| 527 | 42 44 50.0 083 11 50.0 | 527 | 630617 | 42 44 50.0 | | TROUT CREEK AT ADAMS RD; CAKLAND |
| 528 | 42 45 03.0 083 11 50.0 | 528 | 630616 | | 083 11 50.0 | PAINT CR AT ADAMS RD; DAKLAND TH |
| 529 | 42 44 23.0 083 10 11.0 | 529 | 630618 | 42 44 23.0 | | PAINT CREEK AT GUNN RD; DAKLAND |
| 530 | 42 43 52.0 083 09 35.0 | 530 | 630619 | 42 43 52.0 | | PAINT CREEK AT ORION RD; DAKLAND |
| 531 | 42 42 40.0 083 09 26.0 | 531 | 630620 | | | PAINT CREEK AT DUTTON RGAD; AVON |
| 532 | 42 41 43.0 083 08 47.0 | 532 | 630004 | 42 41 43.0 | | PAINT CREEK AT TIENKEN RD; AVON |
| 533 | 42 41 19.0 083 08 32.0 | 533 | 630621 | 42 41 19.0 | | PAINT CREEK AT WOODWARD ST; AVON |
| 534 | 42 41 00.0 083 08 06.0 | 534 | SEMCOG-6 | 42 41 00.0 | | PAINT CREEK AT ROCHESTER ROAD BRIDGE |
| 535 | 42 40 41.0 083 07 42.0 | 535 | 630622 | | 083 07 42.0 | PAINT CR AT STW RAILROAD BR.; AV |
| 536 | 42 40 36.0 083 07 37.0 | 536 | 630636 | 42 40 36.0 | 083 07 37.0 | CLINTON R 100 YDS BELOW PAINT CR |
| 537 | 42 40 46.0 083 07 11.0 | 537 | 630604 | | 083 07 11.0 | CLINTON R AT ROCHESTER WATP,; AV |
| 538 | 42 40 58.0 083 06 30.0 | 538 | 630637 | 42 40 58.0 | 083 06 30.0 | CLINTON R & PARK DAVIS PICNIC AREA |
| 539 | 42 48 03.0 083 05 30.0 | 539 | 500012 | 42 48 03.0 | 083 05 30.0 | STONY CREEK AT 32 MILE RD; WASHI |
| 540 | 42 41 08.0 083 06 26.0 | 540 | 630605 | 42 41 08.0 | 083 06 26.0 | STONY CREEK AT PARKBALE RD; AVON TO |
| • | | 540 | SENCOG-7 | | 083 06 26.0 | STONY CREEK AT PARKDALE RD; AVON TO |
| 541 | 42 40 19.0 083 05 50.0 | 541 | 630606 | 42 40 19.0 | 083 05 50.0 | CLINTON R AT AVON RD. BRIDGE; AV |
| | | 541 | CRWC-3 | 42 40 19.0 | 083 05 50.0 | CLINTON R AT AVON RD. BRIDGE; AV |
| | | 541 | SEMCOG-8 | | 083 05 50.0 | CLINTON R AT AVON RD. BRIDGE; AV |
| 542 | 42 40 12.0 083 05 46.0 | 542 | LDI-1 | 42 40 12.0 | 083 05 46.0 | Cl. R. just downstr. Yates Park Dam |
| 543 | 42 40 08.0 083 05 31.0 | 543 | LDI-2 | 42 40 08.0 | 083 05 31.0 | Cl. R. downstr. of LDI-1 |
| 544 | 42 39 58.0 083 05 10.0 | 544 | LDI-3 | | 083 05 10.0 | Cl. R. downstream of LDI-2 |
| 545 | 42 39 30.0 083 04 57.0 | 545 | LDI-4 | | 083 04 57.0 | Cl. R. downstream of LDI-3 |
| _ • | | | | | | |

| Tabl | le 4.5. | continue | i. | • | | | |
|--------------------|------------|----------------------------|------------|------------------------|--------------|----------------------------|--|
| 546 | 42 39 20.0 | 083 04 36.0 | 546 | LDI-5 | 42 39 20.0 | 083 04 36.0 | Cl. R. downstream of LDI-4 |
| 547 | | 083 04 25.0 | 547 | LDI-6 | 42 39 24.0 | 083 04 25.0 | CLINTON R AT RYAN RD BR; SHELBY |
| | | | 547 | 500201 | 42 39 24.0 | 083 04 25.0 | CLINTON R AT RYAN RD BR; SHELBY |
| 548 | | 083 04 07.0 | 548 | LDI-7 | | 083 04 07.0 | Cl. R. downstream of LDI-6 |
| 549 | | 083 03 35.0 | 549 | LDI-8 | | 083 03 35.0 | Cl. R. downstream of LDI-7 |
| 550 | | 083 03 23.0 | 550 | LDI-9 | | 083 03 23.0 | Cl. R. downstream of LDI-8 |
| 551 | | 083 02 18.0 | 551 | 500202 | | 083 02 18.0 | CLINTON R AT AUBURN RD; CITY OF |
| 552 | 42 37 14.0 | 083 01 55.0 | 552 | CRWC-6 | | 063 01 55.0 | CLINTON R AT VAN DYKE RD; CITY O |
| | | | 552 | SENCOG-9 | | 083 01 55.0 | CLINTON R AT VAN DYKE RD; CITY O |
| | | ADT AL 12 A | 552 | 500203 500204 | | 083 01 55.0 | CLINTON R AT VAN DYKE RD; CITY O CLINTON R AT H-53 BR: CITY OF ST |
| 553 | | 083 01 12.0 082 59 49.0 | 553 554 | - | | 083 01 12.0 082 59 49.0 | CLINTON R AT KLEINO RD; CITY OF |
| 554 555 | | 082 59 14.0 | 555 | 500206 - | 42 33 11.0 | 082 59 14.0 | CLINTON RW RANNLER SOLF COURSE I |
| 333 35 6 | | 082 58 14.0 | 556 | 500047 | 42 34 43.0 | 082 58 16.0 | CLINTON R AT HAYES RD BR; CLINTO |
| 336 | 42 34 13.0 | 007 70 18.A | 556 | CRNC-F | | 082 58 16.0 | Clinton River at Hayes Road |
| | | | 556 | CIYTWAR-HAYES R | | | Clinton River at Hayes Road |
| | | | | | | | |
| | SECTIO | 1 N 6 | | SECTION 6 | | | |
| 601 | 42 53 02 0 | 083 04 41.0 | 601 | DARNBB-1 | 42 53 02.0 | 083 04 41.0 | M. Branch at Fisher Road |
| 602 | | 083 04 27.0 | 602 | DNRNBB-2 | | 083 04 27.0 | N BR CLINTON R ABV BORDMAN RD:AL |
| \$03 | | 083 03 36.0 | 603 | DNRNBB-3 | | 083 03 36.0 | N. Branch at Hough Road N. of Almont |
| 604 | | 083 02 42.0 | 604 | DMRNBB-4 | | 083 02 42.0 | N BR CLINTON R AT H-53 BR; ALHON |
| | | | 604 | 440059 | 42 54 59.0 | 083 02 42.0 | N BR CLINTON R AT H-53 BR; ALMON |
| 605 | 42 55 16.0 | 083 02 08.0 | 605 | DMRMBB-6 | 42 55 16.0 | 083 02 08.0 | N BR CLINTON R AT KIDDER RD; ALMONT |
| | | | 605 | 440060 | 42 55 16.0 | 083 02 08.0 | N BR CLINTON R AT KIDDER RD; ALMONT |
| 606 | 42 55 17.0 | 083 01 46.0 | 606 | 440084 | 42 55 17.0 | 083 01 46.0 | N.BR. CLINTON R. AT ALMONT RD; ALMON |
| 607 | 42 55 28.0 | 083 00 30.0 | 607 | 440061 | | 082 00 30.0 | N BR CLINTON R AT HOUGH ROAD; ALMONT |
| | | | 607 | DMRN8B-7 | | 083 00 20.0 | N BR CLINTON R AT HOUGH ROAD; ALMONT |
| 904 | | 08 3 00 12.0 | 608 | 440102 | | 083 00 12.0 | N BR CLINTON R ABV BORDMAN RD; AL |
| 609 | | 083 00 04.0 | 609 | 440101 | | 083 00 04.0 | UMAMED TRIB, N OF BORDHAN RD; AL |
| 610 | | 083 00 58.5 | 610 | 500361 | | 083 00 58.5 | UNIMATED TRIB OFF BORDMAN RD; BRU |
| 611 | | 083 00 02.5 | 611 | 500362 | | 083 00 02.5 | N SR CLINTON R AT NCKAY RD, BRUC |
| 612 | | 082 58 43.0 082 58 50.0 | 612 613 | DMRNBB-10 DMRNBB-11 | | 082 58 43.0 082 58 50.0 | N. Branch at Armada Center Road N. Branch at 34 Hile Road |
| 613 614 | | 082 58 34.0 | 614 | DMRM98-12 | | 082 58 34.0 | N BR CLINTON R AT 33 MILE RD: AR |
| 917 | 42 47 10.0 | V02 30 31.V | 614 | 500241 | | 082 58 34.0 | N SR CLINTON R AT 33 HILE RD; AR |
| 615 | 42 50 29 0 | 083 04 39.0 | 615 | 500289 | | 083 04 39.0 | EAST POND CR. AT UNMANED RD; BRU |
| 616 | | 083 04 13.0 | 616 | 500288 | | 083 04 13.0 | EAST POND CR. AT 34 HILE RD; BRU |
| 617 | | 083 04 11.0 | 617 | | | 083 04 11.0 | EAST POND CR. AT 33 HILE RD: BRU |
| 618 | | 083 01 12.0 | 618 | 500290 | | 083 01 12.0 | EAST POND CR. AT N-53 BR; BRUCE |
| 619 | | 083 00 35.0 | 619 | 500291 | 42 49 03.0 | | EAST POND CR. AT 33 HILE RD; BRU |
| 620 | | 082 59 17.0 | 620 | 500292 | | 092 59 17.0 | EAST POND CR. AT UNMANED RD; BRU |
| 621 | | 082 58 48.0 | 621 | 500110 | | 082 58 48.0 | EAST PORD CR. AT POWELL ROAD; RA |
| 622 | 42 48 18.0 | 082 58 05.0 | 622 | CRMC-H | 42 48 18.0 | 082 58 05.0 | N BR CLINTON R AT 32 HILE RD; RA |
| | | | 622 | 500242 | 42 48 18.0 | 082 58 05.0 | N BR CLINTON R AT 32 HILE RD; RA |
| | | *. * | 622 | SENCOG-17 | 42 48 18.0 | 082 58 05.0 | N BR CLINTON R AT 32 HILE RD; RA |
| | | | 622 | DKRN89-13 | | 082 58 05.0 | N BR CLINTON R AT 32 HILE RD; RA |
| 623 | 42 47 44.0 | 082 57 45.0 | 623 | 500243 | | 082 57 45.0 | N BR CLINTON R AT ROMED PLANK RD |
| | | | 623 | | . 42 47 44.0 | | N DR CLINTON R AT ROHED PLANK RD |
| 624 | | 082 53 05.0 | 624 | 500293 | | 082 53 05.0 | E.BR. COON CR. AT PROSPECT ROAD; |
| 625 | 42 50 01.0 | 082 53 04.0 | 625 | SENCOG-20 | | 062 53 04.0 | E.BR. COON CR. AT MORTH AVE.; AR |
| ,=. | 10 10 17 4 | ADD ED 44 6 | 625 | 500294 | | 082 53 04.0 | E.BR. COOM CR. AT MORTH AVE.; AR |
| 626 | | 082 52 40.0 | 626 | 500295 | | 082 57 40.0 | E.BR. COOM CR. AT 33 HILE RO; AR |
| 627 420 | | 082 52 18.0 | 627 628 | CRWC-I SENCOG-21 | | 082 52 18.0 082 52 55.0 | E. BRANCH COON CREEK AT 32 HILE ROAD Coon Cr. @ 26 Hile Rd. bridge |
| 628 429 | | 082 52 55.0 082 55 15.0 | 629 | DNRMDR-1 | | 082 55 15.0 | McBride Drain at 24 Mile Road |
| 629 630 | | 082 54 40.0 | 630 | DNRHDR-2 | | 082 54 40.0 | McBride Brain between 24 & 23 Ni. Rd |
| 631 | | 082 54 05.0 | 921 | DMRMDR-3 | | 082 54 05.0 | McBride Drain 23 Hile Road |
| 421 | 74 TV 47.0 | AND AN ARIA | | | 1- 14 PISA | TUIT | |

Table 4.5. continued.

| . 6 | 32 | 42 37 45.0 | 082 53 21.0 | 632 | 500045 | | 42 37 | 45.0 | 082 53 | 21.0 | N BR CLINTON R AT M59 BRIDGE; MA |
|-----|----------|------------|-------------|------------|-----------|-------|-------|------|----------------|------|--|
| | | | | 632 | SEMCO6-19 | | 42 37 | 45.0 | 082 53 | 21.0 | North Branch @ Hall Rd. bridge |
| 6 | 33 | 42 37 11.0 | 082 53 53.0 | 422 | DNR6DR-1 | | 42 37 | 11.0 | 082 53 | 53.0 | N. Branch above inlet of Greiner Dr. |
| 6 | 34 | 42 36 45.0 | 082 53 25.0 | 634 | DNRGDR-3 | | 42 36 | 45.0 | 082 5 3 | 25.0 | Greiner Drain at M-97 |
| 6 | 35 | 42 37 07.0 | 082 53 54.0 | 635 | DNRGDR-2 | | 42 37 | 07.0 | 082 53 | 54.0 | N. Branch below inlet of Greiner Dr. |
| 6 | 36 | 42 44 32.0 | 083 01 40.0 | 636 | 500286 | | 42 44 | | 083 01 | | TAFT DRAIN AT M-53 BR; WASHINGTO |
| 6 | 37 | 42 44 21.0 | 083 01 04.0 | 637 | 500285 | | 42 44 | 21.0 | 10 280 | 04.0 | TAFT DRAIN AT JEWELL RD; WASHING |
| 6 | 38 | 42 43 45.0 | 083 01 00.0 | 928 | 500284 | | 42 43 | 45.0 | 083 01 | 00.0 | YATES DRAIN AT 27 MILE RD; WASHI |
| 6 | 39 | 42 36 20.0 | 082 55 04.0 | 639 | SENCOG-18 | | 42 36 | 20.0 | 082 55 | 04.0 | Middle Cl. at Heydereich Rd. bridge |
| 4 | 40 | 42 36 04.0 | 082 54 34.0 | 640 | 500210 | | 42 36 | 04.0 | 082 54 | 34.0 | N BR CLINTON AT CASS AVE BRIDGE; |
| | | | | 640 | CRWC-C | | 42 36 | 04.0 | 082 54 | 34.0 | N BR CLINTON AT CASS AVE BRIDGE; |
| | | SECTIO | N 7 | | SECTI | 0 N 7 | | | | | |
| 7 | 01 | 42 28 11.0 | 082 52 58.0 | 701 | 500007 | | 42 28 | 11.0 | 082 52 | 58.0 | L ST CLAIR. IN ST CLAIR SHORES: |
| | 02 | 42 30 13.0 | 082 50 04.0 | 702 | 500411 | | 42 30 | | 082 50 | | L ST CLAIR 2.5HI OFF FOOT SOCIA |
| | 03 | | 082 51 09.0 | 703 | 500410 | | 42 30 | | 082 51 | | L ST CLAIR 1.25MI OFF FOOT SOCIA |
| | 04 | | 082 52 12.0 | 704 | 500409 | | 42 31 | | 082 52 | | L ST CLAIR 0.25MI OFF FOOT SOCIA |
| | 05 | 42 31 36.0 | 082 52 15.0 | 705 | 500006 | | 42 31 | | 082 52 | | L ST CLAIR, IN ST CLAIR SHORES; |
| | 06 | 42 31 44.0 | 082 54 43.0 | 706 | 500005 | | 42 31 | | 082 54 | | L ST CLAIR, IN ST CLAIR SHORES; |
| | 07 | | 082 49 21.0 | 707 | 500408 | • | 42 32 | | 082 49 | | L ST CLAIR 1.75HI OFF FOOT ORCHI |
| | 08 | | 082 48 00.0 | 708 | 500403 | | 42 32 | | 082 48 | | L ST CLAIR 3.0HI OFF CUTOFF, BEA |
| | 09 | 42 32 17.0 | 082 49 51.0 | 709 | 500407 | | 42 32 | * | 082 49 | | L ST CLAIR 1.25HI OFF FOOT ORCHI |
| | 10 | 42 32 23.0 | 082 48 15.0 | 710 | 500399 | | 42 32 | | 082 48 | | L ST CLAIR 2.0NI OFF NETRO BEACH |
| | 11 | | 082 50 22.0 | 711 | 500406 | | 42 32 | | 082 50 | | L ST CLAIR 0.75HI OFF FOOT ORCHI |
| | 12 | 42 32 37.0 | 082 48 57.0 | 712 | 500402 | | 42 32 | | 082 48 | | L ST CLAIR 2.0HI OFF CUTOFF, BEA |
| | 13 | 42 32 38.0 | 082 50 37.0 | 713 | 500405 | | 42 32 | | 082 50 | | L ST CLAIR 0.5MI OFF FOOT ORCHID |
| | 14 | 42 32 45.0 | 082 50 52.0 | 714 | 500404 | | 42 32 | | 082 50 | | L ST CLAIR 0.25MI OFF FOOT ORCHI |
| | 15 | | 082 48 08.0 | 715 | 500398 | | 42 32 | | 082 48 | | L ST CLAIR 1.5MI OFF METRO BEACH |
| | 16 | | 082 49 54.0 | 716 | 500401 | | 42 33 | | 082 49 | | L ST CLAIR 1.0MI OFF CUTOFF, BEA |
| | 17 | 42 33 23.0 | 082 48 02.0 | 717 | 500397 | | 42 33 | | 082 48 | | L ST CLAIR 1.0MI OFF METRO BEACH |
| | 18 | 42 33 26.0 | 082 50 23.0 | 718 | 500400 | | 42 33 | | 082 50 | | L ST CLAIR 0.5HI OFF CUTOFF, BEA |
| | 19 | 42 33 31.0 | 082 45 40.0 | 719 | 500391 | | 42 33 | | 082 45 | | L ST CLAIR 1.35 HI OFF BLACK CRK |
| | 20 | 42 33 36.0 | 082 47 58.0 | 720 | 500396 | | 42 33 | | 082 47 | | L ST CLAIR 0.75MI OFF METRO BEAC |
| | 21 | 42 33 43.0 | 082 46 12.0 | 721 | 500390 | | 42 33 | | 082 46 | | L ST CLAIR O.85MI OFF BLACK CR B |
| | 22 | 42 33 49.0 | 082 46 27.0 | 722 | 500389 | | 42 33 | | 082 46 | | L ST CLAIR O.6MI OFF BLACK CRK B |
| | 23 | 42 33 49.0 | 082 47 55.0 | 723 | 500395 | | 42 33 | | 082 47 | | L ST CLAIR 0.5 MI OFF METRO BEAC |
| | 24 24 | 42 33 54.0 | 082 46 43.0 | 724 | 500388 | | 42 33 | | 082 46 | | L ST CLAIR, 0.35HI OFF BLACK CRK |
| | 25 25 | 42 33 58.0 | 082 46 53.0 | 725 | 500387 | | 42 33 | | 082 46 | | L ST CLAIR 0.2MI FROM BLACK CRK |
| | 26 26 | 42 34 02.0 | 082 47 51.0 | 726 | 500394 | | 42 34 | | 082 47 | | L ST CLAIR .25 HI OFF HETRO BEAC |
| | 20 27 | 42 34 03.0 | 082 47 04.0 | 727 | 500386 | | 42 34 | | 082 47 | | L ST CLAIRE MOUTH BLACK CREEK BT |
| | 28 28 | | 082 47 21.0 | 728 | 500001 | | 42 34 | | 082 47 | | L ST CLAIR, NEAR MT CLEMENS; HAR |
| _ | | 42 34 06.0 | 082 49 53.0 | 729 | 500004 | | | | 082 49 | | L ST CLAIR, NEAR HT CLEMENS; HAR |
| | 29 7^ | | 082 47 21.0 | 730 | 500002 | | | | 082 47 | | L ST CLAIR, NEAR NT CLENENS; HAR |
| | 30 71 | | 082 48 03.0 | 731 | 500003 | | | | 082 48 | | L ST CLAIR, NEAR MT CLEMENS; HAR |
| | 31 | | | | 500393 | | 42 34 | | | | L ST CLAIR L'ANSE CREUSE BAY AT |
| | 32 | | 082 47 47.0 | 732 | 500384 | | 42 35 | | | | L ST CLAIR CLINTON R CHANNEL 0.8 |
| | 33 | | 082 45 12.0 | 733 | | | | | 082 45 | | L ST CLAIR CLINTON R CHANNEL 0.3 |
| | 34 | | 082 45 45.0 | 734 | 500383 | | | | | | |
| | 35 | | 082 46 10.0 | 735 | 500382 | | 42 35 | | | | L ST CLAIR, CLINTON RIVER MOUTH, L ST CLAIR@BELVIDERE BAY INLET B |
| | 36 | | 082 47 33.0 | 736 | 500379 | | 42 36 | | | | |
| | 37 | | 082 47 13.0 | 737 770 | 500380 | | 42 36 | | | | L ST CLAIR BELVIDERE BAY 0.25MI |
| | 38 | | 082 46 22.0 | 738 | 500381 | | 42 36 | | | | L ST CLAIR BELVIDERE BAY INI ENE |
| | 39 | | 082 47 38.0 | 739 | 500376 | | | | 082 47 | | LK ST CLAIR & INLET TO BLUE LAGO |
| | 40 | | 082 47 31.0 | 740 | 500377 | | 42 36 | | | | L ST CLAIR .25HI NE OF INLET BLU |
| | 41 | 42 37 10.0 | | 741 | 500378 | | | | 082 47 | | L ST CLAIR INI NE OF INLET TO BL |
| | 42 | | 082 44 53.0 | 742 | 500392 | | | | 082 44 | | L ST CLAIR 2.1NI OFF BLACK CRK B |
| . 7 | 43 | 42 35 38.0 | 082 44 45.0 | 743 | 500385 | | 42 33 | 28.0 | 082 44 | 12.0 | L ST CLAIR 1.2 HI E OF CLINTON R |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |

Table 4.5. continued.

| | | A00 E1 60 0 | 744 | 407070440044404 | 40 70 70 4 | 400 54 40 4 | 1 47 44 444 |
|-----|------------|-------------|-----|-----------------|------------|---------------------|--------------------------------------|
| 744 | 42 32 38.0 | 082 51 08.0 | 744 | 423238008251080 | 42 32 38.0 | 082 51 08.0 | L. ST. CLAIR |
| 745 | 42 34 08.0 | 082 49 02.0 | 745 | 423408008249020 | 42 34 08.0 | 082 49 02.0 | L. ST. CLAIR |
| 746 | 42 35 00.0 | 082 45 00.0 | 746 | 423500000245000 | 42 35 00.0 | 082 45 00.0 | L. ST. CLAIR |
| 747 | 42 37 30.0 | 082 45 00.0 | 747 | 423730008245000 | 42 37 30.0 | 082 45 00.0 | L. ST. CLAIR |
| 748 | 42 37 30.0 | 082 47 30.0 | 748 | 423730008247300 | 42 37 30.0 | 082 47 30.0 | L. ST. CLAIR |
| 749 | 42 35 24.0 | 082 44 32.0 | 749 | 423524008244320 | 42 35 24.0 | 082 44 32.0 | L. ST. CLAIR |
| 750 | 42 35 39.0 | 082 46 04.0 | 750 | 500329 | 42 35 39.0 | 082 46 04.0 | L. ST. CLAIR & CLINTON RIVER HOUTH |
| | | | 750 | COE-7 | 42 35 39.0 | 062 46 04.0 | L. ST. CLAIR & CLINTON RIVER HOUTH |
| 751 | 42 36 21.0 | 082 45 57.0 | 751 | 500327 | 42 36 21.0 | 082 45 57.0 | L. ST. CLAIR .5 HI HME CLINTON R. |
| 752 | 42 34 50.0 | 082 46 23.0 | 752 | 500331 | 42 34 50.0 | 082 46 23.0 | L. ST. CLAIR .5 MI SOUTH CLINTON R. |
| 753 | 42 33 08.0 | 082 47 02.0 | 753 | 500332 | 42 33 08.0 | 082 47 02.0 | L. ST. CLAIR 0.8 MI SOUTH PT. HURON |
| 754 | 42 33 31.0 | 082 49 23.0 | 754 | 500334 | 42 33 31.0 | 082 49 23.0 | L. ST. CLAIR .5 HI E. CLINTON SPILLN |
| 755 | 42 33 13.0 | 082 50 20.0 | 755 | 500336 | 42 33 13.0 | 08 2 50 20.0 | L. ST. CLAIR 0.7 NI SOUTH LAKESIDE |
| 756 | 42 33 09.0 | 082 49 17.0 | 756 | 500338 - | 42 33 09.0 | 082 49 17.0 | L. ST. CLAIR 2 HI SE SPILLWAY MOUTH |
| 757 | 42 32 49.0 | 082 50 03.0 | 757 | 500339 | 42 32 49.0 | 082 50 03.0 | L. ST. CLAIR 2 NI S SPILLNAY MOUTH |
| 758 | 42 31 24.0 | 082 47 45.0 | 758 | 500340 | 42 31 24.0 | 082 47 45.0 | L ST. CLAIR 2 MI E MEMORIAL PARK |

Table 4.6 Chemicals Having a Final Rule 57(2) Guideline (in ug/l).

| CHEMICAL | RULE 57(2) ALLOWABLE LEVELS (with dates) |
|---|--|
| DDT 50-29-3 | 1.3 x 10 ⁻⁴ 2/84 |
| PCBs Class 07-9 | 2 x 10 ⁻⁵ 11/86 |
| CHLOROFORM 67-66-3 | 4.3 x 10 ¹ 1/87 |
| TETRACHLOROETHYLENE 127-18-4 | 1.59 x 10 ¹ 10/87 |
| TRICHLOROETHYLENE 79-01-6 | 9.4 x 10 ¹ 6/86 |
| PENTACHLOROPHENOL 87-86-5 | <pre>@ exp. (1.0051 ph-38604) 6/87</pre> |
| SILVEX 93-72-1 | 3 5/85 |
| LINDANE 58-89-9 | 9.7×10^{-2} $10/87$ |
| 1,1,1-TRICHLOROETHANE 71-55-6 | 1.17 x 10 ⁻² 9/87 |
| DEHP 117-81-7 | 2.4 x 10 ¹ |
| ALDRIN 309-00-2 | 9.5 x 10 ⁻² |
| HEPTACHLOR 76-44-2 | 1.1 x 10 ⁻³ 10/82 |
| DIELDRIN 60-57-1 | 1.1 x 10 ⁻² |
| trans-1,2-DICHLOROETHYLENE 7156-60-5 | 1.4 x 10 ³ 2/85 |
| MALATHION 121-75-5 | 2 x 10 ² |
| DIAZINON 333-41-5 | 2 x 10 ⁻³ |
| 2,4-D 94-75-7 | 3.6 x 10 ¹ |
| | |

NOTE: A list of references to the background development documents and the assumptions for these Clinton River Water Quality Criteria are presented in Appendix 4.7.

4.4.1 Clinton River Sediment Conventional Constituents

Results of sediments analyzed for the conventional constituents are shown in Table 4.7. Total solids are the percent of the total sediments left after low temperature moisture removal. The greater the total solids value, the less oxygen the sediment will usually demand. The total volatile solids (TVS) are the combustible percent of the sediments. The greater the TVS value, the higher the sediment oxygen demand.

In Section 1, total solids ranged from 25 to 75% with most values between 40 and 60%, indicating relatively high water content. Sediments contained less water near the mouth in Section 1 than upstream. TVS ranged from less than 1 to 24% with greater TVS upstream and the lowest amount near the mouth, reflecting the depositional pattern of organic material in Section 1.

The total organic carbon (TOC) and the chemical oxygen demand (COD) are a measure of the organic carbon content of sediments with the COD method using stronger oxidants than the TOC method. Both are a measure of the sediment oxygen demand which removes oxygen from the overlaying water. In Section 1, COD was generally highest near the upstream stations and decreased near the River Mouth. There was also a decrease in sediment COD values from 1970 to 1985 at each station. COD results reported as COE-1975-1 through COE-1975-7 appear to be significantly higher than other analyses and probably should be disregarded.

The total phosphorus concentration represents the phosphorus reservoir in the sediments. While phosphorus is generally bound to the sediment particles, it may become available to the overlaying water under certain anaerobic conditions.

In Section 1, the highest total phosphorus concentrations were found at the most upstream stations (i.e., stations upstream of station 123). At each station, sediment total phosphorus concentrations decreased from 1970 to 1983.

Conventional sediment contaminant data from the rest of the watershed is sparse with none at all for Section 6. Over the years, TVS concentrations in the remaining sections were similar to TVS concentrations at the Clinton River/Lake St. Clair interface containing approximately one half to one third of the TVS present in Section 1. Total solids in Sections 2, 3, and 4 were very similar to Section 1, but Section 5 contained approximately one third less total solids than other river sections. Sediment total phosphorus concentrations in Section 4 were well above the average total phosphorus concentrations in Section 1, while Sections 2, 3 and 5 sediments contained about two-thirds of the total phosphorus found in Section 1 sediments.

Total Kjeldahl nitrogen concentrations in Sections 2, 3 and 4 were approximately one third of concentrations found in Section 1, while TKN in Section 5 were one tenth those found in Section 1 sediments.

4.4.2 Clinton River Sediment Heavy Metals Contaminants

Sediment heavy metal concentrations for all sections of the Clinton River are shown in Table 4.8. Sediment metals data are reviewed from upstream

Table 4 . $^{7/2}$ Selected conventional contaminant constituents in Clinton River sediments, 1970 - 1986. Results in mg/kg dry weight unless noted.

| Name | | sodi pol | nts, 1970 - | 1905. Rest | Ats in mg | rke dry ue | ight un | less no | ted. |
|--|---------|-------------|--------------|------------|------------|---------------|---------|----------|------------|
| 102-T0 EPR-1970-R 18.64 30.2 27,000 960.0 4,600 1,4000 102-89 200-198-9 8.00 52.0 27,000 110,000 1,4000 1,4000 102-89 200-198-9 8.00 52.0 27,000 110,000 1,4000 1,4000 102-89 20.00 12,0000 1,4000 1,4000 102-89 20.00 12,0000 1,4000 1,4000 102-89 20.00 12,0000 102-89 20.00 12,0000 102-89 20.00 12,0000 102-89 20.00 12,0000 102-89 20.00 12,0000 102-89 20.00 12,0000 102-89 20.00 12,0000 102-89 20.00 12,0000 102-89 20.00 12,0000 102-89 20.00 12,0000 102-89 20.00 12,0000 102-89 20.00 12,0000 102-89 20.00 12,0000 102-89 20.00 12,0000 12,0000 102-89 12,000 12,00 | | STATION | Volatile. | | Organic | COD | NHS | Kjeld | Phosphorus |
| 102-03 COZ-1983-0 10.50 52.0 27,000 110,0006 2,000 1,4000 105-75 COZ-1975-1 10.50 36 36,000 2,0000 2,0000 105-75 COZ-1975-1 10.50 36 36,000 475,0000 2,0000 105-75 COZ-1975-2 24.26 32 475,0000 20.00 6,200 1,4000 105-75 COZ-1983-7 10.00 47.0 52,000 475,000 6,200 1,4000 106-76 DNR-500214-76 3.2 48 52,94444 26.1 10.00 108-03 COZ-1983-6 8.00 46.0 37,000 53,000 2,1000 108-03 COZ-1983-6 8.00 46.0 37,000 53,000 2,200 3,460 1,5000 108-03 COZ-1983-6 8.00 46.0 37,000 53,000 2,20.0 36,000 1,2000 110-03 COZ-1983-5 8.00 50.0 26,000 51,000 2,255 110-03 COZ-1983-5 8.00 50.0 26,000 51,000 2,255 110-03 COZ-1983-5 8.00 50.0 26,000 51,000 2,200 3,6000 112-01 EPA-1981-3 8.30 59.7 110,0000 710.0 3,200 3,6000 113-70 EPA-1970-C 14.40 35.0 30,000 31,0000 2,15000 113-75 COZ-1975-4 10.66 33 30,000 31,0000 2,1500 113-75 COZ-1975-4 10.66 33 30,000 31,0000 2,15000 1,5000 113-70 EPA-1970-C 14.40 35.0 30,000 31,0000 2,1500 2,1500 113-70 EPA-1970-C 14.40 35.0 30,000 31,0000 2,200 1,5000 115-00 115-00 COZ-1983-4 9.00 30,000 31,0000 20.0 3,000 1,5000 115-00 COZ-1983-5 6.00 61.6 26,000 44,000 10.00 2,500 3,000 17,5000 117-03 EPA-1975-0 12.00 45.0 17,000 33,000 20.0 2,000 47,000 117-03 EPA-1975-1 8.90 39.7 120,0000 20.0 2,000 3,000 6700 117-03 EPA-1975-1 8.90 39.7 120,0000 20.0 2,000 3,000 2,000 117-05 EPA-1975-1 8.90 39.7 120,0000 20.0 2,000 3,000 120.0 2,000 120.0 2,000 120.0 2,000 120.0 2,000 3,100 620 120-75 EPA-1975-2 7.5 42.2 9.000 120.0 2,000 3,000 120.0 2,000 | SECT | I O N 1. | NATURAL CHA | NNEL, CLIN | ITON RIVER | , DOHNSTRE | AM OF T | HE SPILI | LHAY. |
| 103-75 COE-1975-1 10.50 36 36,000 310.0 18,000 1,4000 100-1500 1,4000 100-1500 1,4000 1,4000 100-1500 1,4000 1,4000 100-1500 1,4000 100-1500 1,5000 1,4000 100-1500 1,5000 1,4000 100-15 1,5000 1,4000 100-15 1,5000 1,4000 100-15 1,5000 1,4000 100-15 1,5000 1,4000 100-15 1,5000 1,4000 100-15 1,5000 1,4000 100-15 1,5000 1,4000 100-15 1,5000 1,4000 100-15 1,5000 1,4000 100-15 1,5000 1,4000 | 102-70 | EPA-1970-R | 10.60 | 30.2 | | 200,0000 | 960.0 | | 4,6000 |
| 103-75 COE-1975-1 10.5e 36 3e9,000e 2,061 104-81 EPA-1981-5 10.1e 120,000e 3,500 2,000e 105-75 COE-1975-2 24.2e 32 47,000e 47,00 52,000 55,000 20.0 6,200 1,400e 106-76 DNR-500214-76 3.2 4e 2,000 52,000e 5,000 2,100e 107-81 EPA-1981-4 18.2e 26.2 250,000e 5,000 2,100e 108-73 EPA-1973-B 14.3e 4e.1 37,000 53,000 2,100e 109-75 COE-1975-3 10.7e 37 405,000e 2,555 110-83 COE-1983-5 8.0e 50.0 26,000 51,000e 2,555 110-83 COE-1983-5 8.0e 50.0 26,000 51,000e 2,555 110-83 COE-1983-6 8.0e 50.0 26,000 51,000e 2,555 113-73 EPA-1970-C 14.4e 32.2 130,000e 710.0 4,180 1,540e 113-75 COE-1975-3 10.5e 39 30,000 51,000e 2,100e 113-75 COE-1975-4 10.5e 39 30,000 51,000e 2,100e 115-80 COE-1983-6 8.0e 30 0,000 1,500e 115-80 COE-1983-6 8.0e 61.6 26,000 44,000 150.0 27,000 1,500e 117-73 EPA-1973-0 13,8e 45.8 117-83 COE-1983-1 7.0e 41.1 39,000 250.0 3,400 2,000 1,500e 117-80 EPA-1975-1 8.9e 39.7 120,000e 250.0 3,400 2,900e 117-85 EPA-1975-1 8.9e 39.7 120,000e 250.0 3,400 2,900e 120-75 EPA-1975-3 7.2e 40.5 71,000 330.0 2,200 1,000e 122-75 EPA-1975-5 5.4e 45.2 91,000e 300.0 49,000 300.0 2,200 2,500e 122-75 EPA-1975-5 5.4e 45.2 91,000e 300.0 140.0 2,200 1,000e 122-75 EPA-1975-5 5.4e 45.2 91,000e 300.0 300.0 2,200 2,500e 122-75 EPA-1975-5 5.4e 45.2 91,000e 300.0 300.0 2,200 2,500e 122-75 EPA-1975-5 5.4e 45.2 91,000e 300.0 300.0 327 320 327 3 | | | | | | | *** | | |
| 104-81 EPR-1981-5 10.18 | 102-63 | COE-1303-A | 8.01 | 52.0 | 27,000 | 63,000+ | 310.0 | 10,000 | 1,4000 |
| 105-75 COE-1975-2 14.20 | 103-75 | COE-1975-1 | 10.50 | 36 | | 309,0000 | | 2,861 | |
| 105-03 COE_1983-7 10.00 47.0 \$2,000 65,000 200.0 6,200 1,4000 106-76 DNR-SD0214-76 3.2 48 22.9 250,0000 5,000 2,1000 107-01 EPR-1981-4 18.20 26.2 250,0000 5,000 2,1000 108-03 COE_1983-6 0.00 46.0 37,000 55,0000 220.0 36,000 1,5000 108-03 COE_1983-6 0.00 46.0 37,000 55,0000 220.0 36,000 1,5000 109-75 COE_1975-3 10.70 37 405,0000 2,535 110-03 COE_1983-5 0.00 50.0 26,000 51,000 200.0 4,500 1,2000 112-01 EPR-1981-3 0.30 59.7 110,0000 710.0 2,500 1,5000 113-70 EPR-1970-C 14.40 32.2 130,0000 710.0 4,100 1,5000 113-75 COE_1975-4 10.50 39 30,000 56,000 2,154 115-03 COE_1983-4 30.0 50.00 50,000 200.0 36,000 1,5000 115-03 COE_1983-4 30.0 50,000 56,000 200.0 36,000 1,5000 115-03 COE_1983-3 6.00 61.6 26,000 44,000 150.0 27,000 1,5000 117-03 COE_1983-3 6.00 61.6 26,000 44,000 150.0 27,000 1,5000 117-03 COE_1983-3 6.00 61.6 26,000 44,000 150.0 27,000 1,5000 117-03 COE_1983-3 6.00 52.0 17,000 33,000 120.0 45,000 6700 33,000 117-03 COE_1983-15 6.00 52.0 17,000 33,000 120.0 45,000 6700 117-05 EPR-1981-1 3.5 61.6 41,0000 1,100 1,5000 117-05 EPR-1981-15 0.00 52.0 117-05 COE_1983-2 6.00 52.0 17,000 33,000 120.0 45,000 6700 33,000 117-05 EPR-1985-15 6.00 44.0 20.0 33,000 120.0 45,000 6700 117-05 EPR-1985-15 6.00 44.0 20.0 33,000 120.0 45,000 6700 33,000 120.0 | 104-81 | EPA-1981-5 | 10.10 | | | 120,0000 | | 3,500 | 2,0000 |
| 106-76 DNR-500214-76 3.2 48 52.9Mmm 107-81 EPR-1981-4 18.28 26.2 250,0000 5,000 2,1000 108-73 EPR-1973-8 14.38 48.1 158.0000 2,000 1,2000 109-75 COE-1975-3 10.78 37 405,0000 2,000 3,450 1,2000 112-81 EPR-1981-3 8.00 50.0 26,000 51,000 200.0 4,500 1,2000 112-81 EPR-1981-3 8.38 59.7 110,0000 710.0 2,6000 113-73 EPR-1970-C 14.48 32.2 130,0000 710.0 2,6000 113-73 EPR-1970-C 14.49 39.0 331,0000 2,154 2, | | | | | | 475,0000 | | 2,675 | |
| 107-01 EPR-1901-4 18.20 26.2 250,0000 5,000 2,1000 106-73 EPR-1973-8 14.30 48.1 37,000 53,000 20.0 36,000 1,2000 109-75 COE-1975-3 10.70 37 405,0000 2,555 110-03 COE-1903-6 6.0 50.0 26,000 51,000 20.0 4,500 1,2000 112-01 EPR-1901-3 6.30 59.7 110,0000 3,200 3,6000 113-70 EPR-1973-C 14.40 32.2 130,0000 710.0 2,500 113-75 COE-1975-4 10.60 39 30,000 58,000 2,504 2,544 113-75 COE-1975-4 10.60 39 30,000 58,000 20.0 36,000 1,5000 114-01 EPR-1901-2 7.30 65.0 75,0000 2,500 2,4000 115-03 COE-1903-3 6.00 61.6 26,000 44,0000 150.0 27,000 1,2000 117-73 EPR-1975-0 13.00 45.0 17,000 33,000 20.0 49,000 6700 117-73 EPR-1975-1 13.00 45.0 17,000 33,000 20.0 49,000 6700 117-75 EPR-1975-1 6.00 52.0 17,000 33,000 20.0 49,000 6700 117-75 EPR-1975-1 6.90 39.7 120,0000 20.0 3,400 2,9000 118-75 EPR-1975-2 7.50 42.2 96,0000 200.0 3,100 2,9000 119-75 EPR-1975-3 7.20 40.5 71,000 310.0 2,200 1,9000 120-75 EPR-1975-5 5.40 51.4 75,0000 140.0 2,200 1,9000 122-75 EPR-1975-5 5.40 51.4 75,0000 130.0 2,200 2,5000 123-75 EPR-1975-5 5.40 51.4 75,0000 300.0 2,200 2,5000 123-75 EPR-1975-5 5.40 51.4 75,0000 300.0 2,200 2,5000 123-75 EPR-1975-5 5.40 51.4 75,0000 53.0 32.7 124-80 COE-1985-1 6.20 45.2 91,0000 300.0 2,200 2,5000 125-70 EPR-1975-5 5.40 51.4 55.90 53.0 29.90 54.0 6,800 410.0 125-70 EPR-1975-7 6.90 61.4 5,900 53.0 29.90 140.0 25.20 | 105-83 | COE-1983-7 | 10.00 | 47.0 | 52,000 | 65,000+ | 200.0 | 6,200 | 1,4000 |
| 100-73 EPA-1973-8 14.30 46.1 37,000 53,000 2,000 1,5000 1,2000 109-75 COE-1983-5 6.0 46.0 37,000 53,000 220.0 36,000 1,2000 109-75 COE-1975-3 10.70 37 405,0000 2,535 110-03 COE-1983-5 8.0 50.0 26,000 51,000 200.0 4,500 1,2000 112-01 EPA-1981-3 8.30 59.7 110,0000 710.0 3,200 3,6000 113-70 EPA-1973-C 14.40 32.2 150,0000 710.0 4,180 1,5400 113-75 EPA-1973-C 14.90 39.0 30,000 710.0 4,180 1,5400 113-75 COE-1975-4 10.50 38 30,000 58,000 200.0 39,000 1,5000 113-05 COE-1975-4 10.50 38 30,000 58,000 200.0 39,000 1,5000 115-03 COE-1983-4 9.00 61.6 26,000 44,000 150.0 27,000 1,5000 116-01 EPA-1981-2 7.30 65.0 75,000 44,000 150.0 27,000 1,5000 117-03 COE-1983-5 6.00 61.6 26,000 44,000 150.0 27,000 1,5000 117-03 EPA-1973-D 13.80 45.8 117-03 COE-1983-2 6.00 52.0 17,000 33,000 120.0 49,000 6700 117-08 EPA-1985-15A 7.00 41.1 130 38,000 290.0 3,400 2,9000 110-75 EPA-1975-1 8.90 39.7 120,0000 290.0 3,400 2,9000 110-75 EPA-1975-5 6.00 44.2 93.1 120-000 200.0 3,400 2,9000 110-75 EPA-1975-3 7.20 40.5 71,000 150.0 2,000 140.0 2,000 120-75 EPA-1975-5 5.40 40.9 80,000 140.0 2,000 140.0 2,000 122-75 EPA-1975-5 5.40 51.4 75,000 150.0 2,000 140.0 2,000 122-75 EPA-1975-5 5.40 51.4 75,000 150.0 2,000 2,5000 122-75 EPA-1975-5 5.40 51.40 52.0 20,000 29,000 54.0 6,000 410.0 125-75 EPA-1975-7 1.5 69.0 | 106~76 | DNR-500214- | 76 3.2 | | | | | 2,800 | 9200 |
| 109-03 COE-1903-6 0.0 46.0 37,000 53,000 220.0 36,000 1,2000 109-75 COE-1975-3 10.70 37 405,0000 2,555 110-03 COE-1903-5 0.0 50.0 26,000 51,000 200.0 4,500 1,2000 112-01 EPA-1901-3 0.30 59.7 110,0000 710.0 3,200 3,6000 113-73 EPA-1970-C 14.40 32.2 130,0000 2,154 1,5400 113-73 EPA-1975-4 10.50 39 30,000 51,0000 2,154 1,5400 113-75 COE-1975-4 10.50 39 30,000 51,0000 2,154 1,5000 113-03 COE-1903-4 9.00 30,000 51,0000 2,500 2,4000 1,5000 113-03 COE-1903-4 9.00 61.6 26,000 44,000 150.0 27,000 1,2000 115-03 COE-1903-3 6.0 61.6 26,000 44,000 150.0 27,000 1,2000 116-01 EPA-1901-1 3.5 61.6 41,000 1,100 1,5000 117-03 COE-1903-2 6.0 52.0 17,000 33,000 120.0 49,000 6700 117-05 EPA-1905-15A 7.0 41.1 39,000 270.0 2,900 3,1000 119-75 COE-1903-2 6.0 52.0 17,000 33,000 120.0 49,000 6700 119-75 EPA-1975-1 8.90 39.7 120,0000 270.0 2,900 3,1000 119-75 EPA-1975-5 6.90 44.2 96,0000 200.0 3,400 2,9000 119-75 EPA-1975-5 6.90 44.2 96,0000 200.0 3,100 2,0000 120-75 EPA-1975-3 7.20 40.5 71,0000 150.0 2,200 1,0000 122-75 EPA-1975-5 5.40 40.9 80,0000 100.0 2,200 1,0000 122-75 EPA-1975-5 5.40 51.4 75,0000 150.0 2,200 2,5000 122-70 EPA-1975-5 5.40 51.4 75,000 53.0 653 122-70 EPA-1975-7 1.5 69.0 52.0 20,000 29,000 54.0 6,000 4100 125-70 EPA-1975-7 1.5 69.0 7,060 125-70 EPA-1975-7 1.5 69.0 7,060 125-70 EPA-1975-7 1.5 69.0 52.0 52.0 52.0 52.0 52.0 52.0 52.0 52 | 107-81 | EPA-1981-4 | 10.20 | 26.2 | | 250,0000 | | 5,000 | 2,1004 |
| 109-03 COE-1903-6 0.0 46.0 37,000 53,000 220.0 36,000 1,2000 109-75 COE-1975-3 10.70 37 405,0000 2,555 110-03 COE-1903-5 0.0 50.0 26,000 51,000 200.0 4,500 1,2000 112-01 EPA-1901-3 0.30 59.7 110,0000 710.0 3,200 3,6000 113-73 EPA-1970-C 14.40 32.2 130,0000 2,154 1,5400 113-73 EPA-1975-4 10.50 39 30,000 51,0000 2,154 1,5400 113-75 COE-1975-4 10.50 39 30,000 51,0000 2,154 1,5000 113-03 COE-1903-4 9.00 30,000 51,0000 2,500 2,4000 1,5000 113-03 COE-1903-4 9.00 61.6 26,000 44,000 150.0 27,000 1,2000 115-03 COE-1903-3 6.0 61.6 26,000 44,000 150.0 27,000 1,2000 116-01 EPA-1901-1 3.5 61.6 41,000 1,100 1,5000 117-03 COE-1903-2 6.0 52.0 17,000 33,000 120.0 49,000 6700 117-05 EPA-1905-15A 7.0 41.1 39,000 270.0 2,900 3,1000 119-75 COE-1903-2 6.0 52.0 17,000 33,000 120.0 49,000 6700 119-75 EPA-1975-1 8.90 39.7 120,0000 270.0 2,900 3,1000 119-75 EPA-1975-5 6.90 44.2 96,0000 200.0 3,400 2,9000 119-75 EPA-1975-5 6.90 44.2 96,0000 200.0 3,100 2,0000 120-75 EPA-1975-3 7.20 40.5 71,0000 150.0 2,200 1,0000 122-75 EPA-1975-5 5.40 40.9 80,0000 100.0 2,200 1,0000 122-75 EPA-1975-5 5.40 51.4 75,0000 150.0 2,200 2,5000 122-70 EPA-1975-5 5.40 51.4 75,000 53.0 653 122-70 EPA-1975-7 1.5 69.0 52.0 20,000 29,000 54.0 6,000 4100 125-70 EPA-1975-7 1.5 69.0 7,060 125-70 EPA-1975-7 1.5 69.0 7,060 125-70 EPA-1975-7 1.5 69.0 52.0 52.0 52.0 52.0 52.0 52.0 52.0 52 | 108-73 | EPA-1973-B | 14.30 | 48.1 | | 158,0000 | | 3,460 | 1,5404 |
| 110-83 COE-1983-5 | | | | | 37,000 | | 220.0 | | |
| 112-81 EPR-1981-3 | 109-75 | COE-1975-3 | 10.70 | 37 | | 405,0004 | | 2,535 | |
| 113-70 EPR-1970-C 14.44 32.2 130,0000 710.0 4,100 1,5400 113-73 EPR-1973-C 14.94 39.0 39.0 2,154 160,0000 2,154 113-83 COE-1983-4 9.00 30,000 58,000 250.00 2,154 1,5400 1,5400 113-83 COE-1983-4 9.00 30,000 58,000 250.00 2,4000 1,5000 114-01 EPR-1981-2 7.3+ 65.0 75,000+ 250.0 27,000 1,2000 115-03 COE-1983-3 6.0+ 61.6 26,000 44,000+ 150.0 27,000 1,2000 116-01 EPR-1981-1 3.5 61.6 41,000+ 1,100 1,5000 117-73 EPR-1973-D 13.80 45.8 117-03 COE-1993-2 6.0+ 52.0 17,000 33,000 120.0 49,000 6700 117-05 EPR-1983-15 7.0+ 41.1 30,000 20.0 2,900 3,1000 110-75 EPR-1975-1 0.9+ 39.7 120,0000 20.0 2,900 3,1000 110-75 EPR-1975-2 7.5+ 42.2 96,0000 20.0 3,100 2,0000 119-75 EPR-1975-2 7.5+ 42.2 96,0000 20.0 3,100 2,0000 119-05 EPR-1975-3 7.2+ 40.5 71,000+ 150.0 2,300 8500 120-75 EPR-1975-4 7.6+ 40.9 80,000+ 140.0 2,300 1,0000 122-75 EPR-1975-5 5.4+ 51.4 75,000+ 130.0 2,200 2,5000 122-75 EPR-1975-5 5.4+ 51.4 75,000+ 130.0 2,200 2,5000 122-75 EPR-1975-6 1.7 73 10,000 30.0 327 124-03 COE-1993-1 4.0 52.0 20,000 29,000 54.0 6,000 410+ 125-70 EPR-1975-6 1.7 73 10,000 30.0 29,000 54.0 6,000 410+ 125-70 EPR-1975-F 1.5 63.0 7,060 53.0 299 102 125-75 COE-1975-F 1.5 63.0 7,060 52.0 299 102 125-75 COE-1975-F 1.5 63.0 7,060 52.0 122-75 EPR-1975-F 1.5 63.0 7,060 52.0 299 102 125-75 COE-1975-F 1.5 63.0 7,060 52.0 299 102 125-75 COE-1975-F 1.5 63.0 7,060 52.0 122-00 62.3 | 110-03 | COE-1983-5 | 0. 0+ | 50.0 | 26,000 | £1,000+ | 200.0 | 4,500 | 1,2000 |
| 113-73 EPR-1975-C 14.96 39.0 | 112-81 | EPA-1981-3 | 0.30 | 59.7 | | 110,0000 | | 3,200 | 3,6004 |
| 113-75 | | EPA-1970-C | | | | 130,0000 | 710.0 | | |
| 113-83 COE-1983-4 9.08 30,000 58,000 230.0 38,000 1,5008 114-81 EPR-1981-2 7.34 65.0 75,0004 2,500 2,4008 115-83 COE-1983-3 6.04 61.6 26,000 44,0004 150.0 27,000 1,2008 116-81 EPR-1981-1 3.5 61.6 41,0004 1,100 1,5008 117-73 EPR-1973-D 13.88 45.8 131,0008 2,620 2,3208 117-83 COE-1983-2 6.04 52.0 17,000 33,000 120.0 49,000 6708 117-85 EPR-1985-15R 7.84 41.1 38,000 230.0 2,900 3,1008 118-75 EPR-1975-1 8.98 39.7 120,0008 230.0 3,400 2,9008 119-75 COE-1975-5 6.84 44 264,0008 1,427 119-75 EPR-1975-2 7.54 42.2 96,0008 200.0 3,100 2,0008 119-85 EPR-1985-15 8.48 29.1 81,0008 310.0 2,200 1,3008 120-75 EPR-1975-3 7.24 40.5 71,0004 150.0 2,300 8508 121-75 EPR-1975-4 7.64 40.9 80,0004 140.0 2,300 1,0008 122-75 EPR-1975-5 5.44 51.4 75,0004 130.0 2,200 2,5008 123-70 EPR-1970-E 8.28 45.2 91,0008 300.0 2,200 2,5008 123-75 COE-1975-6 1.7 73 10,000 330.0 27,700 327 124-83 COE-1983-1 4.0 52.0 20,000 29,000 54.0 6,800 4104 125-70 EPR-1970-F 0.9 81.4 5,900 53.0 7,060 299 102 125-75 EPR-1970-F 0.9 81.4 5,900 53.0 7,060 299 102 125-75 COE-1975-7 1.5 83.8 77,060 299 102 125-75 COE-1975-7 1.5 83.8 77,060 299 102 125-75 COE-1975-7 1.5 83.8 77,060 299 102 125-75 COE-1975-7 2.4 69 12,000 623 | | | | | | 160,0000 | | 4, 180 | 1,540 |
| 115-03 COE-1903-3 6.0 61.6 26,000 44,000 150.0 27,000 1,2000 116-01 EPR-1901-1 3.5 61.6 41,000 1,100 1,5000 117-73 EPR-1973-D 13.0 45.8 131,0000 2,500 2,300 6700 117-03 COE-1903-2 6.0 52.0 17,000 33,000 120.0 49,000 6700 117-05 EPR-1905-15A 7.0 41.1 30,000 230.0 2,900 3,1000 110-75 EPR-1975-1 0.90 39.7 120,0000 230.0 3,400 2,9000 110-75 COE-1975-5 6.8 44 264,0000 1,427 119-75 CPR-1975-2 7.5 42.2 96,0000 200.0 3,100 2,0000 119-05 EPR-1905-15 0.40 29.1 01,0000 310.0 2,200 1,3000 120-75 EPR-1975-3 7.2 40.5 71,000 150.0 2,300 0500 121-75 EPR-1975-4 7.6 40.9 00,000 140.0 2,300 1,0000 122-75 EPR-1975-5 5.4 51.4 75,000 130.0 2,200 2,5000 123-70 EPR-1975-6 1.7 73 10,000 330.0 052 124-03 COE-1903-1 4.0 52.0 20,000 29,000 54.0 6,000 410 125-70 EPR-1970-F 0.9 01.4 5,900 53.0 140 125-70 EPR-1970-F 0.9 01.4 5,900 53.0 140 125-70 EPR-1970-F 0.9 01.4 5,900 53.0 299 102 125-75 COE-1975-7 2.4 69 12,000 623 | | | | 37 | 30,000 | | 230.0 | 30,000 | 1,5000 |
| 116-81 EPR-1981-1 3.5 61.6 41,000+ 1,100 1,500e 117-73 EPR-1973-D 13.88 45.8 17,000 33,000 120.0 49,000 6706 117-85 EPR-1985-15A 7.84 41.1 38,000 250.0 2,900 3,100e 118-75 EPR-1975-1 8.98 39.7 120,000e 250.0 3,400 2,900e 119-75 COE-1975-5 6.84 44 264,000e 1,427 119-75 EPR-1975-2 7.54 42.2 96,000e 200.0 3,100 2,000e 119-85 EPR-1985-15 8.4e 29.1 81,000e 310.0 2,200 1,500e 120-75 EPR-1975-3 7.24 40.5 71,000+ 150.0 2,300 850e 121-75 EPR-1975-4 7.64 40.9 80,000+ 140.0 2,300 1,000e 122-75 EPR-1975-5 5.44 51.4 75,000+ 150.0 2,200 2,500e 123-70 EPR-1975-6 1.7 73 10,000 330.0 1,100e 123-73 EPR-1975-6 1.7 73 10,000 350.0 2,200 2,500e 124-83 COE-1983-1 4.0 52.0 20,000 29,000 54.0 6,800 410+ 125-70 EPR-1970-F 0.9 61.4 5,900 53.0 298 102 125-73 EPR-1973-F 1.5 83.8 7,060 53.0 298 102 125-75 COE-1975-7 2.4 69 12,000 623 | 114-81 | EPA-1981-2 | 7.3+ | 65.0 | | 75,000+ | | 2,500 | 2,4000 |
| 117-73 EPR-1973-D 13.86 45.8 131,0006 2,520 2,3206 17-83 COE-1983-2 6.00 52.0 17,000 33,000 120.0 49,000 6706 117-85 EPR-1985-15R 7.80 41.1 38,000 230.0 2,900 3,1006 118-75 EPR-1975-1 8.90 39.7 120,0006 230.0 3,400 2,9006 118-75 COE-1975-5 6.80 44 264,0006 1,427 119-75 EPR-1975-2 7.50 42.2 96,0006 200.0 3,100 2,0006 119-85 EPR-1985-15 8.40 29.1 81,0006 310.0 2,200 1,3006 120-75 EPR-1975-3 7.20 40.5 71,0000 150.0 2,300 8506 121-75 EPR-1975-4 7.60 40.9 80,0000 140.0 2,300 1,0006 122-75 EPR-1975-5 5.40 51.4 75,0000 130.0 2,200 2,5006 123-73 EPR-1975-E 8.20 45.2 91,0006 330.0 2,200 2,5006 123-73 EPR-1975-E 8.20 45.2 91,0006 330.0 853 218 123-75 COE-1975-6 1.7 73 10,000 327 124-83 COE-1983-1 4.0 52.0 20,000 29,000 54.0 6,800 4100 125-70 EPR-1970-F 0.9 81.4 5,900 53.0 299 102 125-75 COE-1975-7 2.4 69 12,000 623 | 115-83 | COE-1983-3 | 6.0+ | 61.6 | 26,000 | 44,000+ | 150.0 | 27,000 | 1,2000 |
| 117-03 COE-1903-2 6.0 52.0 17,000 33,000 120.0 49,000 6700 117-05 EPR-1905-15R 7.0 41.1 7,000 38,000 270.0 2,900 3,1000 110-75 EPR-1975-1 0.90 39.7 120,0000 270.0 3,400 2,9000 110-75 COE-1975-5 6.0 44 2.2 96,0000 200.0 3,100 2,0000 119-05 EPR-1975-2 7.5 42.2 96,0000 200.0 3,100 2,0000 119-05 EPR-1905-15 0.40 29.1 01,0000 310.0 2,200 1,3000 120-75 EPR-1975-3 7.2 40.5 71,000+ 150.0 2,300 0500 121-75 EPR-1975-4 7.6 40.9 00,000+ 140.0 2,300 1,0000 122-75 EPR-1975-5 5.4 51.4 75,000+ 170.0 2,200 2,5000 123-70 EPR-1970-E 0.20 45.2 91,0000 370.0 2,200 2,5000 123-73 EPR-1973-E 3.5 69.0 27,700 053 210 1,0000 327 124-03 COE-1903-1 4.0 52.0 20,000 29,000 54.0 6,000 410+ 125-70 EPR-1970-F 0.9 01.4 5,900 53.0 140 125-73 EPR-1973-F 1.5 03.0 7,000 299 102 125-75 COE-1975-7 2.4 69 12,000 623 | 116-81 | EPR-1981-1 | 3.5 | 61.6 | | 41,000+ | | 1,100 | 1,5000 |
| 117-05 COE-1905-15A 7.00 52.0 17,000 35,000 120.0 49,000 5700 117-05 EPA-1905-15A 7.00 41.1 7.00 39,000 290.0 2,900 3,1000 110-75 EPA-1975-1 0.90 39.7 120,0000 290.0 3,400 2,9000 119-75 COE-1975-5 6.00 44 264,0000 1,427 119-75 EPA-1975-2 7.50 42.2 96,0000 200.0 3,100 2,0000 119-05 EPA-1985-15 0.40 29.1 01,0000 310.0 2,200 1,3000 120-75 EPA-1975-3 7.20 40.5 71,0000 150.0 2,300 0.00 1,0000 121-75 EPA-1975-4 7.60 40.9 00,0000 140.0 2,300 1,0000 122-75 EPA-1975-5 5.40 51.4 75,0000 190.0 2,200 2,5000 123-70 EPA-1975-5 5.40 51.4 75,0000 190.0 2,200 2,5000 123-73 EPA-1975-6 3.5 69.0 27,700 953 210 1,0000 123-73 EPA-1975-6 1.7 73 10,000 327 124-03 COE-1975-6 1.7 73 10,000 54.0 6,000 4100 125-70 EPA-1970-F 0.9 01.4 5,900 53.0 299 102 125-75 EPA-1973-F 1.5 03.0 12,000 623 | 117-73 | EPA-1973-D | 13.80 | 45.8 | | 131,0000 | | 2.520 | 2.3200 |
| 117-65 EPR-1985-15R | | COE-1983-2 | 6.0+ | 52.0 | 17,000 | | | 49,000 | |
| 119-75 COE-1975-S 6.8 44 264,0000 1,427 19-75 EPR-1975-2 7.5 42.2 96,0000 200.0 3,100 2,0000 119-85 EPR-1985-15 8.40 29.1 61,0000 310.0 2,200 1,3000 120-75 EPR-1975-3 7.2 40.5 71,000 150.0 2,300 8500 121-75 EPR-1975-4 7.6 40.9 80,000 140.0 2,300 1,0000 122-75 EPR-1975-5 5.4 51.4 75,000 130.0 2,200 2,5000 123-70 EPR-1970-E 8.20 45.2 91,0000 330.0 1,1000 123-73 EPR-1973-E 3.5 69.0 27,700 853 210 123-73 EPR-1973-E 3.5 69.0 27,700 853 210 123-75 COE-1975-6 1.7 73 10,000 327 124-83 COE-1983-1 4.0 52.0 20,000 29,000 \$4.0 6,800 410 125-70 EPR-1970-F 0.9 81.4 5,900 53.0 299 102 125-75 COE-1975-7 2.4 69 12,000 623 | 117-65 | EPA-1905-15 | A 7.84 | 41.1 | - | 30,000 | 230.0 | 2,900 | 3,1000 |
| 119-75 EPR-1975-2 7.5+ 42.2 96,0004 200.0 3,100 2,0004 119-85 EPR-1985-15 8.44 29.1 81,0004 310.0 2,200 1,3004 120-75 EPR-1975-3 7.2+ 40.5 71,000+ 150.0 2,300 8504 121-75 EPR-1975-4 7.6+ 40.9 80,000+ 140.0 2,300 1,0004 122-75 EPR-1975-5 5.4+ 51.4 75,000+ 130.0 2,200 2,5004 123-70 EPR-1970-E 8.24 45.2 91,0004 330.0 1,1004 123-73 EPR-1973-E 3.5 69.0 27,700 853 214 123-75 COE-1975-6 1.7 73 10,000 327 124-83 COE-1983-1 4.0 52.0 20,000 29,000 \$4.0 6,800 410+ 125-70 EPR-1970-F 0.9 81.4 5,900 \$3.0 299 102 125-75 COE-1975-7 2.4 69 12,000 623 | 118-75 | EPA-1975-1 | 8.94 | 39.7 | | 120,0000 | 230.0 | 3,400 | 2,9000 |
| 119-75 EPR-1975-2 7.5+ 42.2 96,0004 200.0 3,100 2,0004 119-85 EPR-1985-15 8.44 29.1 81,0004 310.0 2,200 1,3004 120-75 EPR-1975-3 7.2+ 40.5 71,000+ 150.0 2,300 8504 121-75 EPR-1975-4 7.6+ 40.9 80,000+ 140.0 2,300 1,0004 122-75 EPR-1975-5 5.4+ 51.4 75,000+ 130.0 2,200 2,5004 123-70 EPR-1970-E 8.24 45.2 91,0004 330.0 1,1004 123-73 EPR-1973-E 3.5 69.0 27,700 853 214 123-75 COE-1975-6 1.7 73 10,000 327 124-83 COE-1983-1 4.0 52.0 20,000 29,000 \$4.0 6,800 410+ 125-70 EPR-1970-F 0.9 81.4 5,900 \$3.0 299 102 125-75 COE-1975-7 2.4 69 12,000 623 | | | | | | 264,0000 | | 1,427 | |
| 120-75 EPA-1975-3 | | | 7.5+ | | | 96,0000 | | 3, 100 | 2,0004 |
| 12:1-75 EPR-1975-4 | 119-85 | EPR-1985-15 | 8.40 | 29.1 | | 61,000 | 310.0 | 2,200 | 1,3000 |
| 122-75 EPR-1975-5 5.4 51.4 75,000+ 130.0 2,200 2,5000 123-70 EPR-1970-E 8.20 45.2 91,0000 330.0 1,1000 123-73 EPR-1973-E 3.5 69.0 27,700 853 210 123-75 COE-1975-6 1.7 73 10,000 327 124-83 COE-1983-1 4.0 52.0 20,000 29,000 \$4.0 6,800 410+ 125-70 EPR-1970-F 0.9 81.4 5,900 53.0 140 125-73 EPR-1973-F 1.5 83.0 7,060 299 102 125-75 COE-1975-7 2.4 69 12,000 623 | 120-75 | EPA-1975-3 | 7.2+ | 40.5 | | 71,000+ | 150.0 | 2,300 | 850♦ |
| 123-70 EPA-1970-E 8.20 45.2 91,0000 330.0 1,1000 123-73 EPA-1973-E 3.5 69.0 27,700 953 210 123-75 COE-1975-6 1.7 73 10,000 327 124-83 COE-1983-1 4.0 52.0 20,000 29,000 54.0 6,800 410. 125-70 EPA-1970-F 0.9 81.4 5,900 53.0 140 125-73 EPA-1973-F 1.5 83.0 7,060 299 102 125-75 COE-1975-7 2.4 69 12,000 623 | 12:1-75 | EPA-1975-4 | 7.6+ | 40.9 | | 80,000+ | 140.9 | 2,300 | 1,0000 |
| 123-73 EPA-1973-E 3.5 69.0 27,700 853 218 123-75 COE-1975-6 1.7 73 10,000 327 124-83 COE-1983-1 4.0 52.0 20,000 29,000 \$4.0 6,800 410+ 125-70 EPA-1970-F 0.9 81.4 5,900 \$3.0 140 125-73 EPA-1973-F 1.5 83.8 7,060 298 102 125-75 COE-1975-7 2.4 69 12,000 623 | 122-75 | EPA-1975-5 | 5.4+ | 51.4 | | 75,000+ | 150.0 | 2,200 | 2,5000 |
| 123-75 COE-1975-6 1.7 73 10,000 327 124-83 COE-1983-1 4.0 52.0 20,000 29,000 \$4.0 6,800 410+ 125-70 EPR-1970-F 0.9 81.4 5,900 \$3.0 140 125-73 EPR-1973-F 1.5 83.8 7,060 298 102 125-75 COE-1975-7 2.4 69 12,000 623 | | | | | | 91,0000 | 330.0 | | |
| 124-85 COE-1985-1 4.0 52.0 20,000 29,000 \$4.0 6,800 410+ 125-70 EPR-1970-F 0.9 81.4 5,900 53.0 140 125-73 EPR-1975-F 1.5 85.8 7,060 298 102 125-75 COE-1975-7 2.4 69 12,000 623 | | | | | | 27,700 | | | 210 |
| 125-70 EPR-1970-F 0.9 81.4 5,900 53.0 140 125-73 EPR-1973-F 1.5 85.8 7,060 298 102 125-75 COE-1975-7 2.4 69 12,000 623 | 123-75 | COE-1975-6 | 1.7 | 73 | | 10,000 | | 327 | |
| 125-75 EPA-1975-F 1.5 83.8 7,060 298 102 125-75 COE-1975-7 2.4 69 12,000 623 | 124-63 | COE-1983-1 | 4.0 | 52.0 | 20,000 | 29,000 | 54.0 | 6,800 | 410+ |
| 125-75 COE-1975-7 2.4 69 12,000 623 | | | | | | | 53.0 | | |
| •••• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | | | | | 102 |
| | 125-75 | COE-1975-7 | 2.4 | 69 | | 12,000 | | 623 | |

и = In percent. мм = Percent heavy metals. мм = Percent chlorinated hydrocarbons (ug/kg). + = In moderately polluted range, U.S. EPA, 1977. • = Енсеeds heavily polluted range, U.S. EPA, 1977.

| T-010 4 | .7. Selected sediments | conventie , 1970 - | nal contact 1 905. Resu | dmant con its in mg | rtituents /kg dry # | in Clir | ton Rive | r ed. |
|---------|------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------|---------|-------------------------|------------|
| MAP ID | STATION | Total Volatile SolidsM | Total Solidsem | Total Organic C | COD | мнэ | Total Kjeld Nitro | Phosphorus |
| SECT | I O N 2. | CLINTON R | IVER SPILL | HAY | | | | |
| 201-76 | DNR-500196 | 1.4 | 4 9 52.8mm | | | | 22000 | 12100 |
| 202-85 | EPA-05-13A | •• | 77.1 | | | 75 | | |
| SECT | I 0 N 3 | MAIN DRAN | CH CLINTON | RIVER DE | THEEN RED | RUN AND | THE SPI | LLUAY |
| 301-76 | DNRPN-500208 | 2.0 | 51 50.4HHH | | | | 16004 | 7400 |
| 302-76 | DNRPN-\$00010 | 2.6 | 35.6HHH | | | | 27004 | 10400 |
| SECT | I O N 4 | RED RUN | | | | | | |
| 401-76 | DNRPN-500227 | 3.6 | \$2 55.6MM | | | | 27004 | 24000 |
| SECT | I O N 5 | | CH CLINTON ARIES UPST | | | | | |
| 501-76 | DNR-630630 | 7.9+ | 22.0 27.6888 | | | | 14,1000 | 1,120 |
| 507-76 | DNR-630599 | 3.4 | 47.0 41.8888 | | | | 4,700 | 1,4100 |
| 10-76 | DNR-430633 | 5.91 | 22.0 21.6 | | | | 7,700 | 3,5000 |
| 513-76 | DNR-630635 | 2.2 | 38.0 40.9888 | | | | 3,6004 | 1,4300 |
| 52:1-76 | DNRPN-630637- | 2.1 | 30.0 41.5××× | | | | 1,030+ | 250+ |
| 531-76 | DNR-500205 | 12.0+ | 48.0 44.7mm | | | | 2,5000 | 9300 |

M = In percent.

MM = Percent heavy metals.

MMM = Percent Chlorinated hydrocarbons (ug/kg).

• In moderately polluted range, U.S. EPA, 1977.

• Enceeds heavily polluted range, U.S. EPA, 1977.

Table i_1 , i_2 Selected metal contaminant constituents in Clinton River sediments, 1970 ~ 1987. Results are in mg/kg dry weight.

| | | Results 4 | ro in | ng/kg di | ry weight | :- | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------|------------------------------|--|--------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-------|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---|-----|----|----|----|
| • 1 | P ID YEAR | STATION | As | Cq | Cr | Cu | CN | E+ | Pb | Mn | Hg | Ni | Zn | _ | | | | ٠. |
| | | SECTION | 1 | NATURAL | CHANNEL, | CLINTON | RIVER | , DOWNS | TREAM OF | THE SP | ILLHAY. | | | | | | | |
| 10 | 2-70 2-73 2-81 | EPA-1970-A EPA-1973-A COE-1983-8 | 11.00 10.00 | 3.7 12.00 | 209.00 230.00 | 151.00 140.00 | | 33,000 27,600 12,000 | 465.06 340.04 | 466.0 430.0 | 0.2 | 196.00 130.00 | 567 390 | : | | | | |
| 10 | 3-75 | COE-1975-1 | | | | | | | 209 🛊 | | 1.0 0 | | 1499 | • | | | | |
| 10 | 4-61 | EPA-1981-5 | | 6.90 | 16.0 | 25.0 | • . | 17,000 | 37.0 | 220.0 | 0.€ | 47.0+ | 69 | | | ٠. | | |
| 10 | 5-75 5-81 5-87 | COE-1975-2 COE-1983-7 DNR-1987-2 | 11.00 | 12.00 3.5 | 280.04 95.44 | 180.00 104.70 | 1.4 | 16,000 24,150 | 251 • 360.06 193.56 | 420.0 465.0 | 0.5 | 160.00 57.60 | 2625 520 433 | • | | | | |
| 10 | 6-76 | DNR500214-76 | 3.7+ | 4.0 | 47.0 | 64 • | | | 290 • | | 0.4 | 52 • | 270 | • | | | | |
| 10 | 7-81 | EPA-1981-4 | | 13.00 | 110.00 | 120.00 | | 24,000 | 290.00 | 420.0 | 0.7 | 89.00 | 500 | • | | | | |
| 10 | 6-73 | EPA-1973-B | 10.00 | 9.20 | 2.4 | 142.00 | | 25,600 | 359.04 | 390.0 | 0.7 | 162.00 | 582 | • | | | | |
| 10 | 8-81 | COE-1983-6 | 9.00 | 9.50 | 180.00 | 140.00 | 0.8 | 31,000 | 300.00 | 360.0 | 0.2 | 120.00 | 470 | • | | | | |
| 10 | 9-75 | COE-1975-3 | | | | | | | 268 • | | 2.5 | | 3500 | • | | | | |
| 11 | 0-61 | COE-1983-5 | 12.00 | 15.00 | 200.00 | 160.00 | 1.0 | 33,000 | 280.00 | 430.0 | 0.2 | 140.00 | 820 | • | | | | |
| 11 | 2-81 | EPA-1981-3 | | 18.00 | 200.00 | 120.00 | | 30,000 | 220.00 | 470.0 | 0.8 | 200.04 | 590 | • | | | 1. | |
| 11 11 | 3-70 3-73 3-75 3-81 | EPA-1970-C EPA-1973-C COE-1975-4 COE-1903-4 | 9.10 12.00 | 14.00 | 278.04 | 166.00 | 1.0 | 40,000 34,600 | 405.00 251 0 310.00 244.70 | 526.0 480.0 | 0.8 3.3 • 0.2 | 149.00 140.00 68.40 | 962 4000 730 | : | | | | |
| 11 | 3-67 | DNR-1987-1 | | 4.7 | 122.70 | 129.50 | | 31,750 | | 550.7 | | | 489 | | | | | |
| | 4-81 | EPA-1981-2 | | 13.00 | 280.00 | 93.00 | | 31,000 | 160.04 | 450.0 | 0.5 | 160.00 | 500 | • | | | | |
| | 5-61 | COE- 1983-3 | 7.00 | | 110.00 | 84.00 | 0.4 | 26,000 | 200.04 | 500.0 | 0.1 | 71.04 | 300 | • | | | | |
| 11 | 6-81 | EPA-1981-1 | | 1.1 | 35.0 | 130.00 | | 10,000 | 54.0+ | 240.0 | 0.5 | 34.0+ | 110 | • | | | | |
| 11 | 7-73 7-81 7-85 | EPA-1973-D COE-19 03- 2 EPA-19 05- 15A | 6.8° 7.0 0 | <2.0 2.8 6.30 | 40.24 86.00 140.00 | 55.00 51.00 130.00 | | 7,700 28,000 32,000 | 41.4+ 47.0+ 240.0+ | 154.0 510.0 670.0 | 0.9 <0.1 | 40.40 56.00 100.00 | 109 180 430 | • | | | | |
| 11 | 8-75 | EPA-1975-1 | 7.0+ | 5.4 | 150.00 | 120.00 | | 20,000 | 250.00 | 600.0 | <0.1 | 110.00 | 410 | • | | | | |
| 11 11 11 | 9-75 9-75 9-75 9-85 | COE-1975-5 EPA-1975-2 EPA-1975-3 EPA-1985-15 | 7.0+ 6.0+ | 2.7 1.7 1.3 | 74.0+ 59.0+ 45.0+ | 71.00 62.00 79.00 | 0.4 | 23,000 20,000 24,000 | 250 • 170.0• 140.0• 110.0• | 530.0 410.0 460.0 500.0 | 2.1 ¢ 0.2 0.1 | 88.00 54.00 40.0+ | 3700 270 210 190 | | | | | |
| | - | EPA-1975-5 | 5.0+ | 4.3 | 86.00 | 54.00 | | 19,000 | 150.00 | 530.0 | 0.2 | 87.04 | 240 | • | | | | |
| | 1-75 | | 3.00 | 4.5 | 00.00 | 34.04 | | | 150.00 | 530.0 | V.L | ••••• | 240 | • | | | | |
| | 05-c: :3-73 | EPA-1970-E EPA-1973-E | 1.9 | 5.6 | 141.00 | 94.30 | | 22,000 | 167.04 | 452.0 | 0.5 | 174.00 | 413 | • | | | | |
| | 3-75 | COE-1975-6 | | | | | | | 80 4 | | 0.9 | 33 | 48 | | | | | |
| | 4-73 4-81 | EPA-1973-F COE-1983-1 | 2.0 4.0+ | <2.0 1.5 | 7.8 50.0+ | 23.8 30.0+ | <0.4 | 3,450 22,000 | 17.0 46.0+ | \$6.0 \$10.0 | 0.4 <0.1 | 3.6 42.0+ | 17. 110 | | | | | |
| | 5-70 5-75 | EPA-1970-F COE-1975-7 | | | | | | 6,000 | 54 + | | 0.23 | | 41 | | · . | | | |

In moderately polluted range, U.S. EPR, 1977.
 Enceeds heavily polluted range, U.S. EPR, 1977.

Selected metal contaminant constituents in Clinton River sediments, 1970 \sim 1987. Results are in mg/kg dry meight. Table 4,8

| HAP ID & YEAR | STATION | As | Cq | Cr | Cu | CN | F• | РЬ | Hn | Hg | Ni | Zn | |
|------------------|------------------------------|---------------|---------|--------------|-------------|-----------|----------|----------------|-------|--------------|------------------|--------------|--|
| | SECTION | 2 | CLINTON | RIVER | SPILLH | RY | | | | | | | |
| 201-76 | DNRPN-500188 | 5.1+ | 4.0 | 55.0+ | 6 0. | • | | 160 \$ | | 0.22 | 8 1-8 | 270 \$ | |
| 202-85 | EPA-85-13A | | 0.3 | 15.0 | 10.0 | | 4,000 | 14.0 | 110.0 | | 10.0 | 34.0 | |
| | SECTION | 3 | CLINTON | I RIVER | FROH R | ED RUN TO | O SPILLH | IAY | | | | | |
| 301-76 302-76 | DNRPN-500208 DNRPN-500010 | 5.2† 9.0\$ | | 5.9 100 # | 68 110 | | a e | 130 # 270 # | | 0.22 0.12 | 110 \$ 150 \$ | 300 8 | |
| | SECTION | 1 | REO RUN | 1 | | | | | 1 | | | | |
| 401-76 | DNRPN-500227 | 5.6+ | 8.68 | 98 # | 98 : | 3 | | 270 1 | | 0.20 | 120 8 | 440 \$ | |

^{+ =} In moderately polluted range, U.S. EPR, 1977. # = Exceeds heavily polluted range, U.S. EPR, 1977.

Selected metal contaminant constituents in Clinton River sediments, 1970 - 1987. Results are in marks dry weight.

| | Rosults (| ere in | ng/kg d | nd metap | t. | | | _ | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------|------------------|----------------|---------------|--------------|--------------------------------|------------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|-----------------|-----------------------|
| MAP ID | STATION | As | Cd | Cr | Cu | CN | Fe | Pb | Hn | Hg | N1 | Zn | |
| | SECTION | 5 | | RANCH OF | | NTON F | IVER AND | ITS TRI | | | | | |
| 501-76 | DNRPN-630630 | 11 • | 1.4 | 11 | 16 | | | 110 • | | <0.01 | 30 + | 120 + | |
| 502-73 | DNRST0-630631 | | 0.4 | 12.0 | 17.0 | | | 190.00 | | 0.37 | 4.6 | 95.0+ | |
| 503-73 | DNRSTO-630704 DNRSTO-630705 | | 0.4 1.4 | 10.0 19.0 | 12.0 28.0+ | | | 110.00 210.00 | | 0.10 0.10 | 5.2 12.0 | 70.0 180.0+ | • |
| 504-73 505-73 | DNRSTO-630703 | 6.5+ | 2.6 | 34.51 | 93.00 | | | 370.00 | | 0.13 | 30.5 | 315.00 | \$. * · |
| 506-73 | DNRST0-630600 | | 2.6 | 50.0 | 90.00 | | | 400.00 | | 0.16 | 130.00 | 200.00 | |
| 507-76 | DNRPN-630599 | 6.5+ | 4.0 | \$3 + | 120 • | | | 600 • | | 0.15 | 59 • | 500 · | |
| 510-76 | DNRPN-630633 | 12 | 11.00 | 200 | 460 0 | | | 750 • | | 0.46 0.13 | 180 4 61 4 | 1100 + 260 • | |
| 513-76 | DNRPN-630635 | , · | 3.0 | - | | | | | | 0.15 | - | | |
| 514-73 | DNRPC2-630613 DNRPC2-630614 | | <0.2 <0.2 | 16.3 15.7 | 37.4+ 24.1 | | 10,612 | 150.00 | | | 17.0 16.6 | 176.9 112.0 | |
| 515-73 516-73 | DNRPC2-630619 | | ₹0.2 | 15.1 | 24.4 | | 12,500 | 42.8 | | | 13.0 | 171.0 | |
| 517-73 | DNRPC2-630621 | | <0.2 | 10.3 | 10.3 | | 7,471 | 40.21 | | | 9.6 | 80.4 | |
| 518-73 | DNRPC2-630622 | | <0.2 | 35.6+ | 123.30 | | 41,096 | 303.60 | | | 46.6+ | 786.30 | |
| 521-73 | DNRST0-630637 | 7.2+ | 1.6 | 47 + | 64 • | | | 110 | | 0.2 | 5 2 • | 100 + | |
| 522-03 | DNRLDI-03-1H | 2.4 | 0.10 | 27.0 | 33.0 | <0.5 | 7,400 | 55.0 | 206 | <0.1 | 16.0 | 97.00 | |
| 523-83 524-83 | DNRLDI-03-2 DNRLDI-03-3 | 2.2 0.6 | 0.25 <0.05 | 16.0 35.0* | 27.0+ 5.4 | <0.5 <0.5 | 6,300 3,400 | 40.0+ 8.1 | 221 96 | <0.1 <0.1 | 13.0 5.2 | 81.0 22.0 | |
| 524-83 | DNRLDI-83-30 | ŏ. 5 | ₹0.05 | 9.1 | 5.2 | ₹0.5 | 5,170 | ă.i | 91 | ₹0.1 | 4.4 | 20.0 | |
| 525-83 | DNRLDI-03-4 | <0.5 | <0.05 | 32.0+ | 9.6 | <0.5 | 2,910 | 15.0 | 92 | <0.1 | ĕ.E | 31.0 | |
| 526-03 | DNRLDI-03-5 | <0.5 | <0.05 | 5.6 19.0 | 6.7 34.0+ | <0.5 | 2,810 | 9.4 49.0+ | 110 293 | <0.1 <0.1 | 5.2 17.0 | 22.0 95.0+ | |
| 527-03 528-03 | DNRLDI-63-6 DNRLDI-83-7 | 4.5° | 0.37 <0.05 | 4.0 | 5.0 | ₹0.5 | 7,090 2,240 | 7.2 | 96 | ₹0.1 | 3.9 | 19.0 | |
| 529-03 | DNRLDI-63-8 | 2.9 | 0.09 | 6.6 | 7.6 | <0.5 | 3,070 | 13.0 | 132 | <0.1 | 6.5 | 20.0 | |
| 530-03 | DNRLDI-03-9 | 1.3 | <0.05 | 4.5 | 5.2 | <0.5 | 239 | 10.0 | 82 | <0.1 | 4.3 | 23.0 | And the second |
| 531-76 | DNRF:N-500205 | 4.9+ | 1.6 | 36 + | 46 + | | | 76 0 | | 0.05 | 40 • | 160 + | |
| | SECTION | 6 | NORTH | BRANCH C | LINTON R | IVER | | | | | | | |
| 601-63 | DNRMDR-93-1 & | | <2.0 | 19.0 | 27.0 | | 15,800 | 14.0 | 320.0 | * | 23.0+ | 61.0 | |
| 602-83 | DNRMDR-83-2A | | <2.0 | 12.0 | 16.Ú | | 15,600 | 6.4 | 310.0 | | 16.0 | 59.3 | |
| 602- 83 602- 83 | DNRMDR-03-2B DNRMDR-03-2C | | <2.0 <2.0 | 8.0 19.0 | 10.0 21.0 | | 0,6 00 2,50 0 | <5.0 0.3 | 210.0 490.0 | | 9.7 25.0+ | 25.0 51.9 | |
| 603-83 | ONRHOR-03-3A | | ₹2.0 | 19.0 | 17.0 | | 1,670 | 14.0 | 250.0 | | 18.0 | 71.6 | and the second second |
| 603-83 | DNRHDR-83-38 | | <2.0 | 7.1 | 6.6 | | 5,050 | <5.0 | 71.7 | | 6.6 | 43.0 | |
| 603-63 | DNRHDR-03-3C | | <2.0 | <5.0 | 5.4 | | 4, 100 | <5.0 | 60.6 | | 5.4 | 22.0 | |
| 604-79 | DNRGDR-1 | | 0.7 | | | <0.0 | | 12.0 | | | | | |
| 605-79 | DNRGDR-3 | | 4.0 | | | <0.8 | | 100.00 | | | | | |
| 606-79 | DNRGDR-2 | | <0.2 | | | <0.0 | | 9.0 | | | | | |
| | HHH SECTI | O N | S MAI | N BRANCH | UPSTREA | H OF R | ED RUN: | MODITI | ONAL LD | I REPORT | PARAMET | ERS HHH | |
| | | A1 | • | 94 | Co | S• | †1 | S n | V | Ag | St | | |
| 522-63 | DNRLD-83-1 | 2170 | <5 | <0.25 | 2.9 | <1.0 | <0.5 | 64.0 | <10 | 0.96 | <1.0 | | |
| 523-83 | DNRLD-83-2 | 2010 | <5 | <0.25 | 2.5 | 0.5 | <0.5 | 5.2 | <10 | 1.20 | <1.0 | | |
| 524-83 | DNRLD-63-3 | 1190 | <5 | <0.25 <0.25 | 2.5 <2.5 | <1.0 <1.0 | <0.5 <0.5 | 253.0 41.0 | | <0.50 <0.50 | <1.0 <1.0 | | |
| 524-83 525-83 | DNRLD-03-3D DNRLD-03-4 | 1100 966 | <5 < S | <0.25 | <2.5 | ₹1.0 | <0.5 | 313.0 | | <0.50 | ₹1.0 | | |
| 526-83 | DNRLD-83-5 | 899 | <5 | <0.25 | <2.5 | <1.0 | <0.5 | 4.2 | <10 | <0.50 | <1.0 | | |
| 527-03 | UNRLD-63-6 | 2360 | <5 | <0.25 | 2.9 | <1.0 | <0.5 | 3.1 | <10 | 0.05 | <1.0 | | |
| 528-83 529-03 | DNRL D - 83-7 DNRL D-83-8 | 797 1160 | <5 <5 | <0.25 <0.25 | <2.5 <2.5 | <1.0 <1.0 | <0.5 <0.5 | 1.6 | | <0.50 <0.50 | <1.0 <1.0 | | |
| 530-83 | DNRLD-83-9 | 834 | ₹5 | <0.25 | ₹2.5 | <1.0 | ₹0.5 | | | ₹0.50 | ₹1.0 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

In moderately polluted range, U.S. EPA, 1977.
 Enceds heavily polluted range, U.S. EPA, 1977.
 Additional metals sampled for in LDI report at bottom of table.
 A coring tool was used to collect the samples in the McBride drain study; core depths were: A, U-D"; B, D-6"; C, 6"3".

(Section 5) to downstream (Section 1) (see Map 6.2). The sediments are compared to the USEPA dredge spoil guidelines for classification of Great Lakes Harbor sediments (Table 4.9) (USEPA 1977). This system classifies sediments as non-polluted, moderately or heavily polluted with respect to permitting open lake disposal if the sediments were to be dredged. Sediments that have many metals in the moderately polluted class and a few in the heavily polluted classification are placed in confined disposal facilities. The USEPA's dredge spoil guidelines are not based on the biological toxicity of metals to aquatic life. It should not be construed that if sediments exceed the EPA guidelines that these concentrations are harmful to aquatic life. The question of what impact sediment metals have on aquatic life is still not answered. Recent sediment bioassays in other systems may help in the interpretation of the impact of heavy metals on bottom dwelling aquatic organisms. These guidelines are used here only for comparison with other studies which have also used these guidelines.

All sediment metals data in Section 5 were collected in 1973, 1976, or 1983. Metals data for 1973 and 1976 are similar with many values exceeding the moderately and heavily polluted guidelines. No 1983 values exceed the heavily polluted guidelines, but five of the eleven metals exceed the moderately polluted guidelines. These five metals were the same metals which exceeded the heavily polluted guidelines in the 1970s. For several metals, there was an order of magnitude reduction between 1976 and 1983.

In Section 5, lead exceeded the heavily or moderately polluted guidelines at all stations in the 1970s. Sediment lead values increased from 110 mg/kg at station 501 to 370 mg/kg at station 505 in Pontiac. Sediment lead concentrations further increased to 750 mg/kg at station 510 downstream of the Pontiac WWTP #2 and then decreased to 160 mg/kg at station 513, just upstream of Paint Creek at Rochester. Lead also exceeded the heavily polluted criteria at three out of five stations in Paint Creek. Downstream of Paint Creek and the Rochester WWTP, sediment lead concentrations in 1973 were similar to those upstream of Pontiac in 1976.

The 1983 sediment lead concentrations exceeded the moderately polluted guidelines at four of nine stations upstream of Utica, but concentrations were considerably less than those reported further upstream in the 1970s.

In Section 5, sediment copper, nickel, and zinc concentrations followed a pattern similar to lead except these metals began to exceed the heavily polluted guidelines at station 505 in Pontiac rather than station 501 several miles upstream. In the 1970s, these metals exceeded the heavily polluted guidelines even downstream of Rochester (station 521), but only exceeded the moderately polluted guidelines at station 531 downstream of Utica. In 1983, these same metals only occasionally exceeded the moderately polluted guidelines between Dequindre Road and Utica, up and downstream of Ryan Road (station 527).

Arsenic exceeded the heavily polluted guidelines at stations 505, 507, and 521 in the 1970s. In 1983, only station 527 exceeded the moderately polluted guidelines. Sources of arsenic are unknown.

April 1977 U.S. EPA Dredged Spoil Disposal Criteria Classification Guidelines for Great Lakes Harbors. Values in mg/kg dry weight, values otherwise noted. Table 4.9

| Parameter | Non Polluted | Moderately Polluted | Heavily Polluted |
|--------------------------------|-----------------|------------------------|---------------------|
| Volatile solids % | <5 | 5-8 | >8 |
| COD | <40,000 | 40-80,000 | >80,000 |
| TKN | <1,000 | 1,000-2,000 | >2,000 |
| Oil & Grease (Hexane Solubles) | <1,000 | 1,000-2,000 | >2,000 |
| Lead | <40 | 40-60 | >60 |
| Zinc | <90 | 90-200 | >200 |
| Ammonia | <75 | 75-200 | >200 |
| Cyanide | < 0.10 | 0.10-0.25 | >0.25 |
| Phosphorus | <420 | 420-650 | >650 |
| Iron | <17,000 | 17,000-25,000 | >25,000 |
| Nickel | <20 | 20-50 | >50 |
| Manganese | <300 | 300-500 | >500 |
| Arsenic | <3 | 3-8 | >8 |
| Cadmium | • | • | >6 |
| Chromium | <25 | 25-75 | >75 |
| Barium | <20 | 20-60 | >60 |
| Copper | <25 | 25-50 | >50 |
| Mercury Total PCB's ** | • | | ≥1 ≥10 |

Lower limits not established The pollutional status of sediments with total PCB concentrations between 1 and 10 mg/kg dry waight will be determined on a case-by-case basis.

Cadmium exceeded 6 mg/kg only at station 510 (11 mg/kg) downstream of the Pontiac WWTP in 1976. Sediment concentrations in 1983 were all less than 0.4 mg/kg.

Chromium exceeded the moderately polluted guidelines in 1973 through 1976 at several stations between 506 and 513, and 521 and 531, basically throughout Section 5 downstream of the southwestern outskirts of Pontiac. Only one station (510 in 1976) immediately below the Pontiac WWTP exceeded the heavily polluted guidelines. In 1983, chromium exceeded the moderately polluted guidelines at three stations between Rochester and Utica.

Sediment metals data in the remainder of the river sections except Section 1 are sparse.

In Section 3, sediment samples collected in 1976 at stations 301 and 302, immediately downstream of Red Run, exceeded the heavily polluted guidelines for arsenic, cadmium, chromium, copper, lead, nickel, and zinc. Station 401 in Red Run also exceeded the heavily polluted guidelines for these same metals.

Concentrations were similar in Sections 4 and 3 and slightly less in Section 2. In Section 2 in 1976, arsenic, chromium, and copper exceeded the moderately polluted guidelines and lead, nickel, and zinc exceeded the heavily polluted guidelines in 1976, but not in 1985. The limited data for Section 6 revealed that only lead exceeded the heavily polluted guidelines at one station in Greiner Drain, and copper and nickel exceeded the moderately polluted guidelines in McBride Drain, both tributaries to the North Branch of the Clinton River.

Section 1, stations 101 to 125, were sampled most recently because the COE needed to classify the sediments for removal from the recreational navigation channel. The EPA, COE, or their contractors sampled Section 1 sediments in 1970, 1973, 1975, 1981, 1983, and 1985. The COE uses the EPA's dredge spoil guidelines to determine the appropriate disposal site for dredged sediments. The average concentrations of arsenic, cadmium, copper, chromium, lead, nickel and zinc exceeded EPA's heavily polluted guidelines for dredged sediments. Only mercury did not exceed these guidelines.

Sediment metals contaminants were elevated at station 102, increased downstream (station 105), and remained at these concentrations until they decreased precipitously near station 124.

There was no clear trend in sediment metals contaminants over the years. Some metals concentrations appeared to increase in the middle of Section 1 while other metals decreased (lead, nickel and zinc) and others (copper and chromium) remained similar across the years. Some metals concentrations seemed to have increased between 1970 and 1980 and then decreased in more recent years.

Sediment heavy metals contamination in Section 1 is due to the settling of fine particulate matter to which metals are physically and chemically

bound. Sedimentation of these particle sizes does not occur to the same degree in other free flowing River Sections.

4.4.3 Clinton River Sediment Organic Contaminants

Data for organic sediment parameters are very sparse throughout the basin. Data were collected at nearly 60 stations between 1970 and 1985 for phenols, oil, and grease, PCB, pesticides, phthalates, and other organic chemicals (Table 4.10). Sediment organic results are generally described from upstream (Section 5) to downstream (Section 1). Extensive organic analyses were performed in 1981, 1983, and 1985 on selected stations in Sections 1, 2, 5 and 6 (Table 4.11). Table 4.11 also includes the station locations and codes for correlation with Map 6.2.

All analyses at the most upstream stations (501) in Section 5 were less than their respective detection levels except phthalates. Sediment phthalate concentrations at station 501 were 1790 ug/kg, increased to near 4,000 ug/kg at station 507 and further to 4440 ug/kg downstream of Pontiac (Table 4.11). In 1983, a variety of phthalates were found in Section 5 sediments in concentrations ranging from less than 14 to 3,054 ug/kg in the vicinity of Ryan Road (Table 4.12). In 1976, phthalates were reported as less than detectable (1000 ug/kg) at stations 301 and 302 in Section 3, station 201 in Section 2, station 401 in Section 4, and station 106 in Section 1.

Sediments from station 118 contained 1,281 ug/kg total phthalates in 1985. Phthalates were considerably higher in McBride Drain, a tributary to the North Branch of the Clinton River which contained 13,000 to 58,000 ug/kg diethylhexyl phthalates (DEHP). The upstream "control" sample contained 45,000 ug/kg DEHP.

Dieldrin was reported above detection levels from 4 to 47 ug/kg in stations 512 and 513 sediments in 1973, but nowhere else in the basin except Section 1 in 1981. Aldrin was less than detection (1 to 4 ug/kg) in all sections and in all years where aldrin analyses were performed.

Chlordane was less than detection or not analyzed for in all sections except Section 1, where concentrations ranged from 5 to 56 ug/kg. Concentrations were highest near the middle of Section 1 and decreased rapidly near the River/Lake confluence.

In 1973 sediments in Paint Creek ranged from 71 to 404 ug/kg total DDT. Section 5 sediment DDT concentrations in 1976 were below detection in the Main Branch. Between 1976 and 1980, total DDT concentrations ranged from 17 to 70 ug/kg at stations 303 and 304, but were less than detection in Sections 2 and 4 in 1976, and less than detection in Section 6 in 1980. Total DDT concentrations in Section 1 in 1981 and 1985 ranged between 113 and 929 ug/kg with concentration patterns similar to dieldrin.

Toxaphene was reported at 80 ug/kg in 1977 at station 303. No other toxaphene data were available.

There were no phenol analyses in Sections 5, 4, 3, or 2 and sediment phenol concentrations were less than the detection level (2500 ug/kg) in

Table 4 , 1 10 Selected organic contaminant constituents in the Clinton River, 1970 - 1987. Results are in ug/kg dry weight unless noted.

| nap ID L YEAR | STATION | 011 and | 1 | Phenol | Total Ma Solids | ı Aldrin | Dieldrin | Chlei dene | r- Endrin | Hepta- | Lindane | Phtha- | 000 | Phenan- | | Fotal | DDF s | | Tuns- | ülethyl Phthala | 1., |
|------------------|--|--------------------------------|------------|----------------------|--------------------|-------------|-----------|---------------|--------------|---------|---------|--------|-------|---------|----|-------|-------|----|-------|--------------------|-----|
| | SECTIO | H & | MATU | RAL CHAI | MCL, CL | .INTON | RIVER, DO | MISTRI | LAN OF T | HE SPIL | LHRY. | | | | | | | | | | |
| 101-73 | DHR-500213 | | | | | | | | | | | | - | 9,220 | 24 | | | | | | |
| 102-73 | EPA-1970-A EPA-1973-A COE-1963-6 | 270000 142700 51000 | 740 | 21000 140 <200 | | | | | : | ." | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 103-76 | COE-1975-1 | 102220 | | | | | | | | | • | • | | | | | | | | | |
| 104-61 | EPA-1901-5 | | 3490+ | | | | 31.0 | 41 | | | | | | 31,071 | | 057.0 | | | | | |
| | COE-1975-2 COE-1903-7 | 73750 | 6200+ | <200 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| - | DNR-500214 | 20000 | 1020+ | 1200 | 50.4 | <4.0 | 10.0 | <20 | | | | < 1000 | <1000 | | | <40 | | | | | |
| 106-00 | DHR-500214 | 20000 | | | 50.4 | | | | | | | 2000 | 1.000 | 5,290 | 61 | 140 | | | | | |
| 107-01 | EPA-1901-4 | | 5620+ | | | | 24.0 | 37 | | | | | | 19, 100 | | 107.0 | | | | | |
| | EPA-1973-B COE-1983-6 | 97506 37006 | 4600+ | 290 <200 | ÷ | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| - | COE-1975-3 | 75670 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 110-01 | COE-1903-5 | 29000 | 114000 | <200 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 112-01 | EPA-1901-3 | | 4790+ | | | | 47.0 | 54 | | | | | | 14,259 | | 260.0 | | | | | |
| | EPR-1970-C | 170000 | | 960 | • | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 113-75 | EPA-1973-C COE-1975-4 COE-1983-4 | 96800 62560 35004 | 1000+ | 260 <200 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| • | EPA-1901-2 | 38004 | 1019 | 1200 | | | 14.0 | 20 | | | | | | 12,016 | | 113.0 | | | | | |
| | COE-1903-3 | 22000 | 1260+ | 60 | | | 1-7.0 | | | | | | | , | | 113.0 | | | | | |
| | EPA-1961-1 | 22401 | 195 | • | | | 4.0 | | | | | | | 1,205 | | 120.0 | | | | | |
| | EPÑ-1973-D | 93200 | | 300 | | | | | | | | | | ., | | | | | | | |
| 117-01 | COE-1963-2 EPA-1965-15A | 620 | 340 190 | <200 100 | _ | | | 0 | | | | | | | | 929 | | | | | |
| | EPA-1978-1 | 44000 | 805 | | • | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| i 10-05 | EPA-1965-15# | | 230 | 100 | | | | 13 | | | | 409 | 1400 | 400 | | 147 | | ** | | | |
| | EPA-1975-2 COE-1975-5 | 3000 0 2727 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 120-75 | EPA-1978-3 | 30000 | | | | | | | | | | | | • | | | | | | | |
| 121-75 | EPA-1978-4 | 27000 | | | | | . ` | | | | | | | - 4 | | | | | | | |
| 122-75 | EPA-1975-8 | 27000 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | EPR-1970-E | 29000 | | 690 | | | | | | | | • | | | | | | | | | |
| | EPA-1973-E COE-1975-6 | 674 876 | | 40 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | EPA-1973-F | 125 | 240 | <10 <200 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | COE-1903-1 EPR-1970-F | 400 320 | 470 | 170 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | COE-1975-7 | 404 | | .70 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

m • In mg/kg dry weight. mm • Total solids are in percent. • In moderately polluted range, U.S. EPA, 1977. • Enceeds heavily polluted range, U.S. EPA, 1977.

| Table 4 | 10 Selected erganic contaminant | constituents in the | e Climton River, | 1970 - 1987. |
|---------|---------------------------------|---------------------|------------------|--------------|
|---------|---------------------------------|---------------------|------------------|--------------|

| HAP IO • VEAR | | Oil and Grease* | | Phenol | Total MM Solids | | Dieldrin | Chlor dene | | Hepta- clor | Phtha- lates | 08P | Phenan- threne | Anthra- | Total mean | | | | Diethylhe. Phthalate |
|------------------|------------------------------|------------------------|--------------|--------|--------------------|--------------|----------|---------------|----------|----------------|-----------------|-------|-------------------|-----------|---------------|------|-----|----|-------------------------|
| | | 5 E C T | 1 0 N | 2. | CLINTON | RIVER | SPILLHAY | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 201-76 | DHRPH-500 188 | 1960+ | <500 | | 52. 6 | <4.0 | <10 | <20 | | | <1000 | <1000 | | | <40 | | | | |
| 201-80 | DHR\$T0-500186 |) | | | ••• | | 6 | | | | | | 32.0 | | | | | | |
| 202- 8 5 | EPA-85-13A | 650 | | | 77.1 | | | 2 | | | 3200 | | | | 0 | | | | |
| | | SECT | 1 0 N | 3. | CLINTON | RIVER | FROM RED | RUN T | O THE SI | PILLHAY | | | | | | | | | |
| | DHRPN-500208 DHRPN-500010 | 2140 8 1960+ | <500 <500 | | 50.4 35.6 | <4.0 <4.0 | | <20 <20 | | | <1000 <1000 | | <1000 <1000 | | <40 <40 | | | | |
| | USGSST0-04165 1976-79 snp | | riod | | | | | | | | | | | | 17.1 | 12.1 | 0.5 | 80 | |
| 304-80 | DNR\$T0-500233 | ŀ | | | | | | | | | | | | | 70.0 | | | | |
| | | SECT | 1 0 N | ٦. | RED RUN | | | | | | | | | · · · · · | | | | | |
| 401-76 | DNRPN-500227 | 30408 | 570 | | 35.6 | <4.0 | <10 | <20 | | | <1000 | <1000 | | | <40 | | | | |

^{## =} In mg/kg dry Height.

= Total solids are in percent.

= In moderately polluted range, U.S. EPA, 1977.

= Exceeds heavily polluted range, U.S. EPA, 1977.

= Percent heavy metals

Table 4, 10 Selected erganic conteminant constituents in the Climton River, 1970 - 1987.
Results are in ug/kg dry weight unless noted.

| | | | | | ight un | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------|------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|----------------|---------|----------------|-----------|----------------|---------|----------------|----------------|------------------|--------|----------------------|------------|----------------|------|-----|---|------------------------|
| AP ID VEAR | STATION | 011 and GreaseM | | Phenol | Total m | | Dieldrin | Chl or | | Hepta- clor | | Phtha- lates | DOP | Phenen- threne | Anthra- | Total mean | DDT. | min | | Diethylna Fhthalata |
| | | | 1 0 N | 5. | HAIN D | RANCH O | F THE CLE | NTON R | EVER AN | D ITS T | RIBUTARI | ES UPSTR | EAM O | F RED RUN | | | | | | |
| 01-76 | DHRPH-630430 | 500 | <500 | | 27.6 | <4.0 | <10.0 | <20.0 | | | | 1790 | < 1000 | | | <40.0 | | | | • |
| 07-76 | DNRPN-630599 | 60600 | <500 | | 41.0 | <4.0 | <10.0 | <20.0 | | | | 3940 | < 1000 | | | ₹40.0 | | | | |
| 09-76 | DNRPH-630633 | 50000 | 177600 | | 21.0 | <4.0 | <10.0 | <20.0 | | | | 4440 | <1000 | | | <40.0 | | | | |
| 10-76 | DNRSTQ-63072 | | 1300+ | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | • | |
| 11-74 | DNRPH-630635 | 1100+ | <\$00 | | ., 40.9 | <4.0 | <10.0 | <20.0 | | | | <1000 | <1000 | | | <40.0 | | | | |
| 12-73 | DHRPC2-63061 | 0.4111 | <200 | | 29.4 | <1.0 | 17.0 | <1.0 | <1.0 | <1.0 | <1.0 | | | 4420.0 | | 71.0 | ı | | | 3060. |
| 13-73 | DMRPC2-630614 | 4 0.5311 | <200 | | 36.7 | <1.0 | 22.0 | <1.0 | <1.0 | <1.0 | <1.0 | | | <500.0 | | 76.0 | | • | | ₹ 5 00.1 |
| 14-73 | DNRPC2-63061 | 3.4911 | <200 | | 30.4 | <1.0 | <1.0 | <1.0 | <1.0 | <1.0 | <1.0 | | | <500.0 | | 115.0 | | | | 1640.1 |
| 15-73 | DHRPC2-63062 | 1 0.1711 | <200 | | 34.0 | <1.0 | <1.0 | <1.0 | <1.0 | <1.0 | <1.0 | | | 2010.0 | | 06.0 | ı | | | \$00.i |
| 16-73 | DNRPC2-63062 | t 0.5511 | <200 | | 14.6 | <1.0 | <1.0 | <1.0 | <1.0 | <1.0 | <1.0 | | | <500.0 | | 404.0 | ı | | | 6050. |
| 10-76 | DNRPN-76-SEC | 22000 | <500 | | 41.5 | <4.0 | <10.0 | <20.0 | | | | 1110 | <1000 | | | €40.0 | I | | | |
| 19-76 | DHRST0-630631 | • | 1190+ | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | gwell of all |
| | DMRLD1-03-1 | • | | | | | | | | | | 1150 | | 516 | <145 | | | | | |
| 22-03 | DMRLDI-03-2 DMRLDI-03-30 | | | | | | | | | | | <203 4170 | | <296 <246 | <13 <27 | | | | | |
| 23-03 | DNRLDI -83-3 DNRLDI -83-4 | | | | | | | | | | | <436 608 | | <11 <193 | <35 | | | | | |
| | DHRLDI-03-5 DHRLDI-03-6 | | | | | | | | | | | 527 2004 |) | <375 2004 | <77 | | | | | |
| 26-03 | DNRLD1-03-7 DNRLD1-03-0 | | | | | | | | | | | 200u | | 200u 040 k | | | | | | |
| | DHRLD1-03-9 | | | | | | | | | | | 2004 |) | 2000 | | | | | | |
| 31-76 | DHRPH-500205 | 600 | <500+ | | 44.7 | <4.0 | <10.0 | <20.0 | | | | <1000 | <1000 | | | <40.0 | | | | |
| | | S E C T | I O N | 6. | NORTH | AND HI | DDLE BRAN | CHES, | CLINTON | RIVER | | | | | | | | | | |
| | DHRMDR-#3-1 | | <50.0 | <2500 | | <20.0 | | <20.0 | | | <20.0 | <\$000 | | | | <20.0 | | | | 45,300 |
| | DHRMDR-83-28 DHRMDR-83-28 | | <\$0.0 < 5 0.0 | <2500 <2500 | | <20.0 <20.0 | | <20.0 <20.0 | | | <20.0 <20.0 | <\$000 <\$000 | | | | <20.0 <20.0 | | | | \$0,000 13,000 |
| 02-03 | DHRHDR-83-2C | | <50.0 | <2500 | | <20.0 | | <20.0 | | | <20.0 | <\$000 | | | | <20.0 | | | | 37,000 |
| | DHRMDR-03-3A DHRMDR-03-3B | | <50.0 <50.0 | <2500 <2500 | | <20.0 | | <20.0 | | | <20.0 <20.0 | <\$000 <\$000 | | | | <20.0 | | | | 25,000 39,000 |
| | DHRHDR-83-3C | | ₹0.0 | ₹2500 | | <20.0 | | <20.0 | | | <20.0 | <\$000 | | | | ₹20.0 | | | | \$2,000 |
| | DHRODR-79-1 DHRODR-79-3 | <\$00 63000 | <6000 134000 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| M-79 | DHRODR-79-2 | <500 | <7800 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

m = In mg/kg dry weight.

m = Fotal selids are in percent.

• In moderately polluted range, U.S. EFR, 1977.

• Enceeds heavily polluted range, U.S. EFR, 1977.

• Percent heavy metals

| Percent oil

= See table: pelymuclear aromatic hydrocarbons also sampled for ke Results tentative: unconfirmed data.

- Undetected at this level of detection.

• Recring teel маз used to collect the samples in the McRride.

Table 4.11 Selected organic chemical and polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbon contaminant constituents in the Clinton River, 1970 - 1987. Results are in ug/kg dry weight unless noted.

| | | | 1 NATURA | L CHANNEL, DO | MINSTREAM OF T | HE SPILLHAY | | | SECTION 4 |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|
| | | 104-81 EPA-1381-5 | 107-01 EPA-1901-4 | 112-01 EPA-1901-3 | 114-01 EPR-1901-2 | 116-01 EPA-1901-1 | 117-05 EPR-1905-15. | 119-05 EPA-1306-15 | 202 65 E PA-06- 13m |
| 1-dichloreethane | | 2000 2 | | | | | | | 2 25 13., |
| 2-dichloroethane 1-dichloroethane | | | | | | | | | |
| 2-dichloroethene | | • | | | | | | | |
| 1.1-trichleroethane | | | | | | | | | |
| 1,2-trichloroethane brane-3-chloropropane | | | | | | | • | | |
| 2-dichioropene | | | | | | | | | |
| ' 1 9 9-tat-arklarathana | | | | | | • | | | |
| , 3, 7 , 0 - to trach! or od! bon! | 10- p-d 1 0H1 | n | | | | | | | |
| -butánono -cuclohexen-1-one | | | | | | | | | |
| -hexanene | | | | 4 | | | | | |
| -methyl naphthal ene | | | | | | | | | |
| -fluro-1,,1-biphenyl -mthul-2-pentanone | | | | | | | | | |
| cesaphthene | | | | | | | | | |
| cesaphythlene | | | | | | | | | |
| cetene | | | | | | | | | |
| crol ol n nthrocono/Phononthrocom | • | 750.0 | 1,040.0 | 1,190.0 | 330.0 | 400.0 | | | |
| endosul | - | 1.0 | 14.0 | 12.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | | | |
| entene | | 5.0 | 25.0 | 5.0 | 11.0 | 11.0 | 1. 4. 1 | _ | |
| engo (a) an thracene engo (A) purene | | | | 2,020 | | | | | |
| ence(b) fluoranthene | | | | 2,340.0 | | | | | |
| enta(k) fluoranthene | | | • | • | | | | | |
| ense (gh1) peryl ene | | | 27.0 | | 9.0 | 2.0 | | | |
| eta BHC ropodichloromethene | | | 21.0 | | | | | | |
| resefluorebenzene 8 80 | | | • | | | | | 150 | 35.7 |
| roueform | | | | | | | | | |
| orbon Tetrachloride | | | | | | | | | |
| hlerobenzene hlerodibrenenethane | | | | | | | | | |
| hiproform | | | | | | | | | |
| hrysene | | 3,790.0 | | 6,400.0 | 1,500.0 | 400.0 | | | |
| hrysono BNZ Anth CPS | | 20.0 | 9.0 | 27.0 | 21.0 | 2.0 | | | |
| l benzefuran | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 benzo (a, h) anthracene ' | | | | | | | | | * |
| ichlorobenzene ichloromethane | | 27.0 | 1,360.0 | 63.0 | 940.0 | 241.0 | | | |
| that bensene | | | • | | | | | | |
| 1 astone | - | 1,770.0 | 2,500.0 | 2,700.0 | 700.0 | \$00.0 | | | |
| 1 uer anthene | | | | 60.0 | | 500.0 | | | |
| lwrene lwratrichloro-nethane | | | | | | | | | |
| emchi erobenzene | | 7.0 | 23.0 | 17.0 | 4.0 | 1.0 | | | |
| emeh) erebutedi ene | _ | | | | | | | | |
| elachi erecycl epentadi en eptadecane | • | | | | | | | | |
| ndone (2,3,3-cd) pyrene | | _ | | | | | | | |
| ethul benzene | | 3.0 | 4.0 | 6.0 | 5.0 | 6.0 | | | |
| ethilene chloride iren | | 4.0 | 2.0 | 5.0 | | 1.0 | | | |
| apthal ene | | 25.0 | 400.0 | 340.0 | 300 | 150.0 | 100.0 | | |
| -ni trosodi phenul ani ne | | | | | | | | | |
| ctachl orecycl epentene entechl oreni trobenzene | | | | | | | | | |
| PACULOL OUT CLODALITADA | | 2,400.0 | 2.700.0 | 3,200.0 | 430 | 700.0 | | | |
| urene Eyrene | | | - • | | | | | | |
| e trachi or oe thene | | | | | | | | | |
| etrahydrofuran richloroethone | | | | | | | | | |
| bjaeve bjaeve | | | | | | | | | |
| otal mylenes | | | | | | | | | |
| rishleremethane | | | | | 20,000 | | | | |
| iridecane Lytron | | 56.0 | 64.0 | 73.0 | 49.0 | 10.0 | | | |

Table 4,11 continued Results are in ug/kg dry weight unless noted.

| | 520-63 DMRLDI-63-1 # | 521-03 | 522-03 | 522-03 | 523-63 | 524-03 | 525-03 | 526-03 | \$27-63 | 520- |
|---|-------------------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|----------------|----------------|------------|--------------|---------------|-----------|
| l-dichiereethane | mwrnt-63-7 + | DIMETO1-63-5 | DAME 01 -03-3 | DHRLD1-83-3 | numrn1 -43-4 | marker -es- | powerD1-03 | -e DWKFDI-43 | - r DMWLD1-63 | -e DHWLDI |
| B-di chi oroo thano | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1-dichloree thene | | | | | | | | | | |
| ê-di chi oreethene i , i-tri chi ereethene | Q 25 | | | | | | | | | |
| 1,2-trichloroothane | | | | | | | | | | |
| brand-3-chlaraprapane | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2-dichloropropano 1,2,8-totrachiorothano | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1,2,2-tetrach] erethane | ** -: *:: | | | | | | | | | _ |
| 9,7,8-tetrachloredibenze-p- butanene | -d1 on1 n | 4 | | | 4 | 3 | 34 | 2 u | 24 | 2 |
| Eyel ohenen-1-one | 191 | 344 | 171 | A. Carrier | 346 | 407 | | | | |
| NOHANONO | - | | | | 2 | | | | | |
| ee thy) naphthal ene | ,< 45 | | | | . 19 | | | | 31 | |
| /iura-i,,i-biphonyi wthyi-i-pontanono | | | 540 | | _ | | | | | |
| iethyl-Z-pentanene maphthene | < 1 < 50 | < 13 | | | 3 | 1 | | | | |
| onaphythlene | \ 50 | `\$99 | | | | | | | | |
| tone | 31 | | | | >2 | 14 | | | | |
| olein | | | | | | = * | | | | |
| thracone/Phonanthracone | | | | | | | | | | |
| dogul | | | | | | | | | | |
| usone uso (a) an thracono | 904 | | 2,909 | | 400 | 600 | | | 100 | |
| re (8) europe | < 250 | < 159 | 1,500 | | < 147 | < 166 | | | | |
| ngo (b) /luoranthono | 6366 | 4306 | 2,442 | < 15 < 14 | 460b | < 113 | | | | |
| nso(h) pyrone nso(b) /luoranthone nso(k) /luoranthone | | | • | < 14 | | 65 | | | | 1 |
| nzo (ghi) perulono ta OHC | | < 79 | | | < 71 | < 84 | | | | |
| a BHC | | | | | | | | | 1.7 | |
| modichlerenethene mofluorebenzene & BO | | | | | | | | | | |
| motorn | | | | | . < 2 | | | | | |
| bon Tetrachleride | | - | | | · - | | | | | |
| archentene | | | | e . | | | | | | |
| eredibrenemethene | | | | | | | | | | |
| eroforn voone | 1,135 | 752 | 3,003 | < 35 | 604 | 696 | | | | |
| yeene BNZ Anth | ., | 704 | 3,003 | | | | | | | |
| A | | | | | | | | | | |
| enzefuran | < 36 | < 15 | | | • | | | | | |
| ense (a, h) anthracene | • | | | | | < 106 | | | | |
| chl drobensene chl er ene thane | | | | | | | | | | |
| mi peuzeue | | | | | | | | | | |
| entene | . ** | | | | | | | | | |
| or anthone | 405 | < 314 | < 34T | < 11 | < 275 | 423 | 310k | 20 0u | 570k | 200 |
| erene | 66 | < 25 | < 10 | | < 14 | < 31 | | | | |
| eretrichlere-methane | | | | | | < 50 | | | | |
| achierebenzene achierebutadiene | | | * | | | \ 54 | | | | |
| achi er ecycl epentadi ene | | | | | | | | | | |
| tadocano | | 194 | | | | | | | | |
| lono (2,3,3-cd) purene | < 211 | < 100 | | | < 91 | < 104 | | | | |
| thul benzene | | | | | | _ | | | | |
| hylone chloride | < 3 | 10 | | < > | < P | • | | | | |
| en thal ene | 46 | < 16 | < 20 | | < 10 | < 20 | | | | |
| i tresedi phenyl ani ne | 7 | ~ •• | | | ₹ ₹ ₹ | ₹ 51 | | | | |
| achi er ecuci epentene | | | | | . =- | - - | | | | |
| tachi ereni trebenzene | | | | | <u>.</u> | | | | | = - |
| ene rene | 1, 190 | 1,470 | 5,559 | < 56 | 1, 142 | 810 | 200u | 20 0u | 620 k | 200 |
| rene | < 1 | | | | < ₹ | | | | | |
| rechlereethene rehudrefuren | 24 | 62 | | 26 | ີ່ເວັ | 39 | | | | |
| ranyere furan chi eree thene | 47 | 74 | | | | | | | | |
| neue | < 2 | < 1 | < 2 | < 1 | < 1 | < 1 | | | | |
| r' mylenes | ₹ 1 | * = | | · • | Š | | | | | |
| remethane | | | | | | | | | | |
| remethane | 174 | | | | 137 | | | | | |

| Anthropological anthropologica | 1, 1-dichleresthane 1, 2-dichleresthane 1, 1-dichleresthane 1, 1-dichleresthane 1, 1, 1-trichleresthane 1, 1, 1-trichleresthane 1, 1, 1-trichleresthane 1, 1, 2-terichleresthane 1, 2-terichle | # R C T I O | 2 D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D D | | DA : | | | |
|--|--|---------------------------------------|---|---------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------------|--|----------|
| ### ################################## | thul maphthalene ture 1 s. 1-biphonyl ture 1 s. 1-biphonyl ture 2 s. 1-biphonyl abbin naphthane | • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • | 0 | | | • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • | | |
| Appropriate and appropriate an | | # 0 0 0 0 0 0 | *** | # 0 0 0 0 0 0 | in the first of | 900 900 | 80.0 90.0 80.0 80.0 | ****** |
| POLITICAL PROPERTY OF THE POLITICAL PROPERTY | 1 6 6 5 E | N N N O O O O | **** | 0 000 0 000 | | 000 | NN NO 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 | , Nan |
| | MITON MONTPOLONO MONTP | ** 00 00 | 22 00 00 | #2 00 00 | - | 00 | 20.0 20.0 20.0 | 20.0 |
| | Torte to the control of the control | ## 0 ## | ##0 ## 000 00 | | | ##0 ## 000 00 | ##0 ## 0001 00 | |

Table it. [] continued in up/kg dry weight unless no

Table 4.11 continued . Reports and code listings for each report containing sediment data, Clinton River.

| STATION CODE | REPORT FROM WHICH DATA WAS EXTRACTED |
|------------------------------|--|
| COE-75, EPA-70, EPA-73 | Maintenance dredging of the federal navigation channel at Clinton River (Final environmental statement). COE 1976. |
| COE-83 | Confined disposal facility for maintenance dredging at Clinton River (supplemental final environmental statement). COE 1987. |
| EPA-81 | Unpublished data, U.S. EPA (GLMPO), no. 67. EPA 1981. |
| EPA-83 | Unpublished data, U.S. EPA (GLNPO), no. 68. EPA 1985. |
| DNR-87 | Unpublished report. MDNR. Kenaga 1987. |
| DNRGDR | Biological survey of Greiner Drain and North Branch Clinton River, Macomb County, Michigan. MDWR. Smalfeld 1980. |
| DNRLDI | Report on the impact of Liquid Disposal, Inc. on the Clinton River, Macomb County, Michigan. MDNR. Kenaga and Jones 1986. |
| DNRHDR | A water, sediment, and benthic macroinvertebrate survey of McBride Brain in the vicinity of South Macomb disposal authority landfill 9a, Macomb County, Mich. HDMR. Kenaga 1984. |
| DNRPC2 | Biological survey of Paint Creek. MDMR. 1973. |
| DNRPN | Sediment survey of the Clinton River, Pontiac to Houth, September 9, 1976. HDNR. 1976. |
| DNRSTO DHR | STORET retrieval from the STORET station listed. |
| US6SSTO | STORET retrieval from the STORET station listed. |

Table 4.11 continued

Clinton River basin sediment sampling stations, from headwaters to
mouth, MDMR and federal and local agencies station locations, 1970 - 1987.

| MAP ID NUMBER | LATITUDE | LONGITUDE | AGENCY STATION NUMBER | DESCRIPTION | | |
|------------------|-------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|--------|------------|
| | | | | - | | |
| | SECTIO | | E00717 | CLINION D AT COCCUED OF DO. CITY | | |
| 101 | | 82 52 36.0 82 52 00.0 | 500213 EPA-70-A | CLINTON R AT CROCKER ST BR; CITY Cl. R. just east of Gratiot Ave. | | |
| 102 | 42 33 48.0 | 82 32 00.0 | EPA-73-A | ci. R. just east of bratiot ave. | | |
| | | | ER6-83-8 | | | |
| 103 | 42 35 50 0 | 82 51 53.0 | COE-75-1 | Cl. R. at N. River Rd north of Avery St. | | |
| 104 | - | 82 51 47.0 | | Cl. R. at N. River Rd & Henry Joy Rd | | |
| 105 | | 82 51 32.0 | COE-75-2 | Cl. R. just west of I-94 | | |
| | | | ER6-83-7 | | | |
| | | | DNR-87-2 | | | |
| 106 | 42 35 26.0 | 82 51 27.0 | 500214 | CLINTON R AT 1-94 BRIDGE; HARRIS | | |
| 107 | 42 35 34.0 | 82 50 49.0 | EPA-81-4 | Cl. R. at N. River Rd at bend east of Irwin | Rd | |
| 108 | 42 35 22.0 | 82 50 50.0 | EPA-73-8 | Cl. R. off N. end of Chartier Rd. | | |
| | | | ERG-83-6 | | | |
| 109 | | 82 50 18.0 | COE-75-3 | Cl. R. at S. River Rd & Hazel Rd | | |
| 110 | | 82 50 11.0 | | Cl. R. west of Bridgeview Rd. | | |
| 112 | | 82 49 35.0 | EPA-81-3 | Cl. R. at Bridgeview bridge (west side) | | |
| 113 | 42 35 22.0 | 82 49 17.0 | EPA-70-C | Cl. R. mast of Bridgeview Rd. | | |
| 1.5 | | | EPA-73-C | | | |
| | | | COE-75-4 | | | |
| | | | ER6-83-4 | | | |
| 114 | 42 35 50.0 | 02 40 44 6 | DNR-87-1 | | | |
| 114 115 | 42 35 49.0 | | EPA-81-2 ER 6 -83-3 | Cl. R. at end of Jefferson Ave. | | |
| | 42 35 33.0 | | | Cl. R. at S. River Rd upstre of EPA-73-D | | |
| 117 | 42 35 37.0 | | | Cl. R. near mouth; upstr. ERG-1 | | |
| ••• | | | ERG-83-2 | and the mean abactly appears also s | | |
| | | | EPA-85-15A | | | |
| 118 | 42 35 38.4 | 82 47 39.0 | | Cl. R. at N. River Rd east of EPA-73-D | | |
| | 42 35 36.0 | | | Cl. R. at N. River Rd east of EPA-75-1 | | |
| | | | COE-75-5 | | | |
| | | | EPA-85-15 | | | |
| 120 | 42 35 35.0 | 82 47 19.0 | EPA-75-3 | Cl. R. at N. River Rd east of mainland | | |
| 121 | 42 35 36.0 | 82 47 04.0 | EPA-75-4 | Cl. R. at N. River Rd east of EPA-75-3 | | |
| | 42 35 38.0 | | EPA-75-5 | In channel mouthway, at end of breakwater, a | est of | rubblesoun |
| 123 | 42 35 34.0 | 82 46 35.0 | | Cl R. east of EPA-75-5 | | |
| | | | EPA-73-E | | | |
| | | | COE-75-6 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | |
| | 42 35 36.0 | | | Cl. R. At mouth; tip of earthfill | | |
| 125 | 42 35 39.0 | 82 46 04.0 | | Cl. R. east of ERG-83-1 | | |
| | | | EPA-73-F | | ٠. | • |
| | | | COE-75-7 | | | |
| | C C C T 1 A | N 7 | | | | |
| | SECTIO | п 4 | | | | |
| 201 | 42 34 35 A | OR2 52 15 A | BNR-500186 | CLINTON R SPILLE HARPER AVE | | |
| | | 82 51 53.0 | | Spillway midway between I-94 & Jefferson Ave | | |

SECTION 3

^{301 42 34 39.0 082 57 12.0} DNR-500208 CLINTON R AT GARFIELD RD BR; CLI 302 42 35 45.0 082 54 35.0 DNR-500010 CLINTON RE MORAVIAN DRV; M. SIDE USGS-04165500

^{303 42 35 03.0 082 52 58.0} DNR-500233 CLINTON RE MO. BOUND GRATIGI AVE

Table 4.11 continued

| | LATITUDE | LONGITUDE | AGENCY STATION NUMBER | DESCRIPTION |
|-------------|------------|-------------|-----------------------------|--|
| | SECTIO | N 4 | | ************************************** |
| 401 | 42 33 10.0 | 082 59 07.0 | 500227 | RED RUN AT 15 MILE ROAD; STERLIN |
| | SECTIO | N 5 | | the state of the s |
| 501 | 42 37 40.0 | 083 23 41.0 | 630630 | CLINTON R. AT COOLEY LK RD; WATE |
| 502 | | 083 18 57.0 | | CLINTON R. AT ORCHARD LK RD; PON |
| 503 | | 083 18 30.0 | | CRYSTAL LK & CLINTON R. NTH; CITY OF PONTIAC, SEC 31 |
| 504 | 42 37 11.0 | 083 18 05.0 | 630705 | CLINTON R. DNSTRM CRYSTAL LK; CITY OF PONTIAC SEC 32 |
| 505 | 42 37 33.0 | 083 17 52.0 | 630600 | CLINTON R. AT GILLESPIE STREET; |
| 506 | 42 37 52.0 | 083 17 54.0 | 630703 | CLINTON R. AT WESSON ST, CITY OF PONTIAC |
| 507 | | 083 16 08.0 | | CLINTON R ABV PONTIAC STP NO1 IN |
| 508 | 42 38 33.0 | 083 15 37.0 | 630632 | CLINTON R & M-59 BRIDGE; PONTIAC |
| 509 | 42 38 11.0 | 083 15 05.0 | 630728 | CLINTON R 50 FT DWNSTR AUBURN WW |
| 510 | 42 38 02.0 | 083 13 27.0 | 630633 | CLINTON R. AT AUBURN RD; PONTIAC |
| 511 | 42 39 14.0 | 083 11 35.0 | 630595 | CLINTON R AT ADAMS RD BRIDGE; AVON |
| 512 | 42 39 57.0 | 083 09 13.0 | 630594 | CLINTON R AT AVON RD; AVON TWP, |
| 513 | 42 40 37.0 | 083 07 46.0 | 630635 | CLINTON R. UPSTREAM PAINT CR; AV |
| 514 | 42 47 00.0 | 083 14 35.0 | 630613 | PAINT CREEK AT H-24 BRIDGE; ORIO |
| 515 | 42 46 53.0 | 083 13 52.0 | 630614 | PAINT CR AT ATWATER ST; CITY OF |
| 516 | 42 43 52.0 | 083 09 35.0 | 630619 | PAINT CREEK AT DRION RD; DAKLAND |
| 517 | 42 41 19.0 | 083 08 32.0 | 630621 | PAINT CREEK AT MODDWARD ST; AVON |
| 518 | 42 40 41.0 | 083 07 42.0 | 630622 | PAINT CR AT 6TW RAILROAD BR.; AV |
| 519 | 42 40 36.0 | 083 07 37.0 | 620636 | CLINTON R 100 YDS BELOW PAINT CR |
| 521 | 42 40 58.0 | 062 06 20.0 | 630637 | CLINTON R @ PARK DAVIS PICNIC AREA |
| 522 | 42 40 12.0 | 083 05 46.0 | LDI-1 | Cl. R. just downstr. Yates Park Dam |
| 523 | 42 40 08.0 | 083 05 31.0 | LDI-2 | Cl. R. downstr. of LDI-1 |
| 524 | 42 39 58.0 | 083 05 10.0 | FD1-2 | Cl. R. downstream of LDI-2 |
| 525 | 42 39 30.0 | 083 04 57.0 | LDI-4 | Cl. R. downstream of LDI-3 |
| 526 | 42 39 20.0 | 083 04 36.0 | LDi-5 | Cl. R. downstream of LDI-4 |
| 527 | | 083 04 25.0 | | Cl. R. at Ryan Rd bridge; Shelby |
| 528 | | 083 04 07.0 | | Cl. R. downstream of LDI-6 |
| 529 | 42 39 05.0 | | FDI-8 | Cl. R. downstream of LBI-7 |
| 5 30 | | 083 03 23.0 | | Cl. R. downstream of LDI-8 |
| 531 | 42 35 11.0 | 082 59 49.0 | 500205 | CLINTON R AT KLEINO RD; CITY OF |
| | SECTIO | N 6 | | |
| | | 082 55 15.0 | | McDride Drain at 24 Mile Road |
| | | 082 54 40.0 | | McBride Brain between 24 & 23 Mi. Rd. |
| | | 082 54 05.0 | | McBride Drain 23 Mile Road |
| | | 082 53 53.0 | | N. Branch above inlet of Greiner Dr. |
| | | 082 53 25.0 | | |
| 606 | 42 37 07.0 | 082 53 54.0 | DNRSDR-2 | N. Branch below inlet of Greiner Dr. |

Table 4.12 Concentrations of Heavy Hetals in Fish Collected in the Clinton River Basin. 1971 -1984 Results in mg/kg met meight.

| F531 19 F531 19 F531 19 F531 19 F531 19 F531 19 F531 19 | 386 S 386 S 386 S 386 S 386 S | toret toret toret | 630606 630606 | | Skin on | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|-------------------------|------------------|------------------------|--------------------|------|-------|----------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------------|-----|--------------|------|
| F531 19 F531 19 F531 19 F531 19 F531 19 F531 19 | 386 S 986 S 986 S 986 S 986 S | itoret itoret | 630606 | | | | <0.01 | <0.10 | 0.13 | 1.50 | | | - | 5.60 | • | 0.60 | |
| F531 19 F531 19 F531 19 F531 19 F531 19 | 986 S 986 S 986 S 986 S | toret | | | Skin off | | <0.01 | < 0.1 0 | 0.12 | 0.15 | | | • | 11.40 | | 0.70 | <0.1 |
| F531 19 F531 19 F531 19 F531 19 | 986 S 986 S 986 S | | | | Skin off | | <0.01 | <0.10 | <0.10 | 0.13 | | | | 0. 90 | | <0.40 | |
| F531 19 F531 19 F531 19 | 386 S | itoret | 630606 | | Skin off | | <0.01 | <0.10 | 0.16 | <0.18 | | | | 16.80 | | 0.70 | |
| F531 19 F531 19 | 986 S | | 630605 | | Skin off | | <0.01 | <0.10 | <0.10 | 0.12 | | | | 7.70 | | <0.40 | |
| F531 19 | | | 630606 | | Skin off | | <0.01 | <0.10 | 0.10 | 0.22 | • | | | 8.00 | | 0.80 | |
| | age S | | 630606 | | Skin off | | <0.01 | <0.10 | 0.18 | 0.16 | | | | 16.60 | | <0.40 | |
| | | | 630606 | | Skin off | | <0.01 | <0.10 | 0.22 | 0.19 | | | | 15.10 | | | <0.1 |
| | | Storet | 630606 | | Skin off | | <0.01 | <0.10 | <0.10 | 0.32 | | | | 14.30 | | 0. 50 | <0.1 |
| | | DI US-86 | | N. Pike | Fillet | | 0.04 | 0.41 | 0.12 | | | | | | | | |
| | | .DIUS-86 | | N. Pike | Fillet | | • • • | 0.11 | 0.07 | 0.15 | | | | | | | |
| | | .DIUS-86 | | Carp | Fillet | | 0.02 | 0.27 | 0.04 | • •• | | | | | | | |
| | | .DI US-86 | | Carp | Fillet | | 0.04 | 0.27 | 0.09 | 0.08 | | | | | | | |
| | | .DI US-86 | | Carp | Fillot | | | 0.16 | 0.26 | 0 27 | | | | | | | |
| | | .DI US-86 | | H. Sucker | Fillet | | | 0.19 | 0.23 | 0.27 | | | | | | | |
| | | DI US-86 | | H. Sucker | Fillet | | | 0.20 | 0.10 | 0.20 | | | | | | | |
| | | DIUS-86 | | H. Sucker | Fillet | | | 0.18 0.36 | | 0.08 | | | | | | | |
| | | DIUS-86 | | H. Sucker Co.Shiner | Fillet Wh. Fish | | | 0.19 | 0.10 | 0.00 | | | | | | | |
| | | .01US-86 | | Halley | Fillet | | 0.03 | 1.70 | 0.39 | 0.36 | | | | | | | |
| | | DIUS-86 | | Walleye | Fillet | | 0.03 | 0.12 | 4.55 | 0.58 | | - | | | | | |
| | | LDIUS-86 LDIUS-86 | | Halleye | Fillet | | | 0.94 | 0.19 | 0.08 | | | | | | | |
| | | LDIUS-86 | | Halleye | Fillet | | | 0.15 | 0.19 | 0.00 | | | | | | | |
| | | DIUS-86 | | N. Pike | Fillet | | | 0.27 | 0.24 | 0.25 | | | | | | | |
| | | DIUS-86 | | Co.Shiner | Wh. Fish | 0.2 | | 0.14 | 0.14 | 012.5 | | | | | | | |
| | | LDIUS-86 | | H. Sucker | Fillet | | | 0.09 | 0.08 | 0.11 | | | | | | | |
| | | LUIUS-86 | | H. Sucker | Fillet | | | 0.36 | 0.09 | ***** | | | | | | | |
| | | DIUS-86 | | H. Sucker | Fillet | | | 0.44 | 0.13 | E- | | | | | | | |
| | | DIUS-86 | | H. Sucker | Fillet | | | 0.19 | 0.19 | | | | | | | | |
| | | LDIUS-86 | | Carp | Fillet | | | 0.32 | 0.21 | - 1 | | | | | | | |
| | | LDIUS-86 | | Carp | Fillet | | 0.20 | 0.24 | 0.17 | | | | | | | | |
| | | LDIUS-86 | | Carp | Fillet | 0.5 | 0.04 | 0.23 | 0.21 | | | | | | | | |
| | | DTUS-86 | • | Carp | Fillet | | | 0.29 | 0.32 | | | | | | | | |
| | | LDIDS-86 | | Carp | Hh. Fi≲h | <0.5 | <0.50 | 1.00 | <2.50 | 0.10 | <10.0 | 17.5 | 0.75 | 18.00 | 0.4 | | |
| | | LDIDS-86 | | Carp | Wh. Fish | <0.5 | <0.50 | <0.50 | <2.50 | 0.20 | <10.0 | 20.0 | 0.75 | 31.50 | | | |
| | | LD105-86 | | Carp | Wh. Fish | <0.5 | <0.50 | 0.50 | <2.50 | 0.10 | 250.0 | 22.5 | 2.25 | 53,50 | | | |
| | | LDIDS-86 | | W. Sucker | Hh. Fish | <0.5 | <0.50 | <0.50 | <2.50 | 0.10 | 20.0 | 37.5 | 3.00 | 15.50 | 0.3 | | |
| | | LDIDS-86 | | H. Sucker | Hh. Fi≤h | <0.5 | <0.50 | 0.50 | <2.50 | 0.10 | 40.0 | 45.0 | 3.00 | 13.50 | 0.4 | | |
| F535 1 | 383 t | NIDS-86 | | H. Sucker | Wh. Fish | <0.5 | <0.50 | 0.50 | <2.50 | 0.10 | 20.0 | 30.0 | 2.25 | 17.00 | 0.4 | | |
| | | LD105-86 | | H. Sucker | Hh. Fish | <0.5 | | <0.50 | <2.50 | 0.10 | <10.0 | 12.5 | 6.75 | 16.00 | 0.5 | | |
| | | DIDS-86 | | Gized Shad | | <0.5 | | 2.50 | <2.50 | 0.10 | 220.0 | 275.0 | 12,00 | 11.00 | 0.5 | | |
| | | LDI DS~ 86 | | GoldShiner | Hh. Fish | <0.5 | | 0.50 | <2.50 | 0.10 | 70.0 | 35.0 | 6.00 | 31.50 | 0.9 | | |
| F537 1 | | | 500203 | ChannelCat | Skin off | 0.1 | 0.08 | 0.22 | 0.60 | 1.28 | | | | 17.41 | | 4.69 | 2.7 |
| F539 1 | 973 (| MR-74 | 500205 | Carp | Skin off | | 0.03 | 0.06 | 0.30 | 0.20 | | | | 17.81 | | 1.11 | |
| F540 1 | | | 500047 | Sucker | Skin off | | 0,03 | 0.08 | 0.70 | 0.37 | | | | 9.00 | | 0.69 | |

^{*} Blank Corrected Concentration ** Skin off or skin on sample type are fillets

Table 4.12 Concentrations of Heavy Metals in Fish Collected in the Clinton River Basin. 1971 -1984 Results in mg/kg wet weight.

| lap Sample Code Year | Document Code | Storet Number | Fish Species | Sample Typexx | Total Arsenic | Total Cadmium | Total Chromium | Total Lead | Total Hencury | Total Aluminum | Total Iron¥ | Total Manganese | Total Zinc | Total Selenium | Total Copper | |
|--------------------------|------------------|------------------|--------------------|--------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------|----------------|------------------|-------------------|----------------|--------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|------|
| SECTION 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| F101 1973 I | | 5 00213 | | Skin off | 0.1 | 0.05 | 0.04 | 0.30 | 0.19 | | | | 11.91 | | 0.49 | |
| 103 1373 | | \$00214 | | Skin off | | 0.06 | 0.07 | 0.30 | 0.38 | | | | 12.20 | | 0.69 | |
| 104 1973 | | 500008 | | Skin off | | 0.02 | 0.07 | 0.50 | 0.40 | | | | 14.92 | | 9.37 | |
| 104 1986 | | | Lg. Bass | Skin on | | 0.02 | <0.10 | <0.10 | 0.23 | | | | 9.90 9.00 | | <0.40 <0.40 | |
| 104 1986 | | | Sm. Bass | Skin on | | <0.01 | <0.10 | <0.10 | 0.29 | | | | 6.15 | | 0,50 | |
| 104 1986 | | | Halleye | Skin on | | <0.01 | <0.10 | <0.10 | 0.28 | | | | 4.70 | | <0.40 | |
| F104 1986 | | | Halleye | Skin on | | <0.01 <0.01 | <0.10 0.21 | <0.10 <0.10 | 0.21 0.40 | | | | 6.00 | | 40,40 | |
| 7104 1986 7104 1986 | | | Halleye Halleye | Skin on Skin on | | <0.01 | <0.10 | <0.10 | 0.29 | | | | 9.40 | | <0.40 | |
| 104 1986 | | | Halleye | Skin on | | <0.01 | <0.10 | <0.10 | 0.28 | | | | 7.40 | | <0.40 | |
| 104 1986 | | | Halleye | Skin on | | <0.01 | <0.10 | <0.10 | 0.26 | | | | 6.10 | | <0.40 | |
| 104 1386 | | 500003 | | Hhole | | 0.04 | 0.14 | 0.68 | 0.10 | | | | 52.00 | | 1,40 | |
| 104 1386 | | 5000008 | | Hhole | | 0.13 | 0.14 | 0,63 | 0.11 | | | | 50.00 | | 2, 10 | |
| 104 1386 | | \$00000 | | Skin off | | 0.01 | <0.10 | <0.10 | 0.27 | | | | 9, 10 | | 0.30 | |
| 104 1986 | | 500008 | • | Skin off | | <0.01 | <0.10 | <0.10 | 0.23 | | | | 8.30 | | 0.80 | |
| 104 1986 | | 500008 | | Skin off | | <0.01 | <0.10 | 0.17 | 0.15 | | | | 30.00 | | <0.40 | |
| 104 1986 | | 500000 | | Skin off | | 0.02 | <0.10 | 0.20 | 0.26 | | | | 15.20 | | 0,30 | <0.1 |
| 104 1386 | Storet | 500008 | | Skin off | | <0.01 | <0.10 | 0.19 | 0.46 | | | | 20,00 | | 0.50 | <0.1 |
| SECTION 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 203 1973 | DHR-74 | 500188 | Carp | Skin off | | 0.06 | 0.18 | 0.50 | 0.14 | | | | 32.60 | | 8,29 | 1.7 |
| SECTION 3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 303 1971 | Storet | 500231 | Carn | Skin off | | 0.20 | 0.20 | | 0.30 | | | | 29,00 | | 4.20 | 0.1 |
| 303 1971 | | | Redhorse | Skin off | | <0.10 | 0.10 | | 0.30 | | | | 9.00 | | 0,30 | |
| 303 1973 | | | Sucker | Skin off | | ĕ0.03 | 0.14 | 0.80 | 0.32 | | | | 9.16 | | 0.70 | |
| 304 1973 | | 500230 | | Skin off | | 0.04 | 0.06 | 0.50 | 0.96 | | | | 17.82 | | 0.75 | |
| 304 1973 | | | Sucker | Skin off | 0.1 | | 0.07 | 0.40 | 0.28 | | | | 9.04 | | 0.69 | |
| 306 1975 | | 500209 | | Skin off | | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.30 | 0.22 | | | | 12.92 | | 0.34 | |
| 306 1973 | | | Sucker | Skin off | | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.20 | 0,63 | | | | 8.68 | | 0.73 | |
| 311 1973 | | 500010 | | Skin off | | 0.07 | 0.04 | 0.20 | 0.34 | | | | 5.80 | | 0.44 | |
| ECTION 5 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | . * | |
| 504 1973 | NNR-74 | 630629 | Carp | Skin off | 0.1 | 0.03 | 0.16 | 0.30 | 0.09 | | | | 19.10 |) | 0.85 | 0.8 |
| 504 1373 | | | Bluegill | Skin off | 0.1 | _ | 0.17 | 0,06 | 0.09 | | | | 14.72 | | 1.00 | |
| 512 1973 (| | | Sucker | Skin off | | 0.03 | 0.13 | 0.90 | 0.13 | | | | 11.90 | | 0.77 | |
| 512 1973 | | | Sunfish | Skin off | | 0.08 | 0.21 | 0.70 | 0.12 | | | | 19.56 | | 1.28 | |
| 512 1973 | | | Bluegill | Skin off | 0.1 | | 0.26 | 0.70 | 0.15 | | | | 23.64 | | 2.06 | |
| 514 1973 | | | Sucker | Skin off | | 0.06 | 0.08 | 0.60 | 0.16 | | | | 0.60 | | 0.79 | |
| 514 1973 (| | 630252 | | Skin off | | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.30 | 0.40 | | | | 15.64 | | 87 | |
| 514 1973 | | | Bluequil1 | Skin off | | 0.08 | 0.12 | 9.70 | 11,05 | | | | 14.51 | | 11,89 | |
| 515 1971 3 | | 630594 | H. Sucker | Skin off | | 0 . 10 | 0, 10 | | U. 10 | | | | -11.00 | l | -1. 60 | 0.1 |
| 526 1973 (| | 630637 | | Skin off | 0.1 | 0.04 | 0.19 | O. EU | 0.36 | | | | -11.80 | | . 0.65 | |
| 531 1386 | | 6.306.06 | Hall lege | Skin on | | -0.01 | <0.10 | <0.10 | 0.23 | | | | $i \in \mathcal{U}_{i}(M)$ | 1 | 0.50 | <0.1 |

Section 6. Section 1 phenol concentrations ranged from 170 to 21,000 ug/kg in 1970, less than 10 to 300 ug/kg in 1973, less than 200 ug/kg in 1983 and 100 ug/kg in 1985.

In 1976, Section 5 sediment oil and grease concentrations were 580 mg/kg at station 501 and 6060 and 5000 mg/kg at stations 507 and 509 in and just downstream of Pontiac. Concentrations decreased to 1180 and 2200 mg/kg at stations 511 and 518, respectively, in the Rochester area to 600 mg/kg at station 531 downstream of Utica. Oil and grease concentrations increased to approximately 2000 mg/kg at stations 301 and 302 downstream of Red Run. Concentrations in Section 2, station 201, were also 2000 mg/kg. Further downstream in Section 2, sediment oil and grease concencentrations were less than 650 mg/kg.

The source of oil and grease was apparently from Section 4 where oil and grease concentrations were 3,840 mg/kg in 1976 at station 401. Sediment oil and grease concentrations in Section 6 were 6,300 mg/kg in Greiner Drain, but were less than 500 mg/kg in the Main Branch up and downstream of Greiner Drain.

Section 1 oil and grease ranged from 320 to 27,000 mg/kg in 1970, 125 to 14,270 mg/kg in 1973, 406 to 10,222 mg/kg in 1975, 2,080 mg/kg in 1976, and 400 to 5,100 mg/kg in 1983. Oil and grease concentrations were greatest at stations 102, 103 and 113, and decreased with distance from those stations, with the lowest values at the Clinton River/Lake St. Clair confluence.

Sediment PCB concentrations in Section 5 were less than 500 ug/kg in 1976 at stations 501 and 507 upstream of the Pontiac WWTP. Sediment PCB jumped to 17,760 ug/kg at station 509 downstream of Pontiac and decreased to 1,300 at station 510. At Rochester just upstream of Paint Creek, sediment PCB concentrations were less than 500 ug/kg. Downstream of Rochester Paper Company, sediment PCB increased to 1,190 ug/kg at station 519 and decreased to less than 500 ug/kg at station 531 downstream of Utica. PCB was also reported at less than 500 ug/kg at stations 301 and 302 in Section 3, and station 201 in Section 2. In Section 4, PCB sediment concentrations were 570 ug/kg at station 401.

In Section 6 in 1979, Greiner Drain station 605 sediments had 13,400 ug/kg total PCB, but at stations 604 and 606 in the North Branch, PCB concentrations were less than 780 ug/kg. Stations 601 through 603 contained less than 50 ug/kg PCB.

Section 1 sediment PCB concentrations were 1,820 ug/kg in 1976, ranged from 195 to 562 ug/kg in 1981, and from 240 to 11,400 ug/kg in 1983. PCB concentrations were highest at stations 104 through 114 in 1981, and stations 105 through 115 in 1983. Two PCB analyses performed on samples collected in 1985, downstream of the stations previously documented as having highest PCB concentrations, were similar to concentrations found in other years. Sediments downstream of station 116 generally contained PCB concentrations an order of magnitude less than upstream stations in Section 1.

Polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons are a class of compounds known as PNAs or PAHs. Phenanthrene is a common PNA which was reported at less than 500 to 442 ug/kg in Paint Creek. Differences in levels of detection account for these apparent anomalies. In the vicinity of Ryan Road (stations 520 - 528), Clinton River sediments contained from less than 11 to 516 ug/kg phenanthrene.

Section 1 sediments contained phenanthrene at 9,220 ug/kg in 1973 (station 101), 5,290 ug/kg in 1980 (station 106), from 1,285 to 31,071 ug/kg in 1981 (stations 104-116), and 400 ug/kg in 1985 (station 118). PNAs followed the same pattern as other organic contaminants in Section 1 where highest concentrations were in the upstream reaches and the lowest concentrations present near the Clinton River/Lake St. Clair confluence.

In Sections 2 and 3, phenanthrene concentrations were reported at 32 and 70 ug/kg at stations 201 and 303, respectively. Other PNAs and their reported concentrations for Sections 1, 2, 5, and 6 are shown in Table 4.11. Sediments were not analyzed for PNAs in Section 4. PNAs are associated with asphalt roadways, tar, creosote, combustion products, automobile and truck exhaust and oils (Kenaga and Jones, 1986).

Endrin, heptachlor and lindane sediment concentrations were all less than detection limits of 1.0 ug/kg at stations 512 through 516 in 1973. Lindane was less than detection levels of 20 ug/kg at stations 601 through 603 in 1983. No other analyses for these chemicals in sediments were performed.

4.5 CHEMICALS IN CLINTON RIVER FISH TISSUE

Chemical analyses of 14 fish species from the Clinton River Sections 1, 2, 3 and 5 have occurred between 1971 and 1988 (see Map 6.3).

Some chemicals that bioaccumulate in fish tissue such as PCB and pesticides have been determined to be harmful to humans if eaten in sufficient quantities. Fish tissue concentration guidelines have been established by the U.S. Federal Department of Agriculture (USFDA) and by the Michigan Department of Public Health (MDPH) to protect human health. The MDPH is responsible for fish consumption advisories where necessary in the State of Michigan. No fish consumption advisory has ever been issued for the Clinton River.

Because some fish species are mobile, obstructions such as dams allow scientists to draw conclusions from established populations associated with a particular reach of a river. This may assist in locating pollutant sources. The only dam in the Clinton River downstream of Pontiac is at Yates Park at Avon/Dequindre Road. This dam is located near the middle of Section 5, upstream of station F532.

4.5.1 Metals in Clinton River Fish Tissue

Eleven species of fish, including game and rough fish, caught in Sections 1, 2, 3 and 5 were analyzed for metals (Table 4.12). Fish were collected in 1971, 1973, and 1983 and analyzed primarily as skin-off fillets.

Metals in fish tissue were relatively low. The impact of metals in fish tissue is not well understood and few metals bioaccumulate in fish above concentrations found in the ambient water. Only mercury has a tendency for bioaccumulation and, therefore, MDPH and USFDA have established an "action level" of 0.5 mg/kg total mercury in the edible portion.

Only four of the 58 samples analyzed exceeded the mercury action level. All were collected downstream of the Yates Park Dam. Three were collected in 1973, a carp collected at station F304 contained 0.96 mg/kg mercury; a sucker collected at station F306 contained 0.63 mg/kg mercury; and a channel catfish collected at F537 contained 1.28 mg/kg mercury. One walleye collected in 1983 at station F533 contained 0.58 mg/kg mercury.

Other observations were that the chromium concentrations in fish appeared slightly higher in Section 5 than other River Sections. Also, lead concentrations in 1983 fish tissue were less than that in 1973 fish tissue. Copper concentrations were generally highest in carp, white sucker and channel catfish. All fish with copper concentrations of greater than 4.0 mg/kg except one from station F515 were downstream of the Yates Park Dam (Fish Station Map).

4.5.2 Organic Chemicals In Clinton River Fish Tissue

Fish for organic chemical analyses were collected from Sections 1, 2, 3, and 5 (Table 4.13). Analyses were performed primarily on rough fish (carp and suckers) since they have demonstrated a high potential for bioaccumulating organic contaminants. Game fish including walleye, black crappie, bluegills, northern pike, and rock bass were also tested. Analyses were performed for eleven organic contaminants between 1971 and 1986.

The only fish species that exceeded any organic criteria were carp. Nearly all fish tissue analyzed for dieldrin were at least ten times less than the action level (0.3 mg/kg). The highest concentration (0.08 mg/kg) was in a carp collected in 1973 from station F311 (Fish Station Map).

One carp contained 0.300 mg/kg total chlordane, which is the action level for chlordane in fish tissue. All other fish tested for chlordane were below this criterion.

The action level of 5.0 mg/kg total DDT was exceeded only in a large carp with very high lipid (fat) content. This sample was a skin-on fillet collected in 1984 at station F533. This same fish also contained the highest PCB concentration of any fish collected.

In 1973, PCB in carp tissue exceeded the USFDA action level of 2.0 mg/kg in seven of the ten carp analyzed. All three of the carp collected in Section 1 and the three carp from Section 3 exceeded this level while the single carp collected in Section 2 did not exceed this level. In Section 5, only the single carp collected downstream of Yates Park Dam at station F539 exceeded the action level, while the two carp collected above the dam at stations F504 and F514 did not.

Table 4.13 Concentrations of Organic Constituents and Pesticides in Fish Tissue from the Clinton River Basin. 1971 -1984
Results in mg/kg Het Height Unless Otherwise Noted

| Hap Code | Sample Year | Document Code | t Fish Species | Sample Typesk | z Lipids | Diethyl Phthalate | Bis-2-ethyl Hexel Phthalate | Phenan- | | | Total ODF | l.indane | | Total Chloro- dane | | Hexa- chloro- benzene |
|----------------|----------------|------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|---------|----|-------|----------------|----------|--------------|--------------------------|----------------|-----------------------------|
| SECTI | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| F 101 | 1973 (| NR-74 | Carp | Skin of | | | | | | 0.020 | | | 2.32 | | | |
| F102 | | .DI 94-86 | Carp | Skin of | | | | | | 0.015 | 0.782 | | 3.70 | 0.300 | 0.016 | 0.010 |
| F 102 F 102 | | .DI 94-86 LDI 94-86 | Carp | Skin of | | | | | | 0.022 | 0.860 1.301 | | 4.70 3.90 | 0.262 | 0.019 | 0.020 |
| F 102 | | LDI 94-86 | Carp Halleye | Skin of Skin of | | | | | 1. | 0.023 | 0.595 | | 0.75 | 0.252 0.092 | 0.016 0.007 | 0.053 |
| F 102 | | DI 94-86 | Sucker | Skin of | | | | | | 0.025 | 0.192 | | 0.82 | 0.083 | 0.007 | 0.068 |
| F 103 | | DNR-74 | Carp | Skin of | | | | | | 0.030 | 1.330 | | 2.77 | | | |
| F 104 | | DNR-74 | Carp | Skin of | f 3.2 | | | | | 0.030 | 1.060 | | 2.35 | | | |
| F 104 | | Storet | Lg. Bass | Skin on | | | | | | | | | 0.14 | | | |
| F104 | | Storet | Sm. Bass | Skin on | | | | | | | | | 0.80 | | | |
| F104 | | Storet | Halleye | Skin on | 0.8 | | · | | | | | | 0.45 | | | |
| F104 F104 | | Storet Storet | Halleye Halleye | Skin on Skin on | 0.9 0.6 | | | | | | Section 1 | | 0.14 0.36 | | 4. | |
| F 104 | | Storet | Halleye | Skin on | | | | | | | | | 0.41 | | | |
| F 104 | | Storet | Halleye | Skin on | | | | | | | | | 0, 13 | | | |
| F104 | | Storet | Halleye | Skin on | | | | | | | | | 0.31 | | | |
| F 104 | 1986 | Storat | Carp | Hhole | 6.7 | | | | | | | | 2,34 | | | |
| F 104 | | Storet | Carp | . Hho1≎ | 9.8 | | | | | | | | 3,59 | | | |
| F 104 | | Storet | Carp | Skin of | | | | | | | | | 1.10 | | | |
| F 104 | | Storet | Carp | Skin of | | | | | | | | | 0.92 | | | |
| F 104 F 104 | | Storet Storet | Carp | Skin of Skin of | | | | | | | | | 0.46 | | | |
| F104 | | Storet | Carp Carp | Skin of | | | | | | | | | 1.20 0.61 | | | |
| • • • • • | 2300 . | | 75. p | 26277 01 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | * | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SECTI | OH 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | ₹** · * | | | |
| F203 | 1975 (| DNR-74 | Camp | Skin of | f 1.8 | | | | | 0.010 | 0.510 | | 1.47 | | | |
| SEUTI | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| F 303 | 1973 (| NR-74 | Sucker | . Skin of | f 3.0 | | | | | 0.020 | 0.309 | | 1,55 | | | |
| F 3014 | 1973 | | Carp | Skin of | | | | | | 0.020 | | | 2.77 | | | |
| F 30-1 | 1973 [| DNR-74 | Suckers | Skin of | f 1.5 | | | | | | 0.330 | | 1.33 | | | |
| F306 | | DHR-74 | Camp | Skin of | | | | | | 0.020 | | | 2,94 | | | |
| F 306 | | MR-74 | Suckers | Skin of | | | | | | 0.010 | | | 9.77 | | | |
| F311 | 1973 (| MK-74 | Camp | Skin of | f 15.8 | | | | _ | 0.080 | 3.920 | | 6.11 | | | |
| SECTI | ON 5 | | | | | | • | | | | | | | | | |
| F504 | 1973 [| JNR-74 | Carp | Skin off | f 5.0 | | | | | 0.010 | 0.530 | | 1,66 | | | |
| F504 | 1973 (| | Bluegi 11 | Skin of | | | | | | | 0.300 | | 1.17 | | | |
| f/512 | 1970 1 | JHR-74 | Suction | Skin of | f 1.9 | | | | | | 0.240 | | 0.08 | | | |
| F'512 | 1973 [| | Sunfish | Skin of | | | | * | | | (0.300) | | 0,94 | | | |
| F512 | 1973 (| JHR- 74 | Bluegill | Skin of | 1.0 | | | | | 0.040 | -0.310 | | લ, વન | | | |

Table 4.13 cont. Concentrations of Organic Constituents and Pesticides in Fish Tissue from the Clinton River Basin. 1971 -1984
Results in mg/kg Het Height Unless Otherwise Noted

| Hap Code | Sample Documen Year Code | d Fish Species | Sample Typexx | Z Lipids | Diethyl | Bis-2-ethyl Hexel Phthalate | Phenan- | | Diel- drin | | Lindane | | Total Chloro- dane | Heptar chlor epoxide | Hesan chlorom benzene |
|----------------|--------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------|-------------|----------------|-----------------------------------|----------------|-------|------------------------|---------------|--------------------------|--------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| F514 | 1971 Storet | Blk Crappie | Skin of | | | | | | <0.010 | | | 0.23 | | | |
| F514 | 1973 DNR-74 | Sucker | Skin of | | | | | | | 0.150 | | 0.55 | | | |
| F514 | 1973 DNR-74 | Camp | Skin of | | | | | | ນ.010 | 0. 320 | | 0.08 | | | |
| F514 | 1973 DHR-74 | Bluegill | Skin of | | | | | | * ** | 0.070 | | 0.23 | | | |
| F526× | | Carp 1A | Skin of | | | | | | 0.005 | 0.123 | | 0.49 | 0.069 | 0.003 | 0.003 |
| F526× | | Carp 18 | Skin of | | | | | | 0.000 | | | 0.59 | 0.4 | | en 1500 m |
| F526* | | Carp 2A | Skin of | | | | | | 0.006 | 0.108 | | 0.55 | 0.102 | 0.003 | 0.003 |
| F526× | | Carp 28 | Skin of | | | | | | 0.000 | 0 1175 | | 0.67 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| F5268 | | Carp 3A | Skin of: Skin of: | | | | | | 0.008 | 0.073 | | 0.26 | 0.039 | 0.002 | 0.002 |
| F526× | | Camp 38 | Skin on | | | | | | | | | 0.56 | | | |
| F526¥ F526¥ | | H. Sucker H. Sucker | Skin on | 0.1 | | | | | | | | 0.46 | | | |
| F526* | | H. Sucker | Skin on | 0.1 | | | | | | | | 0.61 | | | |
| F526* | | W. Sucker | Skin on | <0.1 | | | | | | | | 0.45 | | | |
| F526 | | Shiners | Hhol e | 0.4 | | | | | | | | <0.10 | | | |
| F526* | | HogSucker | Skin on | 0.1 | | | | | | | | <0.10 | | | |
| F5264 | | H. Sucker | Skin on | 0.1 | | Sc. 1 | | | | | | <0.10 | | • | |
| F526 | | H. Sucker | Skin on | 0.1 | | | | | | | | <0.10 | | | |
| F5263 | | H. Sucker . | Skin on | | | | | | | | | <0.10 | | | |
| F526 | 1973 DHR-74 | Sucker | Skin of | | | | | | | 0.130 | | 0.66 | | | |
| F531 | 1986 Storet | Halleye | Skin on | 0.8 | | | | | | | | 0.21 | | | |
| F531 | 1986 Storet | Halleye | Skin on | | | | | | | | | 1.75 | | | |
| F531 | 1986 Storet | Carp | Skin of | F 3.8 | | | | | | | | 0.95 | | | |
| F531 | 1986 Storet | Carp | Skin of | f 2.9 | | | | | | | | 0.73 | | 1.0 | |
| F531 | 1986 Storet | Carp | Skin of | | | • | | | | | | 0.72 | | | |
| F531 | 1986 Storet | Camp | Skin of | | | | | | | | | 0.17 | | | • |
| F531 | 1986 Storet | Carp | Skin of | | | | | | | | | 2.56 | | | |
| F531 | 1986 Storet | Carp | Skin of | | | | | | | | | 0.31 | | | • |
| F531 | 1986 Storet | Carp | Skin of | | | | | | | | | 0.52 | | | |
| F531 | 1986 Storet | Carp | Skin of | | 0.363 | 0.00 | | | | n 030 | | 0.59 | | | |
| F533 | 1983 LDIUS-86 | N. Pike | Fillet | | 0.367 | 0.661 | | | 0.004 | | | 1.19 | | | |
| F533 | 1993 LDIUS-86 | N. Pike | Fillet | 1.1 | 0 120 | 0.223 | | | 0.003 | 0.110 | | 0.08 | | | · |
| F533 F533 | 1983 LDIUS-86 1983 LDIUS-86 | Carp | Fillet Fillet | 2.1 6.6 | 0.170 0.278 | | 0.130 0.185 | | 0.009 | 0.268 | | 3.50 | | | |
| F533 | 1983 LDIUS-86 | Camp Camp | Fillet | 3.1 | 0.210 | | 0.103 | | 0.02 3 0.022 | 0.326 | | 7.00 1.11 | | | |
| F533 | 1983 LDIUS-86 | W. Sucker | Fillet | 1.1 | | | | | 0.00.2 | 0.185 | | 1.56 | | | |
| F533 | 1983 LDTUS-86 | W. Sucker | Fillet | 0.9 | | | | | 0.001 | 0.101 | 0.001 | 0.68 | 4 | | |
| F533 | 1903 LDIUS-86 | H. Sucker | Fillet | 0.1 | • | | | | 0.002 | 0.165 | | 1.41 | | | |
| F533 | 1983 LDIUS-86 | H. Sucker | Fillet | ű.é | | | | | 3.0.4. | 0.036 | | 0.54 | | 200 | |
| F533 | 1983 LD1U5-86 | C.Shiners | Hhol e | 13.8 | | | | | 0.005 | 0.318 | | 1.97 | | | |
| F533 | 1983 LDIUS-86 | Hallege | Fillet | 1.4 | | | | | 0.005 | 0.131 | | 0.73 | | | |
| F933 | 1983 1.0105-86 | Halleye | Fillet | 0.7 | | | | | 0.003 | 0.031 | | u. 35 | | | |
| F533 | 1983 10105-86 | Hallege | fillet | 1.0 | | | | 0.264 | 0.002 | 0.050 | | 0.46 | | | |
| F533 | 1983 LDTUS-86 | Hal Lego | Fillet | 1.0 | | | | | | 0.043 | | 07 | | | |
| F553 | 1983 LDTUS-86 | H. Pike | Fillet | 2.2 | | | | | 0.005 | 0.233 | | 1.54 | | | |
| F533 | 1983 LDIUS-86 | C. Shimers | ¥ho1 € | 1.7 | | | | | | -0.472 | Π , $\Omega \Pi_{2}$ | 1.63 | | | |
| F 553 | 1983 LBUUS 86 | H. Sucker | Fillet | 0.6 | | | | | | -0.07 | 0.Ծ61 | 11,46. | | | |

Table 4.13 cont. Concentrations of Organic Constituents and Pesticides in Fish Tissue from the Clinton River Basin. 1971 -1984 Results in mg/kg Het Height Unless Otherwise Moted

| Man | Sauc) a | Document | Fish | Sample | 2 | Drethyl | Bis-2-ethyl Hexol | Phonen | | Ni el | Total | | Fat al | Total Chlumo- | Hepta- chlor | Hexa- chloro- |
|--------------|----------------------|-----------|-----------------------|--------------------------|------|--------------------------------|---|--------|-------|--------|----------------|---------|---------------------|------------------|-----------------|------------------|
| Nap Code | Sample Year | Code | Species | | | | Phthalate | | | | | Lindane | | dane | | benzene |
| F533 | 1983 (| DIUS-86 | H. Sucker | Fillet | 0.8 | and a common particles and the | | | | | 0.044 | 0.002 | 0.40 | | | |
| F533 | 1983 I | .DIUS-86 | H. Sucker | Fillet | 0.7 | 0.032 | | | 0.236 | | 0.033 | 0.003 | 0.68 | | | |
| F533 | 1983 L | DIUS-86 | H. Sucker | Fillet | 0.9 | | | | | | 0.080 | | 0.57 | | | |
| F533 | | | Carp | Fillet | 7.3 | | | | | 0.001 | | | 5.05 | | | |
| F533 | | | Carp | Fillet | 8.6 | | | | | 0.012 | 1.703 | | 6.96 | | | |
| F533 | | | Camp | Fillet | 45.8 | | 1.730 | | | 0.039 | | 0.177 | 252.00 | | | |
| F533 | | | Carp | Fillet | 1.5 | | | | | | 1.493 | | 5,59 | | | |
| F533 | | | Carp | Skin on | 0.2 | | | | | | | | 2.10 | | | |
| F533 | | | Carp 1A | Skin of | | | | | | 0.002 | 0.105 | | 0.45 | 0.035 | 0.002 | 0. 001 |
| F533 | | | Carp 1B | Skin on | | | | | | | | | 0.84 | | | |
| F533 | | _DIUS-86 | | . Skin of | | | | | | 0.013 | 3.701 | | 0.63 | 0.108 | 0.010 | 0.023 |
| F533 | | DI US-86 | | Skin on | | | | | | | 6 636 | | 5.30 | | | |
| F533 | | .DIU5-86 | | Skin of | | | | • | | ս. աւ | 0.230 | | 0.76 | 0.036 | 0.002 | 0.001 |
| F533 | | | Carp 3B | Skin of | | | | | | | | | 0.92 | | | |
| F533 | | | Carp | Skin of | | | | | • | | | | 1.10 | | | |
| F533 | | | Carp | Skin of | | | | | | | | | $\frac{2.00}{2.90}$ | | | |
| F533 F533 | | | Carp | Skin of: Skin of: | | | | | | | | | 2.50 | | | |
| F533 | | | Carp Carp | Skin of | | | | | | | | | 1.50 | | | |
| F533 | | | Carp Carp | Skin of | | | | | | | | | 0.93 | | | |
| F533 | | | Carp Carp | Skin of | | | | | | | | | 0.81 | | | |
| F533 | | | Carp 4H | Skin of | | | | | | 0.005 | 0.148 | | 0.54 | 0.053 | 0.015 | 0.000 |
| F533 | | .OTUS-86 | | Skin of | | | | | - | | | | 0.57 | | | Q. (0.5). |
| F533 | | | Carp | Skin of | | | | | | | | | 0.58 | | | |
| F533 | | | Carp | Skin of | | | | | | | | | <0.10 | | | |
| F533 | | | H. Sucker 5f | | 1.5 | | | | | 0.003 | 0.106 | | 0.46 | 0.023 | 0.002 | 0.001 |
| F533 | | | H. Sucker 51 | | 0.1 | | | | | | | | <0.10 | | | |
| F533 | 1984 (| DIUS-86 | W. Sucker | Skin on | 0.1 | | | | | | | | 0.74 | | | |
| F533 | 1984 1 | .DI U5-86 | H. Sücker | Skin on | 0.1 | | | | | | | | 0.65 | | | |
| F533 | | | H. Sucker 6f | | 2.3 | | | | | 0.003 | 0.045 | | 0.16 | 0.023 | 0.001 | 0.001 |
| F533 | | | W. Sucker 60 | | 0.1 | | | | | | | | 0.65 | | | |
| F533 | | | H. Sucker | Skin on | 0.1 | | | | | | | | 0.25 | | | |
| F533 | | | H. Sucker 76 | | 3.2 | | | | | 0.004 | 0.053 | | 0.24 | 0.029 | 0.005 | 0.002 |
| F533 | | | H. Sucker 78 | | 0.1 | | | | | | | | <0.40 | | | |
| F533 | | | H. Sucker | Skin on | 0.1 | | | | | | | | 0.22 | | | |
| F533 | | | H. Sucker | Skin on | 0.1 | | | | | | | | 0.59 | | | |
| F533 | | | Rock Bass | Skin on | 0.1 | | | | | | | | 0.39 | | s' | * |
| F333 | | | Rock Bass | Skin on | 0.1 | | $\mathcal{I}_{i_1,\ldots,i_{r-1},\ldots,i_{r-1}} = \mathcal{I}_{i_1,\ldots,i_{r-1},\ldots,i_{r-1}}$ | | | n o.e. | 2,930 | | 0.25 | | | |
| F537 F539 | - 1973 (- 1973 (| | Channel Cat. | - Skin oft - Skin oft | | | | | | | 3.800 | | 8.33 4.11 | | | |
| F540 | 1973 [| | Camp Sucker | Skin off | | * * | | | | 0.000 | | | 0.11 | | | |
| 1.,010 | 13131 | 4116) | parkings marketing | | 1.0 | | o a a securitario | | | | 4.0 p. 15-10.5 | | 71, (SE) | | | |

^{*} Map Location is the Conterpoint of Sampling Area ** Skin on or skin off sample type are fillets

In 1983, all three carp collected in Section 1 at station F102 exceeded the action level, averaging 4.1 mg/kg total PCB. All except one of the seven carp collected in 1983 in Section 5 downstream of Yates Park Dam at station F533 exceeded the USFDA action level. The PCB data for carp collected in Section 5 in 1983 are questionable because of poor quality assurance/quality control at the contract laboratory used for tissue analysis.

Section 5 carp were resampled in 1984 above and below the Yates Park Dam. The three carp (6 analyses) collected upstream of the Dam at station F526 were all less than the action level. Four of the 14 fish (18 analyses) collected downstream of the dam at station F533 in 1984 exceeded the USFDA action level with average PCB concentrations of 2.98 mg/kg. Average total PCB for all carp analyses downstream of Yates Dam in 1984 was 1.4 mg/kg. These data suggest that the source of PCB to fish is downstream of Yates Dam and may not be in the Clinton River at all.

In 1986 skin-on fillets of large and small mouth bass and walleye, skin-off fillets of carp and whole carp were analyzed from fish collection in Section 1 (F104). Only the whole carp exceeded the 2.0 mg/kg total PCB action level. Skin-on fillets of walleye and skin-off fillets of carp were also analyzed from fish collected at Station F531 just downstream of Yates Drain in 1986. Only one of the eight carp and none of the walleye analyzed exceeded (2.56 mg/kg) the action level of 2.0 mg/kg total PCB.

4.6 CLINTON RIVER FISH COMMUNITIES, 1971-1984

Fish communities in various River Sections have been documented by a variety of fish collection techniques including trap and gill netting, electrofishing, and rotenoning. It was reported that in 1960 the Clinton River had no fish (Johnson, 1984). Between 1972 and 1985 70 stations in Sections 1-6 were assessed for the number of each fish species present or by catch per unit effort (Table 4.14). Table 4.14 also includes the station locations and station codes for all fish sampling stations shown on Map 6.3. Fishing effort and gear type varied from station to station, but general fish communities in each Section are apparent.

Some station numbers actually represent river segments rather than discrete points as they appear on the maps. In these cases, the station number is located in the center of the river segment fished. These river segments often overlap from year to year and precise locations are difficult to plot. However, fish are mobile and precise station locations may be invalid.

Because fish are mobile, they may also avoid areas of poor water quality where dissolved oxygen is low or ammonia or chlorine is high. Creal (1985) demonstrated that fish avoid elevated levels of ammonia and chlorine downstream of municipal facilities.

Table 4,14 Number of Fish Collected or Catch Per Unit Effort in Section 1, the Natural Channel of the Main Branch Clinton River Dounstream of the Spillway. 1973 - 1984

| Collection Year | | 1973 | | 1975 | 1980× | 1981× | 1982× | 1983× | 1984× |
|------------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|--------|--------|---------------|--------------|--------|--------------|
| Document Code Storet Code | DNR-74 500213 | DNR-74 500214 | DNR-74 500008 | COE-75 | DNR-84 | DNR-84 | DNR-84 | DNR-84 | DNR-84 |
| Map code | F101 | F103 | F104 | F106 | F105 | F105 | F105 | F105 | F105 |
| Halleye | | | 1 | | 1.16 | 2.06 | 4.52 | 1.54 | 8.0 |
| Yellow Perch | | | | 24 | 1.92 | 11.63 | 2.71 | 8.44 | 1.47 |
| Muskellunge | | | 3 | | 0.1 | 0.35 | 0.06 | 0 | 0.07 |
| Northern Pike | | * | | Э . | 2.78 | 2.04 | 1.94 | 2.28 | 4.02 |
| Smallmouth Bass | | | | 1 V | 0 | 0 .02 | 0 .02 | 0.12 | 0. 09 |
| Largemouth Bass | | | | 2 | 0.02 | 0.16 | 0.02 | 0.04 | 0.14 |
| Crappie | | | | 3 | 10.5 | 37. 16 | 14.08 | 19.1 | 37.23 |
| Rock Bass | | | | 2 | 2.06 | 6.49 | 5.81 | 5.76 | 6.3 |
| Pumpkinseed | | | | | 2.64 | 3.96 | 2.77 | 5.46 | 6.26 |
| Bluegill | | | | 1 | . 0.64 | 4.16 | 1.65 | 2.58 | 6.58 |
| White Bass | | | | 3 | 0.52 | 0.8 | 0.66 | 1.08 | 1.02 |
| White Perch | | | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.23 |
| Bullhead | • | | | | 1.36 | 5.04 | 2.8 | 2.4 | 4.09 |
| Channel Catfish | | | | | 1.44 | 1.18 | 2.25 | 0.68 | 1.0 |
| Chinook Salmon | | | | | . 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.02 | 0 |
| White Sucker | | | | | 5.26 | 5.65 | 7.5 | 4.36 | 10.05 |
| Quillback Carpsucker | | 1 | 3 | | 6.0 | 5.92 | 4.48 | 1.22 | 6.58 |
| Redhorse | | | | 2 | 0.08 | 0.59 | 0.31 | 0.22 | 0.09 |
| Spotted Sucker | | | | | 0.04 | 0.51 | 0.06 | 0.38 | 0.63 |
| Freshwater Drum | | | | | 1.46 | 0.49 | 2.94 | 1.42 | 2.74 |
| Carp | 68 | 47 | 104 | | 14.06 | 10.57 | 21.06 | 9.64 | 11.49 |
| Goldfish | 2 | 2 | 3 | | 0 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.07 |
| Dogfish | | * * * | | | 0.4 | 1.14 | 1.13 | 0.2 | 0.93 |
| Gizzard Shad | 3 | 9 | 16 | 3 | 12.28 | 3.24 | 3.56 | 15.84 | 4.44 |
| Golden Shiner | | | | | 0 | 0.14 | 0.13 | 2.48 | 0.84 |
| Troutperch | | | | | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.06 | 0 |
| Aleuife | | | | 10 | | | | | |
| Sunfish | | | 1 | 2 | | | | | |

Based on Catch per Unit Effort - the average number of fish collected per net lift over a 24 hour period.

Table 4.14 continued.

Number of Fish Collected in Section 2, the Clinton River Spillway from the Heir to Lake St Clair. 1973 - 1981

| Date Document Code Map Code | 4-4-79 DNRHI -79 F201 | 4-11-79 DNRHI -79 F201 | 3-30-81 FHSH-81 F201 | 3-31-81 FHSH-81 F201 | 4-1-01 FHSH-01 F201 | 4-2-81 FHSH-81 F201 | | 4-15-80 FWS25-80 F202 | 1-2-80 FHS25-80 F202 | 4-16-80 FHS25-80 F202 | | 3-16-81 FHS5-81 F202 | 3-17-81 FHS5-81 F202 | 3-25-81 DNRF-81 F202 |
|---|-----------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---|-----------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| Halleye Northern Pike Huskellunge | 52 | 15 | 9 0 | 128 | 96 | 41 | 1 | 1 | | | 3 8 | 55 1 | 2 1 | 92 |
| Brown Trout Rainbow Trout Steelhead | | | | | | | | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Largemouth Bass White Sucker Redhorse | | | | | | | | | | | | _ | 1 | × |
| Corp Gizzard Shad | | · · · | | | | | | | | | | | | × |

Section 2 continued

| Date Document Code Map Code | 748-73 DNR-74 F203 | 3-25-81 FHS4-81 F204 | 3-25-80 FHS23-80 F205 | | | | | 3-26-80 FHS22-80 F207 | FWS1-81 | |
|---|--------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|---|---|---|----|---------------------------------|---------|------|
| Helleye Northern Pike Muskellunge Goldfish Carp | 2 2 6 | 20 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 11 | 1 | 73 | 1664 |

^{*} Species were present but actual numbers not recorded

Table 4.14 continued.

Fish Collected in Section 3, Main Branch of the Clinton River from the Spillway to Red Run. 1973 - 1981

| Date Document Code Storet Number Map Code | 7-12-78 FW518-78 F301 | May-Rug 8 FWSR-81 - F302 | 1973 DNR-74 500231 F303 | 1973 DNR-74 500230 F304 | 4-23-80 FWS212-80 F305 | 1973 DNR-74 500209 F306 | 4-8-80 FH5210-80 - F307 | 4-8-81 FWS-81 - F307 |
|--|---|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------|--|--|-------------------------------|
| Northern Pike | | 3 | | | , | | 1 | |
| Steelhead | | _ | | | 1 | | • | |
| Halleye | | 7 | | | | | | 159 |
| Alewife | | 10× | H | | | · • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • | | |
| Gizzard Shad | × | 1 | | | | | | |
| White Bass | * | 20 | | | | | | |
| Carp | × | 10 | 3 | 6 | | | • | • |
| Brown Bullhead | × | | | | | | | |
| Black Bullhead | | | | | | | | |
| Rock Bass | * | • | | | | | | |
| White Sucker | × | 67 | 5 | 16 | | . 9 | | |
| Bowfin | · × | | | | | | | |
| Longnose Gar | | 2 | | | | | | |
| Goldfish | × | | | | | | | |
| Spotted Sucker | * | | | | | | | |
| Largemouth Bass | × | | | | | | | |
| Redear Sunfish | | | | | | | | |
| Pumpkinseed | X 1 | | | | | | | |
| Silver Lamprey | * | | | | | | | |
| Spottail Shiner | × | 1 | | | | | | |
| Northern Hog Sucker | - * | | | | | | | |
| Channel Catfish | X | 2 | 24 | | | | | |
| Bluegill | | | | | | | | |
| Yellow Perch | × | | | | | | er e | |
| Freshwater Drum | . X | | | | | | Control of the Control | |
| Golden Shiner | × | _ | | | | · 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 10 | ta ta | |
| Carpsucker | . ** | 2 | | | | | | |
| Redhorse | × | . 3 | | | | | | |
| Shiner | A Property of the State of the | | • | | | | | |
| Smallmouth Bass | | | | | | | | |
| Black Crappie | | | | | • | | | |
| Quillback carpsucke | er e | | | | | | | |
| Trout-perch | | _ | | | | | | |
| Common Shiner | | 3 | | | | | | |

[×] Species were present but actual numbers not recorded ×× Many also seen

Table 4.14 continued.

Fish Collected in Section 3, Main Branch of the Clinton River from the Spillway to Red Run. 1973 - 1981

| Date Document Code Storet Number | 4-20-81 FHS-81 | 4-21-81 FWS-81 | 1973 DNR-74 500225 | 4-11-79 DNRH2-79 | 3-18-81 FWS-81 | 4-18-80 FW529-80 | 4-22-81 FWS-81 | 4-24-80 FW529-80 |
|----------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|--|-------------------|---------------------|
| Map Code | F307&F309 | | F308 | F309 | F310 . | F310 | F310 | F310 |
| Northern Pike | | | | | 1 | | | 2 |
| Steelhead | | | | | | . 1 | | |
| Halleye | 35 | 155 | | 27 | 9 | | 31 | |
| Alewife | | | _ | _ | | | | |
| Gizzard Shad | | | 5 | 5 | | | | |
| White Bass | | | | | | | | |
| Carp | | | 1 | | | | | |
| Brown Bullhead | | | | | | | | |
| Black Bullhead | | | | | | | | |
| Rock Bass | | | | | | | | |
| Hhite Sucker | | | . 2 | 2 | | | • | ** * |
| Bowfin | | | V. | | | | | |
| Longnose Gar | | | | | | | | |
| Goldfish | | | | | | | | |
| Spotted Sucker | | | · · · 1 | · | | | | |
| Largemouth Bass | | | | | | | | |
| Redear Sunfish | | | | | | | | |
| Pumpkinseed | | | | | | | | • |
| Silver Lamprey | | | | | | | | |
| Spottail Shiner | | | | | | | | |
| Northern Hog Sucker | 49 | | | | | | | |
| Channel Catfish | | | | | | 1. The second of | | |
| Bluegill | | | | | | | | |
| Yellow Perch | | | | | | | | |
| Freshwater Drum | | | | | | | | |
| Golden Shiner | 4.0 | | | | | | | |
| Carpsucker | | | | | | | | |
| Redhorse | | | | | | | | |
| Shiner | | | | | | | | |
| Smallmouth Bass | | | | | | *** | | |
| Black Crappie | | | | | * | | | |
| Quillback carpsucke | r | | | | | | | |
| Trout-perch | • | | 4. Mar. | | | | | |
| Common Shiner | | | | | | | | |

[×] Species were present but actual numbers not recorded ×× Many also seen

Table 4.14 continued.

Fish Collected in Section 3, Main Branch of the Clinton River from the Spillway to Red Run. 1973 - 1981

| Storet Number S00010 - | 9-27-81 FWS-81 | 3-30-81 FWS-81 |
|---|-------------------|-------------------|
| Steelhead Halleye 48 | F314 | F314 |
| Halleye | | |
| Riewife | 30 | |
| Gizzard Shad 2 | 73 | 90 |
| White Bass | | |
| Carp 1 | | |
| Brown Bullhead Black Bullhead Rock Bass H Hhite Sucker Boufin Longnose Gar Goldfish Spotted Sucker Largemouth Bass Redear Sunfish Pumpkinseed Silver Lamprey Spottail Shiner Northern Hog Sucker Channel Catfish Bluegill Yellow Perch Freshwater Drum Golden Shiner Carpsucker | | |
| Black Bullhead Rock Bass Rock Bass Hite Sucker Boufin Longnose Gar Goldfish Spotted Sucker Largemouth Bass Redear Sunfish Pumpkinseed Silver Lamprey Spottail Shiner Northern Hog Sucker Channel Catfish Bluegill Yellow Perch Freshwater Drum Golden Shiner Carpsucker | | |
| Rock Bass # 4 1 White Sucker # 11 59 Boufin # 8 Longnose Gar # 8 Goldfish # 1 Spotted Sucker # 9 Redear Sunfish # 1 Pumpkinseed # 10 Silver Lamprey Spottail Shiner Northern Hog Sucker Channel Catfish Bluegil | | |
| White Sucker Boufin Longnose Gar Goldfish Spotted Sucker Largemouth Bass Largemouth Bass Redear Sunfish Pumpkinseed Silver Lamprey Spottail Shiner Northern Hog Sucker Channel Catfish Bluegill Yellow Perch Freshwater Drum Golden Shiner Carpsucker | | |
| Bowfin Longnose Gar Goldfish Spotted Sucker Largemouth Bass Redear Sunfish Pumpkinseed Silver Lamprey Spottail Shiner Northern Hog Sucker Channel Catfish Bluegill Yellow Perch Freshwater Drum Golden Shiner Carpsucker | | |
| Longnose Gar Goldfish Spotted Sucker Largemouth Bass Redear Sunfish Pumpkinseed Silver Lamprey Spottail Shiner Northern Hog Sucker Channel Catfish Bluegill Yellow Perch Freshwater Drum Golden Shiner Carpsucker | • | |
| Goldfish # 1 Spotted Sucker # 9 Largemouth Bass # 9 Redear Sunfish # 1 Pumpkinseed # 10 Silver Lamprey Spottail Shiner Northern Hog Sucker Channel Catfish Bluegill # 4 Yellow Perch # 3 Freshwater Drum # 5 Golden Shiner | | |
| Spotted Sucker Largemouth Bass | | |
| Largemouth Bass | | |
| Redear Sunfish Pumpkinseed Silver Lamprey Spottail Shiner Northern Hog Sucker Channel Catfish Bluegill 4 Yellow Perch Freshwater Drum Golden Shiner 1 Carpsucker | | |
| Pumpkinseed M 10 Silver Lamprey Spottail Shiner Northern Hog Sucker Channel Catfish Bluegill 4 Yellow Perch 8 3 Freshwater Drum 5 Golden Shiner 1 Carpsucker | | |
| Silver Lamprey Spottail Shiner Northern Hog Sucker Channel Catfish Bluegill 4 Yellow Perch 8 3 Freshwater Drum 5 Golden Shiner 1 Carpsucker | | |
| Spottail Shiner Northern Hog Sucker Channel Catfish Bluegill 4 Yellow Perch 8 3 Freshwater Drum 5 Golden Shiner 1 Carpsucker | | |
| Northern Hog Sucker Channel Catfish Bluegill 4 Yellow Perch 8 3 Freshwater Drum 5 Golden Shiner 1 Carpsucker | | |
| Channel Catfish Bluegill 4 Yellow Perch 8 3 Freshwater Drum 5 Golden Shiner 1 Carpsucker | | |
| Bluegill 4 Yellow Perch 8 3 Freshwater Drum 5 Golden Shiner 1 Carpsucker | | |
| Yellow Perch 8 3 Freshwater Drum 5 Golden Shiner 1 Carpsucker | | |
| Freshwater Drum 5 Golden Shiner 1 Carpsucker | · | |
| Golden Shiner Carpsucker | | |
| Carpsucker | | |
| | | |
| KADUDI SA | 1 | |
| Shiner 5 1 | | |
| Smallmouth Bass 2 | | |
| Black Crappie 1 | | * |
| Quillback carpsucker 25 | | |
| Trout-perch 2 | | |
| Common Shiner | | |

 $[\]blacksquare$ Species were present but actual numbers not recorded $\bowtie\times$ Many also seen

Table 4.14 continued.

Fish Collected in Section 3, Main Branch of the Clinton River from the Spillway to Red Run. 1973 - 1981

| Bate Document Code | 3-31-81 FWS-81 | 4-1-81 FW5-81 | 4-2-81 FW5-81 | 4-7-81 FHS-81 |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Storet Number Map Code | F314 | F314 | F314 | F314 |
| Northern Pike | | | | |
| Steelhead | | | | |
| Halleye | 128 | 86 | 44 | . 87 |
| Alewife | | | | |
| Gizzard Shad | | | | |
| White Bass | | | | |
| Carp | | | | |
| Brown Bullhead | | | | |
| Black_Bullhead | | | | |
| Rock Bass | | | | |
| Mhite Sucker | | | | |
| Boufin | | | | |
| Longnose Gar | | | | |
| Goldfish | | | | |
| Spotted Sucker | | | | |
| Largemouth Bass | 1.0 | • | • | |
| Redear Sunfish | | | | • |
| Pumpkinseed | | | | |
| Silver Lamprey | | | | |
| Spottail Shiner | | | | |
| Northern Hog Sucker | | | | |
| Channel Catfish | | | | |
| Bluegill | | | | |
| Yellow Perch | | | • | |
| Freshwater Drum | | | | |
| Golden Shiner | | | * | |
| Carpsucker | | | | |
| Redhorse Shiner | | | | |
| Smallmouth Bass | | | 1 | |
| | | | | |
| Black Crappie | - | | | |
| Quillback carpsucker Trout-perch | | | | |
| Common Shiner | | | | |
| CUMMON SHINER | | | | |

х Species were present but actual numbers not recorded им Many also seen

Table 4.14 continued.

Fish Collected in Section 4 of the Clinton River Basin, Red Run. 1981

| Date Bocument Code Hap Code | | May to Aug, FWSC-01 F401 | | May to flug, FWSB-81 F402 | 1981 |
|-----------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|------|
| White Sucker | | 132 | | 21 | |
| Carp | | 304 | 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | 458 | • |
| Alewife | | 4 | | | |
| Spotted Shiner | est of the second | 17 | | | |
| Goldfish | | 16 | | 33 | |
| White Bass | | 4 | | | |
| Northern Pike | | 7 | | | |
| Gizzard Shad | | 2 | | 2 | |
| Carpsucker | | 2 | | | |
| Bluegill | | 1 | | 7 | |
| Fathead Minnow | | 3× | | • | |
| Quillback Carpsucker | | 1 | | | |
| Pumkinseed | | 5 | | 7 | |
| Silver Redhorse | | 1 | | | |
| Yellow Perch | | 1 | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | |
| Rock Bass | | 2 | | | |
| Common Shiner | | 1 | | | |
| Channel Catfish | | 1 | | | |
| Halleye | | 1 | | | |
| Golden Shiner | • | 1 H | | 9× | |
| Brown Bullhead | | | | 4 | |
| Black Bullhead | | | | 5 | |
| Lake Chubsucker | | | | 1 | |

^{*} Many also seen

Table 4.14 continued.

Number of Fish Collected in Section 5 of the Clinton River and its Tributaries

Upstream of Red Rum. 1972 - 1985

| Date: Document Code: Storet Number: Map Code: | Ju18Aug73 DNR-74 690629 F501 | 10-16-90 DNRDP-90 - F502 | 11-4-80 DNRCS-80 - F503 | Jul 4Aug73 DNR-74 630629 F504 | | 11-4-80 DNRED-80 - F505 | 11-6-80 DNREL-80 - F506 | 7to9-73 DNRPM-74 630630 F507 | 11-6-80 DNRCY-80 - F507 | Julthug73 Julthug73 DNR-74 DNR-74 630631 630599 F508 F509 |
|--|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|-------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|
| Yellow Perch | | | 7 1 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 19 | 1 | 5 | |
| White Sucker | 10 | 1 | | | 2 | | 1 | | | |
| Brown Bullhead | | 7 | ? 1 | | | 6 | | | 1 | |
| Rockbass | | 3 | • | 14 | 8 | 19 | 9 | 14 | . 3 | |
| Golden Shiner | | | | | | | | | | |
| Bluegill | | | 9 | 20 | | | 1 | 20 | | 9 |
| Green Sunfish | | | | 1 | | | | 1 | | 10 |
| Pumpkinseed | | | 2 | 30 | | 1 | 1 | 30 | | 7 |
| Fathead Minnous | | | | | | | | | | |
| Banded Killifish | | | | | | | | | | |
| Brook Silverside | | | | | | | | | | |
| Stonecat | • | | | | | 2 | 1 | | | |
| Brown Trout | . 1 | | | | | . 1 | | | | |
| Creek Chub | 50 |) | | 20 | | | | 20 | | |
| Hog Sucker | | | | 1 | 7 | 9 | 4 | 1 | 1 | |
| Northern Pike | | 2 | 2 1 | . 3 | | | | . 3 | | |
| Common Shiner | -15 | | | 1 | | 25 | 1 | 1 | | |
| Stoneroller | 6 | , | + 5 | | | | | | | 2 |
| Fantail Darter | | | | | | | | | | |
| Greenside Darter | | | | | | | | | | |
| Johnny Darter | 5 | | 2 1 | | | | | | 1 | 1 |
| Chinook Salmon | | | | | | | | | | - : |
| Yellow Bullhead | | | | | . 6 | | 1.5 | . 4 | | |
| Hornyhead Chub | | | 4 | | 26 | | 20 | | | |
| Carp | | | 3 | 1 | . 1 | | | 1 | | 1 |
| Minnow ssp. | | | | | 4 | | | | | - |
| Tadpole Madtom | | | | | 1 | | | | | |
| Blacknose Dace | 10 |) . | | | _ | | | | | |
| Black Bullhead | Š | | i i | 1 | | | 3 | 1 | | |
| Mud Minnow | | | | | | | 4 | · | 1 | |
| Largemouth Bass | | 1 | l | 2 | | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 7 |
| Longear Sunfish | | | . 2 | | | 1 | | | ī | 1 |
| Spotfin Shiner | | | 2 | | | | | | ĭ | - . |
| Iowa Darter | | | ≥ | | | | | | | |
| Greenside Darter | • | | | | | 3 | | | 1 | |
| LogPerch | | | 1 | . 1 | | · · | | 1 | | |
| Smallmouth Bass | | 17.4 | | | | 2 | | | 3 | 1 |
| Grass Pickerel | | | | . 4 | | · 1 | | 4 | | |
| Rainbow Darter | 90 | | | 1 | | _ | | 1 | | 1 |
| Bluntnose Minnou | | | | 1 | | | | ī | | - |
| Black Crappie | | | | 2 | | | | 2 | | |
| Goldfish | | | | | | | | | | |
| Channel Catfish | | | | | | | | | | |
| Boufin | | | | | | | | 1 | | |

p = present

o = occasional

c = common

a = abundant

va = veru abundant

Table 4.14 continued.

Number of Fish Collected in Section 5 of the Clinton River and its Tributeries Upstream of Red Run. 1972 - 1985

| Date: Document Code: Storet Number: Map Code: | | ₹-₹ 632 | 4 | • | 8.A. DNR- 5301 5311 | ·74 597 | DN | R-74 0633 | | ul&Aug73 DNR-74 630595 F513 | JulkAug DNR-7 6302 F514 | 4 | JulkAug73 DNR-74 630594 F515 | | TullRug73 DNR-74 630635 F516 | 9-20-73 DNRPC-74 630614 F517 | 9-31-72 DNRPC-74 630615 F518 | 9-21-05 DNRCL-95 - F518 | 9-20-7: DNRPC-7- 630616 F519 |
|--|------|------------|----|---|------------------------------|------------|----|--------------|---|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----|---------------------------------------|---|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Yellow Perch | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| White Sucker | | | | | | 4 | | | 3 | 46 | | 8 | 32 | 2 | 2 | VA | VA | 28 | VA |
| Brown Bullhead | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Rockbass | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Golden Shiner | | | | | | 10 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Bluegill | 4 | | | | | 39 | | 1 | 7 | 6 | | 8 | | | | | | 1 | |
| Breen Sunfish | | | 7 | | | 9 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Pumpkinseed | | | 5 | | | 10 | | (| Ð | 1 | | 1 | 7 | 7 | | | 0 | | |
| Fathead Minnows | | | | | | 19 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Bended Killifish | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Brook Silverside | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Stonecat | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Brown Trout | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | P | * | 26 | 0 |
| Creek Chub | | | | | | | | | | . 5 | | | | 5 | | | · A | 9 | |
| Hog Sucker | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | 1 | | | | | _ |
| Northern Pike | | • | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Common Shiner | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0 |
| Stoneroller | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Fentail Darter | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Greenside Darter | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Johnny Barter | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | * * | | | | |
| Chinook Saleon | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | ÷ | |
| Yellow Bullhead | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | • | | |
| Hornyhead Chub | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | • |
| nornynwau chub Carp | | | 1 | | | 16 | | | | | | 7 | | | | Р | | | |
| | | | • | | | 10 | | | | | | ٠ | | | 1 | | | | |
| Minnow ssp. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | . * | | | | |
| Tadpole Madtom | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | O | | |
| Blacknose Dace | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | , U | - | |
| Black Bullhead | | | | | | - | | 1: | • | 3 | | 00 | | • | | | | | |
| Mud Minnow | | | 18 | | | 7 | | | 4 | | _ | UŲ. | • | | | | | | |
| Largemouth Bass | | | | | | 3 | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | |
| Longear Sunfish | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Spotfin Shiner | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Iowa Darter | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | ** |
| Greenside Darter | | | | | | | • | | | | | | ry t | | | | | | |
| LogPerch | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Smallmouth Bass | | | | | | | | | | in the first of the second | | | | | - L | | | | 77.5 |
| Grass Pickerel | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Rainbow Darter | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Bluntnose Minnow | | | | | | | | | | • | | | | | | | | | |
| Black Crappie | | | | | | 5 | | | | * * | | | . 1 | | | | | | |
| Goldfish | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | 1 | | | | | |
| Channel Catfish | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Boufin | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

p = present

o = occasional

c = common

⁼ abundant

⁼ very abundant

Table 4.14 continued.

Number of Fish Collected in Section 5 of the Clinton River and its Tributaries Upstream of Red Run. 1972 - 1985

| Date: Document Code: Storet Number: Hap Code: | 6-7-80 DNRAD-80 - F519 | 9-21-95 DNRGN-95 - F520 | | | 6-16-80 DNRS8-80 - F522 | 8-21-85 ONRSB-85 - F522 | | 8-21-85 DNRDT-85 F523 | 9-20-73 DNRPC-74 630622 F524 | JulkAug73 DNR-74 630636 F525 | Jul&Rug73 DNR-74 690637 F526 |
|--|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|----|--------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Yellow Perch White Sucker Brown Bullhead | 41 | 35 | 31 | VA | 2 | | 35 | 1 | VA O | 5 | 9 |
| Rockbass | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Bolden Shiner | | | | | | | | | • | | |
| Bluegill | . 1 | - | | 0 | | | 2 | 1 | A | | |
| Breen Sunfish | | 1 | | P | | 3 | | 9 | A | | |
| Pumpkinseed | | | | | | 9 | | 7 | Ä | | |
| Fathead Minnous Banded Killifish | | | | | | | | | • | | |
| Brook Silverside | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Stonecat | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Brown Trout | 10 | 26 | 19 | 0 | | 29 | 6 | 47 | | | |
| Creek Chub | 31 | | | Ŏ | 1 | . 2 | | | A | | |
| Hog Sucker | | | | | | | 3 | | | | |
| Northern Pike | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Common Shiner | . 7 | • . | | | | | | .11 | | | 5 |
| itoneroller | | | | | | | | | 0 | | |
| antail Darter | | | | | | | •• | | | | |
| Breenside Darter | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Johnny Darter | | | | | | | , · · · · 1 | | | | |
| Chinook Salmon | | | | | | | | | | | |
| fellow Bullhead | | | | | | | | | | • | |
| tornyhead Chub | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cerp | * | | | | | | | | | | |
| linnow ssp. | • | | | | | • | | | | | |
| redpole Madton | | | _ | | _ | | _ | | | | |
| Blacknose Dace | 15 | j | 5 | С | 4 | | , 6 | | C | | |
| lack Bullhead | | | | | | 2 | | 1 | С | | |
| 1ud Minnou | • | | | | | | | 2 | | | |
| argemouth Bass | | | | | | | • | | A | | |
| ongear Sunfish | | | | | | | | | | • 1 | |
| Spotfin Shiner Lowa Darter | | | | | r . | | | | | | |
| Breenside Darter | | | | | | | | | and the great | | |
| ogPerch | | | | | | | | | | | |
| inallmouth Bass | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Grass Pickerel | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Rainbow Darter | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Bluntnose Minnow | * | | | | | | | | | | |
| Black Crappie | | | | P | | | | | 0 | | |
| Soldfish | | | | - - | | | | | | | |
| Channel Catfish | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Bowfin | | | | | | | | | | | |

p = present

o = occasional

c = common

a = abundant

va = very abundant

Table 4.14 continued.

Number of Fish Collected in Section 5 of the Clinton River and its Tributaries Upstream of Red Run. 1972 - 1985

| Date: Document Code: Storet Number: | 8-19-85 DNR33-85 | | 8-19-85 DNRIN-85 | 6-7-82 DNRSI-82 | Jul&Aug73 DNR-74 630594 | 10-23-80 DNRAV-80 | 5-7-84 DNRYC-84 | 10-23-80 DNRRY-80 | Jul&Aug73 DNR-74 | DNR-74 | DNR-74 |
|---|---------------------|----------|---------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|----------------------|---------------------|----------------|----------------|
| Hap Code: | F527 | F528 | F529 | F5 3 0 | F531 | F531 | F592 | F534 | 500201 F534 | 500202 F536 | 500203 F537 |
| Yellow Perch | | | | 18 | | 1 | | | | | |
| White Sucker | 9 | 61 | 3 | | 10 | 4 | | 1 | 5 | | . 1 |
| Brown Bullhead Rockbass | | : . | | 5 | | | | | | | |
| Bolden Shiner | | 3 | 5 | | | | | | | | |
| Bluegill | | | • • • | 30 10 | | f4 | | | | | |
| Green Sunfish | 1 | 14 | | | | | | | | | |
| Pumpkinseed | . • | 22 | | 2 14 | | | | | | | |
| Fathead Minnous | | 1 | 5 | | | | | | | | |
| Banded Killifish | | • | | 82 | | | | | | | |
| Brook Silverside | | | | 2 | | | | | | | |
| Stonegat | | | | 2 | | | | | | | |
| Brown Trout | 10 | 5 | • | | | | | | | | |
| Creek Chub | 29 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Hog Sucker | 28 | | | | | _ | | | | | |
| Northern Pike | 20 | | 14 | | | 4 | | | | | . ; |
| Common Shiner | 4 | | 11 | | _ | • | | | | | |
| Stoneroller | 7 | | | | 2 | Many | | | | | 5 |
| Fantail Darter | 2 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Greenside Darter | . • | 9 | | | | | | | | | |
| Johnny Darter | | 3 | | | | | | | | | |
| Chinook Salaon | | , , | | | | | | | | | |
| Yellow Bullhead | | | | | | 3 | , 4 | . 1 | | | |
| Hornyhead Chub | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Carp | | | | | | _ | | | | | |
| Minnow ssp. | | | | | | 2 | | | | | Э |
| Tadpole Madton | | | | | | | | | | | • • |
| Blacknose Dace | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Black Bullhead | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mud Minnou | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Largemouth Bass | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Longear Sunfish | | | | | | • | | | | | |
| Spotfin Shiner | | | 4. | | | | | | | | |
| Ioua Darter | | | | | | | | - | | | |
| Breenside Darter | | | | | ŕ | | | | | | |
| LogPerch | | | | 51 | | | | | | | |
| Smallmouth Bass | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Grass Pickerel | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Rainbow Darter | | 1 | | | | | | | | | |
| Bluntnose Minnow | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Black Crappie | | | | | ** | | | | | | |
| Goldfish | | | | | | | | • • | | | |
| Channel Catfish | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Boufin | | | | | | | | | | | |

p = present

o = occasional

o = common

⁼ abundant

⁼ very abundant

Table 4.14 continued.

| Dete: Document Code: Storet Number: Map Code: | | Jul&Aug73 J DNR-74 500205 F539 | | j man. | 1972 - 19 | | | | |
|--|--|---|---|--------|-----------|---|---|-------|--|
| Yellow Perch | | | | | | | | * * * | |
| White Sucker | . 1 | 2 | 5 | | | | | | |
| Brown Bullhead | | | | | | | | | |
| Rockbass | | | | | | | | | |
| Bolden Shiner | | | | | | | | | |
| Bluegill | | | | | | | | | |
| Green Sunfish | | | | 1.0 | | | | | |
| Pumpkinseed | | | | | | | | | |
| Fathead Minnows | | | | | | | | | |
| Banded Killifish | | | | | 1. | | | | |
| Brook Silverside | | | | | | | | | |
| Stonecat | | | | | | | | | |
| Brown Trout | | | | | | | | | |
| Creek Chub | | | | | • | | | | |
| Hog Sucker | | | | | | • | | | |
| Northern Pike | | | | | | | | | |
| Common Shiner | | | | | | | | | |
| Stoneroller | | | | | | | | | |
| Fentail Darter | | | | | | | • | | |
| Greenside Darter | | | | | | | | | |
| Johnny Derter | | | | | | | | | |
| Chinook Salmon | | | | | | | | | |
| Yellow Bullhead | | | | | | | | | |
| Hornyhead Chub | | | | | | | | | |
| Carp | 2 | . 2 | | | | | | | |
| Minnow ssp. | | | | | | | | | |
| Tedpole Madtom | | | | | | | | | |
| Blacknose Dace | | | | | | | | | |
| Black Bullhead | | | | | | | | | |
| Mud Minnow _ | • | | | 1.5 | | | | | |
| Largemouth Bass | | | | | | | | | |
| Longear Sunfish | | | | | | | | | |
| Spotfin Shiner | | 4.5 | | | | | | * | |
| Iowa Darter | | | - | | | | | | |
| Greenside Darter | | | • | | Table 1 | | | | |
| LogPerch | | | | | | | | | |
| Smallmouth Bass | | | | | | | | | |
| Grass Pickerel | | | | | | | | | |
| Rainbow Darter | | | | | | | | | |
| Bluntnose Minnow | Tall to the second of the seco | | | | | | | | |
| Black Crappie | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Goldfish Channel Catfish | 1 | | | | | | | | |

p = present

Bowfin

o = occasional

c = common

a = abundant

ve = very abundant

Table 4.14 continued.

Number of Fish Collected in Section 6, the North Branch of the Clinton River. 1978 - 1985

| Date: Document: Map Code: | 8-9-78 DNRHO-78 F601 | 8-20-85 DNR80-85 F602 | | 8-21-85 DNRAC-85 F604 | 8-20-85 DNRNB-85 F605 | 8-19-85 DNRCA-85 F606 | 8-20-85 DNR59-85 F607 | | | 4-14-78 DNRHC-78 F609 | 4-21-78 DNRHD-78 F609 |
|---------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----|-----|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Brown Trout | . 1 | 5 | | 6 | 1 | 6 | 7 | | | **** | |
| Creek Chub | . 6 | 4 | 15 | 12 | 13 | 20 | 30 | | | | 150 |
| White Sucker | 7 | 105 | | 15 | 7 | 19 | 14 | 12 | 75 | 40 | 50 |
| Rock Bass | 5 | | | 34 | 11 | 3 | . 3 | 1 | 4 | 1 | |
| Pumpkinseed | | 60 | | 4 | 1 | | | | | | |
| Common Shiner | 16 | . 8 | 71 | 40 | 54 | 22 | | | 150 | 30 | 100 |
| Hog Sucker | | . 1 | | | 1 | 21 | 30 | 33 | 15 | | 40 |
| Blacknose Dace | | | 1 | | 1 | | • | | | | |
| Mud Minnow | | | 2 | 10 | 3 | | 4 | | | | |
| Stoneroller | 2 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 27 | | | | 30 | İ | 20 |
| Rainbou Darter | | | | | 1 | | | · 6 | | | |
| Johnny Darter | 58 | | 8 5 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 6 | | 1 | • |
| Bluegill | • | 7 | | 3 | | 2 | | | | | |
| Northern Pike | | | • | • 1 | | · 1 | 1 | 1 | | . 1 | |
| Grass Pickerel | | 3 | | 1 | | _ | | - | | | |
| Bluntnose Minnou | | | | 5 | | | | | | 3 | |
| Greenside Darter | 11 | | | 1 | | | | | | | |
| Black Crappie | | . 1 | | | | | | | | | |
| Green Sunfish | | 5 | | | | 1 | | | | | |
| Black Bullhead | | 44 | | | | | | | | | • |
| Golden Shiner | | . 1 | | | | | | 2 | | | |
| Steelhead | | | | | | | | | | 1 | 1 |
| Carp | | | | | | | | | 50 | 12 | 15 |
| Madtom | and the second | | | | | | | | | | 4 |
| Brook Stickleback | | | 1, | | | | | | : 1 | | • |
| Rainbow Trout | | | | | | | | 6 | • | | |
| Stone Cat | | | | | | | 1 | 2 | | | |
| Fantail Darter | | | | | | 58 | i | 1 | | | |
| Largemouth Bass | | | | | | 3 | • | • | | | |
| Chestnut Lamprey | | | | | | 1 | | | | | |
| Hornyhead Chub | 11 | | 9 | | | • | | | | | |
| Redsided Dace | 15 | | 12 | | | | | | | | |
| Bigmouth Shiner | 6 | | 9 | | | | · | | | | |

Table 4.14 continued.

| Document Code | Report From Which Data was Extracted |
|--|--|
| DNR-74 | Biological Survey of the Clinton River: Pontiac to the Mouth. MDMR 1974. Grant, 1974. |
| COE-75 | Confined Disposal Facility for Maintenance Dredging of the Federal Navigation Channel in the Clinton River, Macoub County, Michigan. COE 1986. |
| DNR-84 | Haas, Robert, Personal Communication. MDNR 1987. |
| DNRWI-79 DNRW2-79 DNR94-79 | Deephouse, William, Personal Communication. MDNR 1979. |
| FWS-81 FWS1-81 FWS4-61 FWS5-81 | 1981 Update on the Clinton River Malleye Population Study. USFMS. Julian 1982. |
| FNS212-80 FNS210-80 FNS29-80 FNS28-80 FNS25-80 FNS23-80 FNS22-80 FNS22-80 | Odin, Clyde, Personal Communication. USFMS 8-5-80. |
| FWS18-78 | Odin, Clyde, Personal Communication. USFNS 7-12-78. |
| FNSA-81 FNSB-81 FNSC-81 | Odin, Clyde, Personal Communication. USFWS 10-22-81. |
| FWS1A-78 | Odin, Clyde, Personal Communication. USFNS 6-14-78. |
| FWS1C-78 | Odin, Clyde, Personal Communication. USFNS 8-22-78. |
| FWS3-79 | Odin, Clyde, Personal Communication. USFMS 5-11-79. |
| BMRPC-74 | Biological Survey of Paint Creek. MBMR. Lauer & Grant, 1973. |
| LDI94-86 LDIPC-86 LDIUS-86 LDIDS-86 | Report on the Impact of Liquid Disposal, Inc. onthe Clinton River, Macomb County, Michigan. MDMR. Konaga & Jones, 1986. |
| DHRRF-81 DHRBP-80 DHRCS-80 DHRPL-81 DHREB-80 DHREL-80 DHREL-80 | DMRHG-78 Fish Divion Records. MDMR. DMRAV-80 DMRYC-84 BMRRY-80 DMRBD-85 DMRKC-78 DMRKC-85 |
| DMRCL-80 DMRAD-80 DMRGM-80 DMRGM-85 DMRS8-85 | DMRK8-85 DMRCA-85 DMRS9-85 DMRMC-85 SMRME-78 |
| DWR31-80 DWR31-85 DWR33-85 | DIRIGE-78 DIRIGE-78 DIRIGE-78 DIRIGH-85 149 |

Table 4. 14 continued.

Clinton River Fish Sampling Stations. (Locations)

| Station Code | Latitude Longitude | Document Storet Code Number | Description |
|-----------------|------------------------|--------------------------------|--|
| SECTION | | | - |
| F101 | 42 35 47 82 52 36 | DNR-74 500213 | Cl. R. at Crocker St Br |
| F102 | 42 35 39 82 51 52.5 | LDI94-86 500375 | Cl. R. 2300 ft abv I-94 |
| F103 | 42 35 26 82 51 27 | DMR-74 500214 | Cl. R. at I-94 br, Harris |
| F104 | 42 35 45 82 49 30.5 | DNR-74 500008 | Cl.R. Bridgeview at Mouth |
| F105 | 42.35 49 82 48 37 | DNR-84 | Cl. R. at the end of Jefferson Ave. |
| F106 | 42 35 40 82 46 10 | C0E-75 | Cl. R at the mouth |
| SECTION : | 2 | | |
| F201 | 42 34 51 82 52 39 | DWRWI-79 | Cl. R. Spillway at weir |
| | | FNSN-81 | Cl. R. Spillway at weir |
| F202 | 42 34 45 82 52 31 | FWS25-80 | Cl. R. Spillway just dustr of weir |
| | • | FNS5-81 | Cl. R. Spillway just dwatr of weir |
| | | DMRF-81 | Cl. R. Spillway just dwstr of weir |
| F203 | 42 34 35 82 52 15 | DNR-74 500188 | Cl. R. Spillway at Harper Ave |
| F204 | 42 34 22 82 51 58 | FNS4-81 | Cl. R. Spillway dwstr of Harper Ave |
| F205 | 42 33 58 82 51 19 | FNS23-80 | Cl. R. Spillway upstr of I-94 Br |
| F206 | 42 33 42 82 50 51 | DNR94-79 | Cl. R. Spillway at 1-94 Brigge |
| F207 | 42 33 39 82 50 44 | FWS22-80 | Cl. R. Spillway dwstr I-94 Bridge |
| F208 | 42 33 37 82 50 39 | FWS1-81 | Cl. R. Spillway at Mouth-L. St. Claim |
| SECTION | 3 | | |
| F301 | 42 34 09 82 58 09 | FWS18-78 | Cl. R. at Houth of Red Run |
| F302 | 42 34 09 82 57 55 | FWSA-81 | Cl. R. dwstr of Mouth of Red Run |
| F303 | 42 34 40 82 57 06 | DMR-74 500231 | Cl. R. 100 yds upst Garfield |
| F304 | 42 34 33 82 57 02 | BMR-74 500230 | CI. R. just dwstr of Garfield Rd |
| F305 | 42 34 39 82 56 45 | FWS212-80 | Cl. R. dwstr of Garfield Rd |
| F304 | 42 35 16 82 55 40 | BMR-74 500209 | Cl. R. off Clinton R. Road |
| F307 | 42 35 30 82 55 05 | FWS210-80 | Cl. R. dwstr of Romen Plank Rd |
| | | FWS-81 | Cl. R. dwstr of Romeo Plank Rd |
| F308 | 42 35 30 82 55 18 | DMR-74 500225 | Cl. R. at River Rd Pumphouse |
| F309 | 42 35 59 82 54 35 | BNRN2-79 | Cl. R. at Houth of N. Branch |
| F310 | 42 35 50 82 54 35 | FWS-81 | CI. R. dwstr of N. Branch |
| | | FWS29-80 | Cl. R. dwstr of M. Branch |
| F311 | 42 35 45 82 54 35 | DNR-74 500010 | Cl. R. at Moravian Drive |
| F312 | 42 35 33 82 54 18 | FWS28-80 | Cl. R. dwstr of Moravian Drive |
| | | FWS-81 | Cl. R. dwstr of Moravian Drive |
| F313 | 42 35 21 82 53 34 | FNS1A-78 | CI. R. between Moravian Br & weir |
| | | FWS1C-78 | Cl. R. between Moravian Dr & weir |
| F314 | 42 35 12 82 53 10 | FWS3-79 FWS-81 | Cl. R. between Horavian Br & weir Cl. R. Upstr of the Spillway weir |
| SECTION | | | |
| F401 | - 42 31 36 83 01 35 | FNSC-81 | Red Run at Van Dyke Rd |
| | 42 33 43 82 58 25 | FNS8-81 | Red Run near Mouth of Plus Brook |
| F402 | 72 33 73 82 38 43 | L#39_61 | HEN HEN HEN HARLE OT FINE MEGET |

Table 4.14 continued.

| SECTION | 5 | | |
|---------|--------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------------|
| F501 | 42 44 40 83 24 40 | DNR-74 630623 | Cl. R. at I-75 ramp |
| F502 | 42 40 10 83 22 49 | BMRDP-80 | Cl. R. at Dray. Plains Nat Center |
| F503 | 42 39 50 83 23 13 | DMRCS-80 | Cl. R. at Cresent Lake Rd |
| F504 | 42 39 12 83 23 49 | DNR-74 630629 | Cl. R. at Pontiac Lk Rd |
| | | DMRPL-81 | Cl. R. at Pontiac Lk Rd |
| F505 | 42 38 48 83 24 05 | DWRED-80 | Cl. R. at Edgeorge Rd Bridge |
| F506 | 42 38 40 83 24 14 | DWREL-80 | Cl. R. at Elizabeth L. Rd |
| F507 | 42 37 40 83 23 41 | DMRPH-74 630630 | Cl. R. at Cocley Lk Rd |
| | | DMRCY-80 | Cl. R. at Cooley Lk Rd |
| F508 | 42 37 27 83 18 57 | DMR-74 630631 | |
| F509 | 42 38 48 83 16 08 | DWR-74 630599 | • |
| F510 | 42 38 33 83 15 37 | DNR-74 630632 | Cl. R. at M-59 bridge; Pont |
| F511 | 42 38 01 83 13 29 | DNR-74 630597 | |
| F512 | 42 38 02 83 13 27 | DNR-74 630633 | |
| F513 | 42 39 14 83 11 35 | DMR-74 630595 | |
| F514 | 42 39 02 83 10 40 | DNR-74 630252 | |
| F515 | 42 39 57 83 09 13 | DNR-74 630594 | |
| F516 | 42 40 37 83 07 46 | DNR-74 630635 | · |
| F517 | 42 46 53 83 13 52 | DWRPC-74 630614 | |
| F518 | 42 46 03 B3 13 06 . | BMRPC-74 630615 | |
| | | DWRCL-85 | Paint Cr at Kern Rd |
| F519 | 42 45 03 83 11 50 | DMRPC-74 630616 | • |
| | | DMRAD-BO | Paint Cr at Adams Rd, Uakland |
| F520 | 42 44 23 83 10 11 | DNRGH-85 | Paint Cr at Gunn Rd |
| | | DWRGN-80 | Paint Cr at Sunn Rd |
| F521 | 42 43 52 83 09 35 | DMRPC-74 630619 | |
| F522 | 42 43 35 83 09 31 | DMRSB-80 | Paint Cr at Silver Bell Rd |
| | | DNRSB-85 | Paint Cr at Silver Bell Rd |
| F523 | 42 42 40 83 09 26 | DNRDT-80 | Paint Cr at Dutton Rd |
| | | DWRDT-85 | Paint Cr at Dutton Rd |
| F524 | 42 40 41 83 07 42 | DMRPC-74 630622 | |
| F525 | 42 40 36 83 07 37 | DMR-74 630636 | |
| F526 | 42 40 58 83 06 30 | DNR-74 630637 | Cl. R. at Park Davis Picnic area |
| F527 | 42 48 49 83 05 48 | BMR33-85 | Stony Cr at 33 Mi Rd |
| F528 | 42 47 07 83 05 14 | DNR31-85 | Stony Cr at 31 Mi Rd |
| F529 | 42 45 54 83 04 29 | DWR IN-85 | Stony Cr at Inwood Rd |
| F530 | 42 44 05 83 04 29 | DWRSI-02 | Stony Cr. Impoundment |
| F531 | 42 40 19 83 05 50 | DNR-74 630606 | |
| | | DWRAY-80 | Cl. R. at Avon Rd |
| F532 | 42 56 56 82 56 21 | DMRYC-84 | Cl. R. just dwstr Yates Park Dam |
| F533 | 42 39 45 83 05 07 | ldius-86 | Cl. R. between Dequindre and Ryan Rd |
| F534 | 42 39 24 83 04 25 | DNR-74 500201 | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| | | DMRRY-80 | Cl. R. at Ryan Rd Bridge |
| F535 | 42 39 23 83 04 13 | LDIDS-86 | Cl. R. dwstr of Ryan Rd |
| F536 | 42 37 34 83 02 18 | DMR-74 500202 | |
| F537 | 42 37 14 83 91 55 | BMR-74 500203 | • |
| F538 | 42 35 46 83 00 56 | DMR-74 500224 | • |
| F539 | 42 35 11 82 59 49 | DMR-74 500205 | |
| F540 | 42 34 13 82 58 16 | DMR-74 500047 | Cl. R. at Hayes Rd Bridge |

Table 4.14 continued.

| SECTION | l 6 | | |
|---------|---------------------|------------|--|
| F601 | 42 54 17 83 03 36 | DNRHQ-78 | N. Branch 1300ft dwstr from Hough Rd |
| F602 | 42 53 39 83 0 08 | DNRBD-85 | N. Branch 50yds upstr from Boardman Rd |
| F603 | 42 52 41 83 00 02.5 | DWRMK-78 | N. Branch 2500ft upstr from McKay Rd |
| F604 | 42 50 52 82 58 35 | DNRAC-85 | N. Branch at Armada Center Rd |
| F605 | 42 49 10 82 58 34 | DNRNB-85 | N. Branch at 33 Mi. Rd |
| F606 | 42 48 58 83 04 11 | DNRCA-85 | E. Pond Cr at 33 Mi. Rd |
| F607 | 42 49 22 83 01 12 | DWR59-85 | E. Pond Cr at M-59 |
| F608 | 42 48 59 83 00 29 | DNRMC-85 | E. Pond Cr at McVicar Rd |
| F609 | 42 45 46 82 55 11 | DNRWE-78 | N. Branch at Wolcott Rd |
| | | DNRNC-78 | N. Branch at Wolcott Rd |
| | • | * DNRWD-78 | N. Branch at Wolcott Rd |

4.6.1 Section 5 Clinton River Fish Community

In Section 5 there were 32 fish assessment stations along the Main Branch, eight in Paint Creek, and four in Stony Creek. These stations, totalling 44, were assessed in one or more years including 1972, 73, 78, 80, 81, 82, 83, 85, and 86. The most extensive survey efforts were done in 1973 in a cooperative action by Fisheries and Surface Water Quality Divisions. The 1972 and 1973 data is used sparingly, since more recent surveys indicate improved species diversity in most instances.

Upstream from the City of Pontiac, the Clinton River is connected through a series of lakes and impoundments. Fish species reflect lake communities more than riverine. Perhaps the most significant threat to their populations is low summer flows which are further reduced by lake level control structures. In 1973 at station F501, the fish community was dominated by creek chubs, rainbow darters, blacknose dace, common shinters, and suckers. One brown trout was also noted (see Map 6.3). At stations F504 and F508 the community was dominated by pumpkinseeds, bluegills, and creek chubs. Largemouth bass were present at both F504 and F508. Surveys in 1980 and 1981 indicated well-rounded communities including yellow perch, brown trout, largemouth and smallmouth bass, and grass pickerel in the area upstream from Cass Lake.

At Pontiac, the Clinton River drops down an incline and flows through a tunnel, then through a cement channel until being restored to a more natural appearing watercourse at the city limits. From Pontiac downstream to the confluence of Paint Creek in the City of Rochester populations have improved since 1973. In 1973 at stations F509 and F510 only three species of fish (carp, mudminnows, and green sunfish) were found. Stations F511 through F516 held more species but never more than six, including some panfish and largemouth bass. However, more recent surveys indicate improved fish populations at the station at Crooks Road, Table 4.15. A 1983 survey collected eight species of fish including spottail shiners and blacknose dace.

The fish community structure from the Paint Creek confluence downstream to Yates Dam at Dequindre and Avon Roads was difficult to assess due to unintended extensions of chemical reclamation projects on Paint Creek in 1974 and 1984. Sufficient fish toxicant escaped the detoxification station to kill a significant portion of the fish population for one or more miles downstream. Since Paint Creek is a managed trout stream and Stony Creek—which empties into the Clinton one mile downstream from Paint Creek—is stocked with trout, it would seem reasonable to assume better water quality and improving fisheries populations exist in that reach also.

Stations F531 and F532 include the general area from Yates Park Dam downstream less than one-half mile. A 1978 survey took 10 species of fish. In 1983, there were 11 more species added, and in August, 1986 an additional four species including walleye, brown trout, and steelhead brought the species total to 25.

On January 12, 1984 eyed and viable chinook salmon eggs were found in Clinton River gravel redds near Avon Bridge. These eggs were subsequently hatched and reared to fingerling size in an aquarium containing Clinton River water. On May 7, 1984 four 1.5 to 2.0-inch chinook salmon

Table 4.15 Summary of major fish species and number of fish taxa present per station in the Clinton River Sections 5, 3, and 1 (1973-1984).

| | | | | | | Same Fi | sh | | | Rough | i Fish |
|--------|---------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|----------------|------------------|-----------------|------------------|---------|--------|--------|
| Year | Station | Storet | No. of Taxa | Yellow Perch | Brown Traut | Northera Pike | Lgeouth Bass | Seleouth Bass | Walleye | Sucker | Carp |
| 1973 | 501 | 630623 | 9 | | , | | | | | . + | 4 |
| 1980 | 502 | * | 13 | 4 | | • | • | | | | |
| 1980 | 203 | | 10 | • | | • | | | | | • |
| 1973 | 504 | 630629 | 18 | 4 | | + | • | | | | • |
| 1980 | 505 | | 15 | • | • | | • | • | | | • |
| 1980 | 506 | | 12 | • | | | • | | | • | |
| 1980 | 507 | | 11 | • | | | | • | | | |
| 1973 | 508 | 630631 | . 9 | | | | • | | | | |
| 1973 | 509 | 630 599 | 1 | | | | | | | 4 4 | • |
| 1973 | 510 | 630632 | 4 | | | | | | | | • |
| 1973 | 511 | 630597 | 11 | | | | • | | | • | • |
| 1973 | 512 | 630633 | 4 | | | | | | | ŧ | |
| 1973 | 513 | 630595 | 5 | | | | | | | + | |
| 1973 | 514 | 630252 | 6 | | | | • | | | • | • |
| 1973 | 515 | 630594 | 6 | | | | | | | • | |
| 1973 | 516 | 630 635 | 1 | | | | | | | • | |
| 1973 | 525 | 630636 | 1 | | | | | | | 4 | |
| 1973 | 526 | 630637 | 2 | | | | 4, | | | | |
| 1984 | 532 | | 1 | | | | - | | | | |
| 1980 | 534 | 500201 | 2 | | | | | | | • | |
| 1973 | 536 | 500202 | 0 | | | | | | | | |
| 1973 | 537 | 500203 | 2 | | - | | | | | • | * |
| 1973 | 538 | 500204 | . 2 | | | | | | | • | • |
| 1973 | 539 | 500205 | 2 | | | | | | | + | . • |
| 1973 | 540 | 500047 | 1 | | | | | | | • | • |
| 1978 | 301 | | 22 | • | | | | | | ŧ | • |
| 1981 | 302 | | 13 | | | | | | • | • | • |
| 1973 | 202 | 500231 | 2 | | | | | | • | + | • |
| 1973 | 304 | 500230 | 2 | | | | | | • | • | • . |
| 1973 | 306 | 500209 | 3 | | | | | | • | • | • |
| 1973 | 206 | 500225 | 4 | • | | | | | • | • | • |
| 1973 | 311 | 500010 | 3 | • | | | | | • | | • • |
| 1978 | 313 | | 16 | • | | | • | • ' | • | • . | • |
| 1973 | 101 | | 3 | | | | | | | | • |
| 1974 | 103 | | 4 | | | . : | | | | | • . |
| 1973 | 104 | | 6 | | | | | | • | | • |
| 1975 | 105 | | 24 | • | | | • | • | • | • | • |
| 1980-8 | | | 11 | • | | • | • | | | | |

fingerlings were captured downstream of the redds. This section of the river and miles of stream below where the fingerlings were collected has an abundance of spawning gravel and is used each fall by growing numbers of chinook salmon.

Station F534 surveyed in 1983 and 1986 yielded 20 species including walleye and brown trout. When station F535 was surveyed in 1983 and 1985, crews captured 13 and 15 species of fish respectively, but 20 species collectively including walleye and brown trout. Clearly, the fish populations in the section of Clinton River from Yates Park Dam, downstream at least to the Red Run confluence, are responding to much improved water quality (Table 4.15). Spawning runs of steelhead trout, walleye, and suckers in the spring, and steelhead, coho, and chinook salmon in the fall, plus year-round availability of brown trout and walleye (especially), seem to support this statement.

Paint Creek from Lake Orion Dam downstream to its confluence with the Clinton River (10 miles) is a managed trout stream. As part of the management efforts, periodic chemical reclamations are done in order to maintain mono-species populations. The survey data is used to gauge the population structure. When too many species combine with too few trout, a treatment to rid the stream of all fish is done, followed by continuing annual trout stocking. Because Paint Creek is spring fed for at least 50 percent of its volume by the time it reaches Rochester, it is considered of high quality for fisheries management. The presence of numerous naturally reproduced brown and brook trout from tributaries and/or the main creek supports this. The fact that a warmwater lake (Orion) spills into the stream at one end and the Clinton River fish population has unobstructed access at the other end requires frequent monitoring of the population balance and occasionally reclamations. It is regarded as the best trout stream fishery in the area by trout anglers.

Stony Creek, the other major tributary to the Main Branch in Section 5, was chemically reclaimed upstream from Stony Creek impoundments in 1986 in order to establish an improved brown trout fishery. Fisheries managers consider that reach (approximately 10 miles) to be marginal for trout management; it could become too warm for trout during occasional hot, dry summers. The population of fish at treatment time included 18 species. The most abundant were pumpkinseed, common shiner, and hornyhead chubs. Two-thirds of the biomass were taken up by white sucker, northern pike, and hogsucker. Four species of darter were included. Brown trout were observed at other locations.

Between the Clinton River confluence and Winkler Millpond Dam on Stony Creek, the fish population essentially reflects species common to the impoundments and Clinton River. It is considered to be good water quality by fisheries managers.

4.6.2 Section 3 Clinton River Fish Community

Section 3 was surveyed in 1973 and 1978-81. In 1973, carp, gizzard shad, and white suckers were present in very low numbers, indicating poor water quality conditions during the survey. Only one top predator, a northern pike, was present at station F311.

By 1978, the community was more varied and dominated by species common to that area of Lake St. Clair, particularly carp, suckers, and gizzard shad. Other species collected included primarily forage fish, but some northern pike, largemouth bass, and walleye were captured.

Most of the surveys from 1979 to the present were conducted during springtime conditions in order to monitor the walleye spawning runs. Increasing numbers of species and abundance indicated an improvement in water quality, although this section along with sections 1 and 4 are considered to have the most water quality problems in the river by fisheries biologists.

According to USFWS and MDNR studies in 1980 and 1981, 18,000 to 24,000 walleyes ascended the Clinton River at spawning time. Several attempts were made to determine if natural reproduction of walleye is occurring, but efforts proved unsuccessful so far. "Drift nets" set in current have captured many white sucker fry as well as numerous minnow species fry, but the walleye fry remain elusive even though surveys have found ripe and spent (spawned out) adult walleye far upriver. An excellent run of walleye continues to move upstream each spring. More surveys will be conducted to determine the magnitude of the run and efforts continue to capture naturally produced walleye fry.

4.6.3 Section 4 Clinton River Fish Community

The fish community in Section 4 was surveyed at two stations (F401 and F402) during the spring and summer of 1981. The fish community was dominated by carp and white suckers at both stations. Goldfish were the next most abundant species at station F401. The majority of other fish were forage fish, but seven northern pike, one yellow perch and one walleye were reported. The low numbers and dominance by pollution tolerant forms indicated poor fish community quality in Red Run in 1981.

4.6.4 Section 6 Clinton River Fish Community

Section 6 was sampled in 1978 and 1985. During 1978, the upstream stations (F601 and F603) were dominated by Johnny darters, chubs, dace and shiners. A brown trout was the only top predator at these stations. Creek chubs, common shiners, carp and suckers dominated the fish community although two steelhead were also reported in the three visits made at station F609 in April of 1978.

In 1985, the upstream station (F602) was dominated by white suckers, black bullheads and pumpkinseeds, but five brown trout and three grass pickerel were also reported. Further downstream at stations F604-F607, the community was dominated by shiners, chubs, and suckers, while other forage fish were less prevalent. Brown trout were more frequently reported at stations F604-F607 than at station F602, as were pike and largemouth bass. At station F608, shiners, chubs, and suckers were prevalent, but brown trout nearly dominated the system.

This stretch of the river made a good recovery between 1978 and 1985 and had a well-balanced fish community in 1985.

4.6.5 Section 2 Clinton River Fish Community

Section 2 was surveyed in the spring of 1979, 1980, and 1981, primarily to assess walleye runs. The fish species list is noticeably smaller with a sprinkling of both game and rough fish. In 1979, most walleye were collected at station F201 with a few caught further downstream at station F206. In 1980, a large number of walleye (1664) were collected throughout Sections 2 and 3 during a one month period. In 1981, abundant walleye were collected rather uniformly throughout Section 2.

4.6.6 Section 1 Clinton River Fish Community

In Section 1 during 1973, carp were by far the dominant species at all stations sampled. In 1975, yellow perch and alewives were the major fish collected at station F106. In 1980 through 1984, trap nets revealed that carp and crappie were the dominant forms, followed by gizzard shad in 1980, white sucker in 1982, gizzard shad and yellow perch in 1983, white sucker and walleye in 1984, and yellow perch in 1985. The increased number of species between 1980 and 1985 suggest a dramatic improvement in the fish community.

4.7 CLINTON RIVER BENTHIC MACROINVERTEBRATE COMMUNITY, 1972-1984

Benthic macroinvertebrate organisms are bottom dwelling aquatic animals without backbones that can be seen with the naked eye. These organisms are primarily larval (and some adult) forms of aquatic insects, snails, clams and crayfish. They are basically incapable of long distance rapid movement like fish and are unable to escape when poor water quality conditions arrive quickly. Some forms are very sensitive to poor water quality, especially low dissolved oxygen and are known as intolerant organisms. Others are less sensitive and are called facultative organisms. Those able to withstand severe pollution are called tolerant organisms. High quality streams have a diverse number of species present in moderately abundant numbers with intolerant and facultative organisms as dominant species. Poorer quality streams have fewer species and often fewer numbers of individuals with facultative and tolerant species dominant. Degraded streams are dominated by a few pollution tolerant species, usually found in high densities. When even the pollution tolerant forms are present in only very low numbers or absent, it is likely that toxicants are or were present. Since aquatic organisms never get confused, the aquatic macroinvertebrate community is a reliable, long-term indicator of stream quality.

Macroinvertebrate communities are measured either qualitatively or quantitatively. In quantitative methods, the number of individuals of each species in a known area are counted. A "petite ponar" dredge or a "Hester-Dendy" sampler are tools used by aquatic biologists to collect the organisms. A Hester-Dendy sampler provides artificial substrate for aquatic life when the natural substrate is unsuitable, allowing measurement of water quality only, rather than stream quality. Qualitative measurements are made by examining all aquatic substrates with nets and hand picking for a rough estimate of the presence, absence and abundance of indicator organisms used to assess stream quality.

The benthic macroinvertebrate community has been surveyed nine times between 1972 and 1984 at a total of 100 different stations (see Map 6.4). There were 26 quantitative analyses performed on samples collected with a petite-ponar, 49 quantitative analyses performed on Hester-Dendy samples, and 128 qualitative analyses over the 13-year period. The data from these are included in Appendix 4.9, and summarized in Table 4.16. Table 4.16 also shows the station locations and codes for all benthic macroinvertebrate sampling stations corresponding to Map 6.4.

4.7.1 Section 5, Main Branch Clinton River Upstream of Red Run 1972-1984

In 1972, qualitative sampling revealed that at station 511, scuds were dominant among the twelve macroinvertebrate taxa collected. Also common were hydropsychid caddisflies, midges and bryozoans.

At station 512, seven macroinvertebrate taxa were collected and scuds were dominant. No organisms were abundant, indicating reduced stream quality.

At station 513, approximately 0.2 miles above Pontiac WWTP Number 1, eight macroinvertebrate taxa were collected. Damselflies, snails, and true bugs were dominant indicating poor stream quality.

At station 514, 0.4 miles below Pontiac WWTP number 1, the aquatic macroinvertebrate community was composed of five taxa, present only along stream margins. Animals collected included damselflies and sludgeworms indicating very poor stream quality.

At station 515, 1.0 mile below the Pontiac WWTP Number 1 outfall, and approximately 0.5 miles below the WWTP Number 2 outfall, four taxa were present, and dominated by sludgeworms and damselflies indicating poor stream quality.

At stations 516 and 517, the animal communities were dominated by sludgeworms, indicating very poor stream quality.

The benthic macroinvertebrate community at station 521 was composed of 15 taxa and was dominated by sludgeworms and hydropsychid caddisflies, indicating higher stream quality than upstream. At station 522, approximately 10.5 miles below the Pontiac WWTP, midges, hydropsychid caddisflies, and snails were present, exhibiting still higher stream quality than at station 521.

The 1973 qualitative macroinvertebrate collections at stations 501 through 511 (above Pontiac) were quite similar to the 1972 survey. Total taxa ranged from 11 to 19, while caddisfly and mayfly species ranged from 3 to 10, indicating fairly good water quality. Acroneuria arida, an intolerant stonefly, was found at Station 501.

Stations 512 through 523, 535 and 536 from Wesson Street to the first station downstream of the Rochester WWTP (covering a 16.5-mile zone) had degraded macroinvertebrate communities. Only one station below Paint Creek was capable of supporting a limited number of mayflies and caddisflies.

Table 4.16 Summary of quantitative benthic macroinvertebrate sampling in Section 1 Clinton River, natural channel

| 101E 4.10 | downstream of | the spillusy Date | (1973- | 1903). | | 1973 | | | | 1975 | | |
|--------------------------|---|--|------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| | | Map ID Storet Document Method | 101 \$00213 12 h-dm | 105 500214 12 h-d | 109 \$00009 12 h-d | 101 500213 12 ponar | 105 500214 12 poner | 109 500008 12 ponar | 103 49 poner | 108 49 ponar | 112 49 ponar | 114 49 ponar |
| Total Hum | her of Tana | | 4 | 2 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 11 |
| Humber of | Intolerant tar Facultative to Tolerant tama | | 0 2 2 | 0 2 0 | 9 4 1 | 0 2 1 | 0 | 0 0 1 | 1 3 2 | 0 1 1 | 1 2 3 | 2 4 5 |
| He am Hande Dimensiby | | 1 s / sq H | 1317 2.8 | 1251 1.7 | 1500 2.4 | 1175 0.2 | 2050 0.0 | | 130 | 394 | 332 | 1909 |

Whester-dendu sampler

| | Date | | | | 1903 | | | | |
|--|--|----------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| | Map ID Storet Document Method | 102 52 po nar | 104 52 poner | 106 52 ponar | 107 52 poner | 108 52 ponar | 110 52 pontr | 111 52 poner | 113 52 poner |
| Total Number of Tana | ! | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| Humber of Intolerant tama Number of Facultative tama Number of Tolerant tama | : | 0 0 0 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 1 | 0 1 2 | 0 1 1 | 0 1 1 | 1 2 |
| Mean Number of Individuals / | - 44 H | 909 | 2500 | 473 | 1540 | 017 | 516 | 1720 | 1978 |

Summary of quantitative benthic macroinvertebrate sampling in Section 2 Clinton River, the spillway (1973).

| Dat | • 1973 | | 1973 | | |
|--|------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|--|
| Мар I Stora Оосимен Metho | t 500199 t 12 | 202 500229 12 h-d | 201 500188 12 ponar | 202 500229 12 ponar | |
| Total Number of Taxa | 5 | 1 | 2 | 2 | |
| Humber of Intolerant taxa Humber of Facultative taxa Number of Folerant taxa | 0 4 | 0 3 1 | 0 1 1 | 0 1 1 | |
| Hosn Humber of Individuals / sq m Diversity Index | 2373 2.9 | 1169 2.9 | 8170 .0 | 5934 .0 | |

* hester-dendy sampler

Table 4.16 continued.

Summary of quantitative benthic macroinvertebrate sampling in Section 3 Clinton River, Red Run to spillmay (1973-1979).

| | Date | | | 1973 | | | • | | 1979 | | 1973 | |
|--|--|-----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|---|----------------------------|-------------|----------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| | Hap ID Storet Document Hethod | 301 500231 12 h-d× | 303 500230 12 h-d | 304 500209 12 h-d | 305 500225 12 h-d | 306 500010 12 h-d | | 302 500208 29 h-d | 500203 | 305 500225 29 h-d | 305 500225 12 poner | 306 500010 12 ponar |
| Total Humber of Taxa | | 6 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 7 | | 9 | 6 | 8 | 6 | 3 |
| Number of Intolerant tama Number of Facultative tama Number of Tolerant tama | | 0 3 3 | 0 1 2 | 1 5 0 | 0 3 2 | 0 4 3 | | 0 | 0 6 0 | 1 5 2 | 0 4 2 | 0 1 2 |
| Mean Number of Individuals Diversity Index | / 3q H | 3019 2.1 | 1414 2.2 | 3963 1.2 | 2171 1.5 | 1210 2.3 | | 4752 | 1614 | 1767 | 7195 0.4 | 126671 0.1 |

Mhester-dendy sampler

Summary of qualitative benthic macroinvertebrate sampling in Section 3 Clinton River, Red Rum to spilluay (1975-1982).

| talian de la companya de la company La companya de la co | Date | | | | 1982 | | | | |
|--|------------------------------|---------------------|-------------|---------------------|------|-------------------|-------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| | Map ID Storet Document | 301 500231 12 | 500230 | 304 500209 12 | 50 | 302 0208 29 | 500209 | 305 500225 29 | 302 500208 70 |
| Fotal Mumber of Taxa | | 10 | 7 | 10 | | 9 | 13 | 11 | 14 |
| Humber of Intolerant taxa Humber of Facultative taxa Humber of Tolerant taxa | | 7 | 0 1 3 | 0 7 3 | | 5 | 0 8 5 | 0 5 6 | 0 9 5 |
| Total Number of Individual Diversity Index | \$ | 133 2.9 | 91 1.0 | | | 130 | 76 | 74 | |

Table 4. 16 continued.

Summary of qualitative benthic macroimvertebrate sampling in Section 4 Clinton River, Red Run to the spilling (1973-1982).

| | Date | | | 1 | 1973 | | | | | | 1982 |
|--|------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-------------|
| | Hap ID Storet Document | 401 | 402 17 | 403 17 | 404 17 | 405 17 | 406 500227 23, 12 | 407 17 | 408 17 | 409 17 | 106 70 |
| Fotal Mumber of Taxa | | 9 | 9 | 3 | 14 | 2 | 4 | 9 | 10 | 2 | 6 |
| Number of Intolerant tama dumber of Facultative tama Mumber of Tolerant tama | | 0 4 5 | 0 1 5 | 0 2 1 | 0 7 7 | 0 1 1 | 0 2 2 | 5 | 5 5 | 0 1 1 | 0 3 3 |
| Total Number of Individual Diversity Index | 5 | 203 | 114 | 76 | 286 | 104 | 40 1.5 | 60 | 71 | 531 | |

Summary of quantitative benthic secretaretesampling in Section 4 Clinton River, Red Run to the spillney (1973).

| | Date | 1973 |
|--|------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| St Docu | p ID oret ment thod | 406 500227 23,12 h-d# |
| Total Number of Taxa | | 2 |
| Number of Intolerant taxa Number of Facultative taxa Number of Tolerant taxa | | . 1 1 |
| Hean Number of Individuals / sq Diversity Index | м | 194 0.5 |

Mhester-dendy sampler

continued.

Table 4.16

| | Date | | | | | 1973 | | | | | | | | | 1973 | · |
|--|--|------------------------------------|-------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------|-----------------------------|-------------|-------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|------|
| | Hap ID Storet Document Hethod | 509 630629 12 h-d× | 630630 | 511 630631 12 h-d | 512 630600 12 h-d | 513 630599 12 h-d | 514 63063 2 12 h-d | 630597 | 630633 | 630595 | 630252 | 521 630594 12 h-d | 524 630313 13 h-d | 525 630614 13 h-d | 526 630615 13 h-d | |
| Total Number of Taxa | | 4 | 7 | 5 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 15 | 9 | 11 | , |
| Number of Intolerant taxa Number of Facultative taxa Number of Folerant taxa | | 0 3 | 1 5 1 | 1 1 | 0 3 0 | 0 4 1 | 0 1 1 | 0 2 1 | 0 1 0 | 0 3 1 | 0 1 1 | 0 5 1 | 1 12 2 | 0 6 3 | 0 10 1 | 1 0 |
| Mean Number of Individuals Diversity Index | / sq H | 0-10 1.8 | 3543 1.4 | 379 7 | 1606 0.7 | 102 5 3.0 | 1614 1.3 | 196 1.0 | 480 0 0. 0 | 105 1.7 | 1573 0.4 | 169 0 1.7 | 2730 | 2339 | 2205 | 1231 |
| Mean Station Biomass (g wel | L ut/sq n) | i | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | Mheste | r-dendy | sampler | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| Dat | • | | | | | | | | 1973 | | | | | | |
|--|------------------|--------------|--------|----------------------------|------------|------------|-------------|-------------|----------------------------|-------------|--------|-------------|----------------------------|--------|--|
| Hap I Store Bocumen Hetho | t 630616 t 13 | 630619 | 630620 | 533 630621 13 h-d | | 630636 | | 630606 | 539 500201 12 h-d | 500202 | 500203 | 500221 | 546 500205 12 h-d | 500047 | |
| Total Number of Taxa | 11 | 14 | 14 | 10 | 9 | 0 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 10 | • | 6 | 9 | 8 | |
| Humber of Intolorant taxa Humber of Facultative taxa Humber of Tolorant taxa | | 2 11 1 | 12 | 0 9 1 | 1 4 3 | 0 | 0 7 0 | 0 7 0 | 0 7 0 | 1 6 3 | 0 | 0 6 0 | 0 6 3 | . 7 | |
| Hean Number of Individuals / sq m Diversity Index | 3985 | 2667 | 2382 | 4109 | 806 1.9 | 540 2.8 | 1630 2.2 | | 4252 2.0 | | | | 1 33 2 2.6 | | |
| Hean Station Bioness (g wet wt/sq | 1) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | Dete | 1975 | | | 197 | 1977 | | | 1978 | | | | | 1979 | | |
|--|--|----------------------------|-------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|---|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|--|----------------------------|----------------------------|---|--|
| | Hap ID Storet Jocument Hethod | 510 630630 31 h-d | | 517 630633 31 h~d | 51 63063 3 h-d | 0 630599 1 31 | - | 510 630630 31 h-d | 513 630599 31 h-d | 517 630633 31 h-d | 518 630595 31 h-d | | 546 500205 29 h-d | 547 500047 29 h-d | • | |
| Total Number of Taxa | | 7 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 0 5 | | 15 | 5 | 5 | 7 | | 7 | 8 | • | |
| Mumber of Intolerant tama Mumber of Facultative tama Mumber of Tolerant tama | | 0 6 1 | 0 3 1 | 0 2 0 | • | 1 0 7 2 2 3 | 1 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 2 9 1 | 0 3 2 | 0 4 1 | 1 5 1 | | 1 6 0 | 0 7 1 | | |
| Hean Number of Individuals a Diver Index | / sq H | 1258 | 597 2.6 | | 331 | 9 1065 | | 6859 2.9 | 5560 0.3 | | | | 1858 | 0659 | | |
| Mean St Biomass (g wet | ut/sq n) | • | | | 63. | 7.10 | | 17.9 | 4.0 | 0.9 | 4.0 | | | | | |

Table 4.16 continued.

517 518 630633 630595 12 12 501 630623 12 535 630636 12 3.4 0~9 533 534 630621 630622 (qualitative benthic macroinvertebrate sampling in Section 5 Clinton River, upstream of Red Rum (1972-1980). 35 500 535 630636 9 510 511 512 513 514 516 630630 630631 630600 630599 630632 630597 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 2 53053 530620 13 534 630622 531 630619 13 523 630635 131 1973 530 630618 13 9,10 2 527 630616 13 521 630594 10 000 0 2 517 518 630633 630595 6 10 10 526 630615 (120 3.9 525 630614 13 509 630629 12 024 524 630313 (508 630628 (512 3.1 523 630635 12 507 630627 12 514 630632 10 1973 35 35. 521 630594 (506 630626 12 511 512 513 630631 630600 630599 10 10 10 3.4 800 504 630625 12 \$20 630037 £0. 503 630624 519 630252 12 £: 2 Nep 10 Storet Document Nep ID Storet Document Map 10 Storet Document **e**t• 5 3 intel Number of Individuals Diversity Index Total Number of Individuals Diversity Index Fotal Number of Individuals Intolerant taka Facultative taka Tolerant taka of Intolerant tana of Facultative tana of Tolerant tana of Intolerant tame of Facultative tame of Tolerant tame Summery of fotal Number of Taxa otal Number of Taxa Total Number of Taxe Diversity Index 5 5 Number Number Number Ruber Huber Kuber Author Furber Furber

Table 4.16 continued.

504 506 630625 630626 70 70 536 530637 510 630630 พพูด 165 2 Sunnery of qualifolive bonthic necrolowertebrate sumpling in Section 5 Clinton Alver, upstroun of Red Run (1972-1980). 535 630636 70 534 630622 70 517 630633 31 8 2 X 510 513 630630 630599 6 31 31 520 630067 07 1973 5 50205 500047 29 29 519 630252 70 137 121 517 630633 07 2 514 516 630632 630597 (546 547 500205 500047 12 12 518 630595 31 5 50203 500224 9 12 12 517 630639 (513 630599 70 510 511 630630 630631 0 70 70 513 630599 31 510 630630 31 543 500202 12 1973 539 500201 12 50629 70 537 630606 12 513 517 630599 630639 31 31 630626 20626 34. 23 536 630637 12 50627 20627 Nep 10 Storet Document Nep 10 Storet Document Nap 10 Storet Document Det. **:** et. Total Number of Individuals Diversity Index Total Number of Individuals Total Number of Individuels of Intolerant tame of Facultative tame of Tolerant tame of Intolerant tama of Facultative tama of Tolerant tama of Intolorant tame of Facultative tame of Folerant tame Total Number of Taxa otal Mumber of Taxa Total Mumber of Taxa Diversity Index Diversity Index Ruber Ruber Taber Tuber Fubber Fubber

Table 4.16 continued.

Summary of qualitative benthic macroinvertebrate sampling in Section 5 Clinton River, upstream of Red Rum (1972-1984).

| | Date | | | | • | | | | | 1903 | | | 1904 | |
|--|------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------|--------------|-------------|---|---|---------------------|-----------|--------------|-----------|-------------|-------------|
| | Hap 10 Storet Document | 537 630606 70 | 539 500201 70 | 500202 | 500205 | | | 530 30 | 539 500201 30 | 540 30 | 541 30 | 542 38 | \$20 39 | 529 39 |
| Total Humber of Tana | ******** | 19 | 20 | 50 | 19 | 19 | | 14 | 19 | 17 | 17 | 19 | • | 10 |
| Number of Intolerant tama Number of Facultative tama Number of Tolerant tama | | 2 12 5 | 13 6 | 14 14 5 | 0 13 6 | 0 • 5 | | 3 7 4 | 2 12 5 | 10 5 | 2 10 5 | 10 5 | 0 5 3 | 1 5 4 |
| Total Number of Individual: Diversity Index | B | i ! ! | +++++ | | | ***** | - | • 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 | | | | | | ~~~~ |

Summary of quantitative benthic macroinvertebrate sampling in Section 6 Clinton River, the North Branch (1973). Date 1973 1973

| Hep ID Storet Document Hethod | 500210 12 | 5002 10 12 poner |
|--|---------------------|------------------------|
| Total Number of Taxa | 6 | 8 |
| Number of Intolerant Taxa Number of Facultative Taxa Number of Tolerant Taxa | 2 6 0 | 0 4 |
| Hean Number of Individuals / sq m Diversity Index | 2598 | 3096 1.2 |

Mhoster-dendy sampler

Summary of qualitative benthic mecroinvertebrate sampling in Section 6 Clinton River, the North Branch (1973-1983).

| | Date | | | | | 1973 | | | | | | | 1973 | |
|--|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------|-------------|----------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|------------|---------------------|-------------|
| | 601 500236 16 | 602 440057 16 | 603 440058 16 | 604 440059 16 | 605 4400 60 16 | 606 4400 61 16 | 500237 | 500238 | 609 500239 16 | 610 500240 16 | 611 500241 16 | | 613 500243 16 | |
| Total Number of Taxa | | 14 | 25 | 14 | 21 | | 15 | 12 | 15 | 10 | 13 | 18 | 19 | 16 |
| Number of Intolerant Taxa Humber of Facultative Taxa Humber of Tolerant Taxa | et e | 1 9 4 | 17 4 | 1 8 5 | 2 10 9 | 5 6 0 | 1 9 5 | 1 7 4 | 2 9 5 | 2 10 6 | 3 3 | 4 7 7 | 10 6 | 3 9 4 |
| Total Number of Individual Diversity Index | s | 39 | 145 4.7 | 76 3.8 | 163 4.4 | 97 3.0 | 72 3.8 | 71 3.8 | 94 4.1 | 134 3.5 | 106 3.9 | 204 4.1 | 278 3.9 | 176 3.6 |

| Date | | | | • | | 1979 | | | 1903 | | | |
|--|------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---|-------------|---------------------------------------|-------------|-----------|---------------|-----------|--|--|
| | Hep ID Storet Document | 614 500244 16 | 615 500245 16 | | 616 30 | 617 30 | 610 30 | 619 37 | 620 37 | 621 37 | | |
| Total Number of Taxa | | 16 | 20 | | 15 | 6 | 16 | 5 | 6 | 12 | | |
| Number of Intolerant Tana Number of Facultative Tana Number of Tolerant Tana | | 3 | 12 6 | | 2 8 5 | 0 3 3 | 2 9 7 | 0 2 3 | 0 1 5 | 1 3 | | |
| Total Number of Individual: Diversity Index | | 146 3.2 | 229 3.6 | | 1 - | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | 1 1414 - 1 | | | |

Table 4.16 continued.

STATION CODE LISTING (MACROINVERTEBRATES).

| Station | Code | REPORT FROM WHICH DATA WAS EXTRACTED |
|---------|----------|--|
| 9 | | Biological investigation of the Clinton River, vicinity of the Rochester Paper Company, Rochester, MJ. MDNR. Willson 1973. |
| 10 |) | Biological investigation of the Clinton River between Pontiac and Rochester, Oakland County, Michigan. HDMR. Jackson 1973. |
| 12 | ! | Biological survey of the Clinton RiverPontiac to mouth. HDMR. Grant 1973. |
| 13 | ; • | Biological survey of Paint Creek. MDMR. Lauer and Grant 1973. |
| 16 | • | Biological survey of the North Branch Clinton River. MDMR. Grant 1973. |
| 17 | - | Biological investigation of Red Run and its tributaries, July 11-12, 1973, Macomb County, Michigan. MBMR. Jackson 1974. |
| 23 | | Primary monitoring network biological stations—1973 results. HDMR. Staff Report 1974. |
| 24 | | North Branch of the Cliston River at Almont, July 14-15, 1975. HDMR. Staff Report 1975. |
| 30 | | A biological survey of Greiner Drain and the North Branch Clinton River, Macoeb County, Michigan. MDMR. Saalfeld 1980. |
| 31 | | Michigan's biological primary monitoring program, 1973-1978. MDMR. Creal and Johnson 1980. |
| 37 | | A water, sediment, and benthic macroinvertebrate survey of McBride Brain in the the vicinity of South Macomb disposal authority landfill 9A, Macomb County, Michigan. HDMR. Kenaga 1984. |
| 38 | | Report on the impact of Liquid Disposal, Inc. on the Clinton River, Macomb County, Michigam. MDMR. Kenaga and Jones 1986. |
| 39 | | Biological survey of Trout Creek, Bald Mountain recreation Area, Oakland County, Michigan. MDMR. Kenaga 1984. |
| 49 | | Maintenance dredging of the federal mavigation channel at Clinton River, Michigan (final environmental statement). COE 1976. |
| 50 | | Confined disposal facility for maintenance dredging at Clinton River, Michigan (final environmental statement). COE 1976. |
| 52 | | Field methodology, Clinton River collections for COE. Environmental Research Group 1983. |
| 62 | | Evaluation of proposed confined disposal and transfer facilities for sediments from the Clinton River, Michigan. USFWS. Best 1987. |
| 64 | | Confined disposal facility for maintenance dredging of the federal navigation channel in the Clinton River, Macoob County, Michigan (supplental EIS). COE 1987. |
| 70 |) | Unpublished data, 1982 Field work. HDMR. Johnson and Kenaga 1982. |

Table 4.16 continued.

Clinton River basin macroinvertebrate sampling stations, from headwaters to mouth, MDMR and federal and local agencies station locations, 1970 - 1987.

AGENCY

| | * - | | AGENCY | : | |
|--------|------------|-------------|--|--|----------|
| MAP II |) | • | STATION | | |
| NUMBER | LATITUDE | LONGITUDE | NUMBER | DESCRIPTION | |
| | SECTIO | 1 N 1 | • | | |
| 101 | 42 35 47.0 | 82 52 36.0 | MDNR/CDE-75-26 DNR-500213 | CL. R AT CROCKER ST BR; MT. CLEMONS (| CITY) |
| 102 | 42 35 48.0 | 82 52 04.0 | | Cl. R. just east of Gratiot Ave. | |
| 103 | | | CDE-75-1 | Cl. R. at N. Rvr Rd. north of Avery St | |
| 104 | | | ERG-03-7 | Cl. R. just west of I-94 | -: |
| 105 | | - | DNR-500214 DNR/CDE-75-27 | CLINTON R AT 1-94 BRIDGE; HARRIS | |
| 106 | 42 35 34.0 | 82 50 42.0 | ERG-83-6 | Cl. R. off N. end of Chartier Rd. | |
| | | | ERG-83-5 | Cl. R. west of Bridgeview Rd. | |
| 108 | | | COE-75-4 ER6-83-4 | Cl. R. east of Bridgeview Rd. | |
| 109 | 42 35 45.0 | 82 49 30.5 | DNR-5000 00 HDNR/CDE-75-28 | CLINTON R. BRIBGEVIEW RP AT HOUTH | |
| 110 | 42 35 49.0 | 82 48 37.0 | ERG-83-3 | Cl. R. at end of Jefferson Ave. | |
| 111 | 42 35 39.0 | 82 46 58.0 | ERG-83-2 | Cl. R. mear south; upstr. ERG-1 | |
| | | | COE-75-6 | Cl. R. couth at midpt of earth fill br | eakuater |
| 113 | 42 35 39.0 | 82 46 15.0 | er6-83-1 | Cl. R. at eouth; tip of earthfill | |
| 114 | 42 35 39.0 | 82 46 04.0 | COE-75-7 | Cl. R. channel east of Rvr south | |
| | SECTION | | | | |
| 201 | 42 34 35.0 | 082 52 15.0 | DKR-500188 | CR SPLLWY AT HARPER AVE. | |
| 202 | 42 33 43.5 | 082 50 51.5 | DNR-500229 | CR SPLLMY AT JEFFERSON AVE | |
| | SECTIO | N 3 | * - * - : | | |
| 301 | 42 34 40.0 | 082 57 06.0 | 500231 | CLINTON R 100YB UPST GARFLE R; C | |
| 302 | 42 34 39.0 | 082 57 12.0 | 50020 8 DNRCR-82- 2 5 | CLINTON R AT GARFIELD RD BR; CLI | |
| 303 | 42 34 40.0 | 082 57 05.0 | 500230 | CLINTON R. BELOW GARFIELD ROAD | |
| 304 | 42 35 16.0 | 082 55 40.0 | 500209 | CLINTON R OFF CLINTON R RD; CLIN | |
| 305 | 42 35 35.0 | 082 55 01.0 | 500225 | CLINTON R AT R. RD PUMPHOUSE; CLI | |
| 306 | 42 35 45.0 | 082 54 35.0 | DMR-500019 US65-04165500 | CLINTON RE MORAVIAN DRV; N. SIDE | |
| | SECTIO | H 4 | | | |
| 401 | 42 31 46.0 | 083 04 06.0 | DNRRR-73-1 | Red Run at Ryan Rd bridge | |
| 402 | 42 31 44.0 | 083 02 54.0 | DHRRR-73-2 | Red Run at Hound Rd. bridge | |
| 403 | | 083 02 54.0 | DMRRR-73-3 | Beaver Creek at Mound Rd. bridge | |
| 404 | | 083 02 04.0 | DNRRR-73-4 | Beaver Creek at 14 Mile Rd. bridge | |
| 405 | 42 32 11.0 | | | Red Run at 14 Mile Rd. bridge | |
| 406 | 42 33 10.0 | OB2 59 07.0 | 500227 DNRCR-92- 2 4 | RED RUN AT 15 HILE ROAD; STERLIN | |
| 407 | | 083 03 12.0 | | Plus Brook at Mound Rd. bridge | |
| 408 | | 082 59 21.0 | | Plum Brook at Schoenherr Rd. bridge | |
| 409 | 42 35 18.0 | 082 51 35.0 | DNRRR-73-8 | Red Run at Utica Rd. bridge | |

| | Iabi | .e 4.10 | COHLIN | | |
|-----|------------|------------|--------------------|---------------|--|
| | | | | AGENCY | |
| | MAP ID | | | STATION | |
| | NUMBER | LATITUDE | FONGITUDE | NUMBER | DESCRIPTION |
| | | | | | |
| | | SECTIO | N 5 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | 501 | | 83 24 40.0 | | Cl. R. at I-75 bridge at Clarkston |
| | 502 | | 083 25 24.0 | | Cl. R. at Dive Grass Drive at Clarkston |
| | 202 | | 083 25 13.0 | | Cl. R. above Waldon Rd. bridge |
| | 504 | 42 43 07.0 | 083 25 22.0 | 630625 | Cl. R. at U.S. 10 bridge at Bollar Lake |
| | | | | DMRCR-82-3 | |
| . " | 506 | 42 42 14.0 | 083 24 28.0 | 630626 | Cl. R. at U.S. 10 bridge at Waterford |
| | | | | DNRCR-82-4 | |
| | 507 | 42 41 24.0 | 083 21 05.0 | 630627 | Cl. R. at Walton Blvd., Clintonville |
| | | | | DNRCR-82-5 | |
| | 508 | 42 40 42.0 | 083 22 30.0 | 630628 | Cl. R. at Hatchery Rd. (Brayton Plains fish station) |
| | | | | DMRCR-82-4 | |
| | 509 | 42 39 12.0 | 083 23 49.0 | 630629 | CLINTON R AT PONTIAC LK RD; WATE |
| | 510 | | 083 23 41.0 | | CLINTON R. AT COOLEY LK RD; WATE |
| | 511 | | 083 18 57.0 | | CLINTON R. AT ORCHARD LK RO: PON |
| | ••• | | | DNRCR-82-9 | |
| | | - | | DNRPR-72-1 | |
| | 512 | 47 37 33.0 | 083 17 52.0 | | CLINTON R. AT GILLESPIE STREET: |
| | 412 | 72 07 3010 | 100 17 0210 | DHRPR-72-2 | desiring to all director to directly |
| | 513 | 42 79 49 6 | 0.80 41 280 | | CLINTON R ARY PONTIAC STP NO1 IN |
| | 313 | 72 30 70.0 | A82 18 A81A | DWRCR-82-10 | Crision K as tourist Sil unt ts |
| | | | | DWRPR-72-3 | |
| | | 42 78 77 A | ART 15 77 A | | OLINTON O A H EN SOURCE, COUTTAG |
| | 514 | 12 38 33.0 | 083 15 37.0 | | CLINTON R & M-59 BRIDGE; PONTIAC |
| | | | | DNRCR-82-11 | |
| | | | | DNRPR-72-4 | . <u> </u> |
| | 515 | 42 38 10.0 | 083 14 56.0 | | CLINTON R. & OPDYKE RD. |
| - | | | | DNRRPC-72-1 | |
| | 516 | 42 38 01.0 | 083 14 46.0 | 630597 | CLINTON R. & AUBURN RD; PONTIAC THISNP, SEC 24 |
| | | | | DRMCR-82-12 | |
| | 517 | 42 38 02.0 | 083 13 27.0 | 620922 | CLINTON R. AT AUBURN RD; PONTIAC |
| | | | | DNRCR-82-13 | |
| | | | | DNRPR-72-6 | |
| | 518 | 42 39 14.0 | 083 11 35.0 | 630595 | CLINTON R AT ADAMS RD BRIDGE; AVON |
| | • | | | DNRPR-72-7 | |
| | 519 | 42 39 02.5 | 083 10 40.0 | 630252 | CLINTON R AT HAMLIN RD BR; AVON |
| | | | | DNRCR-82-14 | |
| | 520 | 42 39 03.0 | 083 10 24.0 | 630067 | CLINTON R. AT CROOKS RD; AVON TO |
| | | | | DNRCR-82-15 | |
| | 521 | 42 39 57.0 | 083 09 13.0 | 630594 | CLINTON R AT AVON RD; AVON THP, |
| | | | | DKRPR-72-8 | |
| | 522 | 42 40 31.0 | 063 07 59.0 | | Clinton River at Rochester Road |
| | - | | | DKRPR-72-4 | |
| | | | | DIGRAPC-72-1 | |
| | 523 | 47 40 37.0 | 083 07 46.0 | | CLINTON R ABOVE PAINT CREEK |
| | 925 | 12 10 0/10 | 700 07 7010 | DKRRPC-72-2 | actured a usare them succe |
| | 524 | 42 47 00 0 | 083 14 35.0 | | PAINT CREEK AT H-24 BRIDGE; ORIO |
| | | | 083 13 52.0 | | PAINT CR AT ATNATER ST; CITY OF |
| | 525 524 | | | | PAINT CREEK AT KERN RD; ORION TW |
| | 524 | | 063 13 04.0 | | |
| | 527 | | 063 11 50.0 | | PAINT CR AT ADAMS RS; DAKLANS TH |
| | 528 | | 083 14 25.0 | | Trout Crk south of Tippett's property (100 yds from dwap site) |
| | 529 | | 083 14 12.0 | | Trout Crk at Greenshield Rd |
| | 220 | | 083 10 11.0 | | PAINT CREEK AT GUM RB; OAKLAND |
| | 221 | | 063 04 22.0 | | PAINT CREEK AT ORION ROS DAKLAND |
| | 222 | | 083 09 24.0 | | PAINT CREEK AT DUTTON ROAD; AVON |
| | 222 | | 063 08 32.0 | | PAINT CREEK AT MOCOMARD ST; AVOID |
| | 534 | 42 40 41.0 | 063 07 42.0 | | PAINT CR AT STU RAILROAD DR.; AV |
| | | | | DMRCR-82-16 | |
| | | | | MRRPC-72-3 | |

Table 4.16

continued.

| Tabl | e 4.16 | continue | ed. | |
|------------|------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|---|
| MAP ID | | | AGENCY STATION | |
| NUMBER | LATITUDE | LONGITUDE | NUMBER | DESCRIPTION |
| | SECTIO | N 5 | | |
| | 40 40 27 4 | 447 47 77 4 | | CI THIRD & LAA HEE BELOW SALIT ES |
| 535 | 42 40 36.0 | 083 07 37.0 | 630636 DNRCR-82-17 | CLINTON R 100 YDS BELDW PAINT CR |
| | | | DKRRPC-72-4 | |
| 534 | 42 40 58.0 | 083 04 30.0 | 630637 | CLINTON R & PARK DAVIS PICNIC AREA |
| | | | BMRCR-82-18 | |
| 537 | 42 40 19.0 | 083 05 50.0 | 630 606 DNRCR-82-19 | CLINTON R AT AVON RD. BRIDGE; AV |
| 538 | 42 40 12 0 | 083 05 46.0 | | Cl. R. downstr. of Avan Rd Bridge |
| 539 | | 083 04 25.0 | | CLINTON R AT RYAN RD BR; SHELBY |
| ••• | | | DNRCR-82-20 | |
| | | | LDI-6 | |
| 540 | | 083 04 07.0 | | Cl. R. downstream of LDI-6 |
| 541 | | 083 03 35.0 | | Cl. R. downstream of LDI-7 |
| 542 543 | | 083 03 23.0 083 02 18.0 | | Cl. R. downstream of LBI-8 CLINTON R AT AUBURN RD; CITY OF |
| 949 | 12 07 0110 | 400 AT 1014 | DMRCR-82-21 | oconion in in magazine neg usir or |
| 544 | 42 37 14.0 | 083 01 55.0 | CRUC-6 | CLINTON R AT VAN DYKE RD; CITY D |
| | | | SEMCOG-9 | CLINTON R AT VAN DYKE RD; CITY O |
| | | | 500203 | CLINTON R AT VAN DYKE RD; CITY D |
| 545 | | 083 00 56.0 | 500224 | CLINTON R AT DODGE BROS. PARK 46 |
| 546 | 42 33 11.0 | 082 59 49.0 | DNRCR-82-22 | CLINTON R AT KLEING RD; CITY OF |
| 547 | 42 34 13.0 | 082 58 16.0 | | CLINTON R AT HAYES RD BR; CLINTO |
| | | | DWRCR-82-23 | |
| ****** | | | *. | |
| | SECTIO |) N 6 | • | |
| 601 | 42 53 10.0 | 083 04 35.0 | 500236 | North Branch Cl. R. at Fisher Rd bridge |
| 402 | 42 53 22.0 | OB3 04 26.0 | 440057 | North Branch Cl. R. at Bordman Rd bridge (west of Alsont) |
| 603 | | 083 02 60.0 | | North Branch Cl. R. at Hough Rd bridge (west of Almont) |
| 604 | | 063 02 42.0 | | North Branch Cl. R. at M-53 bridge (in Alsont) |
| 605 606 | | 083 02 08.0 083 00 16.0 | | north Branch Cl. R. at Kidder Rd bridge North Branch Cl. R. north of Hough Rd (east of Almont) |
| 607 | | 083 00 11.0 | | North Branch Cl. R. at Bordnes Rd bridge (east of Almont) |
| 804 | | 082 59 24.0 | 500238 | North Branch Cl. R. at Brown Rd bridge |
| 609 | 42 51 04.0 | 082 58 43.0 | 500239 | North Branch Cl. R. at Armada Rd bridge |
| 610 | | 082 58 50.0 | | North Branch Cl. R. at 34 Mile Rd bridge |
| 611 | - | 082 58 34.0 | | North Branch Cl. R. at 33 Hile Rd bridge |
| 612 | | 082 58 05.0 082 57 45.0 | 500242 | North Branch Cl. R. at 32 Hile Rd bridge North Branch Cl. R. at Roseo-Plank bridge |
| 613 614 | | 082 56 23.0 | | North Branch Cl. R. at 30 Hile bridge |
| 615 | | 082 54 26.0 | 500245 | North Branch Cl. R. at 27 HileRd bridge |
| 616 | | 082 53 53.0 | DHRSDA-1 | North Branch Cl. R. above inlet of Greiner Brain |
| 617 | 42 36 45.0 | 082 53 25.0 | | Greiner Brain at H-97 |
| 418 | | 082 53 54.0 | | North Branch Cl. R. below inlet of Greiner Brain |
| 619 | | 082 55 15.0 | | HcBride Brain at 24 Hile Road |
| 620 621 | | 082 54 40.0 082 54 05.0 | | McBride Brain between 24 and 23 Hile Roads McBride Brain at 23 Hile Rd |
| 622 | | 082 54 34.0 | | North Branch Cl. R. at Cass Avenue bridge |
| | | | | ### \# ############################### |

The macroinvertebrate community diversities were low at the stations in Pontiac and increased at the downstream stations to station 521. A decrease in species diversity was noted in the vicinity of Rochester. Total numbers of taxa ranged from 4 to 14 with the lowest numbers encountered at Wesson Street and directly below the two Pontiac WWTPs. Signs of higher quality communities were present at stations 520 and 521 although stable caddisfly and mayfly populations were not established.

Stations 537, 539 and 543 through 547, from below Rochester to Red Run, represented 14.2 miles of river receiving no major wastewater discharges. At these stations in 1973, the number of taxa ranged from 10 to 16 per station, with 1 to 2 of these being caddisfly or mayfly families.

In 1973 companion Hester-Dendys were placed in the Main Branch. Results indicated areas of water quality degradation. Stations 509, 510 and 511 had low diversity but caddisflies and mayflies comprised 26 to 88 percent of the individuals present, indicating good water quality.

Hester-Dendys placed at Stations 512 through 519 (from Wesson Street to Adams Road) revealed degraded benthic communities in and below Pontiac. Community degradation was apparent at Station 513 where dragonflies, snails, and midges comprised the benthic community, indicating poor water quality.

At station 517 <u>Cricotopus</u>, a facultative midge, was the only organism present. All stations, 512-519, were dominated by midges and sludgeworms. This and the complete absence of caddisflies and mayflies indicated very poor water quality.

Community structure improved downstream of Pontiac beginning at station 521 where mayflies comprised 65 percent of the individuals, reflecting improved water quality.

At station 535, a substantial decline in the quality of the Clinton River biota was measured. The percent mayflies dropped from 65 at station 521 to 6 percent at station 535 and midges increased from 29 to 68 percent.

At stations 535 and 536 downstream of the Rochester WWTP, only eight and seven taxa were present respectively, most of which were midges.

Between Avon (station 537) and Hayes Roads (station 547), a distance of 14 river miles, benthic community structure was improved with caddisflies and mayflies comprising 14 to 80 percent of the total number of individuals. All stations, except Kleino Road (station 546), exhibited high productivity with diversity increasing in the downstream direction indicating increasing macroinvertebrate community stability.

The Clinton River was sampled in 1975, 1977 and 1978 at stations 510, 513 and 517 and also in 1978 at station 518 to assess impacts from the City of Pontiac. During these years at station 510, diverse and abundant caddisflies/mayflies dominated the macroinvertebrate community. Water quality in the Pontiac area was degraded in and downstream of the City between 1973 and 1978. Macroinvertebrate communities had fewer taxa and consisted primarily of midges and oligochaetes at stations 513 and 517.

The occurrence of caddisflies at stations 517 in 1978 indicated improvement in river quality downstream of Pontiac. At station 518, some recovery in river quality was indicated by an increase in mayflies and caddisflies and a more diverse macroinvertebrate community.

In 1979, the Clinton River was assessed at stations 546 and 547. Qualitative samples at both stations were dominated by hydropsychid caddisflies, scuds and midges, with number of taxa at 9 and 13 respectively. There were sparse heptageniid mayflies at station 546. Snails, clams and damselflies were also present at each station indicating a stressed, moderate quality stream. Quantitative Hester-Dendy samples at these stations were dominated by midges and hydropsychid caddisflies. Scuds, snails and baetid mayflies were also present. The presence of perlid stoneflies suggested improved water quality from 1973.

Section 5 was qualitatively surveyed at 22 stations during the summer of 1982 from Clarkston to Hayes Road near Mt. Clemens. The number of taxa ranged from 10 to 25 per station with intolerant taxa ranging from zero to seven, facultative from five to fourteen and tolerant from two to nine. At station 502, there were 25 taxa and the macroinvertebrate community was dominated by high quality stream indicators, including stoneflies, mayflies, blackflies and caddisflies. Mayflies and caddisflies were dominant. The community at station 504 was similar, although no stoneflies were found and blackflies were dominant.

Stations 506 through 509 were dominated by mayflies and scuds with moderately abundant numbers of caddisflies present. Stations 510 and 511 were dominated by scuds, although mayflies and caddisflies were still present in reduced numbers suggesting a moderate impact. Stations 513, 514, and 516, located downstream of Pontiac and the Pontiac WWTP, were dominated by oligochaetes, leeches and midges with no mayflies, caddisflies or scuds present, indicating severely degraded stream quality.

At station 517, the community continued to be dominated by midges, but one species each of caddisfly and mayfly were found. Station 519 was dominated by mayflies and stoneflies, and caddisflies were present. Stations 520 and 535 were mayfly/caddisfly dominated and had 16 taxa, indicating a recovering community, but station 536, downstream of the Rochester WWTP, was dominated by midges, blackflies and mayflies. Stations 537, 538 and 539 were dominated by mayflies, caddisflies and blackflies with occasional stoneflies indicating an improved condition downstream of Rochester. Stations 546 and 547 were dominated by blackflies and scuds and no stoneflies, representing some degradation compared with the stations immediately upstream.

Qualitative sampling in 1983 at station 538 in the vicinity of Ryan Road revealed 14 taxa, all of which were common or very commonly found. At station 539, 19 taxa were present. A few organisms not collected upstream were present at station 539, although numbers of these organisms were sparse. Craneflies and clinger type mayflies (heptageniids) increased from common to very common.

Community structure at stations 540 and 541 were virtually identical and varied little from conditions at station 539. Sponges and flatworms were new additions, scuds and craneflies were less abundant, but numbers of crayfish, damselflies and stoneflies increased. At station 540, scuds and crayfish increased, while stoneflies, damselflies and hellgramites decreased. In addition, damselflies were present and blackflies and purse-case making caddisflies reappeared. These diverse and abundant communities dominated by hydropsychid caddisflies, stoneflies and heptageniid mayflies indicates very good stream quality from stations 538 through 542. These results indicate a substantial improvement in stream quality since the 1973 survey.

Hester-Dendys placed at station 524 in Paint Creek in 1973 documented the water quality of Lake Orion. Caddisflies and mayflies comprised 34 percent of the community. Only one of the 15 taxa was intolerant, indicating fair water quality.

Benthic community structure indicated that water quality was poorer at station 525 than at station 524 since mayflies and caddisflies comprised only 10 percent of the individuals.

Stations 526 through 530 had improved water quality with taxa numbers ranging from 9 to 11. Mayflies and caddisflies comprised 49 to 73 percent of the individuals present.

Water quality degradation and subsequent partial recovery was present at stations 531 through 533 where the number of taxa ranged from 10 to 14. Mayflies and caddisflies dropped from 65 percent at station 530 to 33 percent at station 531. Discharges from Avon Industries between these stations may have caused this decrease. Stations 532 and 533 showed a partial recovery.

Companion qualitative collections in Paint Creek in 1973 at stations 524 through 534 indicated that the number of taxa ranged from 12 to 23. Caddisflies and mayflies were present in relatively high numbers at all stations to station 531 at Goodison. Below station 532, the macroinvertebrate community quality decreased probably due to the effluent from Avon Industries.

Trout Creek, a tributary to Paint Creek, in its upper headwaters is a narrow, slow moving channel through a hummocky marsh. In 1984, it was dominated by snails, clams, dragonflies and surface dependent beetles at station 528. Further downstream at station 529, it was dominated by snails, scuds and crayfish, but clams, limnephilid and hydropsychid caddisflies, and midges were also common. There was relatively good diversity with 8 to 10 taxa per station. This community indicates moderate stream quality impacted only by natural factors.

4.7.2 Section 3, 1972-1984

Section 3 was sampled quantitatively with Hester-Dendys at five stations and petite ponars at two stations in 1973, and with Hester-Dendys only at three stations in 1979. Three stations were also qualitatively sampled in 1973 and 1979, and one location in 1982.

In 1973, quantitative sampling showed from 3 to 7 taxa per station, whereas qualitative sampling indicated 7 to 10 taxa. All organisms were facultative or pollution tolerant forms dominated by midges and oligochaetes. A few hydropsychid caddisflies and sparse baetid mayflies were also present.

In 1979, the number of taxa increased and shifted to slightly more facultative rather than tolerant organisms with one intolerant caddisfly present. Hydrophyschid caddisfly numbers increased significantly, but midges were still dominant.

In 1982, benthic macroinvertebrate sampling results were similar to those reported for 1979, although no mayflies were noted in 1982. Stream quality was relatively poor in Section 3 over the nine years sampled.

.4.7.3 Section 4, Red Run 1973-1982

Qualitative macroinvertebrate sampling was performed at nine locations in Section 4 during 1973 and in 1982. The number of taxa per station ranged from 2 to 14. One quantitative sample was collected at station 406 in 1973.

In 1973, stations 401 and 402 had relatively diverse communities with the number of tolerant and facultative taxa nearly equal. Stations 403 through 406 were dominated by oligochaetes, midges and several other surface dependent forms. Scuds and a baetid mayfly were present at station 404, which had a more diverse community than 403, 405 and 406. Stations 407 and 408 had diversities similar to 404 and also had scuds, sowbugs and a few caddisflies. The 1982 community at station 406 was similar to the 1973 community, suggesting little significant stream quality improvement.

4.7.4

Section 6, North and Middle Branches of the Clinton River 1973-1983

Fifteen qualitative and two quantitative samples were collected from the North Branch in 1973. Two qualitative samples were collected in the North Branch, and one qualitative sample was taken from Greiner Drain in 1979. Three qualitative samples were collected from McBride Drain in 1983.

In 1973 the benthic macroinvertebrate community in the North Branch upstream of Almont (stations 601-604) was dominated by caddisflies and mayflies, with 7 to 16% of the taxa intolerant to pollution. At station 605 downstream of the Almont WWTP, the number of taxa sharply declined and mayflies and caddisflies were replaced by scuds and midges. Stream quality was improved at stations 606 and 607 and at stations 609 to 610, mayflies, caddisflies, and scuds were dominant. Station 611, just upstream of the confluence of East Pond Creek, showed a high quality benthic community with 22% of the taxa intolerant to pollution. Downstream of East Pond Creek, the total number of individuals increased and the community remained high quality through stations 613 to 615.

A similar benthic macroinvertebrate community was found in 1979 at stations 616 and 618 with facultative organisms more abundant than tolerant organisms. Two intolerant species were also recorded.

At the confluence of the North and Main Branches, at station 622, both Hester-Dendy and petite ponar samples were collected in 1973. The number of taxa was slightly lower than upstream stations (14 taxa) when both sampling techniques were combined, and facultative organisms dominated the tolerance list. Numerically, oligochaetes and midges dominated other taxa, but intolerant and facultative mayflies were present and caddisflies were moderately abundant. These data reflect a slight decrease in stream quality from upstream, probably due to reduced stream velocity.

In Greiner Drain, qualitative macroinvertebrate sampling in 1979 at station 617 revealed six primarily surface dependent forms, three of which were facultative and three of which were pollution tolerant. The benthic community in this impacted drain is very poor quality but the drain caused no apparent biological impact on the North Branch.

McBride drain samples collected in 1983 showed fewer taxa upstream (station 619) than downstream (station 621). At station 619, there were three tolerant and two facultative forms. At station 620, oligochaetes and surface dependent forms dominated the community. At stations 621, eight tolerant, three facultative and one intolerant organisms were present, indicating a potentially healthy stream quality in McBride Drain, considering it flows only intermittently.

4.7.5 Section 2, the spillway 1973

The benthic macroinvertebrate community in Section 2 was sampled at stations 201 and 202 in 1973 using ponar and Hester-Dendy techniques. The Hester-Dendy samplers provided a substrate which was apparently preferred to the natural substrate since both the number of taxa and the number of individuals per species were greater on the Hester-Dendys. The ponar samples from natural substrates were dominated by tolerant oligochaetes while the artificial substrates were dominated by facultative midges, scuds and damselflies. These data suggest that sediment quality may have limited the benthic community in Section 2.

4.7.6 Section 1, the natural channel downstream of the weir 1973-1983

Benthic macroinvertebrates in Section 1 were quantitatively sampled at a total of 14 stations in 1973, 1975 and 1983.

In 1973, both petite ponar bottom grabs and Hester-Dendys were used to assess the benthic macroinvertebrate community at stations 101 and 105 and only Hester-Dendys were used at station 109. The total number of taxa per station ranged from one to six. Station 105 had the lowest number of taxa for both Hester-Dendy and ponar samples.

All organisms were either facultative or pollution tolerant forms dominated by oligochaetes and midges. The best community was at station 109 near the confluence of the river with Lake St. Clair.

In 1975, four stations were surveyed. The number of taxa ranged from two to 11 with the lowest number of taxa at station 108 and highest at the Clinton River Mouth/Lake St. Clair confluence. Oligochaetes and midges continued to dominate throughout Section 1 at station 114. There were nearly as many scuds and mayflies as there were midges with at least one intolerant species, an ephemerid mayfly, present at three of the four stations. In 1983 eight stations were assessed with the number of taxa ranging from one to three. All organisms were either facultative or pollution tolerant.

Very little stream quality improvement was indicated in Section 1 between 1973 and 1983.

4.7.7 Summary

Water chemical conditions have improved considerably since 1970 in all river sections, except Sections 1, 2, and 3 where poor dissolved oxygen concentrations continue to exist. Dissolved oxygen problems are related to sediment organic oxygen demand and sediment chemical oxygen demand, especially in section 1. Flow regime and topography influence reaeration and sediment deposition which exacerbates the dissolved oxygen, sediment contaminant, and physical habitat problems. Degraded benthic communities and limited resident fish communities are present in Sections 1, 2, 3 and 4.

5. POLLUTION SOURCES AND LOADINGS

This chapter describes the sources of pollution to the Clinton River by River Section. These sources include municipal and industrial point sources, and all types of nonpoint sources including waste disposal sites, sites of environmental contamination and active hazardous treatment storage or disposal sites. Loadings of conventional pollutants were calculated or estimated using the most recent data from 1976 to 1987. Metal and organic loadings were developed where data were available.

There are presently 35 NPDES permitted dischargers in the Clinton River watershed, eight of which are municipals WWTPs. The remaining 27 are industrial facilities, the majority of which are automotive related. In addition, there are 69 sites of potential environmental contamination, 24 active hazardous waste treatment, storage, or disposal sites, and 61 waste disposal sites in the Clinton River watershed.

The description parallels the flow of the river proceeding from the headwaters of Section 5 upstream of Pontiac, incorporates Sections 4 and 6, moves down through Section 3 to Lake St. Clair via the spillway (Section 2) and finishes with the natural channel (Section 1).

5.1 SECTION 5 - MAIN BRANCH CLINTON RIVER AND ITS TRIBUTARIES UPSTREAM OF RED RUN

5.1.1 Point Sources

There are two continuous municipal dischargers, ten continuous industrial dischargers and two intermittant industrial dischargers in Section 5 (Map 6.5). Municipal dischargers are the Cities of Pontiac (15 mgd) and Rochester (2 mgd) who have a full compliment of NPDES permit limits for conventional parameters and either short or long term monitoring for metals (Table 5.1.1).

5.1.1.1 Continuous Industrial Dischargers

The outfall type, receiving water, permitted flow and permit limited or monitored parameters for all NPDES dischargers are shown in Table 5.1.1. Permits for Chrysler Technical Center, G.M. Fisher Body, G.M. Giddings Road, G.M Pontiac and Grand Trunk all require oil and grease monitoring. G.M. Giddings Road also monitors total dissolved solids and total phosphorus. The Chrysler Tech Center and the Ford Motor Company also monitor their outfalls for total suspended solids. Total continuous point source industrial flow is 6.6 mgd with 63% originating from G.M's Pontiac Motor Division and 20% from G.M. Truck and Bus. Eighty-four percent of all directly discharged industrial flow is noncontact cooling water and all industrial dischargers are in compliance with their NPDES Permits limits.

5.1.1.2 Intermittent Industrial Discharges

Chrysler Tech Center and General Motors Giddings Road have NPDES discharge permits for stormwater discharges only, through outfalls 001-004 and outfall 001, respectively. The General Motors Pontiac Motor

TABLE 5.1.1 SUMMARY OF NPDES PERMITTED DISCHARGERS TO THE CLINTON RIVER BY RIVER SECTION Actual permit limits are shown in Appendix 5.1

| Discharger MPDES # | Longitude | Latitude | Issue/Exp. Date | Outfall/Type | Permitted Flow | Permited Limited Parameters |
|---------------------------------------|-----------|----------|-----------------|---|-------------------|--|
| *SECTION 1 | 4 | | | | | |
| Ht. Clemens HI0023647 (Interim) | 42 35 53 | 82 51 50 | 4-21-86/4-30-88 | #001/Wastewater to the Clinton River | 6.0 mgd | BOD, TSS, fecal coliform bacteria, pH, total phos- phorus, Cd, Cr C, CN, Pb, Hg, As, Zn |
| | | | | #002/Wet Weather Pacility | 4.0 mgd | BOD, TSS, fecal coliform bacteria, NH ₃ -N, total phosphorus, pH, total residual chlorine |
| | | | | #003/Retention Basin Overflow | | fecal coliform bacteria, BOD, TSS, total phos- phorus, pH, total residual chlorine |
| (Pinal) | | | | #001/Wastewater to the Clinton River | 6.0 mgđ | CBOD, BOD, TSS, NH ₃ -N, dissolved oxygen, fecal coliform bactera, total residual chlorine, total phosphorus, pH, Cd, Cr ⁷⁰ , CN, Pb, <u>He</u> , Ag, Zn |
| | | | | #002/Watewater to the Clinton River | 4.0 mgd | CBOD, NH ₃ -N, pH, dissolve oxygen, TSS, total phosphorus, fecal coliforal bacteria |

Table 5.1.1 continued

| Discharger NPDES # | Longitude | Latitude | Issue/Exp. Date | Outfall/Type | Permitted Flow | Permited Limited Parameters |
|--|-----------|----------|-------------------|---|-------------------|--|
| SECTION 3 | | | | | | |
| 1011oy Mfg. Co. 110041696 | 42 33 09 | 82 56 06 | 3-18-81/6-30-86 | #002/Noncontact Cooling to Teak and Harrington drain | 0.204 mgd | Hq |
| SECTION 4 | | | | | | |
| General Electric Carboloy Systems (10004260 | 42 27 20 | 82 59 34 | 2-7-83/5-31-85 | #002/Noncontact cooling water and stormwater runoff via Red Run | 0.664 mgd | flow, temperature |
| MI Corp. 110027995 | 42 27 46 | 82 59 25 | 3-19-81/12-3-85 | #001/Noncontact cooling water and tank application water/storm sewers and drains to Clinton River | 0.01066 mgd | oil and grease, pH, flow outfall observation |
| ept. of the Army ank Command 10041661 | 42 29 33 | 83 03 13 | 17-19-86/10-1-90 | #002/Noncontact cooling water to Rear Creek | 0.1 mgd | flow, outfall observation |
| .M. Tech Center 110043931 | 42 30 05 | 83 O2 31 | 12-26-84/11-30-89 | #001-069/Stormwater to Bear Creek | unspecified | outfall observation |
| olkswagon of America/Chrysler Corp. 110000345 | 42 30 42 | 83 02 13 | 12-1-80/4-22-85 | #001/Noncontact.cooling water; surface water runoff; coal storage runoff/Moore-Ledwidge Drain to Plum Brook | 3.5 mgd | TSS, pH, flow, temperature |

Table 5.1.1 continued

| Discharger NPDES # | Longitude | Latitude | Issue/Exp. Date | Outfall/Type | Permitted Flow | Permited Limited Parameters |
|--|----------------------|------------------|---------------------------------------|--|-------------------------|--|
| SECTION 4 CONTINUE | D | | | | | |
| Southeast Oakland County Sewage | 42 31 30 | 83 05 09 | 4-30-74/12-31-78 | #001/Combined sewage overflow, chlorinated | 5.1 mgd (1854 mg/yr) | flow pH, TSS, BOD, fecal coliform bacteria |
| Disposal System/ Pollution Control | | | | to Red Run | | |
| Pacility MI0026115 | | | | | | |
| Schenck Treble Corporation MI0045161 | 42 33 36 | 83 06 17 | 1-22-87/10-31-91 | #001/Cooling tower blow-down | 0.0015 mgd | flow, TSS, outfall observation, pH |
| HIOO43101 | | . ** * | | #002/Cooling tower blow- down | 0.000168 mgd | flow, TSS, outfall observation, pH |
| | tion that are also a | | | #003/Noncontact cooling water to Red Run via Douglas Drain | 0.0059 mgd | flow, temperature outfall observation, pH |
| Big Beaver Specialty Company | 42 33 47 | 83 05 07 | 6-20-86/2-28-91 | #001/Noncontact cooling | 0.0005 mgd | flow, outfall |
| MT0038741 | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | runoff/Big Reaver Creek via Spencer Drain and storm Sewer | | onservarion |
| C.S. OHM Corp. MI0038628 | 42 32 33 | 83 02 28 | 3-24-77/2-28-82 | #001/Noncontact cooling water/Big Beaver Creek via storm sewer | unspecified | flow, oil and grease observation |
| Warren WWTP MI0024295 | 42 32 00 | 8 3 01 00 | 9-25-84/9-30-89 | #001/Wastewater via Red Run | 31 mgd | CROD, NH, TSS, dissolved oxygen, fecal coliform hac- |

Table 5.1.1 continued

| Discharger IPDES # | Longitude | Latitude | Innue/Exp. Date | Outfall/Type | Permitted Flow | Permited Limited Parameters |
|-----------------------|-----------|----------|------------------|--|-------------------|---|
| SECTION 4 CONTINUE | n | | | | | |
| SECTION 4 CONTINUE | | | | | | |
| larren WTP Cont. | | | | | | chlorine, total phosphorus, monitor for |
| | | | | | | Cd, Cu, CN, Hg, |
| | | | | | | Ag, and Zn |
| Inion Carbide | 42 32 29 | 82 59 50 | 4-13-82/1-31-87 | #001/Noncontact cooling | 0.05 mgđ | flow, temperature, |
| 110037672 | | | | water to Red Run | | outfall observation |
| | | | | Holding Pond/Lime Slurry to Red Run | 0.05 mgd | flow, observation, pone freeboard |
| org Warner Corp | 42 36 09 | 83 03 28 | 4-18-74/1-31-79 | #001/Stormwater runoff; | unspecified | pH, flow, oil and |
| 110004774 | | | • | via Plum Brook | • | grease |
| ord Motor Co. | 42 34 47 | 83 02 29 | 1-20-83/12-31-87 | #001/Noncontact cooling | 8.5 mgd | oil and grease, |
| terling Axle Plan | | 03 02 17 | 05/11 01 01 | water; stormwater runoff | | pH, outfall |
| 110003417 | | | | via Moore Drain and Plum Brook | | observation |
| | | | | hrook | | |
| | | | | | | |
| SECTION 5 | | | | | | |
| Suckeye Pipeline | 42 44 38 | 83 26 56 | 6-30-80/3-31-85 | #001/Treated ground- | 0.7826 mgd | flow, outfall |
| 10041700 | | | | water to Deer Lake | | observation |
| | | | | via unnamed creek | | |
| General Motors | 42 43 09 | 83 16 17 | 6-9-81/12-31-85 | #001/Stormwater only | unspecified | flow, oil and grease, |
| iddings Road | | | | to Carpenter Lake | | outfall observation, |
| 110042099 | | | | | | TDS, total phosphorus, |

Table 5.1.1 continued

| Discharger NPDES # | Longitude | Latitude | Issue/Exp. Date | Outfall/Type | Permitted Flow | Permited Limited Parameters |
|--|---------------|----------|-------------------|--|-------------------|---|
| SECTION 5 CONTINUES |) | | | | | |
| Grand Trunk MI0044202 | 42 43 47 | 83 16 58 | 9-22-85/10-31-90 | #001/Stormwater and oil/water seperator effluent to Judah Lake via wetland | 0.077 mgđ | flow, oil and grease, outfall observation |
| General Motors Pontiac Motor Div. MI0042412 | 42 38 12 | 83 17 30 | 12-15-81/11-30-86 | #001/Noncontact cooling to Clinton River via Montcalm storm sewer | 4.178 mgd | flow, oil and grease outfall observation, temperature, pH |
| | | | | #002,010,011/Stormwater | unspecified | outfall observation |
| General Motors Fisher Body NIO027804 | 42 39 28 | 83 17 54 | 6-30-80/4-30-85 | #001/Noncontact cooling water to Harris Lake | 0.03 mgd | flow, outfall observation |
| General Motors Truck and Bus Group MI0001007 | 42 38 20 P | 83 17 00 | 1-21-86/1-31-91 | #001/Noncontact cooling water to Murphy Creek via storm sewer | 1.3 mgd | flow, outfall observation temperature, pH |
| Pontiac WWTP MI0023825 | 42 38 15 | 83 15 16 | 7-87/10-1-90 | #001/Treated municipal wastewater to the Clinton River | 15 mgd | flow CROD ₅ , TSS, NH ₃ -N, dissolved oxygen, total phosphorus fecal coliform |
| | | | | | | bacteria, total residual chiprine pH, Cd, Pb, <u>Cr</u> , <u>Ag, CN</u> |
| Auburn Heights Mfg Co. MIO038199 | 42 38 21 | 83 13 16 | 1-31-83/12-31-87 | #001/Noncontact cooling water to the Clinton River via unnamed tributary | 0.000106 mgd | flow, outfall observation |

Table 5.1.1 continued

| Discharger NPDES # | Longitude | Latitude | Isaue/Exp. Date | Outfall/Type | Permitted Flow | Permited Limited Parameters |
|---|-----------|----------|------------------|---|-------------------|--|
| SECTION 5 CONTINU | F.D | | | | | |
| G.P. Plastics HI0044827 | 42 38 33 | 83 12 12 | 8-25-86/6-30+91 | #001/Plastic parts, river water | 0.0048 mgd | flow, temperature, BOD 5 oil and grease, TSS, dissolved oxygen, outfall observation, pH |
| Chrysler Tech Center MIO045586 | 42 40 07 | 83 13 36 | 5-21-87/3-31-85 | #001-004/storm only via Galloway Creek | unspecified | flow, TSS, oil and gream outfall observation |
| Molmec, Inc. Metalplast, Inc. MIOO39446 | 42 40 42 | 83 07 42 | 4-21-86/2-28-91 | #001/Recirculating non- contact cooling water system blowdown to the Clinton River | O.Oll mgd | flow, temperature, outfall observation |
| | • | | | #002/Stormwater runoff | unspecified | outfall observation |
| Higbie Manu- facturing HI0004995 | 42 40 53 | 83 07 39 | 3-21-74/1-31-79 | #001/Noncontact cooling water to Paint Greek via storm sewer | 0.0015 mgd | pH, oil and grease temperature, flow |
| Rochester WMTP MI0023931 | 42 40 51 | 83 07 03 | 1-31-84/12-31-88 | #001 Wastewater treated municipal water to the Clinton River | 2.0 mgd | CBOD _s , TSS, dissolved oxygen, pH, fecal coliform bacteria, total |
| | | | | | | residual chlorine, Milaen total phosphorus, tri- chloroethylene |
| Ford Motor Co. Utica Trim Plant M10003441 | 42 39 22 | 83 03 04 | 1-20-77/1-31-79 | #001/Treated sanitary noncontact cooling water to Old Clinton Canal | 0.214 mgd | BOD ₅ , TSS, total phos- phorus, fecal coliform bacteria, pH, <u>NH₃-N</u> , |

Table 5.1.1 continued

| Discharger NPDES # | Longitude | Latitude | lssue/Exp. Date | Outfall/Type | Permitted Flow | Permited Limited Parameters |
|---|-----------|----------|----------------------------|---|-------------------|---|
| SECTION 5 CONTINUE | :D | | | | | |
| Ford Hotor Co. Utica Trim Plant Continued | | | | (Clinton River) | | dissolved oxygen, flow, oil and grease, total residual chlorine |
| SECTION 6 | | | | | | |
| Almont MT0020931 | 42 55 02 | 83 02 32 | 2-24-82/9-30-88 Interim | #001/Wastewater to N. Branch Clinton River | 0.32 mgd | BOD, TSS, total phos- phorus, fecal coliform, |
| | | | | | | pH, dissolved oxygen, dissolved oxygen, <u>NH₃-N</u> , total residual chlorine |
| | | | 9-30-88/7-1-90 | #001 Wastewater to E. Branch Clinton River | 0.35 mgd | BOD ₅ , TSS, fecal coliform bacteria, total residual chlorine, dissolved oxyge total phosphorus, pH |
| ord Motor Co. II Proving Grounds | 42 50 03 | 83 04 17 | 11-22-82/1-30-87 | #001/Sanitary wastewater, kitchen wastes, and groundwater to East Pond Creek | 0.15 mgd | BOD, TSS, total phosphorus, fecal colifor bacteria, total residual chlorine, flow, outfall observation, pH |
| Romeo WWTP 110021679 | 42 48 15 | 82 59 23 | 2-21-86/ 9 -30-90 | #001/Wastewater to East Pond Creek | 1.6 mgd | CROD, TSS, NH ₃ -N, total phosphorus, dissolved oxygen, total residual chlorine, fecal coliform |

Table 5.1.1 continued

| Discharger NPDES # | Longitude | 1.atitude | Issue/Exp. Date | Outfall/Type | Permitted Flow | Permited Limited Parameters |
|--|---------------|-----------|-----------------------------------|---|-------------------|--|
| SECTION 6 CONTINU | žD . | | | | | |
| Armada WWTP MI0022225 | 42 50 25 | 82 53 11 | 7-18-86/6-30-90 Interim | #001/Wastewater to F. Branch of Coon Creek | 0.35 mgd | BOD ₅ , TSS, fecal coli- form bucteria, pH |
| | | | 7-1-88/Undeter- mined Final | #001/Wastewater to E. Branch of Coon Greek | 0.35 mgd | CROD, NH, N, TSS, fecal collform bacteria, total residual chlorine, dissolved oxygen, total phosphorus, pH |
| South Macomb Disposal Authorit MI0038717 | 42 40 48 y | 82 54 33 | 5-27-81/6-30-86 | #001/Treated Contami- nated Surface Runoff to McBride Drain | unspecified | BOD ₅ , TSS, NH ₃ -N, total tron, pH, flow |
| TRW Seatbelt Div. MI0000621 | 42 44 25 | R3 01 32 | 1-71/2-28-79 | #001/Noncontact cooling water to Clinton River via Taft Drain | 0.07 mgd | flow, oil and grease, BOD ₅ , TSS, total phosphorus, pH |

Underlined values----- indicates monitoring only, no effluent limits.

Division, Grand Trunk and Molmec Inc. discharge stormwater through outfalls 002, 010 and 011, outfall 001 and outfall 002 respectively during wet weather in addition to their other NPDES permitted discharges.

5.1.1.3 Continuous Municipal Dischargers

Pontiac WWTP

The City of Pontiac WWTP is a tertiary facility consisting of two separate secondary plants, one on East Boulevard and the other on Auburn Road. These plant share a combined design flow of 100,000 m³/day (30 mgd) and an average flow of 45,000 m³/day (15.0 mgd). The plants serve a separated sanitary and storm sewer system, but inflow from outlying townships is a problem during rains. There are no CSOs from the Pontiac sewer system.

The plants are operated in parallel and are combined for grit removal, primary sedimentation, aeration in biological reactors and secondary clarification and tertiary treatment. Ferric chloride for phosphorus removal is added in the primary clarifiers. The secondary effluents of both plants are combined at the Auburn Road plant and gravity filtration is performed in mixed filters of anthracite and sand. Tertiary treated wastewater is disinfected with chlorine in an aerated contact tank and discharged to the Clinton River.

Combined primary and secondary sludges are treated by anearobic digestion at each plant. At the Auburn Road Plant, all sludge is filtered and incinerated. Ash is sluiced to an on-site lagoon.

The Pontiac WWTP has final effluent limits for CBOD₅, total suspended solids, ammonia nitrogen, dissolved oxygen, total phosphorus, fecal coliform bacteria, total residual chlorine, pH, cadmium, and lead. Hexavalent chromium, silver and cyanide must be monitored (Table 5.1.1, Appendix 5.1).

Results of an MDNR point source wastewater survey conducted on August 18, 1986 at the Pontiac Wastewater Treatment Plant, indicated that during the survey the facility met the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit final effluent limitations (Stone 1987a). Estimated annual loadings to the Clinton River for the parameters measured are shown in Table 5.1.2 along with the permitted effluent loadings for the Pontiac WWTP.

Pontiac has had an approved pretreatment program since 1985. There were initially 230 non-domestic users surveyed, 170 of which reported back. Of the total number, 65 were significant users, that is, they could have priority pollutants, process water greater than ten percent of the total WWTP flow, or were subject to a national categorical pretreatment standard. A major significant user, of which there were seven, requires pretreatment or monitoring (Table 5.1.3).

Table 5.1.2 Permitted and Estimated Loadings from Municipal Facilities in Section 5, of the Clinton River.

| | Annua1 | Ponties IM Annual | TPMM | | | Annua 1 | Roohester H Rinnual | ИТРЖ | |
|---|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|---|---|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| Conventional Loading | Permitted Loading lbs/year | Estimated Loading lbs/year | Loading lbs/day | Conc. ug/1 | | Permitted Loading lbs/year | Estimated Loading lbs/year | Loading lbs/day | Cond |
| Suspended Solids Dissolved Solids 800-5 | 1100000 | 79493 21138245 75555 | 57913 | <4000 | | 182500 | 99280 8395000 160600 | 272 23000 440 | |
| 900-5(Carbon) COD TOC | 389500 | 7548 20 | | | | 136850 | 55115 244550 56575 | 151 670 155 | . • |
| NO2+NO3 NH3-N | 193200 | 5205 20 5293 | 14.5 | * . | | | 26645 18980 | 73 52 | |
| tal Kjøl. Nitrogen Total Phosphorus Orthophosphorus | 45625 | 45397 17374 15111 | 124.1 47.6 41.4 | | • | 6088 | 37595 4015 54.8 | 103 11 0.15 | |
| CN(total) Cl | | 4529650 | 12410 | <5.0 | | | 2912700 | 7980 | |
| Na | | 370 2925 | 10145 | | | | 1934500 | 5300 | |
| Metal Loading | | | | | | | | | |
| Silver Aluminum Arsenic | | 1496.5 | 4.1 | <0.5 <2.5 | | | 11 182 5 | 0.03 5 | <2 |
| Barium Berullium | | 014 | 2.23 | <1.0 | | | | | <2 |
| Cadmium Cobalt | 32 | | | <0.2 <10 | | | | | ₹0 ₹5 |
| Chromium Hex. Chromium | | ¥ | | <3.0 <5.0 | | | 25.6 | 0.07 | <5 |
| Copper Iron | | -325 11 9 6 | 0.89 3.25 | | | | 73 | 0.2 | <1 |
| Mercury Lithium Manganese | | 245 | 0.67 | <0.5 <8.0 | | | 511 | 1.4 | <0 <2 |
| Molybderum Nickel | • | 902 307 | 2.47 0.84 | | • | | 146 | 0.4 | <5 |
| l.ead Antimony | 411 | 90 | 0.22 | , | | | 36.5 | 0.1 | <2 |
| Selenium Titanium Vanadium | | 602 | 1.65 | <2.5 <10 | | | | | <2 <2 <2 |
| Zino | | 1442 | 3.95 | | | | | | ₹5 |
| Organic Loading | | | | | | | | | |
| Phthalates HCB PCB | | | | | | | | | |
| TCE Toluerie | | | | * | | | | | |
| Xylene Phenol | | 361 | 0.99 | ** | | | 146 | 0.4 | |
| Bromodichloro- methane Dibromochloro- | | | | 7.0 2.0 | | | | | |

^{%=}Results based on sampling done Nov. 18-19, 1987. Flow was measured at 2.51 million gallons per day.
%%=Results based on sampling done flug. 18-19, 1987. Flow was measured at 12.4 million gallons per day.
%%%=Estimated value

Table 5.1.3 City of Pontiac Industrial Pretreatment Program Major Significant Users

| Name | Address | Business Type (STC) | Raw Materials/ Chemicals | Toxicants | Volume Discharged |
|--|--|--|---|---|--|
| Art Hetal Platers | 61 Short Street Pontiac, HI 48058 | Electroplater (3471) | Steel, Aluminum, Sulfuric Acid, Chromium, Copper, Nickel | Sulfuric Acid, Chro- mium, Copper, Nickel | Approx. 5,000 gpd of process water |
| General Motors Corp., Central Foundry | 701 Glenwood Pontiac, HI | Grev Iron Foundry, Automotive Castings, (3321) | Scrap Steel, Sand | PCB, Sulfuric Acid | Approx. 247,000 gpd of water treatment excess and core belt wash overflow |
| General Motors Corp., Fisher Body Division | 900 Baldwin Pontiac, MI 48055 | Auto Manufacturer (3711) | Paint, Sealers, Welding Rod, Phosphating Materials | Tetrachloroethylene, Toluene, Xylene, Lead, Acida | Approx. 1,290,000 gpd of process water |
| General Motors Corp., Truck and Coach Division | 660 S. Roulevard East Pontiac, MI 48093 | Truck and Rus Manufacturer (3710) | Steel, Copper, Aluminum, Plastics, Rubber, Paint, Solvents, Sealers, Glass, Lubricants and Antifreeze | Chromium, Copper, Lead, Nickel, Acids | Approx. 1,150,000 gpd of process water |
| General Motors Corp., Pontisc Motors Division | One Pontiac Plaza Pontiac, MI 48053 | Motor Vehicles and Equipment (3710) | Metals, Plastics, Oils, Paint, Solvents, Ad- hesives, Acids, Bases, Coolants, Salts, Plating, Cleaners, Printing Materials | Antimony, Arsenic, Cadmium, Chlorine, Chromium, Cobalt, Copper, Cyanide, Lead, Lithium, Nickel, Selenium, Silver, Zinc, Triaryl Phosphate Esters, Benzene, Tri- | |
| | | | | chloroethylene, Styene Hydroquione, Tetrachloro- ethylene, Chloroform, 1,1,1-Trichloroethylene, Xylene, Mercury | |

Table 5.1.3 continued

| Name | Address | Bustness Type (SIC) | Raw Materials/ Chemicals | Toxicants | Volume Discharged |
|------------------------------------|---|---------------------------------|---|--|---|
| Circuit Boards of America, Inc. | 938 Featherstone Rd. Pontiac, MI 48058 | Electronic Components (3679) | Fiberglass, Epoxy, Copper | Copper, Acids | Approx. 75,000 gpd of process water |
| Pontiac Industrial Plating | 27 Jacokes Pontiac, MI 48058 | Plating (3471) | Nickel Sulfate, Nickel Chloride, Chromium Salts, Copper Sulfate, Zinc Oxide, Sodium Xydroxide | Acids, Chromium, Copper, Nickel, Zinc | Approx. 4,000-5,000 gpd of process water |

Rochester WWTP

The Rochester wastewater treatment plant is a secondary municipal WWTP with phosphorus removal. It has a design flow of 2.0 mgd and a peak flow of 4.0 mgd. Industrial flow comprises 49 percent of the plant influent. The treatment system consists of a grit chamber, a bar screen, comminutor, primary settling tanks, aeration tanks, final settling tanks and chlorine contact chamber. Waste activated sludge is sent to the primary tanks.

Primary sludge goes to the two-stage anaerobic digesters. After digestion, the sludge is dried in drying beds or centrifuges. The dried sludge is applied to agricultural land. Intensive investigation of the sludges for metals and nutrients indicated that metals discharged by industries to the WWTP are not a problem.

The plant was improved in 1985-86 with the addition of a new primary tank, and renovation of the aeration tanks including installation of bubblers. Two digesters were also renovated, a sludge dewatering building was added which included a sludge centrifuge, and the plants electrical and plumbing systems were revised.

There are no combined sewers in this community's wastewater conveyance system and therefore, no combined sewer overflows to the Clinton River.

Results of a MDNR point source wastewater survey conducted at Rochester WWTP on August 18, 1986, indicated that during the survey, this facility met their National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit final effluent limits (Stone 1987b). Although the Rochester WWTP must meet limits for CBOD, total suspended solids, dissolved oxygen, pH, fecal coliform bacterial, total residual chlorine, ammonia nitrogen, and total phosphorus, other parameters were measured during this survey. Annual estimated loadings, based on this survey, are presented in Table 5.1.2. Appendix 5.1 contains their NPDES permit limits.

The Rochester WWTP exceeded its carbonaceous BOD, seven day average limit three times in 1986, and missed the minimum dissolved oxygen level five times based on quarterly compliance reports. Although there are no combined sanitary overflows in Section 5, occasional overflows or bypasses may occur at the Rochester WWTP during very rainy weather.

The City of Rochester has had an industrial waste monitoring program since 1975 with support from the Oakland County Health Department. Based on a 1980 survey, there are approximately 300 non-domestic users in the service area. Table 5.1.4 lists thirty three of these which were considered significant users, most of which are small volume metal producers, metal platers and machine shops. The four major significant dischargers of concern to the city are highlighted with asterisks.

Parke-Davis Company cooling water discharge was investigated in 1973 for toxicity to minnows. Results of a 96-hour continuous flow bioassay suggested that algicides in the cooling tower may have caused the high mortality and that the cooling water discharge could be detrimental to the Clinton River. Parke-Davis now pretreats its sulfuric acid and waste solvents and contributes an estimated 380,580 gallons per day of effluent (33% of total influent) to the Rochester WWTP.

Table 5.1.4. Significant Industrial Dischargers to the Rochester WWTP.

| Company Name | Standard Industrial Classification Title | Daily Flow (gals/day) | Chemical |
|-------------------------|--|-----------------------|---|
| Rochester Packing | Meat Packing | 3,458 | Conventional |
| Parke Davis | Drugs | 380,580 | Sulfuric Acid, Waste Solvents |
| James River Rochester** | Paper Mill | 153,736 | Phenolic Compounds Biocides, Strong Acids, Solvents Phenolic Compounds |
| Troy Lab | Electroplating | 22,498 | Solvents, Heavy Metals, Aluminum |
| Metalmite Corp | None | 271 | Metals |
| Auburn Metalfab | Fabric, Structural Metals Products | 1,589 | Solvents |
| SBS Corp. | Boiler Shops | 439 | None |
| W.P. Burke Co. | Screw Machine Products | 440 | None |
| Metal Awning Co. | Metal coars sash trim | 115 | None |
| Ferro Plastic | Misc. Plating | 1,148 | Solvents |
| Hoff. Eng. Co. | 3569(?)* | | None |
| Fab Machine & Tool | Fabric Metal Products | 100 | None |
| Dynamic Mold | Plastic | 631 | None |
| Cygnus Company | Metals, Acids | : | None |
| Acorn Tool & Die | 3540 (?) | 210 | None |
| Torca Products | Metals Prod. | 3,156 | Solvents |
| Melody Tool & Mold | Metals Prod. | - | Solvents |
| Exacto Mold | Casting | 41 | None |
| B & M Bending | Metal Prod. | | None |

Table 5.1.4. Continued.

| Company Name | Standard Industrial Classification Title | Daily Flow (gals/day) | Chemical | |
|--------------------|---|-----------------------|----------|--|
| Rochester Manuf. | None | 7,442 | Solvents | |
| T & S Tool | None | 64 | None | |
| Great Lakes Spline | None | | None | |
| Recon Corp. | Industrial Machinery | 374 | None | |
| Lynd Gear | None | | Solvents | |
| Boyle Machine | None | 162 | None | |
| J.B. Harmon | None | | None | |
| Expert Hydraulics | None | , . | None | |
| Numerical Machine | 3599(?) | 526 | None | |
| Rochester Tube | Metal Heat Treating | 292 | None | |
| Avon Gear | Iron and Steel Forging | 664 | None | |
| Nu-Products | None | 8,238 | None | |
| Solaronics | Metals | 3,013 | None | |
| ITT Higbee | Steel | 2,816 | None | |

<sup>*
**</sup>SIC Title not provided in permit listing
Major significant users

Source: Rochester WWTP, Pretreatment File, MDNR

The James River Paper Company pretreats and discharges 0.15 mgd to the Rochester WWTP. The major treatment required is for solids removal. Rochester Packing also pretreats prior to discharging 0.0035 mgd of wastewater to the Rochester WWTP and is a source of conventional pollutants (BOD₅, SS, TP, etc). Troy Laboratory is the only industry on the categorical list since it discharges heavy metals to the system.

5.1.1.4 Intermittent Point Sources

Intermittent point sources include unintentional overflows and bypasses, from municipal systems, urban stormwater discharges, and intermittent industrial stormwater discharges. None of the above have been assessed or quantified in Section 5.

5.1.2 Nonpoint Sources

Nonpoint sources of pollutants in Section 5 include urban, rural, suburban, and industrial site runoff, landfills, dumps and other potential sites of environmental contamination and atmospheric deposition.

5.1.2.1 Urban Stormwater

Urban stormwater loadings were estimated by SEMCOG (1978) for the main a branch of the Clinton River which includes our River Sections 1, 2, 3, and 5. Percent of total load was calculated using 1987 point source data shown in Table 5.1.5. For Sections 1, 2, 3, and 5, the Main Branch of the Clinton River from the Pontiac area to the mouth through the natural channel and the spillway, approximately 29,369 metric tons per year of suspended solids, 996 metric tons of BOD₅, 129 metric tons of nitrogen, and 55 metric tons of phosphorus were contributed from urban stormwater runoff. These data indicate that urban stormwater contributes 93, 66, 25 and 65% of the total loading of suspended solids, BOD₅, total nitrogen and total phosphorus, respectively, to the Main Branch and the spillway (Sections 1,2,3, and 5).

Suspended solids loadings from stormwater to Section 5 downstream as far as Rochester were estimated at 18,069 metric tons per year, similar to suspended solids loadings from urban runoff in Section 4 - Red Run, and one-third greater than stormwater suspended solids loadings from Section 6.

5.1.2.2 Rural and Suburban Runoff

Rural and suburban runoff may include runoff from grassland and active croplands. Estimates of these types of runoff for Section 1, 2, 3, and 5 were estimated by SEMCOG (1978) and are shown in Table 5.1.5.

Much of the watershed in the Pontiac/Rochester area (Section 5) is active cropland or grasslands with parklands adjacent to the river near Rochester-Utica. Rural and cropland runoff contribute a relatively small quantity of conventional constituents to Section 5. SEMCOG (1981) identified the Rochester-Utica Recreation Area as a priority area for stormwater management (Figure 5.1.1). Based on the 1982 Natural Resources Inventory (NRI) of Macomb County, about 166,300 tons of soil is lost

Table 5.1.5.

| Point Urben Starauster Or | (85) 299.0 29349 (80) 336.0 994 (N) 301.0 129 (P) 21.9 35 | | (SS) 199.2 18228 (B00) 49.6 620 (N) 9.9 78 (P) 14.9 94 | | (80) 29.1 12845 (80) 29.1 440 (8) 20.1 54 (9) 10.3 24 | (\$5) 0.1 32.0 (\$600) 1.1 17.0 (\$1) 1.5 4.9 (\$1) (\$1) 6.0 14.1 | (55) 419.4 60-67 (800) 411.2 2096 (N) \$24.5 263 (P) 46.0 118 | (800) 0.4 41.9 (800) 16.3 19.4 (80) 16.3 19.4 19.4 19.4 19.4 19.4 19.4 19.4 19.4 |
|---|--|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| Auroff from from forlive Fromslend Cropland | 911 1247 72 101 89 40 | | 67.0 36.0 27.0 28.0 18.5 11.5 | 0.00 | 1529.0 25722 109.0 2012 54.0 1170 7.5 129 | 4.2 4.2 4.9 7.7 7.7 4.9 7.7 7.7 7.7 8.9 | 2421.0 27007.0 208.0 2136.0 102.5 1229.8 18.7 145.0 | 2.2 4.2 6.2 6.2 6.2 6.2 6.3 6.3 |
| Combined Securitions Overfless | 0000 | | 20.00 40.00 40.00 | 4 - 4 - 4 - 4 - 4 - 4 - 4 - 4 - 4 - 4 - | 0000 | 50 00 | 20.02 20.00 20.00 20.00 | ~ T II II |
| 10401 | 31666.0 1907.0 511.0 | 200 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 | 19123.2 685.0 155.9 69.5 | 100% 100% 100% | 40151.1 2590.1 1302.1 170.0 | | 909-40. 4 4981. 2 1968. 5 | %65 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 20 |




Figure 5.1.1. Priority Stormwater Management Areas in Developed Areas of the Clinton River Source: SEMCOG 1981

annually from water erosion to the Clinton River and its tributaries (J. Johnson, USDA Personal Communication, March 12, 1987).

5.1.2.3 Industrial Site Runoff

There are currently no estimates of pollutant loads from industrial site runoff, but industrial areas generally have higher loadings of contaminants than commercial or residential areas (SEMCOG 1978a).

5.1.2.4 Landfills, Dumps and Other Sites of Potential Environmental Contamination

Waste Disposal Sites

There are 32 Type II or Type III waste disposal sites (including refuse processing stations and incinerators) in the Section 5 watershed (Table 5.1.6, Map 6.6). Nine are in Macomb County and 23 are in Oakland County. There are four Type II and one Type III landfills that are open and monitoring is required. There are five Type II landfills and one Type III landfill that are closed, and have monitoring. There are 15 Type II and four Type III landfills that are closed with no monitoring. In addition, there is one incinerator that is closed with no monitoring, and one refuse processing station that is open but with no monitoring required.

The landfills presently open are: A and A Landfill (Type II) and Malow Disposal (Type III) in Macomb County; Southeast Oakland County Incinerator Authority Sanitary Landfill (Type II), Weber Sand and Gravel, (Type II), Waterford Sanitary Landfill (Type II), and Pontiac Central Mfg. Refuse Plant (Refuse processing) in Oakland County. The impact, if any, from these sites on the Clinton River is unknown. Specific information on these sites can be obtained from the MDNR Environmental Response Division at the Detroit District Office in Northville.

Act 307 Sites of Environmental Contamination

Michigan's Public Act 307 (The Michigan Environmental Resource Act) provides for the identification, risk assessment, and priority evaluation of environmental contamination sites in the state (MDNR 1986a). Two lists are developed each year by the Environmental Response Division. One list identifies all known sites requiring further "evaluation and interim response activities." The other list identifies sites where "response activities" are to be undertaken by the State. Priority List One is divided into two groups of sites as follows:

Group 1: Scored Sites (in Rank Order by County)

Group 2: Screened Sites (by County).

Group 1 is composed of sites which have been scored, based on a risk assessment model on a scale of 10-2000 by the Michigan Site Assessment System (SAS) (MDNR 1986a). Group 2 are sites screened by the Michigan Site Assessment System, but not scored by the detailed risk assessment model. Screening scores range from one to 15.

TABLE 5.1.6. MASTE DISPOSAL SITES IN CLINTON RIVER MATERSHED SECTIONS 1,3,4,5, AMD 6, MACOMB COUNTY, MICHIGAM, 1987.

******** MACONS COUNTY ********

| HAP ID HUNDER | LATITUDE | LONGITUBE | SITE NAME | TOWNSHIP | MASTE DISPOSAL TYPE | SITE STATUS |
|--|--|--|---|---|--|--|
| • | | | SECTION 1. | | | |
| MAGE | 42 34 42 | 82 47 17 | Metropolitam Beach Incin. | Harrison | Incinerator | Cl, No monitoring |
| | | ٠. | SECTION 3. | | | |
| 1904 | 42 36 19 | 82 53 37 | M-97 Landfill | Clinton | Landfill - 2 | Cl. No admitoring |
| NA05 | 42 32 49 | 82 55 12 | Fourteen Hile Rd. Site | Clinten | Landfill - 2 | Cl. No somitoring |
| 1906 | 42 34 44 | 82 57 09 | Clinton River Rd BS Area | Cliates | Landfill - 2 | C1, No monitoring |
| | | | SECTION 4. | | | |
| MA15 | 42 30 26 | 82 57 42 | | Roseville | Transfer Station | Op, No monitoring |
| MA26 | 42 32 50 | 82 58 24 | Hayes Road Site 8 | Starling Hyts | Landfill - 2 | Cl, No ammitoring |
| MA27 | 42 33 52 | 82 58 39 | So. Hacomb Disp. Auth. 6 | Sterling Hgts | Landfill - 2 | Cl, No admitoring |
| 1428 | 42 32 28 | 83 03 02 | Wiegland Disposal Inc. | Sterling Hgts | Transfer Station | |
| MA29 | 42 27 10 | 83 92 35 | City of Warren BPN Barage | Narren | Incinerator | Cl, No conitoring |
| MA30 | 42 29 01 | 82 59 07 | City of Warren Refese TS | Marren | Transfer Station | Op, No conitoring |
| WW21 | 42 30 15 | 83 02 22 | Son. Motors Tech Center | Harren | Refese Process | Op, No somitoring |
| | | | SECTION 5. | | | */ 4 |
| MA17 | 42 39 30 | 83 65 24 | A & A Landfill Inc. | Shelby | Landfill - 2 | 8p, Monitoring |
| MAIR | 42 38 64 | 83 02 57 | St. Laurence Constary | Shelby | Landfill - 2 | C1, No assistaring |
| 1017 | 42 37 41 | 83 92 23 | Utica Site | Shelby | Landfill - 2 | C1, No monitoring |
| 16A20 | 42 39 10 | E 14 25 | Madia 2 | Shelby | Landfill - 2 | C1, No conitoring |
| M21 M22 | 42 37 41 | 83 92 93 | American Logion 351 | Shelby | Landfill - 2 | C1, No conitoring |
| M23 | 42 37 50 42 38 44 | 63 62 40 63 65 12 | Racona Park SLF Malow Disposal | Shelby | Landfill - 2 | CI, No eccitoring |
| NA24 | 42 38 55 | 83 64 31 | Hacia Landfill | Shelby Shelby | Landfill - 3 Landfill - 2 | Op, Menitering C1, Menitering |
| MA25 | 42 40 02 | Z 40 Z | 6 & H Landfill | Shelby | Landfill - 2 | Cl, Menitering |
| | | | SECTION 6. | | | • |
| MA32 | 42 46 48 | 83 64 32 | So. Macoch Pisp. Auth. Site 7 | Mashington | Landfill - 2 | Cl. Monitoring |
| W22 | 42 47 13 | E3 64 61 | Malker Land Meclanation | Vashington | Landfill - 2 | Cl. Monitoring |
| MA34 | 42 46 43 | 83 92 53 | Mashington Tup Site 010 | Vashington | Landfill - 2 | Cl, Monitoring |
| MA35 | 42 46 46 | 83 64 27 | So. Macoch Bisp Auth. LF 011 | Washington | Landfill - 2 | Cl, Monitoring |
| MA34 | 42 45 55 | 83 65 65 | Stony Creek Hetro. Park | Vashington | Landfill - 2 | Cl, No monitoring |
| MAGI | 42 49 34 | 82 55 66 | Armada Tounship San. LF | Areada | Landfill - 3 | Cl, No menitoring |
| | | | | | | Cl. No monitoring |
| | | | • • | | | C1, No conitoring |
| - | | | | | | Cl. Monitoring |
| | | | | _ * | | Cl. No conitoring |
| | | | • | | | Op, No conitoring Cl. No conitoring |
| MAG3 MA10 MA11 MA12 MA13 MA14 | 42 37 54 42 45 16 42 41 02 42 44 12 42 45 57 42 49 18 | 82 49 12 82 48 56 82 54 40 82 56 55 82 57 60 82 48 15 | Rossa Huy SAFB Leneu Township Disposal Se. Hacosh Disp. Auth. 9 Ray Township Disposal Ray Township Transfer site Richand Township LF | Chesterfield Lenes Hacoob Ray Ray Richeoné | Landfill - 3 Landfill - 2 Landfill - 2 Landfill - 2 Transfer Station Landfill - 3 | C1, C1, C1, C1, |

C1 = Closed Sp = Open

TABLE 5.1.6 CONTINUED. MASTE DISPOSAL SITES IN CLINTON RIVER MATERSHED SECTIONS 4 AND 5, DAKLAMO COUNTY, MICHIGAN, 1987.

****** OAKLAND COUNTY ******

| MAP 19 MUNICER | LATITUME | LONGITURE | SITE NAME | TOMISHIP | MASTE DISPOSAL TYPE | SITE STATUS |
|-------------------|----------|----------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| | | | | | | |
| | | | SECTION 4. | | | |
| 9073 | 42 29 52 | 83 06 01 | linkness | Rovai Gat | Landfill - 2 | Cl. No senitoring |
| 00024 | 42 27 50 | 83 65 47 | liskness | Royal Bak | Landfill - 2 | Cl. No conitoring |
| 6K25 | 42 27 48 | 83 65 45 | Unknown | Reval Bat | Landfill - 2 | Cl. No conitoring |
| 00024 | 42 39 21 | 82 04 00 | Southeasters Catl. Co. Incis. | Royal Bak | Incinerator | Co. Monitoring |
| 00027 | 42 32 24 | 83 11 66 | S.E. Oakl. Co. Incim. Auth. | Troy | Transfer Station | Op. Monitoring |
| 8028 | 42 37 00 | 83 06 28 | Mortheast Landfill Inc. | Trov | Landfill - 2 | Cl. No conitoring |
| 8029 | 42 34 33 | 83 97 26 | Cleary Property | Trey | Landfill - 2 | Cl. No conitoring |
| | | | SECTION 5. | | | |
| OKO1 | 42 39 43 | 83 06 28 | S.E. Oatl. Co. Incia. Auth. San. LF | Avan | Landfill - 2 | Op, Monitoring |
| 8K02 | 42 39 48 | 83 06 37 | S.E. Oakl. Co. Incin. Auth. San. LF | Aven | Landfill - 2 | Cl. Monitoring |
| 0K92 | 42 39 53 | 83 44 28 | S.E. Datl. Co. Incin. Auth. San. LF | Aven | Landfill - 2 | Cl. Honitoring |
| DK04 | 42 38 58 | 83 11 25 | Adams Rd. Landfill | Aven | Landfill - 2 | C1, No conitoring |
| 0K 0 5 | 42 39 18 | 83 85 43 | Sandfill #2 | Aven | Landfill - 3 | Cl. No semitering |
| 0K04 | 42 39 24 | 83 06 28 | Six Star LTD | Aven | Landfill - 3 | C1, No sonitoring |
| OK87 | 42 39 15 | 83 05 45 | Sandfill Inc. #1 | Aven | Landfill - 2 | Cl, No conitoring |
| OK00 | 42 38 50 | 83 11 10 | Cardinal Landfill Corp. | Aven | Landfill - 2 | Cl, He sonitoring |
| 807 | 42 34 22 | ES 16 48 | Ankersen Resource Systems Inc. | Bloomfield | Landfill - 2 | Cl, to conitoring |
| CK10 | 42 44 02 | 83 25 17 | Dervage Disposal | Independence | | Ci, No sonitoring |
| CK11 | 42 44 01 | | Den Pewell Disposal | Independence | | C1, No conitoring |
| OK12 | 42 43 22 | 83 24 41 | Inter Lakes Auto and Truck Salvage | Independence | | C1, No sonitoring |
| K 13 | 42 43 48 | 83 16 24 | Heber Sand and Bravel | Grice | Landfill - 2 | Op, Hemitering |
| 6K14 | 42 42 33 | 83 17 ZZ | Garavaglia Disposal Co. | Orien | Landfill - 2 | C1, He sonitoring |
| CK15 | 42 42 48 | 83 14 16 | Sanicem Landfill | Ories | Landfill - 2 | C1, No conitoring |
| DK16 | 42 41 44 | 83 17 02 | Industrial Services of America | Pontiac | Landfill - 2 | C1, No conitoring |
| OK17 | 42 39 25 | 83 14 52 | Salterelli Landfill | Pentiac | Landfill - 3 | C1, Hemitering |
| EK18 | 42 41 24 | 83 17 15 | Cellior Rd. Landfill | Pontiac | Landfill - 2 | Cl. Menitoring |
| 8 K19 | 42 42 26 | 63 13 46 | Baki. Co. Rd. Comp. San. LF 82 | Pontiac | Landfill - 2 | C1, No sonitoring |
| BK20 | 42 39 52 | 83 13 37 | Northeast Lf and Sand Co. | Pontiac | Landfill - 3 | C1, No conitoring |
| OK21 | 42 38 41 | 63 12 45 | Seneral RFS and Pathological Incin. | Pontiac | Incinerator | Cl. No senitoring |
| 0K22 | 42 43 04 | 83 11 45 87 33 17 | Pentiac Contral Hfg. Refuge Plant | Pontiac Makandand | Refuse Process | Op, No conitoring |
| OK30 | 42 40 25 | 63 22 13 | Waterford San. Lf LTD | Materford | Landfill - 2 | Op, Menitering |

Cl - Closed

On a Goog

There are five Group 1 307 sites in Macomb County and twelve Group 1 307 sites in Oakland County within the Section 5 watershed (Map 6.7). In addition, there are four Group 2 307 sites in Macomb County and 21 Group 2 307 sites in Oakland County (Table 5.1.7). Twenty-one of the 42 sites were contaminated by landfills, but other sources include gasoline stations, oil and pipeline spills, industrial wastes, and salt storage. Contaminants include organics, metals, pesticides, oils, solvents, salt, and chemical manufacturing products.

Resources affected are primarily ground water and soils, but air and surface water are affected or potentially affected at some sites. Six sites are listed as having affected surface water. These sites include Hamlin Road Landfill, Great Lakes Container, Anchor Motor Freight, Sanicem, Oakland County Road Commission, and Pontiac Motor Division Truck and Coach. Site descriptions prepared by the Site Assessment Unit, Environmental Response Division, MDNR, are provided for these sites in Appendix 5.2. Twelve additional sites are listed as potentially having an effect on surface water. The extent of contamination at these sites is unknown.

Only G & H Landfill and Liquid Disposal Inc. (LDI) are CERCLA (Superfund) sites, and also have had feasibility studies or remedial actions completed or begun under CERCLA. However, the portion of the Clinton River surveyed to determine their impact on the surface water bracketed eight 307 sites including Avon Township J & L Landfill (68), G & H Landfill (01), LDI (04), Hamlin Road Landfill (06), Ryan and 23 Mile Rd (05), Sandfill Landfill No. 2 (20), Closed Hamlin Rd (42) and Sandfill Landfill No. 1 (60). The numbers in parenthesis refers to their locations shown in Map 6.7. Although recent remedial investigations by both MDNR and USEPA showed no contaminant migration into the river, these sites do contain contaminated groundwater and possible overland runoff to the Clinton River (MDNR 1986a) (Appendix 5.2).

Hazardous Waste Treatment, Storage or Disposal Sites

There are six active Storage or Disposal facilities located in the Section 5 watershed, and one active Hazardous Waste Treatment facility (Table 5.1.8, Map 6.8). One site is closed, another is partially closed, and another is proposed to be closed. All except one is regulated by the local pretreatment ordinances for toxic materials and under the NPDES permits system for cooling or stormwater discharges. The impact from these facilities on the Clinton River is not expected to be measurable.

Atmospheric Sources

The contribution of pollutants from atmospheric deposition is unknown. The only reference is a 1986 report (Kenaga and Jones, 1986) suggesting that nearby facilities (an asphalt plant, exhaust from vehicles, an open burning dump, and past incineration activities at LDI) may have contributed to contaminant levels in Clinton River sediments.

Table 5.1.7

MICHIGAN SITES OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONTAMINATION IN SECTION 1 - THE MAIN BRANCH CLINTON RIVER FROM THE SPILLWAY TO THE MOUTH

| County | SAS Screen & Date Screened | Map Code Number | Common Site Name & Location Code & Township | Source of Contamination | Point of Release | Pollutant | Resource Affected | Resource Potentially Affected | Latitude | Longitude |
|---------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|---|----------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------|-----------|
| GROUP 1 | | | | | - | ** | | | | • |
| Haconb | 0750 07-30-86 | 03 | Clinton River Mt. Clemens to Mouth Harrison | Unknowa | Unknown | Chrome Lead Oil & Grease | Sediment Surface Water | Fauna Flora | 42 35 48 | 82 49 43 |
| Macomb | 0891 07-30-86 | 12 | Selfridge ANG Base 50-02N-14K-179F Harrison | National Security | Landfill | Copper Volatile Organics | Groundwater Soil | Surface Water Air | 42 36 18 | 82 48 45 |
| GROUP 2 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Macomb | 08 | 28 | NI Industries/Hirrex 50-02N-13E-10 Clinton | Paint Products | Rarrel Surface Discharge | Paint Waste | Air Soil | Groundwater | 42 35 55 | 82 52 53 |

Table 5.1.7 continued

MICHIGAN SITES OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONTAMINATION IN CLINTON RIVER SECTIONS 2 AND 3, THE SPILLWAY AND THE MAIN BRANCH OF THE CLINTON RIVER BETWEEN RED RUN AND THE SPILLWAY, RESPECTIVELY

| County | BAS Screen & Date Screened | Map Code Number | Common Site Name & Location Code & Township | Source of Contamination | Point of Release | Pollutant | Resource Affected | Resource Potentially Affected | Latitude | Longitude |
|----------------------|----------------------------------|--|---|----------------------------|---------------------|------------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|--|-----------|
| SECTION 2 Group 2 | | | | | | | | | ······································ | |
| Macomb | 07 11-01-84 | 36 | John March Gas Sta 16 Mile & Gratiot 50-02N-13E-27Am | Gas Station | Underground Tank | Benzene Toluene Xylene | Groundwater | | 42 34 09 | 82 53 33 |
| | | | Clinton | | | | $\mathbb{R}^{\frac{1}{2}} = \mathbb{R}^{\frac{1}{2}} \times \mathbb{R}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ | | | |
| SECTION 3 Group 2 | | e de la companya de l | | | | | | | | |
| Hacomb | 05 10-11-84 | 41 | Clinton Piver Rd Disp Area 50-02N-13E-19AD Clinton | Lendfill | Landfill | Pheno1s | | Groundwater to Soil | 42 34 45 | 82 57 16 |

Table 5.1.7 continued

MICHIGAN SITES OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONTAMINATION IN CLINTON RIVER SECTION 4 WATERSHED

| County | SAS Screen 6 Date Screened | Map Code Number | Common Site Name & Location Code & Township | Source of Contamination | Point of Release | Pollutant | Resource Affected | Resource Potentially Affected | Latitude | Longitude |
|---------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|----------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------|---|----------|-----------|
| GROUP 1 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Macomb | 0815 01-10-85 | 02 | Red Run Drain LF 50-07N-12E-25A Sterling Heights | Landfill | Landfill Unknown | Heavy Metals, Toluene, | Surface Water | | 42 33 03 | 82 59 18 |
| | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | Benzene | | | 100 | |
| GROUP 2 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Macomb | 06 09-04-84 | 40 | Tuff Kote Dinol, Inc. 50-01N-12E-12CC Warren | Unknown | Unknown | Light In- dustrial | | Groundwater, Air | 42 30 32 | 82 59 09 |
| Macomb | 06 08-13-86 | 39 | Pini Piniah Prod. 50-01N-12E-29AA Warren | Plating, Polishing | Surface Discharge | Chrome, Cyanide | Surface Water Soil | | 42 28 35 | 83 02 49 |
| Macomb | 07 09-26-86 | 32 | GE Carboloy 50-01N-12E-34DC Warren | Electronic Component | Underground Tank | Acetone | Groundwater | | 42 27 01 | 83 00 54 |
| Macomb | 07 09-27-86 | 33 | Koch Rd Dump 50-02N-12E-32BD Sterling Heights | Unknown | Landfill, Barrel | Heavy Mfg | | Surface Water, Groundwater, Soil | 42 32 41 | ₱3 03 40 |
| Macomb | 07 08-13-86 | 30 | Clark Gas Station 50-01N-12E-05DA Warren | Gas Station | Underground Tank | Gasoline | Groundwater, Soil | | 42 31 33 | 83 03 01 |
| Macomb | 07 09-30-87 | 48 | Amoco Station #5414 50-02N-12E-34BB Sterling Heights | Gas Station | Pipeline | Toluene, Benzene, Xylene | Groundwater, Soil | | 42 32 56 | 83 01 42 |

Section 4 Continued (Table 5.1.7)

| County | SAS Screen & Date Screened | Map Code Number | Common Site Name 6 Location Code 6 Township | Source of Contamination | Point of Release | Pollutant | Resource Affected | Resource Potentially Affected | Latitude | Longitude |
|-----------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|--|----------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------------|----------|-----------------|
| GROUP 2 C | CONTINUED | | | | | | | | | |
| Macomb | 07 08-17-87 | 47 | Nobil Station 12 Mile & Ryan 50-01N-12E-08CC Warren | Gas Station | Underground Tank | Petroleum | Groundwater, Soil | | 42 30 16 | 83 04 02 |
| Macomb | 06 08-6-8 7 | 45 | Mobil Station O4LCW 50-02N-12E-35CC Sterling Heights | Gas Station | Underground Tank | Petroleum | Groundwater, Soil | | 42 32 19 | 83 00 16 |
| Oakland . | 03 10-11-84 | 67 | Old Fons Sanitary LF 63-02N-11E-01BC Troy | Landfill | Lendfill | Ammonta | | Surface Water, Groundwater | 42 37 02 | 83 06 24 |
| Oakland | 05 08- 15-86 | , se 62 | Ethyl Corp 63-01N-11E-33CD Ferndale | Petro Refining | Pit | Chem Prod Mfg | | Groundwater, Soil | 42 26 52 | 83 08 20 |
| Oakland | 07 09-27-86 | 55 | Howard Plating 63-01N-11E-01AA Royal Oak | Plating, Polishing | Surface Discharge | Cvanide, Heavy Metals | Groundwater, Soll | | 42 31 58 | 83 05 24 |
| Oakland | 07 10-11-84 | 54 | Davis Mfg Clawson 63-02N-11E-34BC Troy | Unknown | | TCF | Soil | | 42 32 33 | 83 08 39 |
| Oakland | 07 08-17-87 | 72 | Howard Gas & Go 63-01N-11E-01 Royal Oak | Unknown | Underground Tank | Gasoline | Groundwater, Soll | | 42 29 10 | 83 05 30 |

The common site name is for identification only and is not necessarily a party responsible for contamination.

Table 5.1.7 continued

MICHIGAN SITES OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONTAMINATION IN CLINTON RIVER SECTION 5 WATERSHED

| County | SAS Screen & Date Screened | Hep Code Humber | Common Site Name & Location Code & Township | Source of Contamination | Point of Release | Pollutant | Resource Affected | Resource Potentially Affected | Latitude | Longitude |
|---------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|--|----------------------------|--------------------------------|---|---|--|---------------|-----------|
| GROUP 1 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Macomb | 1085 01-20-87 | 01 | G & H Landfill 50-03N-12E-19AA Shelby | Lendfill | Landf111 | PCBs, Phthalates Benzenes, | Groundwater, Fauna, Flora, Soil | Sediment, Surface Water | 42 40 02 F | 83 04 33 |
| | | | | | | Chromium, Cyanide, Solvents | | | | |
| Hacomb | 0676 10-10-84 | 04 | Liquid Disposal Inc. 50-03M-12E-30AA Shelby | Haz Waste Facility | Lagoon, Underground Tank | PCBs, TCE, PCE, Phthalates | Groundwater, Air | Surface Water, Sediment, Soil | 42 39 09 | 83 04 28 |
| Nacomb | 0668 10-08-85 | 05 | Ryan 6 23 Mile Rd 50-03N-12E-19CD Shelby | Unknown | Unknown | TCE, Toluene, Xylene, Vinylidene, Chloride | Groundwater, Res. Well | | 42 39 59 | 83 04 24 |
| Hacomb | 0503 10-11-84 | 06 | Closed Hamlin Rd Landfill Fast 50-03N-12E-19CD | Landfill | Landfill | Methylene Chloride, Dichloro- | Surface Water | Surface Water, Sediment, | 42 39 10 | 83 04 31 |
| | | | Shelby | | | propane, Chlorobenzen | • | Groundwater, Air | | • |
| Macomb | 0464 08-08-85 | 08 | Res. Wells Cedargrove 50-03N-12E-20AB Shelby | Unknown | Unknown | Dichloro- ethane | Groundwater | Res. Well | 42 40 02 | 83 03 41 |
| Oakland | 0934 08-26-86 | 13 | Great Lakes Container Corp. 63-03N-10E-08AA | Barrel Reclaiming | Barrel, Landfill | Dieldrin, Lead, Carbon | Surface Water Sediment, Groundwater | , Air, Res. Well | 42 41 25 | 83 17 42 |
| | | | Pontiac | | | Tetrachlor, Cadmium, Nickel | | | | |

Section 5 Continued (Table 5.1.7)

| County | SAS Screen 4 Date Screened | Map Code Number | Common Site Name Location Code Township | Source of Contamination | Point of Release | Pollutant | Resource Affected | Resource Potentially Affected | Latitude | Longitude |
|-----------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|---|----------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|----------|-----------|
| GROUP 1 (| CONTINUED | | | | | | | | | |
| Oakland | 0783 01-26-87 | 26 | Anchor Motor Freight 63-03N-10E-16BA Pontiac | Truck Transport | Surface Discharge | Oil, Solvents, Diesel Fuel | Surface Water Groundwater, Air, Soil, Wetland | , Fauna, Plora | 42 40 20 | 83 17 00 |
| Oakland | 0758 09-27-86 | 14 | Res Wells Sashabaw Road Area 63-04N-09E-34DR | Unknown | Unknova | Benzene, Toluene, Xylene, Dichloro- ethane | Groundwater, Soil, Res. Well | | 42 42 40 | R3 22 33 |
| Oakland | 0699 10-11-84 | 15 | Cardinal Land Corp Veterans 63-03N-11E-29BC-BD Avon | Landfill | Unknown | Chromium, Manganese | Res. Well | Surface Water | 42 38 50 | 83 11 10 |
| Oakland | 0680 10-08-85 | 16 | Sanicem LF J Pons Co 63-03N-10E-02AA Pontiac | Landfill | Landfill | PCBs, Zinc | Surface Water Groundwater | | 42 47 72 | 83 14 17 |
| Oakland | 0668 09-18-84 | 17 | Bald Mountain Rec Area 63-04N-10E-22RB Orion | Landfill | Waste Pile, Geologic Fm, Barrel | | Soil | Surface Water, Sediment, Groundwater, | 42 44 30 | 83 15 30 |
| | ing. | • • • | | | | Dichloroetha | ne | Res. Well | | |
| Oakland | 0638 10-08-84 | 18 | Industrial Services of America 63-03N-10E-04CA Pontiac | Landfill | Landfill | Phenols, Naphthalene, Chloroethane | | Surface Water, Groundwater | 42 41 44 | 83 17 02 |

Section 5 Continued (Table 5.1.7)

| County | BAS Screen & Date Screened | Map Code Number | Common Site Name 6 Location Code 6 Township | Source of Contamination | Point of Release | Pollutant | Resource Affected | Resource Potentially Affected | Latitude | Longitude |
|-----------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|----------------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------|--|----------|---------------------------------------|
| GROUP 1 C | ONTINUED | | | | | | , | | | · · |
| Oakland | 0588 08-23-85 | 19 | Collier Rd LF Pontiac 63-03N-10E-09B | Landf111 | Landfill | Phenol, Dichloro- | Groundwater | Res. Well, Wetland, | 47 41 24 | 83 17 15 |
| | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | Pontiac | | | ethane, Chloro- ethane | | Sediment | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| Oakland | 0578 10-10-84 | 20 | Sandfill LF No 2 63-03N-11E-24DD Avon | Landfill | Landfill | Chem Prod Mfg, Domestic | | Groundwater, Res. Well | 42 39 16 | R3 05 40 |
| | | | • | | 4.4 | Comm | | | | |
| Oakland | 0574 08-22-85 | 21 | Christianson & Adams Road Dumps 63-03H-11E-29BB Avon | Landfill | Landfill | Chromium, Lead, Zinc | Soil . | Surface Water, Groundwater, Wetland | 42 38 5R | 83 11 25 |
| Oakland | 0510 01-29-85 | 23 | Lanthier Foundry and Machine 63-05N-10E-22DD | Iron Steel Foundry | Surface Discharge | Perchloro- ethylene | Soil, Groundwater | | 42 49 35 | 83 15 35 |
| Oakland | 0413 08-22-85 | 25 | House of Imports 63-03N-11E-02CC Avon | Misc Metal Product | Lagoon | Chromium, Oil, Grease | Soil | Groundwater | 42 41 51 | 83 07 51 |
| GROUP 2 | | • | | | | | | | | |
| Hacomb | 07 10-10-84 | 29 | Carolee St Area 50-03N-12E-22 Shelby | Salt Storage | Unknown | Salt, Chloride | Groundwater | Surface Water, Soil Res. Well | 42 39 44 | 83 01 29 |

| County | SAS Screen & Date Screened | Map Code Number | Common Site Name & Location Code & Township | Source of Contamination | | Point of Release | Pollutant | Resource Affected | Resource Potentially Affected | Latitude | f.ongitude |
|---------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|--|----------------------------|------|--|---|-------------------------------------|---|----------|------------|
| GROUP 2 | CONTINUED | | | | , | | • | | | | |
| Macomb | 07 10-10-84 | 34 | Ramona Park LF 50-03N-12E-33CA She1by | Landfill | | Landfill | Phenols | Surface Water | Water, Sediment, Groundwater, | 47 37 54 | 83 02 41 |
| Macomb | 07 01-27-86 | 37 | Walker Land Reclamation 50-04N-12E-05DC Washington | Landfill | | Lagoon, Barrel | Chemical Products Mfg | | Res. Well Soil, Groundwater | 42 47 13 | 83 04 01 |
| Macomb | 05 10-10-84 | 42 | Closed Hamlin Rd Landfill West 50-03N-12E-19DC Shelby | Landfill | | Landfill | Light Industrial | | Groundwater, Municipal Well, Res. Well | 42 39 10 | 83 04 50 |
| Macomb | 05 10-10-84 | 43 | Utica Site Cardinal Land Corp. 50-03N-12E-33DC Shelby | Landfill | • | Landfill | Light Industrial | Tagadi sa Santa | Surface Water, Groundwater | 42 37 41 | 83 02 23 |
| Oakland | 08 08-01-86 | | Kayo Oil Co. 63-03N-10E-31AD Pontiac | Gas Station | | Underground Tank | Renzene, Ethylbenzene, Toluene, Xylene | Groundwater | | 42 37 47 | 83 18 21 |
| Oakland | 08 10-11-84 | 50 | Kingston Development 63-03N-11E-24DC Avon | Auto Hfg. | | Landfill | Comm., | Groundwater, Residential Well | Surface Water | 42 39 18 | #3 06 01 |
| Oakland | 08 09-26-86 | 51 | Michigan Dust Control 63-03N-10E-17AR Pontiac | Oil Storage | uk n | Aboveground Tank, Surface Discharge | 011 | | Groundwater | 42 40 18 | 83 17 52 |

8

Section 5 Continued (Table 5.1.7)

| County | SAS Screen & Date Screened | Map Code Mumber | Common Site Name & Location Code & Township | Source of Contamination | Point of Release | Pollutant | Resource P Affected | Resource otentially Affected | l.atitude | Longitude |
|-----------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|---|----------------------------|---------------------|--|---|------------------------------------|-----------|------------------|
| GROUP 2 (| CONTINUED | | | | | | | | | |
| Oakland | 08 08-19-85 | 52 | Oakland Co. Rd Coum. Dixie Lake 63-04N-08E-03DC Springfield | Salt Storage | Pile | Sodium Chloride | Surface Water, Groundwater, Res. Well | Soil, Wetland | 42 46 31 | 83 29 57 |
| Oakland | 08 10-03-84 | 53 | Pontiac CMC Truck and Coach Division 63-02N-10E-03AA Pontiac | Auto Mfg. | Barrel, Landfill | PCBs, Cyanide | Surface Water, Soil | Groundwater, Air, Res. Well | 42 43 04 | 83 11 45 |
| Oakland | 07 10-11-84 | 58 | Oakland Co. Rd Comm Sanitary LF 63-03N-10E-01BB Pontiac | Landfill | Barrel, Landfill | Domestic Comm., Light Industrial | | Groundwater, Soll | 42 42 26 | 83 13 48 |
| Oakland | 07 08-20-85 | 59 | Stans Trucking LF 63-03N-11E-24C Avon | Lendfill | Lendfill | Phenols, Chromium, Lead, Zinc, Copper, li- Dichloro- ethane | Groundwater | So11 | 42 39 24 | 8 3 06 28 |
| Oakland | 06 08-25-86 | 68 | Avon Twp J & L 63-03N-11E-24DD Avon | Landfill | Landfill1 | Copper, Chromium | | Surface Water, Groundwater | 42 39 17 | 83 05 43 |
| Oakland | 06 09-23-85 | 60 | Sandfill LF No 1 63-03N-11E-24DD Avon | Landfill | Landfill | Heavy Mfg. | | Groundwater, Res. Well | 42 39 19 | R3 05 45 |

Section 5 Continued (Table 5.1.7)

| County | SAS Screen & Date Screened | Map Code Number | Common Site Name & Location Code & Township | Source of Contamination | Point of Release | Pollutant | Resource Affected | Resource Potentially Affected | Latitude | Longitude |
|---------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|---|----------------------------|----------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------|--|----------|-----------|
| GROUP 2 | CONTINUED | | | | | | | | | |
| Oakland | 05 07- 31-86 | 61 | Angelos Asphalt Materials 63-03N-11E-29AA Avon | Asphalt Roofing Prod. | Surface Discharge | 011 | Sof1 | Groundwater, Res. Well | 42 38 59 | 83 08 20 |
| Oakland | 05 | 63 | Oakland Co. Rd. Comm. Lake Orion 11-09-83 63-04N-10E-14AB Orion | Salt Storage | Salt Pile | Chloride | | Surface Water, Groundwater, Res. Well | 42 45 57 | 83 14 31 |
| Oakland | 05 09- 27 -8 6 | 64 | Pontiac Steel 63-04N-08E-14BD Springfield | Metal Processing | Unknovn | Heavy Mfg. | Groundwater . | | 42 45 00 | 83 28 49 |
| Oakland | 04 09-26-84 | 65 | Buckeye Pipeline 63-04N-09E-19BC Independence | Pipeline | Pipeline | Chem Prod Mfg | Soil | Groundwater | 42 44 28 | 83 26 42 |
| Oakland | 04 10-14-85 | 66 | Clarkston Rd Area 63-04N-09E-21BD Independence | Landfill | Unknown | Domestic Comm | | Groundwater, Res. Well | 42 44 34 | 83 24 12 |
| Oakland | 07 07-31-86 | 56 | Northpoint Office Bldg. 63-02N-10E-05DC Bloomfield | Gas Station | Underground Tank | Benzene, Toluene, Xylene | Groundwater, Soil | | 42 36 37 | 83 17 2K |
| Oakland | 07 08-13-86 | 57 | Nu Kar Products 63-03N-09E-13BD Waterford | Metal Coating | Surface Discharge | Chem Prod | Soil | Groundwater | 42 40 12 | 83 20 23 |

Section 5 Continued (Table 5.1.7)

| County | SAS Screen & Date Screened | Map Code Number | Common Site Name 6 Location Code 6 Township | Source of Contamination | Point of Release | Pollutant | Resource Affected | Resource Potentially Affected | lat i tude | Longitude |
|-----------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|---|----------------------------|---------------------|--|---------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------|-----------|
| GROUP 2 (| CONTINUED | | | | | | | | | |
| Oakland | 07 08-16-87 | . 69 | Res. Well Conley Lake Rd 63-03N-09E-28DC Waterford | Unknown | Unknown | Toluene, Xylene, Benzene, Dichloro- ethane | Groundwater | Res. Well | 42 38 19 | 83 21 41 |
| Oakland | 11 08-11-87 | 70 | Res. Wells Maybee & Sashabow Rd 63-04N-09E-34AB Independence | Unknown | Unknown | Benzene, Xylene, Ethyl- benzene | Groundwater, Res. Well | | 42 43 15 | 83 22 25 |
| Oakland | 08 08-16-87 | 71 | Vinewood St. 63-04N-10E-09AB Pontiec | Unknown | Unknown | Flourotri- chloro- methane | Groundwater, Res. Well | • | 42 46 47 | 83 17 01 |
| Oakland | 07 08-10-87 | 73 | Total Gas Station Rochester & Tienken Rd. 63-04N-11E-11BB Avon | Gas Station | Unknown | Petroleum | Groundwater, Soil | | 42 41 53 | 83 07 56 |
| Oakland | 07 9-29-87 | 74 | Buy-Rite Ser. Stat. 63-03N-10E-23CC Pontiac | Gas Station | Underground Tenk | Benzene, Toluene, Xvlene | Groundwater, Soil | | 42 38 25 | 83 14 51 |
| Oakland | 07 10-1-87 | 75 | Kenneth Rd. LF 63-03N-10E-18BC Pontiac | Landfill | Landfill | Heavy Mfg. Waste | | Surface Water, Ground- water | 42 40 48 | 83 18 11 |

The common site name is for identification only and is not necessarily a party responsible for contamination.

Table 5.1.7 continued

MICHIGAN SITES OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONTAMINATION IN CLINTON RIVER/SECTION 6 WATERSHED

| County | SAS Screen & Date Screened | Map Code Number | Common Site Name 6 Location Code 6 Township | Source of Contamination | Point of Release | Pollutant | Resource Affected | Resource Potentially Affected | Latitude | Longitude |
|---------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|--|----------------------------|---------------------|--|---|-------------------------------------|----------|-----------|
| GROUP 1 | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | | | |
| Macomb | 0428 01-28-85 | 09 | South Macomb Disp 9 and 9A, 50-03N-13E-15BC Macomb | Landfill | Landfill | Methyl Ethyl Ketone, Ethyl Ether Styrene | Groundwater, Soil, Air, Res. Well | Surface Water | 42 41 02 | 82 54 40 |
| Hacomb | 0392 01-21-87 | 07 | Res. Well Card Rd 50-03N-13E-15DA Macomb | Unknown | Unknown | Renzene | Groundwater, Res. Well | | 42 40 33 | 82 54 03 |
| Macomb | 0232 08-08-85 | 11 | Res. Well Foss Rd 50-03N-13E-10DC Macomb | Unknown | Unknown | Tetra- chloro- ethylene | Groundwater, Res. Well | | 42 41 21 | 82 54 23 |
| GROUP 2 | | | | | | | | | | |
| Macomb | 07 10-10-84 | 35 | Res. Wells 32 Mile Rd 50-05N-13E-33 Armada | Oil Drilling | Geologic Fm | Brine, Chlorides | Groundwater | | 42 48 47 | 82 55 54 |
| Macomb | 07 01-22-86 | 38 | Washington Twp Sec 8 Landfills 50-04N-12E-09 Washington | Landfill | Landfill | Lead, Chromfum, Cadmium, Zinc, Iron, Nickel, | Groundwater | Surface Water, Soil | 42 46 53 | 83 03 59 |

Section 6 Continued (Table 5.1.7)

| County | SAS Screen & Date Screened | Hap Code Number | Common Site Name & Location Code & Township | Source of Contamination | | Point of Release | Pollutant | Resource Affected | Resource Potentially Affected | Latitude | Longitude |
|--------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|--|----------------------------|---|-----------------------------|---|----------------------|-------------------------------------|----------|-----------|
| Macomb | 08 08-01-85 | 27 | Mt. Clemens Coatings and Plastics 50-02N-13E-02DC Clinton | Auto Mfg | • | Pit, Underground Tank | PCB, Phthalates, Methyl- Ethyl | Surface Water | r Sediment, Groundwater | 42 36 57 | 82 53 47 |
| · · | | | | | | | Ketone, Tetrahydro- furan | | | | |

The common site name is for identification only and is not necessarily a party responsible for contamination.

TABLE 5.1.8 ACTIVE HAZARDOUS WASTE TREATMENT, STORAGE, OR DISPOSAL FACILITIES WITHIN THE CLINTON RIVER WATERSHED BY RIVER SECTION

| | Section Number | | | | | 100 mg (100 mg) | Ту | pe of | Fac il | 1ty | | | | RCRA | State |
|------------------|---|-----|-------|-----------|-------------------------------------|------------------------|----|-------|----------|--------|---------------------|-------------|-------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Map ID Number | Pacility Name EPA ID Number | Lat | itude | Longitude | Street Address City | Date Notified | Ť | S | n | I C | Part A Date | P A S | N O N | Pmt. Sta- tus | Pmt. Sta- tus |
| HWOI | Section 1 Safety Kleen Corp. MID098673890 | 47 | 37 17 | 82 52 56 | 44043 N. Grossbeck H Mt. Clemens | lwy 85/04/03 | | x | | | Closure Proposed | | | CPR | NI |
| HWO2 | Section 1 Selfridge Air National Guard (SANG) MID099113128 | 42 | 36 17 | 82 49 23 | Detachment 1/DDE Mt. Clemens | 80/08/18 | • | X | | | 80/11/18 | l | | NCI . | N1 |
| HW03 | Section 3 US Chemical Co., Inc. MID003523355 | 42 | 30 30 | 82 57 30 | 29163 Callaban Rd Roseville | 80/09/03 | x | X | | | 80/10/21 | 1 | | Ī | NT |
| HW04 | Section 4 Ford Motor Company Sterling Arle Plant MID044255420 | 42 | 35 00 | 83 02 50 | 3900 Hound Road Sterling Heights | 80/08/18 | X | x | x | | Closure Proposed | | | NCI | NI |
| HW05 | Section 4 Vickers, Inc. MID001722552 | 42 | 32 34 | 83 10 36 | 1401 Crooks Rd. Trov | 80/08/18 | | x | | | Closure Proposed | | | NCI | NI |
| HW06 | Section 4 BASP Wyandotte Corp. MID057007478 | 42 | 32 55 | 83 08 05 | 1700 Blaney Dr. Trov | 80/08/12 | | X | | | 80/11/19 | | | NC1 | NI |
| HW07 | Section 4 DuPont E I De Nemours MID099124349 | 42 | 32 30 | 83 07 03 | 945 Stephenson Hwy. Troy | 80/08/11 #Wegiter 9 | | x | | | 80/11/18 | | | NCI | NT |

Table 5.1.8 continued

| | | | | | | Typ | e of | Fac (1 | <u>ity</u> | | | | RCRA | State |
|------------------|---|----------|------------|----------------------------------|------------------|-----|------------|--------|------------|--------------------|--------|-------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Map ID Number | Section Number Facility Name EPA ID Number | Latitude | l.ong1tude | Street Address City | Date Not1fied | • т | 8 | D | i C | Part A Nate | A S | N O N | Pmt. Sta- tus | Pmt. Sta- tus |
| ньов | Section 4 Gage Products Company HID005338801 | 42 27 19 | 83 06 56 | 625 Wanda Ave Ferndale | | | ¥ | | | 85/09/22 | 1 | | AUR | Nī |
| HWO9 | Section 4 Reichhold Chemicals, Inc. MIN020087128 | 42 28 00 | 83 08 00 | 601 Woodward Heights Perndale | 80/08/12 | | · x | X | | 80/11/11 | | | Mī | NI |
| BMIO | Section 4 GMC Technical Center MID050615996 | 42 30 40 | 83 02 50 | 30800 Mound Road Warren | 80/08/11 | | , x | | | 80/11/17 | 1 | | AUR | NI |
| HWII | Section 4 US Army Tank Automotive Command MID210022701 | 42 29 44 | 83 22 12 | 6501 E Il Mile Rd Warren | 80/08/11 | | * X | | | Partial Closure | | | NT | Nī |
| HWI 2 | Section 4 OMI Int'l Corp. Udylite Sel-Rex MID056717747 | 42 27 15 | 83 00 38 | 21441 Hoover Rd Warren | 80/08/18 | | x | | | 80/11/07 | 1 | | ATIR | NI |
| HW13 | Section 4 Amchem Products, Inc. MID005362223 | 42 28 00 | 83 02 20 | 23343 Sherwood Warren | 80/08/07 | | x | | | 80/11/13 | I | | NCT | · NI |
| HWI 4 | Section 4 General Electric Co MID044254423 | 42 27 02 | 83 00 46 | 11177 E. 8 Mile Rd Warren | 80/08/18 | | × | | | 80/11/19 | t | | NCI | NT . |

Table 5.1.8 continued

| | | | | | | Тур | e of | Fac11 | lity | | P | N | RCRA Pmt. | State Pmt. |
|------------------|---|----------|-----------|---|------------------|----------|----------|-------|--------|---------------------|--------|--------|--------------|---------------|
| Map ID Number | Section Number Facility Name EPA ID Number | Latitude | Longitude | Street Address City | Date Notified | T | S | D . | i c | Part A Date | A S | O N | Sta- tus | Sta- tus |
| HW15 | Section 4 MacDermid Incorp MID005338371 | 42 27 17 | 83 08 09 | 1221 Farrow St Ferndale | 80/08/18 | | x | | | 80/11/07 | | | NCI | NI |
| HW16 | Section 4 Parker Chem Co HTD057676124 | 42 31 58 | 83 07 15 | 32100 Stephenson Huv Madison Heights | 80/08/18 | | x | | | Closure Proposed | 1 | | NCT | Nī |
| HW1 7 | Section 5 GMC CPC - Fiero Assembly MID005356910 | 42 39 41 | 83 17 40 | 900 Baldwin Ave Pontiac | 80/08/12 | | x | | | 80/11/19 | 1 | | CPR | Nī |
| HW18 | Section 5 GMC Pontiac Motor Div. MID005356886 | 42 38 02 | 83 17 05 | One Pontiac Plaza Pontiac | 80/08/12 | | X | | | 80/11/19 | 1 | | NCT | NI |
| HW19 | Section 5 GMC Truck & Coach Div. Pontiac West MID980568836 | 42 37 10 | 83 17 15 | 275 Franklin St Pontiac | 80/08/14 | | x | | | 80/11/17 | 1 | | AUR | NI |
| HW20 | Section 5 GMC Truck & Coach Div. Pontiac East MID005356902 | 42 36 58 | 83 15 33 | 660 S. Boulevard E Pontiac | 80/08/13 | x | X | | | Partial Closure | 1 | | AUR | NI |
| HW2 1 | Section 5 GMC Whg & Distribution Division MID056331289 | 42 40 31 | 83 19 00 | 1251 Joslyn Rd Pontiac | 80/08/13 | | | | | Closed | 1 | | NCI | NT |

Table 5.1.8 continued

| | Section Number | | | | • | Тур | e of | Faci | l1tv U | | . p | N | RCRA Pmt. | State Pmt. |
|------------------|--|----------|------------------|---|------------------|-----|----------|------------|-----------|---------------------|------------|--------|--------------|---------------|
| Map ID Number | Facility Name EPA ID Number | Latitude | Longitude | Street Address City | Date Notified | т | S | D | I C | Part A Date | A | 0 N | Sta- tus | Sta- tus |
| HW22 | Section 5 Safety Kleen Corp 4-055-02 | 42 37 29 | 83 18 54 | 751 Orchard Lake Rd Pontiac | 80/08/18 | | × | | | Closure Proposed | | | NT | NI |
| HW23 | MID000722686 Section 5 CMC Wgh & Dist. Div. Drayton Plains | 42 41 35 | 83 23 46 | 5260 Williams Lake Rd Drayton Plains | 80/08/18 | | x | | | 80/11/18 | | | NCI | NI. |
| HW24 | MID003912920 Section 6 GMC GMAD Lake Orion Twp MID000718544 | 42 43 10 | 8 3 15 13 | 4555 Giddings Rd Lake Orion | 80/08/18 | x | x | | | 80/11/19 | | | NCT | NI |
| HW25 | Section 6 Ford Motor Company Romeo Tractor Plant MTD078400165 | 42 48 21 | 82 59 43 | 701 E 32 Mile Rd Romeo | 80/08/15 | x | x | · x | | Closure Proposed | 1 | | CPR | NI |

Code Key:

T - Treatment Facility

S - Storage Facility

D - Disposal Facility

UIC - Underground Injection Facility

PAS - Part A Status

Part A Date - Date Facility/Installation submitted Part A

Part A Facility Status Indicator

1 - Existing

2 - New

3 - Closed

RCPA and State Permit Status:

I - Permit Issued

AUR - Application Under Review

CI - Application Called In Not Yet Received

NCI - Application Not Called in to Date

CPR - Closure Plan Under Review

PR - Permit Revoked

PD - Permit Denied

NI - Not Issued

5.2 SECTION 4 - RED RUN AND ITS TRIBUTARIES

5.2.1 Point Sources

In Section 4 of the Clinton River watershed (Red Run), there are 10 continuous direct industrial dischargers, two intermittent direct industrial dischargers (GM Tech Center and Borg Warner), one continuous direct municipal discharge (Warren WWTP), and one intermittent municipal direct discharge (Southeast Oakland County Sewage Disposal System/Pollution Control Facility - SOCSDS/PCF) (Table 5.1.1) (Map 6.5). Of these, only the Warren WWTP is considered by the MDNR as a major discharger.

5.2.1.1 Continuous Industrial Dischargers

Flow, outfall type, and parameters limited or monitored for each discharger are shown in Table 5.1.1. Effluent limits are found in Appendix 5.1.

All industrial facilities discharge non-contact cooling water. Ford Motor Company-Sterling Axle Plant, and Chrysler/Volkswagen discharge the greatest amounts, 8.5 and 3.5 mgd respectively. General Electric Carboloy Systems also discharges 0.664 mgd noncontact cooling water. All others discharge 0.1 mgd or less.

In addition to noncontact cooling water, Schenck Treble discharges 0.00017 mgd cooling tower blowdown water, Union Carbide discharges 0.05 mgd holding pond lime slurry water, and Chrysler/Volkswagen discharges some coal storage runoff with its non-contact cooling water from outfall 001.

5.2.1.2 Intermittent Industrial Dischargers

Undetermined amounts of permitted stormwater are intermittently discharged from General Electric, Big Beaver Specialties, Chrysler/Volkswagen, Borg Warner, Ford Motor Company, and the G.M. Tech Center.

5.2.1.3 Continuous Municipal Discharges

The only continuous point source municipal discharge to Section 4 of the Clinton River (Red Run) is the Warren WWTP. This facility is a publicly owned tertiary wastewater treatment system with a design capacity of 60 mgd, but the annual average flow is 31 mgd. The plant serves a separated collection system with two main interceptors and one remote lift station. About 25% of the plant inflow is non-domestic wastewater.

Incoming wastewater flows through a bar screen before entering seven raw sewage pumps. Under most conditions, one or two pumps are used at a time. The screened wastewater is pumped to three grit chambers. Normally, only two grit chambers are used, the third discharges to a 50 million gallon raw sewage retention/equalizer basin and is used only during high flow periods.

Primary clarification is performed in eight rectangular settling tanks. Secondary treatment and nitrification is accomplished by the single state

activated sludge process in six aeration tanks. Ferric chloride is added to the discharge from the aeration tanks for phosphorus removal. A polymer may also be added at this point. Secondary clarification is performed in eight circular settling tanks. All eight are routinely used but only six are needed to treat dry weather flows. Tertiary treatment is provided by twelve high rate, mixed media filters. Filter backwash water (chlorinated effluent) is recycled to the head of the aeration tanks. Tertiary effluent is chlorinated and discharged to Red Run via outfall 001.

Waste activated sludge (WAS) is thickened by one of three air-flotation units. Thickened WAS is stored and then combined with primary sludge and vacuum filtered. A cationic polymer is added as a filtering aid. The filtered sludge is incinerated. The ash is sluiced to an ash lagoon. Air flotation underflow, vacuum filter filtrate and ash pond effluent are all discharged to the influent.

Warren has permit limits for several standard conventional parameters and monitoring requirements for five heavy metals and cyanide one to seven times per week (Appendix 5.1, Table 5.2.0). Loadings of conventional pollutants from all dischargers except Warren and SOCSDS are minimal. Loadings based on Monthly Operating Reports (MORs) between 1982 and 1986 for selected water quality parameters from the Warren WWTP are presented in Table 5.2.1.

Wastewater monitoring of the Warren WWTP was performed during one twenty-four hour survey period starting May 18, 1986 to determine facility compliance with water discharge regulations (Stone, 1987c). Survey results were compared to the Final National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) permit limits and monthly operating reports. The Warren WWTP was in compliance with its limits. The effluent was also analyzed for other parameters not limited by their permit including metals, organics and nutrients. Loadings are shown in Table 5.2.0 (point source survey) and 5.2.1. (MOR's).

Table 5.2.1 indicates that flow remained constant with an average of 117,347 m³/d (31 million gallons per day) ranging from an average of 105,991 m³/d (28 million gallons per day) in 1984 to 120,754 m³/d (31.9 million gallons per day) in 1985.

Annual average suspended solids loadings were lowest in 1982 - 33,619 kg (74,117 pounds) and highest in 1986 - 55,714 kg (122,829 pounds) with a mean of 42,364 kg/y (93,397 pounds per year). Average suspended solids loadings in 1985 and 1986 were over 50,588 kg/y (111,529 pounds per year) compared to an average of 36,880 kg/y (81,308 pounds per year) for the three previous years.

BOD₅ loadings remained stable from 1982 to 1986. The lowest carbonaceous BOD₅ loading was in 1986 with 41,510 kg/y (91,515 pounds per year) and the highest was 54,377 kg/y (119,881 pounds per year) in 1984. The mean BOD₅ load for the five year period was 47,184 kg (104,024 pounds).

Total phosphorus loadings increased over the five-year period with 1985 and 1986 loadings greater than 30,400 kg/yr (66,000 pounds per year) as

Table 5.2.0 Permitted and Estimated Leadings from Numicipal Facilities in Section 4 of the Clinton River, Red Run.

| | | Annual Permitted | Nerren Hes Rve. Annual Estimated | s to Hator Tree Annual Estimated | stment Plant | | 2 3 | Southeastern Ocklar Bisposal System Polic Annual Permitted | nd Co. Sewage rtion Contol Facility five. Annual |
|-------------------------------------|---------|---------------------------------------|--|---|-----------------------|-------|-----|--|--|
| Conventional Loading | | Loading lbs/year | Loading 1bs/year## | Loading lbs/year### | Loading lbs/day### | Conc. | | Loading 1bs/year | Estimated Loading Ibs/year# |
| Suspended Solids | | 4653720 | 93409 | | 44500 | <1000 | | | 1709003 |
| Dissolved Solids BOD-5 | | | 109454 | 42809025 349305 | 117285 957 | | | | 456364 |
| BOD-5(Carbon) | | 1951680 | 95880 | 313303 | 331 | | | | 136361 |
| COD | | .,,,,,,,, | , | 2533465 | 6941 | | | | |
| TOC | | | | 751535 | 2059 | | | | |
| N02+N03 | 8 I (8) | | | 768690 | 2106 | | | | |
| NH3-H | | 763750 | | 8724 | 23.9 | | | | |
| Total Kjel. Mitrogen | | 04363 | 20020 | 139759 | 382.9 | | | | |
| Total Phosphorus Orthophosphorus | | 94367 | 59859 | 32339 20 9 51 | 99.6 57.4 | | | | • |
| EN(total) | 100 | | 911 | 621 | 1.7 | | | | |
| Cl | 1 8 | | ,,, | 6639715 | 10191 | | | | |
| He | | | | 5207090 | 14266 | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Hetal Loading | | • | • | | \$ | | | | |
| | 1 | * * | | | | | | | |
| Silver | | | 944±××× | | | <0.5 | | | |
| Al uni nun | | | | 9965 | 27.3 | 42 F | | | |
| Arsenic Berium | | 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | | 2519 | 6.9 | <2.5 | | w Sign | • |
| Berullium | | | | 6313 | 6.5 | <1.0 | | | |
| Cadmium | | | 360 | - 51 | 0.14 | ~2.0 | | | |
| Cobalt | 45 | | - | - | | <10 | | | |
| Chronium | | | 914××× | × 368.65 | 1.01 | | | | |
| Hex. Chronium | | | | | | <5.0 | | | |
| Copper | 1 | | 793 | 496.4 | 1.36 | | | · • | |
| Iron | | | | 5241 | 14.36 | | | | |
| Hercury | | | 39.6xxx | × | | <0.5 | • | | |
| Lithium | | | | 365 | 1 | <0.0 | 1 | | |
| Hanganese Holubdenum | | | | 1029 | 2.82 | 5, | | | |
| Nickel | | | | 2281 | 6.25 | | | | |
| Lead | | | | 131 | 0.36 | | | 8.00 m | |
| Antinony | | | | | | <2.5 | | | |
| Selenium | | | | | | <2.5 | | | |
| Titanium | | | • | | | <10 | 44, | | |
| Vanadium | | | | | | <10 | | | |
| Zinc | | | 5190××× | × 4511 | 12.45 | | | | |
| Organic Loading | | | • | | | | | | |
| Phthal ates | | | | 245 | 0.67 | | | | |
| HCB | | | | | | | | | |
| PCB | | | | 4.7 | 0.013 | | | | |
| TCE | | | | | 1 1 1 1 1 | 1.67 | | | |
| Foluene Xylene | | | | | | | | | |
| Phenol | | | | | | <5.0 | | | |

Table 5.2.1 Herren HMTP Honthly Bischarge Rates into Red Rum Brain From 1982 to 1985 Hetal Results in ug/l

| Year | Month | Flow Conduit (mad) | Solids- Suspended (lbs./ne.) | 000-5 Carbon (lbs./no.) | 900-5 (1bs./m.) | Phosphorous Total (lbs./mo.) | Phosphorous Fotal (ave. ng/1) | Cyanide- Free(on) (ave. ng/l) | Cadni un Fotal | Copper Total | Hercury Total | Silver Fotal | Chronium Total | Zinc Total |
|------|----------------|--------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|------------------|-----------------|-------------------|---------------|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1982 | = | 28.3 | 3902 | | 6260 | 4607 | 0.7 | 0.01 | 3.00 | 7 | . • | | | 69 |
| | January | 24.9 | 3265 | | 6498 | 4593 | 0.0 | 0.01 | 4.00 | 9 | | | Ş | 83 |
| | February | 12.3 | 26135 | | 25118 | 5331 | 0.6 | 0.01 | 3.00 | 6 | | | | 52 |
| | March . | 34.3 | 5547 | | | 4912 | 0.6 | 0.01 | 4.00 | . 6 | | | 5 | 57 |
| | April | | 5771 | | 11139 | 459 9 | 0.7 | 0.01 | 3.00 | 6 | | | | 59 |
| | Hay | 26.3 | 4716 | | 5909 | 4333 | 0.6 | 0.01 | 3.00 | 10 | | • | | 62 |
| | June | 26.0 | 4466 | | 1903 | | 0.6 | 0.01 | 3.00 | 7 | | | | 49 |
| | July | 20.9 | | | ** | 4676 | | | 3.00 | 6 | | | 7 | 18 |
| | Mugust | 25.0 | 3426 | | 5111 | 4597 | 0.7 | 0.01 | | - 6 | | | | 70 43 |
| | September | 24.3 | 3633 | | 1953 | 5384 | 0.9 | 0.01 | 4.00 | - | | • | | |
| | October | 22.4 | 4104 | | 5559 | 5255 | 0.9 | 0.01 | 3.00 | 7 | | | _ · _ <u> </u> | 56 |
| | November | 31.0 | 1306 | | 6942 | 4393 | 0.6 | 0.01 | 3.00 | 7 | | | | 15 |
| | Becember | 35.0 | 1916 | | 8569 | 4372 | 0.5 | 0.01 | 4.00 | 0 | | | 9 | 15 |
| | Annual Total: | | 74117 | | 98535 | 56952 | | | | _ | | | _ | |
| | Annual Ave: | 29. t | | | | | 0.7 | 0.01 | 3.33 | . 7 | | | . 7 | 56 |
| 1903 | | | | • | | | | | | | | | | |
| ٠. | Jamiery | 26.5 | 3505 | | 6783 | 4161 | 0.6 | 0.01 | 2.00 | 8 | | * . | . 6 | 18 |
| | February | 27.1 | 3621 | | 7559 | 4046 | 0.6 | 0.01 | 3.00 | 5 | | | 8 | 70 |
| | Harch | 29.0 | 4270 | | 8575 | 4015 | 0.6 | 0.01 | .3.00 | 6 | | | 7 | 66 |
| | Roril | 39.4 | 12498 | | 12971 | 4160 | 0.4 | 0.01 | 4.00 | 6 | | | 6 | 53 |
| | Hay | 30.0 | 19283 | | 13259 | 4574 | 0.5 | 0.01 | 3.00 | 6 | | | . 4 | 39 |
| | June | 29.0 | 5073 | | 6230 | 4363 | 0.6 | 0.01 | 2.00 | 5 | | | 9 | 35 |
| | Julu | 37.0 | 8256 | | 9162 | 4573 | 0.5 | 0.01 | 3.00 | 7 | - | | 10 | 27 |
| | August | 31.7 | 9775 | | 10325 | 4649 | 0.6 | 0.01 | 3.00 | 8 | | | . 8 | 32 |
| | September | 24.7 | 5009 | | 6030 | 4632 | 0.8 | 0.01 | 2.00 | 5 | | | 8 | 42 |
| | October | 27.0 | 6772 | | 8074 | 5476 | 0.8 | 0.01 | 2.00 | 5 | | | 10 | 15 |
| | November | 34.5 | 7239 | | 10337 | 4271 | 0.5 | 0.01 | 4.00 | 7 | | | 16 | 54 |
| | December | 35.0 | 10242 | | 96.32 | 3002 | 0.5 | 0.01 | 4.00 | | | | 11 | 56 |
| | Annual Totals | 33.3 | 95543 | | 109945 | 52744 | ••• | | | _ | | | • • • | •• |
| | Armual Avet | 31.6 | 333,13 | | 107710 | 52. | 0.6 | 0.01 | 2.92 | 6 | | | y | 47 |
| 1981 | • | | | | • | | | | | | | | | |
| ישו | | ~~ ~ | 4146 | | 9752 | 4731 | 0.0 | 0.01 | 4.00 | 7 | | | 26 | • |
| | January | 23.7 | 4934 | | 11066 | 4199 | 0.6 | 0.01 | 1.00 | 9 | | | 17 | 7 |
| | February | 20.9 | | | _ | 4790 | 0.5 | 0.01 | 4.00 | | | | 13 | 102 |
| | Herch | 37.7 | 18835 | | 17710 | | | | | 11 | | | • • | 82 |
| | April . | 29.0 | 5676 | | 11981 | 1559 | 0.6 | 0.01 | 5.00 | . 0 | | | 19 | 82 |
| | Hay | 28.4 | 5709 | | 0105 | 4160 | 0.6 | 0.01 | 4.00 | 7 | | | . 12 | 79 |
| | June | 25.9 | 4978 | | 86.35 | 1912 | 0.0 | 0.01 | 3.00 | 10 | | | 11 | 57 |
| | July | 22.8 | 4243 | | 9540 | 1016 | 9.0 | 0.01 | 1.00 | . 5 | | | 5 | 50 |
| | Migrist | 7.75 | 5192 | | 10025 | 1606 | 0.6 | 0.01 | 2.00 | . 3 | | | . 6 | 46 |
| | September | 27.8 | 4414 | | 11150 | 4041 | 0.6 | 0.01 | 4.00 | 10 | | | 12 | 60 |
| | October | 25.6 | 4606 | | 6332 | 1855 | 0.0 | 0.01 | 3.00 | 5 | | | 12 | 11 |
| | November | 28.3 | 5261 | | 7444 | 1750 | 0.7 | 0.01 | . 10.00 | ? | | | 10 | 62 |
| | December | 20.9 | 6192 | | 7753 | 4821 | 0.7 | 0. 01 | 10.00 | 6 | | | 12 | 56 |
| | Remusal Total: | | 74266 | | 113991 | 55610 | | | | | | | | |
| | flennial flees | 28.0 | | | | | 7.0 | 0.01 | 4.50 | 0 | | | 1.3 | 6.1 |

Table 5.2.1 cont.

Marron MMTP Honthly Discharge Rates into Red Run Drain From 1982 to 1986 Metal Results in ug/l

| Voar | Honth | Flow Conduit (ngd) | Solids- Suspended (lbs./me.) | 800-5 Carbon (lbs./no.) | 900-5 (lbs./no. | Phosphorous Fetal > (lbs./no.) | Tota | | Fre | mide- e(on) ng/l) | Cadmium Fotal | Copper | Hercury Total | Silver Fotal | Chronium Total | Zinc Fola |
|------|------------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------------------|------|------|-----|-------------------------|------------------|--------|------------------|-----------------|-------------------|--------------|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1985 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Jeunary | 31.1 | 11406 | 9431 | | 5119 | | 0.7 | | 0.01 | 10.00 | 7 | NA | <30 | | |
| | February . | 30.5 | 9679 | 7324 | | 3017 | | 0.6 | | 0.01 | 10.00 | 7 | HA | < 30 | | |
| | Herch | 42.3 | 14045 | 13256 | | 6034 | | 0.6 | | 0.01 | 10.00 | . 8 | 0.00 | <30 | | |
| | April . | 37.4 | 6195 | 10487 | | 4820 | | 0.7 | | 0.01 | <10,00 | 9 | <0.2U | 31 | | |
| | Hay | 24.9 | 7902 | 5786 | | 5290 | | 0.0 | | 0.01 | <10.00 | 4 | < 0.20 | <30 | | |
| | June | 26.9 | 7412 | 5170 | | 5638 | | 0.9 | | 0.01 | <10.00 | 4 | 0.20 | < 10 | | |
| | July | 28.0 | 5875 | 5584 | | 57-16 | | 0.0 | | 0.01 | <10.00 | 13 | 0.30 | 10 | | |
| | August . | 30.1 | 5025 | 7766 | | 6134 | | 0.0 | | 0.01 | <10.00 | 13 | <0.20 | < 10 | | |
| | Septentier | 30.1 | 8028 | 7389 | 1 × 2 | 6456 | | 0.9 | | 0.01 | <10.00 | 11 | 0.20 | < 10 | | |
| | October | 28.3 | 7079 | 7913 | | 5703 | | 0.6 | | 0.01 | <10.00 | <10 | 0.46 | < 10 | | |
| | November | 40.9 | 9068 | 11437 | | 5290 | | 0.5 | | 0.01 | 0.12 | . 14 | 0.35 | < 10 | | |
| | Becember | 31.0 | 8514 | 9702 | | 6341 | | 0.7 | | 0.01 | 0.60 | <10 | 0.42 | <10 | | |
| | Annual Total: | | 100228 | 100245 | , | 66396 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Annual Avez | 31.9 | | | | | | 0.7 | | 0.01 | 8.39 | 9 | 0.25 | 20 | # · · · | |
| 1986 | | • | | | | | | | | | , | | | | | |
| | Januaru | 28.0 | 7754 | 6671 | | 6193 | | 0.9 | | 0.01 | 1.00 | < 10 | 0.25 | <10 | | |
| | February | 34.0 | 13262 | 8172 | | 4901 | * | 0.6 | | 0.01 | 0.32 | <10 | 0.84 | <10 | | |
| | Herch | 30.7 | 32000 | 11962 | • | 5562 | | 0.6 | | 0.01 | 0.49 | < 10 | 0.25 | <10 | | |
| | Ror i 1 | 31.9 | 7476 | 6634 | | 6656 | | 0.9 | | 0.01 | 0.62 | 12 | 0.65 | <10 | | |
| | Neu | 26.4 | 7065 | 7032 | | 5516 | | 0.6 | | 0.01 | 0.68 | 11 | 2.68 | <10 | | |
| | June | 33.1 | 12607 | 9733 | | 6633 | | 0.8 | | <0.01 | 0.62 | ii | <0.20 | <10 | | |
| | July | 30.6 | 6949 | 6647 | | 6470 | | 0.9 | | 0.01 | 0.52 | <10 | 0.22 | <10 | | |
| 1.40 | August | 25.0 | 5778 | 1859 | | 5079 | | 0.6 | | 0.02 | 0.42 | <10 | <0.20 | <10 | | |
| | September | 29.4 | 5262 | 5809 | | 5395 | | 0.8 | | 0.01 | 0.51 | <10 | <0.20 | <10 | | |
| | October | 33.6 | 11233 | 10912 | | 5436 | | 0.7 | | 0.01 | 0.96 | 13 | 0.51 | <10 | | |
| | Hovenber | 25.3 | 4756 | 4704 | | 5091 | | 0.8 | | 0.05 | 0.54 | 12 | 0.68 | <10 | | |
| | Becember | 31.9 | 9599 | 6380 | | 4679 | | 0.6 | | 0.01 | 0.10 | 22 | <0.50 | 16 | | |
| | | 31.7 | 122 829 | 91515 | | 67591 | | U. D | | 9.01 | U. 119 | 56 | ~v. 30 | . 111 | | |
| | Annual Total: Annual Ave: | 30.7 | 122023 | 31919 | | ; , 61331 | | 0.0 | | 0.01 | 0.57 | 12 | 0.59 | <10 | | |

compared to 1982 through 1984 loadings of less than 25,855 kg/yr (57,000 pounds per year). The total phosphorus concentration changed little during this period ranging from 0.60 mg/l in 1983 to 0.80 mg/l in 1986.

The free cyanide concentrations remained at or below the detection level of 0.01 mg/l in 1982 and 1986.

Total copper concentrations increased from an annual average of 7 ug/l in 1982 to 12 ug/l in 1986. Total chromium levels also increased from an annual average of 7 ug/l in 1982 to 32 ug/l in 1984. Total cadmium concentrations increased from an average of 3.3 ug/l in 1982 to 8.39 ug/l in 1985 and then dropped drastically to 0.57 ug/l in 1986. Total mercury concentrations were only measured for 2 years, but appeared to increase from 0.25 ug/l in 1985 to 0.59 ug/l in 1986. Total silver concentrations were at or near the detection level of 10 ug/l. Total zinc averaged 55 ug/l from 1982 through 1984 and varied little. The lowest zinc concentration was 47 ug/l in 1983 and the highest was 61 ug/l in 1986.

The City of Warren operates a separated storm and sanitary sewer system and therefore there are no combined sewer overflows from Warren to Red Run.

The Warren WWTP receives effluent from nearly 2400 businesses and industrial facilities. These non-domestic users were required to list the materials they discharged to the Warren sewer system. Then Warren was required to develop a pretreatment program with specific limits which must be met prior to discharge to the municipal system. Many of these non-domestic users discharge metals to the WWTP.

Warren's industrial pretreatment program was approved in 1985. One thousand six hundred and thirty (1,630) of the nondomestic users were defined as major significant users. After field surveillance and effluent monitoring, 93 were determined to be regulated by conditions of the approved pretreatment program (Table 5.2.2).

In 1987, 42 of these were audited for compliance with pretreatment standards requirements. Of these, 33 were in compliance but nine were in significant non-compliance (Table 5.2.2). A list of those in non-compliance was published in the local newspaper and enforcement action initiated. Actions varied from verbal and written notification to enforceable compliance schedules.

Violations included excessive discharges for nickel, zinc, chromium and cyanide. Some dischargers have already initiated new treatment facilities to remediate these problems. Specific details are listed in Warren's 1987 IPP Annual Report (Herriman, 1987).

5.2.1.4 Intermittent Municipal Dischargers

One intermittent point source (SOCSDS/PCF) and nonpoint (urban storm-water) sources represent the most significant pollutant transport mechanisms in Section 4. The hydrodynamics of the channeled portions of Red Run exacerbate the pollutant transport process by rapidly transporting high volumes of stormwater and combined sewer and storm water into

Table 5.2.2. Major or Significant Nondomestic Users Regulated by the City of Warren's Pretreatment Program.

Acco Company Ajax Metal Processing Allied Materials Corp. No. 2 American Metal Processing Beta Manufacturing Corp. Cadillac Gauge - Machine Assembly & Engineering Chrysler Corp. - Truck Assembly Cold Heading - Plant II Color Custom Condamatic Company Copco Door Co. Creative Products Cross & Trecker Corporation DAK Plastics Company Dy-Chem Products Co. Dyneer-Tractech. Inc. Equipment Mfg F. Jos. Lamb Co. Farathane General Polymers of Michigan Harper Steel Service Center

Hercules Machine

Holley Carburetor Div. Hoover Steel Treating

Hydra-Lock Corporation

Not Tested

Compliance

Significant Non-Compliance

Ace Finishing Amchem Products, Inc. B & L Plating Bundy Tubing Mfg. - Mfg. Bldg. & Plating Building Cadillac Plating Cadmet Corp. Chrysler Stamping Colt Industries Copper Brazing Detroit Arsenal Detroit Radiator Repair Elias Brothers Wholesale Essex Brass Everfresh Juice Co. Formsprag Co. - 23601 Hoover Rd Formsprag Co. - 23501 Hoover Rd Freshman Lab G.E. Carboloy General Motors Hydramatic General Motors Tech Center Leebert Silversmiths Michigan Controls Mold-Tech Mortell Co. Quin Tech

Creative Electroplating
Detroit Rustproofing
Enamelcote
Fini-Finish
Kencoat Company
Modern Hard Chrome
Norbrook Plating
Peninsular Plating &
Chemical Products
Sta-Brite

Table 5.2.2 continued

Significant Non-Compliance Compliance Not Tested Super Steel Treating Ideal Polishing Company Induction Services, Inc. Superior Enameling Industrial Foamcraft Superior Polishing #2 Sure Coat Enameling Jaloy Mfg., Co. Udylite Corp. (OMI) Kent-Moore Corp. Warren Custom Plating **Keo Cutters** Wolverine Die Cast Corp. LaSalle Machine Lincoln Gage Co. Mahon Rolling Door Metal Specialists, Inc. Metallurgical Processing Michigan Rivet Nitro-Vac Heat Treat Paint Work Inc. Patterson Heat Treat Plymouth Shafting Prince Macaroni R & B Metal Finishing Resin Services Ring Finishing Ring Screw Products Rod Conversion, Inc. Saturn Ceramic Coating Co. Schwarb Founding Co. Volkswagen - Eleven Mile Rd Volkswagen - Parkview **Weldaloy Products** Welform Electrodes

Section 3. The significant contribution of Red Run to the flow of the Clinton River is evident even during drought flow (Figure 3.7). Drought flow from Red Run is primarily treated wastewater effluent from Warren.

The Southeast Oakland County Sewage Disposal System/Pollution Control Facility (SOCSDS/PCF) is the intermittent discharger to Section 4. To understand the source and magnitude of the discharge, a short history is presented which begins in the 1920's.

Red Run has been a major concern within the Clinton River drainage basin for many years. In the 1920s, Red Run was an open drain serving the rapidly growing Royal Oak and immediately adjacent areas via the Royal Oak Drain. Through the years, additional urbanized areas added their insult to Red Run. By 1947, flooding caused increased concern because of the immense volume of runoff draining to the Clinton River via Red Run. The widening and straightening of Red Run channel from the intersection of Campbell and Twelve Mile Road to the Clinton River was authorized in 1948 and completed in 1954 by the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USCOE, 1979).

This flood control measure caused Red Run to be a totally artifical system to handle increased flow. This 19.3 km (12 mile) distance was widened to bottom widths of 12.2 m (40 ft) upstream of Bear Creek, 24.4 m (80 ft) below Bear Creek, 30.5 m (100 ft) below Beaver Creek, and 28.1 m (125 ft) below Plum Brook. (USCOE, 1976). At the same time (1952) a spillway was constructed on the lower Clinton River to help alleviate flooding problems in Section 1 (USCOE, 1979).

The Royal Oak area continued to grow, requiring better drainage and resulted in the construction of the 12 Towns Drainage System in 1965 at a cost of \$38,536,000 (D. Snyder, personal communication 1987) (Figure 5.2.1). This project enclosed and increased the volume of many natural and previously existing enclosed drains. Normal flow was discharged through the Southeast Oakland County Sewer Interceptor along Stephenson Highway which goes to the Detroit Wastewater Treatment Plant (Figure 5.2.2). The system drains all or parts of Hazel Park, Madison Heights, Troy, Ferndale, Royal Oak, Clawson, Berkley, Huntington Woods, Oak Park, Pleasant Ridge, Beverly Hills, Royal Oak Township, Southfield and Birmingham (C. McKinnen, personal communication 1987).

The capacity of the 12 Towns system is 123,348 m³ (32.5 million gallons). Volumes in excess of this amount overflow from the outlet structure (Figure 5.2.3) of the 12 Towns system, located immediately downstream of Stephenson Highway, into the then open portion of Red Run. This large combined sewer system discharged an enormous load to Red Run, affecting its entire length and several miles of the Clinton River downstream of its confluence. Because of the degradation from this facility, the Michigan Water Resources Commission required better facilities to handle the wastewater (D. Snyder, personal communication 1987).

To meet this need, the Southeastern Oakland County Sewage Disposal System Pollution Control Facility (SOCSDS/PCF) was built in 1973 for greater storage capacity, to reduce the number and amount of overflows to Red Run, to provide primary treatment and to route its daily discharge to the

CLINTON RIVER DRAINAGE BASIN (760 SQ. MI.)

TWELVE TOWNS DRAIN DISTRICT (38 SQ.ML)




Figure 5.2.1 Twelve Towns Sewer District in the Red Run-Wetershed, Section 4.





Figure 5.2.2 Sewer System Servicing the Twelve Towns Sewer District prior to 1973.



Detroit Wastewater Treatment Plant via the Dequindre Road Interceptor. The SOCSDS/PCF is a 235,453 m³ (62.2 million gallons) underground gravity storage structure with a high weir and skimmer, chlorination facilities at the outlet structure, and a dewatering pump at Dequindre Road (Figure 5.2.4) (Hubbell, Roth, and Clark 1969).

Approximately 3.4 kilometers (2.1 miles) of Red Run from Stephenson Highway (the downstream end of the 12 Towns System) to Dequindre Road in Madison Heights were enclosed in concrete (Figure 5.2.4) with two parallel separate 15 foot high by 30 foot wide sections running lengthwise within the structure at a cost of \$25 million (D. Snyder, personal communication 1987).

The total capacity of the pollution control facility is 235,453 m³ (62.2 million gallons). The facility discharges 169,901-198,218 m³ per day (44 to 52 mgd) to the Detroit wastewater treatment plant via the Dequindre interceptor during dry weather and up to 594,654 m³ (157 mgd) a day during wet weather.

When the facility is full, the excess overflows at the Dequindre Road outfall to Red Run. The facility provides a more stable system and primary treatment and chlorination for overflows which occur only during wet weather. Overflows from this system occured 10 to 12 times per year between 1973 and 1978 (SEMCOG 1978).


When SOCSDS/PCF overflows, several water quality parameters are measured. Concentrations and loadings of these parameters at the overflow from 1976 through 1986 are shown in Table 5.2.3 based on monthly operating reports submitted to the Michigan Department of Natural Resources. This table does not include the regular flow of up to 198,218 m³/day (52 mgd) to the Detroit wastewater treatment plant via the Dequindre Interceptor.

The number and volume of each overflow varied widely from year to year depending on the duration and frequency of storm events. The average number of days overflowing per year was 11. The total annual overflow ranged from $2,710,346~\text{m}^3$ (716 million gallons) in 1980 to more than 15,520,140 m³ (4,100 million gallons) in 1976. The annual average for the 11 year period was $7,434,526~\text{m}^3$ (1,964 million gallons).

 BOD_5 , total suspended solids, and phosphous loadings to Red Run were estimated at 511, 1192, and 27 metric tons per year between 1973 and 1976, respectively (SEMCOG, 1978a). The BOD_5 loading represents the third highest BOD_5 source to the lower river.

Annual BOD₅ loadings decreased from 742,497 kg (1,636,934 lbs/yr) in 1976 to 126,891 kg/yr (279,748 lbs/yr) in 1986 (Table 5.2.4). BOD₅ loadings between 1982 and 1986 were less than 136,077 kg/y (300,000 lbs/yr) compared to the average for the 11 year period of 207,002 kg/yr (456,364 lbs/yr). The generally recommended MDNR BOD₅ effluent limits for secondary wastewater treatment plants is 30 mg/l as a seven day average and 25 mg/l as a 30 day average. The seven day average was exceeded from 1976 to 1978 with mean annual BOD₅ concentration of 30 mg/l or more for each year. Between 1979 and 1986, mean annual BOD₅ concentrations were less than 30 mg/l with the lowest in 1984 (18 mg/l).

Figure 5.2.4 SOCSDS/PCF Storage Structure, Devatering Pump, and Dequindre Road Interceptor, where the open portion of Red Run used to be located.



Southeastern Dakland Co. Sewage Disposal System Monthly Discharge Rates into the Red Run from 1976-1986

| | · | No. of | 800- | 5 | Suspende | d Solids | | Colifora- | Total Flow in |
|--------|----------------|---------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|---------------|----------------------|--|
| year | m onth⊭ | Days Discharging | Loading## lbs./mo. | Concentration Ave. ag/1 | Loading** lbs./eo. | Concentration ag/1 | . pH Range | or Range MF/100m1 | Millions of Gallons |
| 1976 | | | | | | | | | |
| 1976 | Februaru | 6 | 155933 | 36 | 468541 | 74 | 7.0-7.8 | <10 | 535 |
| | April | Ÿ | 166800 | 50 | 233520 | 70 | 7.5 | 10 | 400 |
| | Heu | . 2 | 187650 | 45 | 195990 | 47 | 7. š | 10 . | 500 |
| | June | ī | 264795 | 50 | 868528 | 164 | 7.8 | 100 | 635 |
| | • | • | 750600 | 60 | 1976580 | 150 | 7.5 | <10 | +1500 |
| | July | • | 17514 | 30 | 46704 | 80 | 7.2 | <10 | 70 |
| | August | 1 | 52542 | 30 | 210168 | 120 | 7.4 | 1600 | 210 |
| | September | | | 20 | 467040 | 224 | 7.6 | 70 | 250 |
| | October. | 1 | 41700 | 40 | 4467071 | 117 | 7.0-7.8 | 70 | +4100 |
| | Total: | 14 | 1636934 | 70 | 4461011 | iir | 7.0-7.0 | | 74100 |
| 1977 | | | | | | | | | |
| 1377 | Februaru | 1 | 25520 | 36 | 94993 | 134 | 7.5 | <10 | 05 |
| | | 2 | 160005 | 75 | 416933 | 106 | 7.0-8.U | 15 | 390 |
| | March | | 174515 | 30 | 847261 | 194 | 6.8-7.5 | <100 | 740 |
| | April | 3 | | 30 | 160145 | 142 | 6.7-6.9 | 510 | 164 |
| | September | 2 | 37155 | | | | | | |
| | December | 2 | 47872 | 31 | 72558 | 47 | 7.5-8.0 | 82 | 210 |
| | Total: | 10 | 453947 | 40 | 1591790 | 125 | 6.7-8.0 | | 1589 |
| 1978 | , | | | | | | | | |
| . 1976 | March | 2 | 71516 | 22 | 225680 | 69 | 6.6-7.0 | 33 | 385 |
| | Nay | 9 | 110213 | ēš | 261409 | 152 | 6.8-6.9 | ř | 307 |
| | June | 2 | 17014 | 18 | 25354 | 33 | 7.0-7.2 | 148 | 120 |
| | Totali | 7 | 198743 | 42 | 512443 | 85 | 6.6-7.2 | 0 | 812 |
| | 105911 | , , | 170145 | 72 | 312-1-10 | | 0.0 | | |
| 1979 |) | | | | | | | | |
| N | January | . 1 | 18615 | 18 | 66186 | 64 | 6.9 | < 100 | 124 |
| | Harch | . 1 | 31525 | 42 | 87070 | 116 | 6.0 | 80 000 | 90 |
| | April | 4 | 101248 | 23 | 164465 | 27 | 7.2-7.5 | <100 | 740 |
| | Mau | 1 | 10141 | 30 | 9006 | 90 | 7.1 | 27500 | 32 |
| | June | 1 | 7 | 25 | 7 | 6 6 | 7.2 | 56000 | MNM . |
| | Julu | i | | 17 | 7 | 6.2 | 7.6 | 100000 | · WMM |
| | November | ž | 47955 | 57 | 190986 | 229 | 6.9-7.1 | 220 | 100 |
| | December | 2 | 857561 | 15 | 846427 | 71 | 7.3-7.4 | <100 | 1525 |
| | Totali | 13 | 1067045 | 29 | 1363140 | 83 | 6.8-7.6 | | +2611 |
| | .000 | | • | | | | | | |
| 1980 | 1 | • | | | | | | | $r_{ij} = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathcal{I}_{ij}} r_{ij} = r_{ij} = r_{ij}$ |
| | March | 2 | 25520 | 20 | 105084 | 71 | 7.1 | <100 | 160 |
| | April | . 2 | 17898 | 14 | 181445 | 94 | 6.7-7.0 | <100 | 197 |
| • | Hay | 1 5 | 38591 | 42 | 102749 | 112 | 6.9 | 25 | 110 |
| | June | Э . | 20975 | 27 | 89238 | 118 | 7.0-7.8 | 690 & 50 | 90 |
| | Julu | . 1 | 4979 | 21 | 9174 | 44 | 6.0 | 40000 | 25 |
| | August | 2 | 12452 | 14 | 154774 | 112 | 6.4-6.8 | 1400 | 123 |
| | September | 1 | 2294 | 25 | 2569 | 28 | 7.4 | 50 | 1 1 |
| | lotali | 12 | 122049 | 23 | 645033 | 83 | 6.0-7.8 | | 716 |
| | | and the second | | | | | | | |
| 1981 | | _ | 45053 | 20 | 133190 | 52 | 7.0-7.1 | | 202 |
| | February | 4 | | 20 95 | | 52 69 | | 0 | 293 |
| | April | 2 | 32301 | 95 15 | 63017 | 62 | 6.9-7.1 | <100 | 109 |
| | July | . <u>1</u> | 21767 | | 118995 | | 6.9 | <100 | 174 |
| | September | 3 | 167734 | 11 | 1985420 | 132 | 7.0-7.8 | 100 | 1018 |
| | October | 1 | 30958 | 32 | 81265 | 64 | 6.9 | <100 | 116 |
| | lotali | 11 | 298613 | 23 | 2381887 | 8-1 | 6.9.7.8 | | 2510 |

Table 5.2.3 cont.

Southeastern Oakland Co. Sewage Disposal System Monthly Discharge Rates into the Red Run

| | | | BOD- | 5 | Suspende | d Solids | | Coliform- | Total |
|------|----------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|-------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| year | m onth¤ | No. of Days Discharging | LoadingMM lbs./mo. | Concentration Ave. ag/1 | LoadingMM lbs./mo. | Concentration ag/1 | pH Range | Fecal Ave. or Range MF/100ml | Flow in Millions of Gallon |
| 1982 | | | | | | | | | |
| | January | 1 | 6934 | • 16 | 6539 | 16 | 6.6 | 9600 | 49 |
| | Harch | 8 | 222686 | 22 | 519132 | 58 | 6.2-7.4 | 7 | 1432 |
| | June | 1 . | 29357 | 92 | 1 30271 | 142 | 7.2 | 200 | 110 |
| | Julummm | 2 | 12010 | 16 | 72058 | . 96 | 7.5 | 2400 | 93 |
| | November | 2 | 49473 | 49 | 51041 | 42 | 6.4-6.7 | 122041370 | 1 48 |
| | Total: | 14 | 319860 | 26 | 779041 | 71 | 6.2-7.5 | | 1032 |
| 1983 | | | | | | | | | |
| | April | 3 | 31492 | 27 | 7 | HNNNH | 6.9-7.4 | <10 | 280 |
| | HOUNKHH | 2 | 69389 | 10 | 166593 | 24 | 7.2 | <10 | 835 |
| | June | ī | 8232 | 21 | 96062 | 92 | 6.7 | 10 | 47 |
| | Julu | 1 | 36696 | 22 | 226948 | 136 | 6.9 | <10 | 200 |
| | October | i | 33026 | 33 | 154123 | 154 | 7.3 | <100 | 120 |
| | December | 1 | 16513 | 10 | 27522 | 30 | 6.8 | 10 | 110 |
| | Total: | 9 | 195348 | 22 | 611098 | 87 | 6.8-7.4 | | 1592 |
| 1984 | | | | | • | | | | |
| | Harch | 2 | 78355 | 15 | 792117 | 141 | 6.8-7.3 | <100 | 667 |
| | Hay | ī | 18431 | 17 | 271050 | 250 | 7.3 | <100 | 130 |
| | Julu | · 1 | 914 | 22 | 3586 | 86 | 7.2 | 5180 | 5 |
| | August | 2 | 41984 | 29 | 405090 | 203 | 7.2 | <100 | 198 |
| • | September | 2 | 6505 | 9 | 85068 | 103 | 7.4-7.5 | 100 | 90 |
| | November | 1 | 19016 | 10 | 44035 | 40 | 7.5 | <100 | 192 |
| | Totalı | 9 | 166005 | 10 | 1600946 | 197 | 6.8-7.5 | | 1222 |
| 1985 | | | | | | | | | |
| | January | 1 | 21071 | 8 | 168134 | 64 | 7.4 | O · | 315 |
| | Februaru | 1 | 7 | MMMMKM | 587636 | 52 | 7.4-7.6 | Ó | 1355 |
| | Harch | | 19632 | 12 | 97978 | 5 5 | 6.9-7.9 | 1. 7 | 238 |
| | April | 1 . | 112090 | 21 | 555110 | 104 | 7.8 | 1, 500 | 640 |
| | Neu | 1 | 9174 | 22 | 73392 | 176 | 6.9 | <100 | 50 |
| | July | 2 | 59668 | 53 | 125009 | 96 | 7.4-7.6 | <100 | 190 |
| | August | 1 | 6073 | 11 | 51974 | 70 | 7.3 | a | 88 |
| | September | 1 | 12010 | 96 | 24686 | 74 | 7.0 | O | 40 |
| | October | 1 | 13427 | 7 | 153456 | 60 | 7.1 | 3 | 230 |
| | November | 2 | 32566 | 17 | 152972 | 47 | 7.2-7.4 | 15,4,2 | 303 |
| | Total: | 15 | 201711 | 21 | 1990547 | 02 | 6.9-7.9 | | 3449 |
| 1986 | | | | | | | | | |
| | March | 2 | 17030 | 10 | 212790 | 55 | 7.3-7.4 | < 100 | 110 |
| | June | - 3 | 117127 | 16 | 1039681 | 792 | 6.0~7.4 | 100 | 438 |
| | July | . 1 | 44285 | 10 | 1112056 | 452 | 6.9 | < 1.00 | . 295 |
| | September | Э | 50132 | . 20 | 388577 | 151 | 7.0-7.4 | 100 | 228 |
| | October | 1 | 51174 | 59 | 91940 | 106 | 7.2 | <100 | 104 |
| | Total: | 10 | 279748 | 26 | 2845044 | 311 | 6.8-7.4 | | 1175 |

M Reported only months that had discharges

MM Loadings based on individual concentrations times the flow value

MMM Flow recorder was out of order

MMMM 3 million addition gallons of discharge was reported but no parameters were measured

MMMM Suspended solids not measured

YAN BOD incubator broken due to freezing

233

Table 5.2.4 Comparison of Flow and Loadings into Red Run by Southeastern Oakland County Sewage Disposal System and Warren WWTP

| Year | Facility | Total Flow in Million Gallons | 800-5 Loadings 1bs./year | Suspended Solids Loadings lbs./year |
|------|---|--|--|--|
| 1982 | ه خدو مینه کنت مینه کنت مدیر کینه کما مین بیش خانب مین کینه کار | ے جب میں بھیا گیا ہے۔ ہے جب بھی میں کیا گیا ہیں جب ہیں ہیں | العاد مستو مورو مؤرن شعبه مورد سبي محمد شدن مورو خانه محمد محب هميه حادث خميم مستور بيان المستور مورو العند ان | , |
| | S.O.C.S.D.S./P.C.F. Warren WWTP | 1832 10619 | 3 19 86 0 98 53 5 | 779041 74117 |
| 1983 | • | | | |
| | S.O.C.S.D.S./P.C.F. Warren WWTP | 1592 11561 | 195 348 1099 45 | 611088 95 543 |
| 1984 | | | | * |
| | S.O.C.S.D.S./P.C.F. Warren WWTP | 1222 10202 | 166005 119881 | 160094 6 74266 |
| 1985 | | | the state of the s | Karamatan Maria |
| | S.O.C.S.D.S./P.C.F. Warren WWTP | 3449 11625 | 281711 100245× | 1990547 100228 |
| 1986 | | | | |
| • | S.O.C.S.D.S./P.C.F. Warren WWTP | 1175 11208 | 279748 91515× | 2485044 122829 |
| Over | all Annual Mean Per Year S.O.C.S.D.S./P.C.F. Warren WWTP | 1854 11043 | 248534 104024 | 1565333 93397 |

* BOD-5 Carbon

Suspended solids loadings varied with the lowest loading in 1978 [232,439 kg (512,443 lbs)] and the highest in 1986 [2,026,219 kg (4,467,071 lbs)]. The average annual suspended solids load for the 11 year period was 774,733 kg (1,708,002 lbs). The suspended solids loadings were not directly correlated with overflow volume. Suspended solids concentration varied greatly from year to year with the highest concentration in 1986, (average 311 mg/1), three times higher than the average for the other ten years (95 mg/1).

The pH range for the 11 year period was between 6.0 and 8.0. The pH values in their NPDES permit is 6.5 to 9.0. The majority of pH values fell within this range. The only months during this period that were below this limit were July of 1980 and March of 1982.

Fecal coliform bacterial counts in the overflow to Red Run were under 200 mf/100 ml (Water Quality Standard) 80% of the time during the 11 year period from 1976 through 1986. Highest counts were between 1979 and 1982, where counts were up to 100,000 mf/100 ml. From 1983 to 1986, fecal coliform bacterial counts exceeded 200 mf/100 ml on only two out of 43 days of overflow.

Comparison of Intermittent and Continuous Municipal Loadings

Loadings and flow to Red Run between 1982 and 1986 from the SOCSDS/PCF and Warren WWTP are compared in Table 5.2.4. Overflow to Red Run from the SOCSDS/PCF averaged 7,018,132 m³ (1,854 million gallons per year) compared to 41,802,172 m³ (11,043 million gallons per year) discharged from Warren WWTP. The Warren WWTP discharges approximately 117,347 m³day (31 mgd) while SOCSDS/PCF flows ranged from 4,447,845 m³yr (1,175 million gallons per year) in 1986 to 13,055,845 m³/yr (3,449 million gallons per year) in 1985. The Warren WWTP flow varied little between 1982-86, ranging from 38,618,650 m³/yr (10,202 million gallons per year) in 1985. The Warren WWTP flow averages six times the flow of the SOCSDS/PCF.

Annual BOD₅ loadings from the SOCSDS/PCF averaged 112,733 kg (248,534 pounds), well over twice as much as Warren's annual BOD₅ load of 47,184 kg (104,024 pounds).

Mean annual SOCSDS/PCF suspended solids loadings were nearly 17 times higher [710,019 kg (1,565,333 lbs)] than Warren's mean annual suspended solids load [42,364 kg (93,397 lbs)].

These data reveal that the SOCSDS/PCF contributes far more BOD_5 and suspended solids to Red Run than Warren although its annual flow is six times less.

5.2.2 Nonpoint Sources

5.2.2.1 Urban Stormwater

The major nonpoint source of pollutants in Section 4 is urban stormwater (Table 5.1.5). Industrial site runoff, contaminated groundwater discharges, and atmospheric loadings have not been investigated.

The hydrodynamics of Red Run influence downstream Clinton River water quality. A serious pollutant transport results from the SOCSDS/PCF, an intermittent municipal source also called a CSO, as well as urban stormwater runoff.

The Red Run watershed is presently more than 75% developed with projections of nearly 100% development by the year 2000. Residential uses comprise over 45% of all land use, with commercial and industrial uses at 17%, and public land use at 11% (USCOE, 1979). The great extent of sealed surfaces in this highly developed area has led to severe flooding problems. During major rain events, water levels in Red Run rise very quickly, conveying huge amounts of stormwater to Red Run via drains.

Many drains carry stormwater to Red Run. One large drain project was the Henry Gram Drain, which began in 1972 and was completed in 1974. This drain enters Red Run downstream of Warren's discharge, transfers stormwater from Madison Heights and Troy and was built to handle up to 173 m³/s (6,100 cfs) assuming that a proposed Red Run expansion project would be soon implemented. This project was to further widen and straighten Red Run downstream of Dequindre to the Clinton River at the cost of \$150,000,000. In November 1986, Public Law 99-662 deauthorized the project, but a smaller widening project was undertaken in 1976. Since the original Red Run project was not built, the Henry Gram Drain was limited to $14 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$ (480 cfs). This flow was increased to $23 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$ (800 cfs) after widening Red Run an average of 1.5 meters (5 feet) between Dequindre and Mound Roads in 1976. Other major stormwater drains discharging to Red Run include the Schoeherr Drain from Warren and the 15} mile Road Drain from Sterling Heights and Warren. Numerous other small drains carry large amounts of stormwater to Red Run.

The Red Run Channel capacity is presently 142 to 170 m³/s (5,000 to 6,000 cfs) at Dequindre Road. The eastern limits of the open portion of Red Run increase to 227 m³/s (8,000 cfs) at its confluence with the Clinton River. The slope of Red Run falls less than 0.57 meters per km (3 feet per mile) (USCOE 1979).

The Inner County Drainage Board has assigned the responsibility for basic maintenance of Red Run, including periodic shoal removal, to the Oakland County Drain Commissioner. The Commissioner has authorized periodic dredging in Red Run to maintain rapid conveyance of stormwater out of Section 4 into the Main Branch (Section 3). Table 5.2.5 lists the times, dredging locations, approximate costs and disposal location of sediments dredged from Red Run.

Red Run is included in a list of priority stormwater areas (Figure 5.2.5), a designation for areas of future development in the Clinton River Basin (SEMCOG 1981a). Quantitative characterizations of urban stormwater runoff in Section 4 have not been attempted, but SEMCOG (1978a) estimated that each year 18,223 metric tons of suspended solids, 620 metric tons of BOD₅, 78 metric tons of nitrogen, and 34 metric tons of phosphorus were discharged via urban stormwater runoff to Red Run (Table 5.1.5). Suspended solids and BOD₅ estimates from Section 4 stormwater were second only to loadings estimated from active cropland along the North Branch.

Table 5.2.5 Red Run Shoal Removal by the Oakland County Drain Commissioner

| Hhen | Hhere | Cu. Yds. Dredged | Cost | Where Spoils Placed | HDNR Permit * | Contractor |
|------------------------------|--|----------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|------------------|-----------------------|
| 1965 thru 1975 | ? | approximately 10,000 per year | ? | On the banks of Red Run | None | * |
| 1976 thru 1981 | MM | | | | | |
| 10-4-82 thru 12-10-82 | NNN | 27,215 | \$63,398 | On the banks of Red Run | None | Guymann Constr. Co |
| 10-18-83 thru 11-17-83 | 900 ft E. of Ryan Rd to 1250 ft E. of Ryan Rd | 10,635 | \$ 19,960 | On the banks of Red Run | Hone | Guymann Constr. Co |
| | 25 ft W. of railroad bridge by Mound Rd to 500ft West | | | | | |
| | 900 ft E. of Mound Rd to 750 ft East | | | | | |
| 1984 thru 1985 | 7 | approximately 17,000 | approximately \$90,000 | On the banks of Red Run | None | Guymann Constr. Co |
| 1986 thru 8-24-87××× | ** | | | | • | |

M=The Oakland County Drain Commissioner had its own equipment and staff from 1965 to 1975. During that time periodic shoal removal was done on a as needed basis. **=No shoal removal done during this time period.

^{***=}A total of 54 shoal areas were removed.

NX=Future plans call for more shoal removal ove 🗻 3-5 year period at an estimated cost of \$450 °7




Figure 5.2.5 Priority Stormwater Management Areas in Areas of Future Development of the Clinton River Basin

Source: SEMCOG 1981

Several industrial dischargers have NPDES permits for stormwater runoff through specified outfalls. NPDES permits do not presently require dischargers to report flow frequency, duration, quantity, or water quality of stormwater discharged.

Clearly, SEMCOGs estimates implicate urban stormwater runoff as a major pollutant source and transport mechanism in Section 4.

5.2.2.2 Rural and Suburban Runoff

No quantitative estimates of rural and suburban runoff are available, but SEMCOGs estimate of runoff from grassland provides the closest indication for this pollutant source (Table 5.1.5). Section 4 is largely an urban area with negligible rural or suburban runoff.

5.2.2.3 Industrial Site Runoff

Industrial site runoff may be a significant source of pollutants to Section 4. However, site runoff has not been clearly distinguished as a pollutant source or its contribution measured.

5.2.2.4 Landfills, Dumps and Other Sites of Potential Environmental Contamination

Waste Disposal Site

Thirteen waste disposal sites are located in the Section 4 watershed (Table 5.1.6). The six located in Macomb County include the Hayes Road Site 8, South Macomb Disposal Authority Site 6, Wiegland Disposal Inc., the City of Warren DPW garage, the City of Warren Refuse Transfer Station and the G.M. Tech Center Refuse Processing Station (Map 6.6). The first four are closed and no monitoring exists, while the last two are open and monitored (Table 5.1.6).

Seven waste disposal sites, located in the Oakland County portion of the Section 4 Watershed include the Southeastern Oakland County incinerator at Royal Oak and Troy, the Northeast Landfill Inc., the Cleary Property, and three unnamed sites. Five are type 2 landfills, and are closed with no monitoring, one incinerator (in Royal Oak township) is open with monitoring and one transfer station, located in Troy township, is open and monitored.

Since most sites have no monitoring, little is known about the impact of these facilities on the Clinton River, and no impacts have been documented from these sites.

Act 307 Sites of Environmental Contamination

The only Group 1 Act 307 site in Section 4 watershed is in Macomb County. This site, known as the Red Run Drain Landfills, which was scored in January 1987 at 815, includes seven areas along Red Run (Table 5.1.7). Macomb County Group 2 sites (screened but not scored) in Section 4 watershed include the Clark Gas Station, G.E. Carboloy, Fini Finish Products, Tuff Kote Dinol, Inc. in Warren, the Koch Road Dump in Sterling

Heights, Amoco Gas Station #5414, the Mobil Oil Station at 12 mile and Ryan Road and the Mobil Oil Station #04LCW (Map 6.7). Davis Mfg. Clawson, Howard Plating, Howard Gas and Go, the Ethyl Corporation, and the Old Fons Sanitary Landfill, are the Group 2 Oakland County 307 sites located in the Section 4 watershed.


The Clark gas station, both Mobil Oil stations and Howard Gas and Go have affected soil and groundwater by gasoline spills from underground tanks. G.E. Carboloy spilled acetone from an underground tank and has affected the groundwater. Fini Finish Products has affected the surface water and soil with chrome and cyanide from an unpermitted surface discharge (Appendix 5.2). Tuff Kote Dinol has potentially affected groundwater and air from light industrial wastes. The Koch Road dump has potentially affected surface water, groundwater and soils from heavy manufacturing wastes in barrels and other general landfill materials.

The Old Fons Landfill may have potentially affected surface water and groundwater by ammonia. The Ethyl Corporation may potentially affect groundwater and soil from chemical manufacturing products discharged to a pit. Howard Plating has affected groundwater and soils with cyanide and heavy metals by discharging its waste to the ground. The Davis Mfg, Clawson site has TCE impacted soil from discharges to the ground. The Amoco Station #5414 discharged gasoline to the ground from a pipeline affecting groundwater and soil. The extent of impact from each site is unknown.

Funding was allocated to conduct Remedial Investigation Feasibility Studies for the Red Run Landfills site. According to a site description and summary prepared by MDNR (1984b; Appendix 5.2), there are at least five, and possibly seven landfills along Red Run in a three-mile stretch (Figure 5.2.6). Nine leachate outbreaks were visible. Outbreaks may be due to natural bank erosion, dredging activities, or movement of materials from the landfill into Red Run. The property along the Red Run and its tributaries was used for disposal of municipal, industrial, and household wastes (E.C. Jordan Co., 1986). The following paraphrase from the Work Plan states:

Following closure, two landfills were partially developed into public parks. The remaining undeveloped parcels are popular local recreation areas. The adjoining property has been developed for residential use. Leachate seepage at several locations has triggered complaints by local citizens. Local governments addressed such complaints by regrading and improving localized drainage. Methane gas is emerging from the ground in the vicinity of one landfill, and complaints about landfill gas have been reported.

Some leachate samples collected by the Michigan Department of Natural Resources (MDNR) in 1983 and 1984 contained phthalate, dichlorobenzene, and dinitro-o-cresol. Lead, nickel, and chromium were also present in some leachate locations. The site was scored and placed on the State's Act 307 List requiring evaluation and interim response activities.



Gas, groundwater, air and soil samples were collected during 1988. A consultant is presently preparing a document containing the results of the Remedial Investigation which should be completed by February of 1989 for two of the landfills. The remaining landfills were not surveyed because the property owners denied the study team access.

The remaining thirteen Act 307 sites received screening scores less than nine, and therefore have not been evaluated by the SAS risk assessment model.

Hazardous Waste Treatment, Storage or Disposal Sites

There are 12 active Hazardous Waste Storage sites in the Section 4 watershed (Map 6.8). Two are proposed for closure and one has already been partially closed (Table 5.1.8). None of these facilities have been issued State permits although all have filed the appropriate applications. There has been no documented impact upon the Clinton River or its tributaries from these facilities to date.

5.2.2.5 Atmospheric Deposition

Section 4 is highly industrialized and emissions from industries and automobiles may be pollutant sources, but no atmospheric loadings data exist.

5.2.2.6 Sediments

Deposition and transport of sediments in Red Run is an intermittent occurrence. During low flow, sediments are largely exposed and shallow areas support submerged aquatic vegetation and macrophytic algae. The hydrodynamics of the drain cause significant resuspension and transport of sediments to downstream areas. The volume of sediment transported and distance traveled before settling is dependent on the velocity and duration of stormwater discharge. However, when sediments are resuspended during a storm event, it is likely that some sediment contaminants are released and transported downstream. The impact of sediment contaminants on the aquatic life and water column is unknown. (See Section 5.6.2.4 for a discussion on sediments as a source of contaminants to the water column).

5.3 SECTION 6 THE NORTH AND MIDDLE BRANCHES OF THE CLINTON RIVER AND THEIR TRIBUTARIES

5.3.1 Point Sources

There are three continuous municipal dischargers (Almont, Armada and Romeo) and two continuous industrial dischargers (Ford Motor Proving Grounds and TRW Seatbelt Division) to Section 6 which includes the North and Middle Branches of the Clinton River. Almont, Armada, and Romeo WWTPs, and Ford Motor Company monitor for CBOD, ammonia, nitrogen, total suspended solids, total residual chlorine, total phosphorus, pH, fecal coliform bacteria and dissolved oxygen. Ford Motor Company Michigan Proving Grounds, discharges cooling water from a car wash to a septic

tank, tile field, and pond, to East Pond Creek. TRW Seatbelt discharges noncontact cooling water with observations for oil and grease. These small volume discharges total 2.5 mgd with the Romeo WWTP discharge (1.6 mgd) comprising over one third of the total permitted point source discharge flow to Section 6 of the Clinton River.

The South Macomb Disposal Authority landfill 9 and 9A has an NPDES Permit to discharge collected leachate but it has never been formally used.

5.3.1.1 Continuous Municipal Point Source Dischargers

Romeo WWTP

The Romeo WWTP discharges 1.6 mgd to East Pond Creek which flows into the North Branch of the Clinton River. The plant receives mostly domestic wastes and can treat a peak of 3.3 mgd. Wastewaters pass through a partial flume, bar screen, grit chamber, an equalization basin, a primary settling tank, another partial flume to the biodisks, through a mechanical flocculation chamber to the settling tank and chlorine contact chamber. Romeo's WWTP serves a mostly separated sewer system. Final effluent limits were issued February 21, 1986. Permitted and estimated loadings are summarized in Table 5.3.1.

There are presently no industries discharging to the Romeo WWTP so no pretreatment is required. In the past, the Ford Romeo Tractor plant discharged a significant portion of the total flow. The Romeo WWTP was in compliance with all limits except suspended solids during the last MDNR point source wastewater survey, completed in February 1982.

Almont WWTP

The Almont WWTP is a secondary facility discharging an average of 0.16 mgd with a design capacity of 0.32 mgd. An overflow occurs when the inflow reaches 0.6 mgd. Almont is served by nearly completely separated storm and sanitary sewer systems. The city is presently completing this separation. Normally, wastewater flows to a wet well, is pumped into the primary tank, and then to a trickling filter. Then it flows to the secondary tank, where it is chlorinated and discharged to the North Branch of the Clinton River.

The wastewater is primarily domestic with no industrial flow, so no pretreatment is required. The results of a twenty-four hour MDNR point source wastewater survey conducted September 22-23, 1980, indicated that the plant was out of compliance for the seven day average for suspended solids and BOD_5 (MDNR, 1981b).

The Almont WWTP was chronically out of compliance with their old permit between 1980 and 1985 for BOD (1-3 months/year), suspended solids (1-4 months/year), and fecal coliform bacteria (1-8 months/year). In 1985, Almont received a modified permit. Since 1985, Almont has violated their limits for BOD for two months, and suspended solids and fecal coliform bacteria for three months. Almont is upgrading their WWTP to a tertiary facility with phosphorus removal and rapid sand filtration. They are under court order to meet their final effluent limits by April 1989 and

Table 5.3.1 Permitted and Estimated Loadings from Municipal Facilities in Section 6, North and Middle Branches of the Clinton River.

| | Annual Permitted | Romes MITTE finnuel Estimated | PM . | | | finnual Permitted | Arnada HH Annual Estimated | | | Annual Parmitt | Almont HHT Annual od Estimated | = | |
|---|---------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------|------------|-----|----------------------|----------------------------------|---------|------------|-------------------|--------------------------------------|------------|--------------|
| Conventional Loading | Loading 1bs/year | Loading 1bs/year | | | | Loading 1bs/year | Loading 1bs/year | Loading | | Loadin 1bs/yea | g Loading r 1bs/year | | |
| Suspended Solids Dissolved Solids BDD-5 | 136035 | 9067 1610015 8067 | 22.1 4410 22.1 | , | | 23165 | 18506 8104825 9673 | 2205 | , | 1187 | 0 56210 627800 40150 | 1720 | |
| BOD-5(Carbon) COB | 93570 | 6424 71540 | 17.6 196 | | | 32120 | | | | 2456 | 5 40150 112785 | 110 309 | |
| TOC NO2+NO3 NH3-N | 9635 | 160965 18506 80.3 | 441 50.7 0.22 | | | 5 225 | 13834 10476 2336 | 28.7 | | 9530. | 33799 15330 4 7665 | 12 | |
| Total Kjel. Nitrogen | 7633 | 2409 | 6.6 | | | 3223 | 5074 | | | 3330. | 14491 | 39.7 | |
| Total Phosphorus Orthophosphorus | 4854 | 12005 | 35.3 | | | 109.5 | 2482 | | | 985. | 5 569• 361• | | |
| CM(total) Cl | | 7.3 482 895 | 0.02 1323 | | | | 14.6 | 0.01 | | | 120815 | 5 331 | |
| Na | | 102030 | 1020 | | | | - | | | | 12001. | , ,,, | |
| Hetal Loading | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Silver | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| filuninum Arsonic Barium | | | | | | | . 8.03 | 0.022 | | | | | |
| Be ryllium | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cadni un Cobal t | | | ** | <20 | | | | | <20 | | | | <0.0 |
| Chronium Hex. Chronium | | | | <50 | | | | | <50 | | | | <0.0 |
| Copper Iron | | | | | | | 47.5 949 | | | | 65.7 | 0.16 | |
| Hercury Lithium | | | | <0. | 5 ; | | 0.37 | 0.001 | | | | | |
| Manganese Molybdenum | | | | <20 | | | | | 4 | | | | |
| Nickel Lead | • | | | <50 <50 | | | | | <50 <50 | | | | <0.0 <0.0 |
| Antimony Selenium Titanium | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Vanadi um | | | 0.31 | | | | | | | • | | | |
| Zinc | | 113 | 0.31 | | | | 51.6 | 0.15 | | | 80.3 | 0.22 | |
| Organic Loading | | | | | • | , | | | | | | | |
| Phthal ates HCB | | | | | | | 6.9 | 0.019 | | | | | |
| PCB TCE | | | | <0. | 1 | • | | | <0.51 | | | | <0.1 |
| Toluene Xylene Phenol | | 3.56 | 0.01 | | | | . 16 1 | 0.044 | | | | | |

M≡Results based on sampling done Feb. 2-3, 1982. Flow was measured at 0.56 million gallons per day. MX≡Results based on sampling done on July 10-11, 1984. Flow was measured at 0.24 million gallons per day. MXX≡Results based on sampling done on Sept. 22-23, 1980. Flow was measured at 0.43 million gallons per day.

are presently on schedule. Permitted and estimated loadings from the Almont WWTP are shown in Table 5.3.1. Effluent limits are shown in Appendix 5.1.

Armada WWTP

The Village of Armada is served by a 0.25 mgd wastewater treatment plant with a maximum design flow of 0.35 mgd, which receives combined storm and sanitary sewage. There are no industries discharging to the Armada WWTP so there is no pretreatment required. The wastewater passes through grit chamber and bar screen, through a primary clarifier, to a stone media trickling filter. Water is then pumped to a final clarifier which acts as a chlorine contact chamber prior to discharge to the East Branch of Coon Creek, a tributary to the North Branch of the Clinton River.

The results of a MDNR twenty-four hour point source survey conducted July 10-11, 1984, indicated that the Armada WWTP was in compliance with its interim permit limits (MDNR, 1984c). The interim and final permit loadings are summarized in Table 5.1.1. The 1984 loadings for the permitted parameters are shown in Table 5.3.1. Effluent limits are shown in Appendix 5.1.

5.3.1.2 Intermittent Point Sources

Intermittent point sources in Section 6 occur from combined sewer overflows at Armada, and unintentional bypasses and overflows may occur at Armada, Almont and Romeo during very rainy weather. The occurrence, frequency, and duration of unintentional overflows from these municipal systems is not known.

Combined Sewer Overflows

The only combined sewer overflows in Section 6 are at Armada. The Village is authorized to discharge combined sewage from eight CSOs to the East Branch of Coon Creek in excess of its treatment capabilities until an effective CSO control program is implemented. The Village was to submit a CSO Management Plan by July 31, 1985, but has not met their compliance order. Consequently, some combined sewer overflows remain. Because there is significant infiltration and inflow to this system, the city may try to separate the sewers.

South Macomb Disposal Authority Landfill 9 and 9A

The South Macomb Disposal Authority Landfill 9 and 9A has a leachate collection system which is designed to intercept landfill leachate before it reaches McBride Drain. Although it has an NPDES permit, it has never been formally used since the system is supposed to be periodically pumped out and treated at a local WWTP. Spills have occurred from this site (see Spills Section 5.7, Table 5.7.1) and the state is presently in court with SMDA concerning groundwater and possible surface water contamination.

5.3.2 Nonpoint Sources

The major nonpoint source in Section 6 is agricultural runoff followed by urban stormwater (SEMCOG 1978a). Contaminated groundwater may be a source because of high nitrates thought to originate from agricultural areas. In addition, several landfills exist in this section of the watershed which may contribute contaminants to ground or surface waters.

5.3.2.1 Urban Stormwater

SEMCOG (1978) (updated in 1988 to reflect current NPDES loadings) estimated that urban stormwater runoff accounted for 32% of the suspended solids, 17% of the BOD, 4% of the nitrogen, and 14% of the phosphorus in Section 6 (Table 5.1.5).

5.3.2.2 Rural and Suburban Runoff

Rural runoff in Section 6 is largely from active cropland and grassland (Table 5.1.5). SEMCOG (1978) estimated total suspended solids and BOD loadings from these sources at 27,245 and 2,121 metric tons per year, respectively. The nitrogen and phosphorus contributions (1,226 and 137 metric tons per year, respectively) from these sources are one to two orders of magnitude higher than other River Sections (SEMCOG 1978a).

Major agricultural activity occurs in the North Branch watershed. The runoff from active cropland accounts for 64% of the total suspended solids, 78% of the BOD, 90% of the total nitrogen and 76% of the total phosphorus loading to Section 6, and 28% of the suspended solids, 40% of the BOD, 59% of the total nitrogen load, and 38% of the total phosphorus loading to the entire Clinton River Basin (Table 5.1.5).

5.3.2.3 Industrial Site Runoff

Very little is known about industrial site runoff not regulated by MDNR's NPDES permit system. The Mt. Clemens Coatings Company, formerly Ford Paint and Vinyl, discharges stormwater to Greiner Drain, which flows into the North Branch of the Clinton River (Figure 5.3.1). No NPDES permit has been issued for this stormwater discharge but there have been documented losses of toxic chemicals, including tetrahydrofuran, cathodic e-coat resin, butyl alcohol, methyl ethyl ketone, and PCB from this facility to Greiner Drain via a stormwater outfall (MDNR 1980).

Recommendations of a biological survey conducted in 1979 on Greiner Drain and North Branch, above and below the confluence with Greiner Drain, to evaluate the impacts from Mt. Clemens Coatings are listed below.

Summary and recommendations (MDNR 1980)

- 1. Greiner Drain has not adversely affected the water quality, sediment quality, and/or the benthic macroinvertebrate community of the North Branch Clinton River immediately downstream from their confluence.
- 2. High levels of PCB-1242 (13 mg/kg) and total lead (100 mg/kg) are measured in Greiner Drain sediments downstream of the Ford Paint and Vinyl Plants stormwater outfall. These concentrations fall in the




Figure 5.3.1 Sampling Stations for the Biological Survey Conducted on the North Branch, Clinton River, and Greiner Drain, Macomb County, August 30, 1979

Source: MDNR 1980

"heavily polluted" category of EPA's <u>Guidelines</u> for the Pollutional Classification of Great Lakes Harbor Sediments.

- 3. Additional sediment samples should be collected from Greiner Drain and analyzed for PCB, cadmium, lead, and oils to evaluate the zone of contamination. Contaminated Greiner Drain sediments should be dredged and disposed in a manner considered appropriate for a hazardous waste. Sites used previously by the Macomb County Drain Commission to dispose of dredge spoils from Greiner Drain should be investigated as potential sources of groundwater and/or surface water contamination.
- 4. Additional monitoring of wet and dry weather discharges from the Ford Paint and Vinyl Plants stormwater outfall for PCB, cadmium, lead, oils, and total phosphorus should be conducted to determine whether the elevated concentrations of these contaminants found in Greiner Drain sediments are the result of historical or present practices. If present discharges are found to be contaminating Greiner Drain sediments, the Department should consider issuing an NPDES permit for the Ford Paint and Vinyl Plants stormwater discharge. Routine monitoring requirements for total lead, total cadmium, PCB, oil and grease, and total phosphorus should be included in the permit.

No additional survey work has been conducted and no known cleanup action has occurred at this location. Spills have also occurred at this site since this survey (Table 5.7.1). The present status is unknown, but the site is now listed as a Group 2 307 site (See Section 5.3.2.4).

Although this site received a low 307 screening score (08), the plant's history of stormwater and toxic discharges to the Clinton River via Greiner Drain suggests a high potential for continued contamination of Greiner Drain waters and sediments (MDNR 1985c). The Site Description/Executive Summary prepared by the Environmental Response Division, MDNR is presented in Appendix 5.2.

5.3.2.4 Landfills, Dumps and Other Sites of Potential Environmental Contamination

Waste Disposal Sites

There are 14 waste disposal sites in the Section 6 watershed (Table 5.1.6), all of which are in Macomb County. There are three type II and three type III landfills that are closed but have no groundwater monitoring. There are seven closed landfills that are monitored. There are no open landfills in the watershed but there is one refuse transfer station in Ray Township that is open and does not require groundwater monitoring. The closed landfill sites in Section 6 are located in Armada, Chesterfield, Lenox, Macomb, Ray, Richmond, and Washington Townships (Map 6.6). Impacts on the Clinton River from these waste disposal sites have not been documented.

Act 307 Sites of Environmental Contamination

Three Group 1 sites were placed on the 1987 Act 307 List (Table 5.1.7), including South Macomb Disposal Landfill (SMDA) 9 and 9A, and residential wells on Koss and Card Roads (Appendix 5.2).

SMDA is presently installing an underdrain and slurry wall along the northern boarder of SMDA Landfill 9. A similar action is presently being sought for the east boundary of SMDA Landfill 9. SMDA Landfill 9 has had some impacts on 3 aquifers and has had periodic documented discharges to McBride Drain. The State of Michigan is presently in court with SMDA and hopes to develop a consent agreement with SMDA. In addition, SMDA Landfills 9 and 9A have been classified as superfund sites and as of October 1, 1988, an EPA contractor has been on site conducting a Remedial Investigation Feasibility Study.

Four additional sites have been identified, screened and placed in Group 2. Residential wells at 32 Mile Road, Washington Township Section 8 Landfills, G and L Industries in Mt. Clemens, and Ford Motor Paint and Vinyl Plant, now called Mt. Clemens Coatings impact groundwater, soils, surface water and sediment in localized areas in the Section 6 watershed (Map 6.7). Contaminants from Group 1 and Group 2 sites include chlorides, metals, solvents and organic chemicals from landfills, and automobile and fiberboard manufacturers. Sources for residential well contamination are unknown.

Hazardous Waste Treatment, Storage or Disposal Sites

There is one hazardous waste storage facility in the Section 6 watershed (Map 6.8), the General Motors Corporations GMAD Lake Orion Township facility (Table 5.1.8). There have been no documented impacts from this facility on the Clinton River or its tributaries.

No compliance actions are pending that would have significant impact on the groundwater or surface water in this watershed. This is not to minimize the potential impact these facilities may have based on the wastes handled or the cumulative effect due to the sheer number of facilities (L. AuBuchon, MDNR Detroit District Waste Management Division, personal communication, 1987).

5.3.2.5 Atmospheric Deposition

Data on atmospheric deposition as a source of pollution is very limited. Air quality in Macomb County is improving in terms of total suspended particles (MDNR, 1985a). All sampling sites met the secondary 24-hour standard for suspended particulates in 1985. Sulfur dioxide and lead standards are met consistently. Carbon monoxide was only infrequently exceeded at Warren (MDNR 1985a).

5.3.2.6 Sediments

Greiner Drain sediments degraded by Mt. Clemens Coatings have been described in 5.3.2.3. The impact of these sediments on the Clinton River is unknown.

5.4 SECTION 3 - MAIN BRANCH CLINTON RIVER BETWEEN RED RUN AND THE SPILLWAY

5.4.1 Point Sources

5.4.1.1 Continuous Point Source Discharges

There are no continuous municipal point sources and only one continuous industrial point source, the Molloy Manufacturing Company in Section 3 (Map 6.5). Molloy Manufacturing Company discharges a maximum of 0.2 mgd of noncontact cooling water from outfall 002 to Section 3 via Tesk and Harrington Drains. The outfall is monitored weekly for flow, temperature and pH and daily for unusual visual characteristics (Table 5.1.1). This discharge is unlikely to have a significant impact on the Clinton River.

5.4.1.2 Intermittent Point Source Dischargers

There are no intermittent municipal or industrial point source discharges to Section 3. There are no CSOs in Section 3.

5.4.2 Nonpoint Sources

5.4.2.1 Urban Stormwater

Urban stormwater from Section 3 watershed is a suspected source of pollutants but no direct loading data exist. (See Section 3.4.7, Table 3.4 for loadings developed by SEMCOG (1978)).

5.4.2.2 Rural and Suburban Runoff

Rural and suburban runoff loading data are not available for Section 3.

5.4.2.3 Industrial Site Runoff

Industrial site runoff loading data are not available for Section 3.

5.4.2.4 Landfills, Dumps, and Other Sites of Potential Environmental Contamination

Waste Disposal Sites

All six Section 3 watershed waste disposal sites are in Macomb County (Map 6.6). The Clinton River Road disposal area, M-97 Landfill, Fourteen Mile Road site and Louis Marsack and Sons disposal site are all Type 2 landfills which are closed and have no monitoring (Table 5.1.6). Three are in Clinton Township. The Grosse Pointe Clinton Reduction site is a closed incinerator which is not monitored. The Roseville South Macomb Disposal Authority in Roseville Township is an open site, but has no monitoring since it is only a transfer facility.

Act 307 Sites of Environmental Contamination

One Act 307 site is located in the Section 3 drainage area in Macomb County (Map 6.7). The Clinton River Road Disposal Area is a Group 2 site

which has been screened but not scored (Table 5.1.7). The resources potentially affected by phenols are groundwater and soils.

Hazardous Waste, Treatment, Storage or Disposal Sites

There is one active Hazardous Waste Treatment and Storage site in the Section 3 watershed, which is the U.S. Chemical Company in Roseville (Map 6.8). This site is six to eight miles from the Clinton River and, therefore, very unlikely to impact the River (Table 5.1.8).

5.4.2.5 Atmospheric Deposition

There is no information on atmospheric loadings to Section 3.

5.4.2.6 Sediments

Sediment loading data are not available for Section 3. See Section 5.6.2.4 for a discussion on sediment loadings to surface water. (See also Tables 4.8 through 4.12 for sediment data)

5.5 SECTION 2 - CLINTON RIVER SPILLWAY

5.5.1 Point Sources

The spillway watershed is primarily urban residential with no continuous or intermittent industrial or municipal point source discharges.

5.5.2 Nonpoint Sources

5.5.2.1 Urban Runoff

Urban runoff has not been quantified for Section 2. A rough estimation of urban stormwater is given in Section 5.3.2.1.

5.5.2.2 Rural and Suburban Runoff

No estimates of rural or suburban runoff are available.

5.5.2.3 Industrial Site Runoff

No estimates of industrial site runoff are available.

5.5.2.4 Landfills, Dumps and Other Sites of Potential Environmental Contamination

Waste Disposal Sites

There are no waste disposal sites in the Clinton River Section 2 watershed.

Sites of Environmental Contamination - Act 307 Sites

The only 307 site in the Section 2 watershed is the John March Gasoline Station at 16 Mile Road and Gratiot where an underground tank has leaked,

releasing benzene, toluene, and xylene to the land and groundwater (Map 6.7). It is a Group 2 site and the extent of contamination is unknown (Table 5.1.7).

Groundwater contaminant loadings are estimated as extremely small to none since there are no known sources in or near the spillway and groundwater water movement is slow due to soil type and very low soil permeability.

Hazardous Waste Treatment, Storage or Disposal Sites

There are no active hazardous waste treatment, storage or disposal sites in the Section 2 watershed.

5.5.2.5 Atmospheric Deposition

There are no data for atmospheric loadings.

5.5.2.6 Sediments

Contaminated sediments in the spillway may contribute a minimal amount of metals to the spillway water column, but these loadings have not been, and probably cannot be, quantified (See Section 5.6.2.4 Sediments and Tables 4.8 through 4.12 for sediment chemical data).

5.6 SECTION 1 - MAIN BRANCH OF THE CLINTON RIVER (NATURAL CHANNEL)
BETWEEN SPILLWAY AND THE MOUTH

5.6.1 Point Sources

5.6.1.1 Continuous Point Source Dischargers

The Mt. Clemens WWTP is the only continuous point source discharge to the Clinton River in Section 1 (Table 5.1.1) (Map 6.5). Sewage is treated by primary and secondary clarifiers and trickling filters, final clarification (two tanks), and chlorination. The sludge is digested and dried, then landfilled, used agriculturally, or held on plant property.

Mt. Clemens discharges 6 mgd from outfall 001 and up to 4 mgd from outfall 002, its wet weather facility. Parameters limited by the NPDES permit are shown in Table 5.1.1. Mt. Clemens final NPDES permit (effective April 1988) contains effluent limits for heavy metals and conventional pollutants from outfall 001 and some conventional pollutants from outfall 002. Groundwater monitoring around the wet weather facility will be required for several cations, nutrients (N and P), methylene blue active substances, chloride, specific conductance, and static elevation.

Results of the MDNR point source wastewater survey conducted at the Mt. Clemens WWTP on May 18, 1986 indicated that during the survey, the facility met their NPDES interim permit effluent limitations (Stone, 1987d). The self-monitoring data as reported in the facility's monthly operating report were similar to the survey data. During 1986, Mt. Clemens exceeded the interim limits for total suspended solids during 7 of the 12 months. Permitted and estimated loading from the Mt. Clemens WWTP are shown in Table 5.6.1.

Table 5.6.1 Permitted and Estimated Loadings from Mt. Clemens HHTP in Section 1, Main Branch of Clinton River between Spillway and Mouth.

| Conventional Loading | Annu Permitted lbs/y Interim* | al Loading | eaens HHTPX Annual Estimated Loading lbs/year | Loading 1bs/day | Conc. ug/ |
|------------------------------|--|---------------|---|----------------------|--------------|
| Suspended Solids | 618676 | 331826 | 342735 | 939 | |
| Dissolved Solids | | | 5 571725 | 15265 | |
| BOD~5 | 691680 | | 492750 | 1350 | |
| BOD-5(Carbon) | | 162110 | | | |
| COD | | | 1205895 | 3523 | |
| TOC | | | •2 89 080 | 79 2 10.27 | |
| NO2+NO3 | | 186750 | 9749 107164 | 293.6 | |
| NH3-N otal Kjel. Nitrogen | | 186,20 | 160600 | 293.6 440 | - |
| Total Phosphorus | | 18265 | 25733 | 70.5 | |
| Orthophosphorus | | 10200 | 15002 | 41.1 | |
| CN(total) | | | 13002 | 0.23 | |
| Cl | | | 121509 | 322.9 | |
| Na | | | 210897 | 557.8 | |
| Motal Loading | | | | | |
| Silver | | 7.3 | 110 | 0.3 | |
| Aluminum | | | 5694 | 15.6 | |
| Arsenic | | | 30,74 | | <2.5 |
| Bariya | | | 2967 | 0.13 | 12.0 |
| Berullium | • | £ | | | <1.0 |
| Cadmium | | 29 | 7 | 0.02 | |
| Cobalt | | | | | <10 |
| Chromium | | | 3 3 | 0.09 | |
| Hex. Chromium | | 1224 | * | | <5.0 |
| Copper | | | 321 | 0.88 | |
| Iron | | | 11023 | 30.2 | |
| Mercury | | | 7 | 0.02 | |
| Lithium | | | | | <0.0 |
| Menganese | | | 1059 | 2.9 | |
| Molybdenum Nickel | | | 442 62 | 1.21 0.17 | |
| Nickel Lead | | 456 | 215 | 0.17 | |
| Antimony | | 100 | 213 | 0.0. | <2.5 |
| Selenium | | | | | ₹2.5 |
| Titanium | | | | | <10 |
| Vanadium | | | | | <10 |
| Zinc | | 6155 | 976 | 2.4 | |
| Organic Loading | | | | | š . |
| Phthalates | | | 35 0 | 0.96 | |
| HCB | | | 0.037 | 0.0001 | |
| PCB | | | 6.69 | 0.0183 | |
| TCE | • | | 177 | 0.485 | |
| Toluene | | | 22 | 0.6 | |

N=Results based on sampling done May 18-19, 1987. Flow was measured at 3.52 million gallons per day, NN=Effective until April 1, NNH=Effective after April 1, 1

Mt. Clemens Toxics Substances Monitoring Report (TSMR) and pretreatment data indicated benzene in the effluent at 2 to 4 ug/1. Acrylonitrile was also present in one of five samples at 14 ug/1. Acrylonitrile is a monomer used in plastics synthesis which may or may not be polymerized in wastewater. When discharged, the polymerized acrylonitrile cannot be easily degraded by biological activity. Hexachlorobenzene (HCB) and PCB were also of concern since HCB and PCB were detected in sludge (Zabik et al, 1981) at 48.7 mg/kg and 6.11 mg/kg respectively. Hexachlorobenzene is found in wastewater from factories that synthesize organics, seed fungicides and wood preservatives. PCB was detected in the effluent at 2.5 ug/1 during a 1978 Point Source Study, but not in a 1980 survey (MDNR 1980). PCB was not analyzed in 1982 or 1984 but was detected again in 1986 at 0.624 ug/1 in the Mt. Clemens effluent (Stone 1987d).

The Great Lakes and Environmental Assessment Section, MDNR, has recommended short-term effluent (six-week) monitoring for HCB and PCB, quarterly monitoring for acrylonitrile, and one-time sludge analysis for these chemicals (MDNR 1987).

Mt. Clemens has had a pretreatment program in place since 1985, which requires certain industrial discharges to pretreat their waste before discharging to the municipal system. There are 549 non-domestic users in the Mt. Clemens service area of which 52 were sent questionaires based on flow and probability of the presence of contaminants. Of these industries, 25 are subject to categorical pretreatment standards but only 11 discharge process wastewater to the WWTP (Table 5.6.2). The three major significant non-domestic dischargers are shown in Table 5.6.3 (Mt. Clemens Pretreatment Program 1985).

Table 5.6.2. Significant or Major Non-Domestic Dischargers to the Mt. Clemens Wastewater Treatment Plant, 1987.

| Source | Address | Discharge |
|---------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------------|
| Mt. Clemens Coatings | 400 N. Groesbeck | sanitary, metals, organics, solvent |
| Mt. Clemens Vinyl | 151 Lafayette | sanitary, metals, organics, solvent |
| Mt. Clemens Car Wash | 317 Crocker | nutrients |
| Michigan Car Wash Equipment | 118-120 Grove Park | sanitary, nutrients |
| P.B.M. Company (Becon Plastics) | 126 N. Groesbeck | organics, phthalates |
| Schneider Laundry | 196 N. Groesbeck | sanitary, metals |
| Bedford Products, Inc. | 146 N. Groesbeck | sanitary, metals |
| Action Ind., Inc. | 101 S. Rose | sanitary, metals |

Table 5.6.2 Continued.

| Source | Address | Discharge | |
|----------------------|-------------------|----------------------------|--|
| Lube Power, Inc. | 25A N. Rose | sanitary, metals | |
| Minowitz Mfg. Co. | 138 N. Groesbeck | sanitary | |
| Action International | 261 Church Street | sanitary, metals, organics | |

Table 5.6.3 Major Significant Non-Domestic Dischargers to the Mt. Clemens WWTP.

| Name | Location | Process | Water Discharged to WWTP |
|-----------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Action International | 261 Church St. | Pottery Mfg. ceramic paints | 15,895 GPD |
| Mt. Clemens Coating Inc. | 400 Groesbeck Hwy. | Painting of auto parts | 178,000 GPD |
| Mt. Clemens Vinyl Plant | 151 Lafayette | Vinyl pro- duction | 53,000 GPD |

Mt. Clemens Coating Inc. was formerly Ford Motor Company - Paint and Vinyl Plant (Groesbeck Highway), Mt. Clemens Vinyl was formerly Ford Motor Company (Lafayette St.), and Action International was formerly Jamestown China Company.

5.6.1.2 Intermittent Point Sources - Combined Sewer Overflow

A combined sewer overflow is located at the retention basin directly across the river from the Mt. Clemens WWTP. This CSO is scheduled to be eliminated when the new WWTP is completed in 1988.

The retention basins were designed to eliminate overflows from 23 CSOs in Mt. Clemens and portions of Clinton and Harrison Townships. When storms in excess of the five-year high flow occur, the retention basin overflows. The overflows are chlorinated prior to discharge to the Clinton River. These overflows will be eliminated in late 1988. Overflows from this basin are regulated by the facility's NPDES permit through March 31, 1988. The retained combined sewage is treated at the Mt. Clemens WWTP at

up to 4 mgd before discharge to the Clinton River. No studies characterizing the quantity, quality or frequency of discharges or their impact on the River have been conducted.

There are no known intermittent industrial discharges in the Clinton River Section 1.

5.6.2 Nonpoint Sources

5.6.2.1 Urban Runoff

Urban runoff enters the Clinton River directly over land and through storm sewers. There are no direct data to determine urban runoff loadings to Section 1. Urban stormwater was estimated to account for 93% of the suspended solids, 66% of the BOD, 25% of the nitrogen and 62% of the total phosphorus along the main branch of the Clinton River between Pontiac and the mouth which includes Section 1 (Table 5.1.5).

5.6.2.2 Rural and Suburban Runoff

Rural and suburban runoff is probably insignificant since this area is rapidly urbanizing.

5.6.2.3 Industrial Site Runoff

No industrial runoff data are available for Section 1.

5.6.2.4 Landfills, Dumps and Other Sites of Potential Environmental Contamination

Waste Disposal Sites

One waste disposal site is located in Section 1 of the Clinton River watershed (Map 6.6). The Metropolitan Beach Incinerator site is closed and has no monitoring. Impact from this site is undetermined but is unlikely to impact the Clinton River (Table 5.1.6).

Act 307 Sites of Environmental Contamination

The Clinton River water and sediments in Section 1 with a score of 750, and Selfridge Air National Guard Base (SANG), with a score of 891, are listed as Group 1 sites. (Table 5.1.7) (Map 6.7). Statewide Act 307 scores range from 163 to 1085. NI/Mirrex Industries is the only Group 2 site in Section 1.

The Clinton River - 307 Site

The Clinton River from Mt. Clemens to the mouth is on the 307 list because of elevated concentrations of metals, oil and grease, and PCB in the sediments. These sediments are classified as moderately to heavily polluted according to the USEPA 1977 Dredge Spoil guidelines used to determine whether sediments can be disposed of in open waters of the Great Lakes.

The magnitude of contaminant loss from sediment particles to the overlying water is uncertain and dependent on a variety of factors including, but not limited to, particle size and type, resuspension potential, chemical bonding properties of the contaminant to the sediment particles, the solubility of the chemical in the water, redox potential, the concentration of the contaminant in the sediment, and the overlying water, presence of iron, sulfur and other materials, and the pH of the overlying water.

Several laboratory methods of estimating the metals released to the water column are compared in Table 5.6.4.

Two laboratory studies attempted to determine the heavy metal concentrations in the water under resuspension conditions such as dredging or motor boat operation to answer the questions of possible metals loading to water from sediments. Both attempts fell far short of ideal or representative river conditions which occur during sediment resuspension activities.

Elutriate analyses were performed by the USCOE on Clinton River sediments in 1983 (USCOE, 1983) (Table 5.6.5). This method will show higher water concentrations than those actually present in the river because (1) the 30 minutes of shaking in the lab is much longer than boat propeller disturbances or dredging operations in the field, (2) the four parts water, in the contaminants test is not being continually refreshed as is the case in the ambient river, (3) there may be some metals already in the ambient river water which would affect the dissociation of contaminants from the sediments.

Results of quadruplet EP Toxic Analyses performed by the MDNR at two sites in 1987 (Kenaga, 1988) are shown in Table 5.6.6, Figure 5.6.1. This method is even less representative of ambient conditions during sediment resuspension because of: (1) the drastic lowering of pH during the test (ambient river water pH is about 8.0), (2) the long period of shaking (24 hours), (3) the lack of refreshing water in the laboratory and (4) the use of deionized water instead of ambient water, which affects the partitioning of metals between dissolved and particulate phases (based on hardness).

Nevertheless, these data are helpful in estimating the relative magnitude that sediments may contribute to contamination of the overlying river water. The resultant concentrations would be most representative of the heavy metals in the sediment pore water or the microlayer immediately above the sediments rather than the whole river since dilution rapidly occurs as mixing occurs with the upper river water layers. Unfortunately, the EP Toxic tests were analyzed at high levels of detections so that cadmium, chromium, and lead were all reported as less than the detection limit of 0.100, 0.250 and 0.250 mg/l, respectively (Table 5.6.6). Copper averages were 0.0602 and 0.0616 mg/l, nickel averages were 0.3143 and 0.328 mg/l and zinc averages were 3.830 and 4.183 mg/l at stations COE 83-4 (sediment 113) and 83-7 (sediment 105), respectively.

As expected, elutriate results yielded lower metals concentrations with 0.025 mg/l for arsenic, 0.035 mg/l for cadmium, 0.005 mg/l for copper, 0.0085 mg/l for cyanide, 0.200 mg/l for iron, 0.040 mg/l for lead, 0.0002 mg/l for mercury, and 0.0188 mg/l for nickel.

Table 5.6.4. Comparison of various sediment analysis methods.

| Yeilds highest concentrations of metals | to | > | Lowest concentrations of metals |
|---|--|---|---|
| | | | : ELUTRIATE : |
| ! * 50 minutes ! | 24 Hours | ! 48 Hours ! | 1 30 minutes |
| << 1.0 | 5.0 +/- 0.2 | not maintained | not maintained |
| 50 : 1 | 20 : 1 | 4:1 | 4 1 1 |
| Distilled H2O | Deionized Water | Deionized Water | Site : |
| - I | • | • | |
| Ultra Nitric HC1 & H202 | Acetic | None | None |
| | concentrations of metals BULK SEDIMENT ANALYSIS * 50 minutes < 1.0 Distilled H20 Ultra Nitric | concentrations of metals BULK SEDIMENT: EP TOX TEST: ANALYSIS: (METHOD 1310) ** 50 minutes: 24 Hours 1.0</td <td>concentrations of metals BULK SEDIMENT EP TOX TEST LEACHATE ANALYSIS (METHOD 1310) PROCEDURE * 50 minutes 24 Hours 48 Hours << 1.0 5.0 +/- 0.2 not maintained 50 : 1 20 : 1 4 : 1 Distilled Deionized Deionized H20 Water Water -</td> | concentrations of metals BULK SEDIMENT EP TOX TEST LEACHATE ANALYSIS (METHOD 1310) PROCEDURE * 50 minutes 24 Hours 48 Hours << 1.0 5.0 +/- 0.2 not maintained 50 : 1 20 : 1 4 : 1 Distilled Deionized Deionized H20 Water Water - |

^{*} Sample is actually heated for this amount of time.

Table 5.6.5. Results of ELWTRIRTE tests on Clinton River sediments collected by the Corps of Engineers on September 22 1989, between Mt. Clemens and Lake St. Clair. Results are in mg/l.

| Contaminant | Station 1 | Station 2 | Station 3 | Station 4 | Station 5 | Station 6 | Station 7 | Station 0 | Hean | Std. Dov. | Rengo |
|-------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|---------|-----------|----------------|
| Arsenic | 0.001 | 0.002 | 0.003 | 0.003 | 0.003 | 0.003 | 0.002 | 0.003 | 0.0025 | 0.0000 | 0.001-0.003 |
| Cadnium | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.003 | 0.003 | 0.003 | 0.003 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.0035 | 0.0005 | 0.003-0.004 |
| Chroniun | <0.005 | <0.005 | <0.005 | <0.005 | <0.005 | <0.005 | <0.005 | <0.005 | <0.0050 | 0.0000 | |
| Copper | 0.005 | 0.005 | 0.005 | 0.005 | 0.005 | 0.005 | 0.005 | 0.005 | 0.0050 | 0.0000 | 0.000-0.005 |
| Cyani de | 0.010 | 0.010 | 0.030 | 0.030 | 0.370 | 0.030 | 0.120 | 0.090 | 0.0050 | 0.1211 | 0.010-0.370 |
| Iron | 1.200 | 0.320 | 0.170 | 0.057 | 0.120 | 0.035 | 0.220 | 0.120 | 0.2000 | 0.2920 | 1.200-0.120 |
| Load | . 0.060 | 0.050 | 0.040 | 0.040 | ●.020 | 0.030 | 0.040 | 0.040 | 0.0400 | 0.0119 | 0.020-0.060 |
| Hanganese | 0.340 | 0.910 | 0.136 | 0.070 | 9.057 | 0.140 | 0.077 | 0.004 | 0.0040 | 0.0290 | 0.070-0.004 |
| Hercury | 0.002 | 0.0002 | 0.0002 | 0.0002 | 8.0002 | 0.0002 | 0.0002 | 0.0002 | 0.0002 | 0.0000 | 0.000-0.0002 |
| Mickel | 0.010 | 0.010 | 0.010 | 6.010 | 0.020 | 0.020 | 0.030 | 0.040 | 0.0199 | 0.0113 | 0.010-0.020 |
| Oil/Grease | 5,000 | 40.000 | 140,000 | 370.000 | 620.000 | 260.000 | 300.000 | 600.000 | 291,875 | 232.562 | 5.000-600.0 |
| Total PCBs | HD | MD | HD | 0.00104 | 0.00109 | 0.00043 | 0.00076 | 0.00270 | 0.00079 | 0.0009 | <0.0002-0.0027 |

Midrin, a-BHC, b-BHC, d-BHC, g-BHC, chlordene, 4,4'-000, 4,4'-000, 4,4'-000, dieldrin, endosulfen I, endosulfen II, endosulfen sulfate, endrin, endrin aldehyde, heptachlor, heptachlor epoxide, and toxaphene sere all net detectable (0.0001).


Table 5.6.6. Results of EP TOXIC tests on Clinton River sediments collected by the NONR on February 10, 1907 at stations COE-03-4 and COE-03-7, between Mt. Clemens and Lake St. Clair. Results are in mg/l.

| | < | COE | 03-4 | > | < | COE | 83-7 | > | | | |
|-------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--------|-----------|-----------------|
| Contaninant | Station A | Station B | Station C | Station D | Station A | Station D | Station C | Station D | Hean | Std. Dev. | Range |
| Cadrilun | <0.100 | <0.100 | <0.100 | <0.100 | <0.100 | <0.100 | <0.100 | <0.100 | <0.100 | | |
| Chronium | <0.250 | <0.250 | <0.250 | <0.250 | <0.250 | <0.250 | <0.250 | <0.250 | <0.250 | | |
| Copper | 0.0564 | 0.0763 | 0.0495 | 0.0507 | 0.0570 | 0.0562 | 0.0570 | 0.0765 | 0.0610 | 0.0099 | 0.0495 - 0.0765 |
| Hickel | 0.303 | 0.346 | 0.319 | 0.357 | 0.311 | 0.316 | 0.359 | 0.326 | 0.334 | 0.022 | 0.303 - 0.359 |
| Lead | <0.250 | <0.250 | <0.250 | <0.250 | <0.250 | <0.250 | <0.250 | <0.250 | <0.250 | | |
| Zinc | 3.460 | 3,900 | 3.060 | 4.100 | 4.110 | 4.130 | 3.970 | 4.520 | 4.005 | 0.300 | 3.460 - 4.520 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |

< z less than
EP TORIC CRITERIA for mater in mg/l s Cadmium z 1.0, Chromium z 5.0, Copper z 100.0, Lead z 5.0, Zinc z 500.0

< = less than ID = not detectable (0.0002)

Figure 5.6.1. Sediment sampling locations, and the proposed and filled confined disposal facilities in and along the natural channel of the Clinton River, between Mt. Clemens and Lake St. Clair.



It is impossible to calculate metals loadings from sediments to water based on these data. Unfortunately, there is a paucity of Section I ambient metals water data. However, movements of metals are usually from water to sediments, which is why they are present in high concentrations in the sediments in the first place.

Periodically, sediment removal from portions of Section 1 have resulted in removal of some contaminants. The most recent recreational navigation channel dredging in the Clinton River downstream of the Cass Street Bridge in Mt. Clemens was completed by the Army Corp of Engineers in 1979 (ACOE 1987). Dredged spoils were placed in a 1.3 hectare (3.3 acre) confined disposal facility (CDF) near the river mouth (Figure 5.6.1). Dredging occurred between 1964 and 1979 via bucket and hydraulic methods and pumped to the CDF which was filled in 1979 (USACOE 1987).

There has been no monitoring of the CDF for possible leakage into Lake St. Clair. Sediment in the CDF may contain higher concentrations than sediments currently in the channel due to reductions in Clinton River contaminant loadings over the past decade.

Selfridge Air National Guard Base 307 Site

Selfridge Air National Guard Base occupies approximately 3,727 acres adjacent to the Clinton River and Lake St. Clair in Macomb County (Figure 5.6.2). Seven areas have been identified as contaminanted with the potential for releasing contaminants to the environment (R. Weston, personal communication 1986).

The following paraphase comes from the conclusions of the Phase II, Stage I Final Draft Report (R. Weston, 1986):

HYDROGEOLOGY

A confined or semiconfined aquifer occurs within 15 feet of the Selfridge ANGB in Pieistocene-age, unconsolidated sediments of glacial, lacustrine, and fluvial origin.

The aquifer(s) are the only significant source of potable groundwater in Macomb County. Yields from wells in these sediments are less than 10 gallons per minute with relatively thin production layers of sand and gravel at depths greater than 25 feet.

At the time of installation, saturated materials were encountered 8 to 14 feet below land surface. The static water levels in all of the base monitoring wells stabilized within 5 feet of the land surface.

Groundwater in the upper unconsolidated sediments flows towards Lake St. Clair away from the Clinton River (Figure 5.6.3). Local variations in groundwater flow direction may be induced by backfilled excavations and local topographic depressions.




Figure 5.6.2 Landfills and Disposal Sites at the Selfridge Air National Guard Base, Harrison Township, Macomb County, Michigan 1986.

Source: Roy F. Weston 1986




Figure 5.6.3 Groundwater flow direction at the Selfridge Air National Guard Base, Harrison Township, Macomb County, Michigan 1986.

Source: Roy F. Weston 1986

The low permeability of lacustrine clays at or near the land surface of the Base minimizes the potential for contamination of underlying aquifers. However, because surface water bodies are near, the potential for contaminant migration via surface runoff and/or groundwater flow is moderate to high (Figure 5.6.4).

WATER QUALITY

Soluble copper at each of the landfills and soluble cadmium at the Southwest Landfill are the only contaminants exceeding drinking water standards.

Soils and groundwater beneath and adjacent to the East and West Ramps have moderate to high contamination, suggesting that contaminants are associated with fuel handling and storage activities.

Elevated total organic carbon, chemical oxygen demand, phenols, petroleum, hydrocarbon, soluble copper and cadmium, and volatile organic chemicals in the western portion of the Southwest Sanitary Landfill indicate a contamination source in this area. Analyses of surface water samples from this site suggest that leachate from this landfill is affecting the quality of the adjacent surface water. Total organic carbon levels in the three ponds ranged from 6.8 to 11.5 mg/l, and chemical oxygen demand levels ranged from 27 to 42 mg/l. Soluble copper levels ranged from 13 to 34 ug/l. It is presumed that these ponds eventually discharge to the Clinton River.

Elevated TOC, phenol, and petroleum hydrocarbon concentrations in water samples from Fire Training Area-2 indicate that the aquifer beneath this facility is contaminated. The low permeability clays have probably prevented severe subsurface contamination at this site.

Subsurface contamination exists beneath, and adjacent to, the Northwest and Tucker Creek Landfills and Fire Training Area-1. Existing water quality information is not sufficient to determine the nature, extent, or severity of contamination.

Elevated COD levels in monitoring wells around the Base landfills suggest that anaerobic conditions requisite for methane generation are present at each site, but existing information is not adequate to assess the potential for methane accumulation.

It is suspected, on the basis of contamination in the upgradient well at Tucker Creek Landfill, that a fraction of the contaminants in runoff from the ramps, runways, and industrial operation areas may concentrate in the soils and groundwater near the drainage system catch basins.

"Based on the Phase II Stage I Investigation the site priority ranking is as follows:




Figure 5.6.4 Surface Drainage Pattern at the Selfridge Air National Guard Base, Harrison Township, Macomb County, Michigan 1986.

Source: Roy F. Weston 1986

- 1. Southwest Sanitary Landfill
- 2. West Ramp
- 3. East Ramp
- 4. Fire Training Area -2
- 5. Tucker Creek Landfill
- 6. Northwest Landfill
- 7. Fire Training Area -1

The final work plan for the Remedial Investigation feasibility study was completed in October of 1987. Followup studies began in December of 1987 and work is ongoing as of October 1988.

c. NI/Mirrex Industries 307 Site

As the single Group 2 307 site in Section 1, NI/Mirrex Industries has spilled paints and paint products from barrels and cans onto the ground (Map 6.7). Some materials entered the air while others entered the ground and may potentially affect the groundwater (Table 5.1.7).

Hazardous Waste Treatment, Storage or Disposal Sites

There are two hazardous waste storage facilities within Section 1 of the Clinton River Watershed (Table 5.1.8). These sites are Safety Kleen Inc., which has proposed to close, and Selfridge Air National Guard Base, which was previously described (Map B).

5.6.2.5 Atmospheric Deposition

Atmospheric deposition has not been documented in Section 1.

5.6.2.6 Sediments

See Section 5.6.2.4 Clinton River 307 site.

5.7 Spills Reported Through the Pollution Emergency Alerting System

The Michigan Department of Natural Resources has a Pollution Emergency Alerting System (PEAS) which receives reports of all types of spills, accidents, discharges, and problems related to pollution reported by concerned citizens. In November 1986, MDNR reviewed all PEAS reports for the Clinton River Watershed between January 1, 1984 and October 31, 1986. These data show the discharges from a variety of different sources including industries, municipalities, and individuals (Table 5.7.1). GMC's Pontiac Motor Division had the most reports (four) of oil and chemical discharges, followed by the Ford Motor Company, now Mt. Clemens Coatings (three reports). Fifty-four percent of 62 reports dealt with fuel oil or oil discharges, and totaled 2,216 gallons for the known amounts. Twelve percent of the reports were for sewage discharges and five percent were related to chemicals. The remaining reports were other substances which are specifically listed in the footnotes of Table 5.7.1. The impact of these spills upon Clinton River sediment and water quality and biota are unknown.

TABLE 5.7.1 POLLUTION EMERGENCY ALERTING SYSTEM REPORTS in the Clinton River Watershed, 1984 - 1986

This table summarizes the Pollution Emergency Alerting System reports for materials discharged directly into ditches, streams, or rivers in the Clinton River Basin between January 1, 1984 and October 31, 1986.

| | | | | | | | C |
|-------------------|-------|-----------------|---|---------------|------------|-----|-------------------------|
| | | | | | *. | | H |
| | | | | | | | E |
| | | | | | | S | M |
| | | | | | | E | I O |
| | | | | | F | W | C T |
| | | | | | | 0 A | A H |
| | | | 2.427.62 | AOL | ΕI | I G | L E |
| WATER B | DDY | PEAS # | SOURCE | <u>(gal)</u> | <u>LL</u> | L E | S R |
| | | 3812-84 | • | ? | | | |
| | ton R | 3796-8 4 | ; • | ; ? | | xl | |
| 2. Clint 3. Clint | | 3740-84 | Ford Motor Co. Drain | | | X2 | |
| | | 3143-84 | rord notor to. brain | ? | | ^ | % 3 |
| | | 3006-84 | Amoco gas station | ~150 | x 4 | | A - |
| | | 3381-84 | 7 | ? | Α. | x | |
| 6. Clint | _ | 2949-84 | • | ; | | ^ | x 3 |
| 8. Clint | | 2751-84 | · • | ż | | x | • |
| 9. Clint | | 1356-84 | ż | , , | | x | |
| 10. Clint | | 770-84 | Rottee Septic Service | ? | | x | |
| 11. Clint | | 1279 -84 | 7 | , , | | x | |
| 12. Clint | | 735-84 | Pontiac Motor Division | n -75 | | × | |
| 13. Clint | | 595-84 | Resident, Sterling Ht | | x | - | |
| 14. Clint | | 667-84 | ? | 1 | x | | |
| 15. Clint | | 177 -84 | Pontiac Motor Div. Co | - | | | x |
| 16. Clin | | 1562-86 | Macomb County Drain C | | | x | |
| 17. Clint | | 242-84 | Landfill ("overflowing | | | - | x ⁵ |
| 18. Clint | | 3566-84 | Beyers Towing & Junky | | x 4 | | |
| 19. Clint | | 3489-86 | ? | ? | | x | |
| 20. Clint | | 2781 -86 | Beritage Manufacturin | ug ? | | | ×6 |
| | on R | 2260 -86 | Rappie Septic Service | | | x | |
| 30. Clint | | 2279-86 | EMTS Leasing | 10 | x7 | | |
| 31. Clint | _ | 1948-86 | ? | 20 | x4 | | |
| 32. Clint | on R | 1707-86 | ? | ? | | | X8 |
| 33. Clint | on R | 974-86 | ? | ? | | | e _X |
| 34. Clint | on R | . 977-86 | ? | ? | | | X5 |
| 35. Clint | on R | 577-86 | Porta-John Co. | ? | | | x |
| 36. Clint | on R | 1272 86 | unknown sewer system | ? | x1 0 | | |
| 37. Clint | on R | 1470-86 | Rattie Farm | ? | 2 | × | |
| 38. Clint | on R | 975-86 | 2 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | ? | | | X3 |
| 39. Clint | on R | 984-86 | ? | ? | | | ×4 |
| 40. Clint | on R | 139-86 | ? | ., <u>?</u> , | | x | |
| 41. Clint | on R | 126-86 | ? | ? | | × | |
| 42. Clint | | 179-86 | | ? | | | x ^{1 1} |
| 42. Clint | | 214-86 | | ? | | × | |
| 43. Clint | on R | 415-86 | Pontiac West Mfg C | M 3,000 | | | x |

TABLE 5.7.1 POLLUTION EMERGENCY ALERTING SYSTEM REPORTS (continued)

| | | | | | | | . (| 3 |
|--------------------------------------|------------------|---------------------------|--------------|------------|-----|--------|------|----------|
| | | | | | | | E | 3 |
| | | | | | | | _ | Ī. |
| | | | | | | | S | 1 - |
| | • | \$ | | | F | | E I | <u> </u> |
| | • | | | | UO. | ^ | W C | |
| | | | | VOL | EI | 0 I | GL | |
| WATER BODY | PEAS # | SOUR | CE | (gal) | LL | Ĺ | ES | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| 44. Clinton R | 786-85 | ? | | ? | | | | × |
| 45. Clinton R | 922-85 | ? | | 30 | x | | | |
| 46. Clinton R | 54-85 | ? | | ? | | | | X. |
| 47. Clinton R | 528-85 407-85 | ? | | ? | | x | | |
| 48. Clinton R 49. Clinton R | 514-85 | | | 30 50 | x4 | | | |
| 50. Clinton R | 2734-85 | Rochester W | ひずり | · ? | | x | _ | |
| 51. Clinton R | 2675-85 | 2 | MIE | ? | | x . | × | |
| 52. Clinton R | 2673-85 | • | | ? | x | • | • | |
| 53. Clinton R | 3635-85 | ? | | ÷ | | x | | |
| 54. N. Br. Clin. | 748-84 | Paint Creek | Cider Mill | ? | | _ | | x1 |
| 55. N. Br. Clin. | 2757-84 | ? | | ? | | | x | |
| 56. N. Br. Clin. | 221-85 | ? | | ~20,000 | | | x | |
| 57. N. Br. Clin. | 222-85 | ? | | 10,000+ | | | x | |
| 58. N. Br. Clin. | 359 -85 | ? | | ? | | | x | |
| 59. N. Br. Clin. | 2431-85 | | | ? | | | x | |
| 60. N. Br. Clin. | 2677 -85 | ? | | ? | | | | хt |
| 61. Coon Creek | 1235-85 | ? | | ? | | | | x |
| 62. East Pond Crk | | Storm pond | | ? | | X | | |
| 63. East Pond Crk | | Ford Motor | Company | <20 | | X | 1 6 | |
| 64. East Pond Crk 65. Red Run Drn | 996-84 | Romeo WWTP U-Haul Rent | _1 | 8,000 ? | | | X1 5 | 1 |
| 66. Red Run Drn | 1344 -84 | O-Daul Rent | <u>.</u> | • | | | | x1 |
| 67. Red Run Drn | 2227 - 84 | • | | • | | X X | | |
| 68. Bear Creek | 509-84 | • • | | ? | | x | | |
| 69. Bear Creek | 1910-84 | Hydromatic | Warren Plana | | x | ~ | | |
| 70. Bear Creek | 3084-84 | GM Technica | | ~30 | | x | | |
| 71. Bear Creek | 3682-84 | ? | | ? | x | | | |
| 72. Bear Creek | 37-85 | ? | | ? | | x | | |
| 73. Harrington Dr | 759-84 | ? | | ? | | x | | |
| 74. Harrington Dr | 773-84 | ? | | ? | | x | | |
| 75. Galloway Crk | 3801-84 | | nting Co. (? | | | | | × |
| 76. Galloway Crk | 3879-84 | Oakland Con | struction Co | · ? | | | | x1 |
| 77. Galloway Lake | 41-85 | ? | | ? | | | x | . • |
| 78. McBride Drn | 2199-84 | ? | | ? | | | | x1: |
| 79. McBride Drn | 3741-85 | | isp'l Auth't | | | | | X. |
| 80. Beaver Crk | 241-86 | TRW Plant | | ? ~200 | | x | | |
| 81. Plumbrook Drn | 2221-22 | Conrail | | ~200 | x | | | |

TABLE 5.7.1 POLLUTION EMERGENCY ALERTING SYSTEM REPORTS (continued)

| | | | | • | | | | H |
|------------|---|------------------|---------------------------|------------|------------|---|--------|---|
| | | | | • | | | _ | E |
| | | | | | | | S | M |
| | | | | | F | | E | C |
| | | | | | ŪO | 0 | М Д | A |
| | | • | | VOL | ΕI | Ĭ | Ĝ | L |
| WAT | ER BODY | PEAS # | SOURCE | (gal) | LL | Ĺ | E | S |
| | - · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 275 00 | Read Makes Bl. 1 . 1 | | , | | | |
| | Greiner Drn? | 375-86 492-84 | Ford Motor Plant's Tank | 1,000 | | | | x |
| | Murphy Drain | 3032-86 | | ? | | X | | |
| 84. 85. | | 1524-86 | | í | | X | | |
| 86. | | 2165-85 | Little Round Jug Restrnt | í 10+ | | X | | |
| | | 1923-85 | nicite wound jug restrict | 10+ | | | X | |
| 87. | Sylvan Lake | 3604-85 | ; 2 | • | | | | |
| | Sylvan Lake | 842-86 | ; 2 | ; 2 | X . | | | |
| 90. | | 3828-85 | High School Bus Garage | : 20+ | X | | | |
| 91. | | 2244-86 | night school bus darage | 20+ | x4 x4 | | • . | |
| 92. | | 1538-86 | • | • | X. | | | |
| | Elizabeth L | 831.85 | • | • | | _ | | |
| | Macyday Lake | | Unknown Chemical Truck | • | | X | | |
| 95. | | 469-85 | ournoun chemical liner | • | | _ | | x |
| 96. | | 1106-85 | • | 300 | x4 | X | | |
| 97. | | 504-84 | Armstrong Screw Products | ? | Α, | | | |
| 98. | | 1967-85 | Place Machine Corp. | 55 | | x | | |
| 99. | | 3154-84 | Pontiac Motors Division | <10 | x 4 | • | | |
| 100. | | | Machine Inc. | 2 | • | x | | |
| 101. | | 1777-85 | GM CPC Pontiac | ? | | x | | |
| 102. | | 905-84 | ? | ; | • | x | | |
| 103. | | 391-86 | Ford Motor Co. | <15 | X 3 | ^ | | |
| 104. | | 1566-86 | ? | 2 | | x | | |
| 105. | • | 2119-86 | Clinton Tool Co. | 1,000 | | x | | |
| 106. | | 1916-86 | Vesitube Company | 7,300 | | × | | |
| 107. | | 2230-86 | ? | ż | | x | | |
| 108. | <u> </u> | 2301-86 | C & A Builders | 300 | x | - | | |
| 109. | | 1120-85 | Coach Works Collision Shp | | •• | | | |
| 110. | | 1824-85 | ? | ? | | x | | |
| 111. | | 1824-85 | ? | ? | | x | | |
| 112. | Unknown Crk | 1749-85 | ? | ? | | × | | |
| | | • | | | | | | |

^{1.} red-green color and oil-smell to water 2. muskrats covered with oil 4. gasoline 3. fish dead and dying 5. read and black leachate

^{6.} steel shavings

^{7.} diesel fuel

^{8.} unknown white substance

^{10.} metal chips 9. "white water" 12. cement 13. detergent and apple pulp

^{11. &}quot;hazardous wastes"
14. damming of river


^{15.} digested swr sludge 16. foamy-like soap suds and gas 17. "contaminated" dirt 18. leachate 19. green fil 20. beige paint (?) 21. black substance


^{19.} green film on lake


^{20.} beige paint (?)


Where responsible parties are identified, the Detroit District Office follows up to see that the spills are cleaned up by the responsible party. Otherwise, where significant environmental damage may be imminent, the State will do the clean up and search for the sources. Eventually, some sites may be listed on the Act 307 sites of environmental contamination list.


6. MAPS






























`,







CLINTON RIVER REMEDIAL ACTION PLAN -1988 n= 401511 CLINTON RIVER DRAINAGE BASIN TED SQUARE MILES March . Figure 6.7 MAP A -POTENTIAL SITES OF ENVIRONMENTAL CONTAMINATION AS DESIGNATED UNDER ACT 307. OF 1982 278



And the state of t







7. HISTORICAL RECORD OF REMEDIAL ACTIONS

7.1 COMPLETED ACTIONS

This section provides information on construction, management practices, administrative action, and legal actions taken to improve water quality in the Clinton River watershed. It also summarizes costs and sources of funds for some of these activities. Where actions have resulted in the restoration of designated uses, the benefits derived and uses restored are noted.

7.1.1 Construction of Wastewater Treatment Facilities and Collection System Improvements

The greatest factors in the recovery of Clinton River stream quality were the elimination of some discharges, the construction of new municipal WWTPs, the upgrading of others and the expansion and extension of collection facilities. Numerous southern lower Clinton River basin communities began discharging their industrial and residential effluents to the Detroit WWTP through the Clinton-Oakland interceptor (1972) and the Macomb Sanitary District in the mid-1970s (Peterson 1986) (See list in Chapter 3). By 1986, about 75 percent of the Clinton watershed population was served by the Detroit Wastewater Treatment Plant, which has removed an enormous wasteload from the Clinton River during dry weather (Peterson 1986). Overflows from the Southeast Oakland County Sewage Disposal System (SOCSDS) continue to discharge to Red Run during heavy wet weather.

Table 7.1 shows that \$378 million of grant eligible construction has taken place in the Clinton River Basin since 1972. Of this amount, \$229 million has come from federal grants and \$50 million from State Clean Water Bond Fund grants with the remaining \$99 million from local communities.

The remaining wastewater treatment plants with discharges to the Clinton River have all been upgraded, or are planning to upgrade.

7.1.1.1 Improvements In the Area of Concern

In the mid-1970s, the City of Mt. Clemens built an interceptor for combined sewage to prevent overflows and a wet weather flow retention basin and treatment facility. The Mt. Clemens WWTP continues to discharge secondary effluent to the Clinton River. Completion of the tertiary section of this facility is scheduled for December 1988.

7.1.1.2 Improvements In the Source Area of Concern

Improvements in the Pontiac WWTP have resulted in noticeable improvements in downstream water quality, largely due to nutrient reductions. In 1975, Pontiac added phosphorus removal facilities to its East Boulevard Treatment Facility. These projects were funded by Federal Step 3 grants in 1973 and 1975 amounting to over \$18 million. (C. Sutfin, USEPA Region V, personal communication 1986). In 1977, secondary effluent from East

Table 7.1. CONSTRUCTION GRANTS ACTIVITIES - CLINTON RIVER BASIN, 1972 - 198 (All dollar amounts represented in millions [M])

TREATMENT FACILITIES

| NO BEOTECI | NAME | FEDERAL GRANT | STATE GRANT | LOCAL FUNDS | TOTAL* |
|--|---|----------------------|-------------|-------------|--------|
| 1304 | Warren Retention Basin | 3.44 | 1.56 | 1.25 | 6.25 |
| 1399-01 | Warren AWT Treatment Facility | 3.92 | 1.96 | 1.43 | 7.31 |
| 2220-01 | Pontiac (included phosphorus removal)-AFT | 7.91 | .53 | 2.11 | 10.55 |
| 2332-01 | Pontisc, CSO sever separation, trunk severs | 10.64 | .71 | 2.84 | 14.19 |
| 2491-02 | Mr. Clemens upgrade STP, rehab of CS phosphorus removal | 5.20 | .35 | 1.39 | 6.94 |
| 2088-01 | Mt. Clemens, CSO Retention Basin, interceptor, outfall sewer, chlorination facilities | 9.35 | .62 | 2.44 | 12.46 |
| 2495-03 | Romeo, sever remab. STP upgrade/ expansion | 4.89 | .33 | 1.30 | 6.52 |
| 2846-02 | Armada (currently under constr.) Sequence batch reactor STP | 2.18 | | 1.21 | 3.39 |
| 3399-02 | Almost (currently under constr.) upgrade/expand WWTP - sewer separation, rehab. | 1.96 | | 1.42 | 3.3 |
| SUBTOTALS *estimated (does not include non-grant eli | | 49.49 Lgible cost | 6.06 Es) | 15.44 | 70.99 |

INTERCEPTORS TO TREATMENT+

Oakland County

| PROJECT | HAME | FEDERAL GRANT | STATE GRANT | LOCAL FIRMS | TOTAL* |
|---------|--|------------------|-------------|-------------|--------|
| 1549 | Paint Creek (+Decroit) | 4.14 | 1.88 | 1.50 | 7.52 |
| 1609 | Pontiac Township (+Pontiac) | 1.09 | .50 | .40 | 1.99 |
| 1533 | Southeast Oakland County SDS (+Detroit) | 11.74 | 5.34 | 4.27 | 21.35 |
| 1550 | Waterford Township (+Detroit) | 1.03 | .47 | .37 | 1.87 |
| 2208 | Clarkston/Independence Township (+Detroit) | .84 | .38 | .31 | 1.53 |

Table 7.1. cont.

Macomb County

| Project No | NAME | FEDERAL GRANT | STATE GRANT | LOCAL FUNDS | TOTAL* | |
|---------------|--|------------------|-------------|---|--------|--|
| 3282-02 | Bruce Township (+Romeo) (Includes collection sewer construction costs) | | <u>.06</u> | | 1.29 | |
| SUBTOTALS | | 19.81 | 8.63 | 7.11 | 35.55 | |
| INTERCEPTO | DRS CONSTRUCTED BY DETROIT TO SERVE | : | | | | |
| Macomb Con | 1867 | | | | | |
| PROJECT | NAME | FEDERAL GRANT | STATE GRANT | LOCAL FUNDS | TOTAL* | |
| 1146 | 15 MILE Interceptor | 1.10 | .50 | .50 | 2.10 | |
| 2382-02 | North Interceptor - East Arm | 32.43 | 2.16 | 8.63 | 43.24 | |
| 2920-51 | Romeo Arm Interceptor (15 Mile/Eayes Break) | 3.65 | .24 | .97 | 4.86 | |
| Oakland Co | ouncy | | | *** ********************************** | | |
| PROJECT NO | NAME | FEDERAL GRANT | STATE GRANT | LOCAL FUNDS | TOTAL* | |
| 1109 | Oakland Interceptor | 13.61 | 6.18 | 4.95 | 24.74 | |
| BOTE COUNTIES | | | | | | |
| 1387 | **Macomb, Corridor, Oakland Interceptors and N.E. Pump Station | 25.67 | 11.67 | 7.78 | 45.12 | |
| 1896 & 207 | 6 **North Interceptor (2 grants) | 38.94 | 6.93 | 11.47 | 57.34 | |
| SUBTOTALS | | 115.40 | 27.68 | 34.32 | 177.40 | |

^{*}Estimated (does not include non-grant eligible costs)

** Costs represent those portions of subject grant project impacting Macomb and Oakland Counties.

Table 7.1. cont.

COLLECTING SEWER CONSTRUCTION

| Macomb Co | <u>un 67</u> | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|--------------------|-----------|--------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|
| PROJECT | NAME | | FEDERAL GRANT | STATE GRANT | LOCAL FENDS | TOTAL* |
| 2490-02 | Washington/Macomb | Townships | 6.70 | .45 | 1.79 | 8.94 |
| Oakland C | ounty | | • | | | |
| Project | NAME | | FEDERAL GRANT | STATE GRANT | LOCAL FUNDS | TOTAL* |
| 2787-03 | West Bloomfield To | ownship | 5.57 | .37 | 1.49 | 7.43 |
| 2800-03 2800-05 2800-06 | Waterford Townshi | | 22.35 | 1.50 | 5.96 | 29.81 |
| 2788-03 | Avon Township | | 9.96 | .66 | 2.64 | 13.22 |
| CS-062 | Lake Orion | | | 1.00 | 2.92 | 3.92 |
| CS-112 | Orion Township | | | 1.00 | 8.87 | 9.87 |
| CS-114 | Oxford Township | | | .65 | 5.70 | 6.35 |
| CS-113 | Pontiac Township | | | 1.00 | 4.81 | 5.81 |
| CS-264 | Clarkston | | | .27 | .66 | |
| CS-268 | Independence Towns | ship | | .17 | 5.86 | 6.03 |
| CS-269 | Oxford | | | 67 | 1.46 | 2.13 |
| SUBTOTALS | | | 44.54 | 7.74 | 42.16 | 94.44 |
| GRAND TOT | <u>us</u> | | FEDERAL GRANT \$229.24 M | STATE GRANT \$50.11 H | LOCAL FUNDS \$99.03 M | <u>TOTAL*</u> \$378.38 M |

Boulevard was diverted to the Auburn Road plant, which provides tertiary treatment through mixed media filtration and effluent polishing. In the late 1970's, Pontiac also undertook a sewer separation project in the City's northwest corner to eliminate combined sewer overflows (SEMCOG 1978b).

The Village of Almont upgraded their WWTP to a secondary facility in the early 1980s and is presently finishing a sewer separation project.

The City of Rochester installed facilities to improve treatment plant reliability in the late 1970's.

The City of Romeo constructed an advanced wastewater treatment facility that went on line in the early 1980's. A grant for this project was closed out in 1985.

The WWTP for the City of Armada is currently under construction to achieve secondary treatment.

The City of Warren has an advanced wastewater treatment facility completed in the early 1980s.

7.1.2 <u>Industrial Wastewater Pretreatment</u>

Since the 1970s, redirection of industrial discharges to WWTPs has reduced wasteloads to the Clinton River system. In most cases, process wastewater is discharged to a municipal system, leaving only noncontact cooling water and stormwater discharges to Clinton River. Municipalities have subsequently required industries to pretreat so their effluent will not disrupt treatment plant operations.

MDNR approved industrial pretreatment programs in 1985 for Mt. Clemens, Pontiac, Rochester, Warren, and Romeo. The requirement for pretreatment at Armada was rescinded because only one industry discharges to the WWTP (MDNR and USEPA, 1986). Almont did not require a pretreatment program since it receives no industrial discharges. See Chapter 5 for further discussion on pretreatment.

7.1.3 Constructed Combined Sewer Overflow Projects

The Combined Sewer Overflow (CSO) control projects which have been completed and have had major influences on improved Clinton River water quality are listed below.

- The Southeast Oakland County Sewage Disposal System Pollution Control Facility described in Chapter 5
- Mt. Clemens Retention & Treatment Facility described in Chapter 5
- * Pontiac and Mt. Clemens sewer separation projects described in Chapter 5

A Michigan CSO policy is currently under development. In 1986, the Water Resources Commission appointed a CSO Policy Committee to work with DNR staff to develop a Strategy for CSO control. This Strategy, proposed in May, 1987, suggests a two-phased approach. Phase I calls for immediate improvements in operation and maintenance of combined sewer systems plus data collection while revisions to the applicable state regulations are promulgated. Phase II requires development and implementation of a long-term plan for system control consistent with the revised rules. The Strategy will identify needed facilities, schedule construction and provide for funding. It is suggested that there be assurance that once the strategy is approved, more stringent requirements will not be imposed for 20 years unless there is conclusive case specific evidence warranting such an imposition. It remains to be seen how these evolving policies will apply to the existing CSO control facilities on the Clinton River.

7.1.4 Stormwater and Runoff Pollution Control Measures

Remedial actions directed toward reducing contaminants to the Clinton River from stormwater and surface runoff included structural and non-structural measures. Over the past 20 years there has been a gradual movement away from single purpose, localized provision of stormwater channels or sewers for flood control to more integrated management systems of land use regulation, on-site structural measures such as retention or detention basins, and preservation of open spaces.

Since the early 1970s, the Oakland and Macomb County Drain Commissioners have been requiring stormwater detention in new developments in the upper watershed reaches. Detention requirements are counter-productive in downstream reaches where severe flooding potential exists and delayed release could coincide with the peak flow from upstream.

In addition, drain commissioners traditionally oriented toward flood control have been administering soil erosion control permits since passage of the Michigan Soil Erosion and Sedimentation Control Act in 1976.

The regional 208 plan "Water Quality Management for Southeast Michigan" was developed and adopted in 1978 by SEMCOG. The plan included numerous background assessment reports which were the basis for recommendations for institutional arrangements, municipal wastewater treatment facilities, nonpoint source management and waste management (SEMCOG, 1978a). Plan implementation steps have included:

- Adoption of a sewer service areas map to guide decisions of all levels of government on sewer extensions
- Establishment of the Areawide Water Quality Board a 27 member regional board which meets quarterly and engages in conflict resolution of regional issues; develops policy positions to guide agency reviews of new developments, state and federal grants and permits; and provides recommendations on state legislation, agency programs, and enforcement actions.

- Designated Management Agency agreements which clarified the status-quo of agency roles/responsibilities
- Educational materials for local governments such as:
 - 1980 "Water Quality Guidelines for Development Plan Reviewers: A Handbook for Local Officials in Southeast Michigan"
 - 1983 Series of Technical Bulletins highlighting local government programs for water quality protection such as stormwater management planning, use of the natural drainage system, detention basin maintenance, septics management, cluster development, conservation easements, performance zoning, site plan reviews and groundwater protection strategies
- Technical Assistance Projects as state grants have been available such as:
 - 1981 Effective Stormwater Management Programs: Case Studies of Local Government Experiences
 - 1980 Institutional Alternatives for Septic System Maintenance Districts in Southeast Michigan
 - 1982 Genoa Township Policy Plan for Groundwater Protection
 - 1984 Environmental Standards for Site Plan Review in Springfield
 Township
 - 1984 Local Roles in the Groundwater Strategy for Michigan

In 1981, SEMCOG compiled information from the 208 planning work relevant to the Clinton River Watershed in the report "River Basin Management Strategy Framework for the Clinton River Basin". This report addressed management of point sources, septic tanks, groundwater protection, stormwater control, erosion control, wetlands protection, and agricultural problems.

In 1979, the Clinton River Watershed Council (CRWC) proposed a strategy for stormwater management in urbanizing watersheds, which was supported by the state and Great Lakes Basin Commission for federal funding. This project was completed in three phases 1981 through 1987 with EPA 205(j) funding through the MDNR. Products included a Stormwater Management Assessment Report for the basin, a Technical Assistance Directory and a "Guide for Stormwater Management for Michigan Communities" (CRWC, 1987). Work with three pilot municipalities produced local Master Stormwater Policy Plans and a Stormwater and Erosion Control Ordinance prescribing on-site stormwater control requirements, a precedent in Michigan.

In 1984, the CRWC also produced a stormwater primer to provide lay officials with the background needed to participate in management decision making along with the planners and engineers (CRWC and DNR Engineering and Water Management). Major emphasis in the 1987 Guide to Management of Stormwater Runoff is on erosion control, use of wetlands for stormwater management, on-site management for multiple purposes and maintenance of the stormwater system.

SEMCOG received a US EPA National Urban Runoff Program (NURP) grant for 1979-81 to evaluate (in cooperation with the Oakland County Drain

Commissioner) the effectiveness of previously constructed stormwater detention structures in Troy and of modifications in these structures on pollutant discharges. Since then, state-of-the-art guidance on urban runoff control and design of detention basins for water quality control has been provided by EPA in "Results of the Nationwide Urban Runoff Program, Final Report 1983" and "Methodology for Analysis of Detention Basins for Control of Urban Runoff Quality, September, 1986." SEMCOG participated in the development of the Michigan Nonpoint Strategy. With DNR, SEMCOG published the Michigan Urban Nonpoint Source Pollution Control Strategy in 1985.

The Drain Commissions and the US Army Corps of Engineers have been involved in several flood control planning studies and projects which also may impact water and habitat quality in the AOC. These projects relate to alleviating flooding and siltation due to the influence of the weir and spillway on water, habitat quality, and sedimentation in the natural channel of the Clinton River downstream from the spillway. The City of Mt. Clemens funded a dredging project in 1961 at Shadyside Park to allow river flow to continue down the Main Branch and to alleviate flooding.

Since the late 1970's, the Michigan DNR has been seriously concerned about reducing pollution entering the AOC from stormwater discharges from industrial sites.

In 1984, EPA published new regulations specifying that all stormwater discharges in urban areas and industrial discharges outside defined urban areas may be considered as point sources and permitted under the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES). These regulations were postponed and then rescinded in anticipation of amendments to the Clean Water Act in 1986.

Even before these regulations were issued, however, MDNR had begun to identify industries likely to have contaminated runoff, and has added stormwater monitoring requirements and some effluent limits for stormwater discharges to Clinton River basin industrial NPDES permits. Recently issued NPDES permits also contain standard management conditions that require approved containment facilities for accidental losses of polluting materials and immediate spill notification to the MDNR emergency response telephone line.

7.1.5 Dredging

In 1975, the Oakland and Macomb County Drain Commissions asked 30 communities in the Clinton River basin to share the cost of dredging 15 years of accumulated sediments from the Clinton River adjacent to Shady Side Park in Mt. Clemens. Since completion of the spillway, flow velocities in the river downstream of the spillway had slowed, causing suspended particles to drop out instead of being carried further downstream or out to Lake St. Clair. Shoals formed in the middle of the river and stagnant water pools with algae blooms were partly responsible for reducing dissolved oxygen levels in the river causing periodic fish kills and aggravating flooding (Sapp 1975).

When no cost sharing agreement for river dredging was worked out, the Clinton River Spillway Drainage Board (represented by the Macomb County Public Works Commissioner) paid the costs out of funds left over from the original spillway project. This expenditure was justified by the understanding that backup of the river and increased sedimentation had been caused by construction of the spillway (G. Winn, Macomb County Public Works Department personal communication, 1986). The river was dredged in 1976 and spoils were deposited in a bermed area between the spillway and the natural channel of the Clinton River (USCOE, 1975).

In October of 1987, the CRWC convened a meeting to review possible action steps to improve this river segment including construction of a variable weir to replace the present weir and arrangements for periodic removal of sediment deposits in this area to maintain flow through this natural channel. This area is upstream of the presently authorized federal navigation channel. Measures to reduce erosion and sedimentation throughout the river were also discussed (CRWC 1987).

While not a large-scale project, the dredging was significant because it was recognized that elimination of sediment shoals caused by upland erosion would improve water quality and aquatic habitat while simultaneously reducing flooding problems. Recently renewed concern for lack of enforcement of soil erosion control requirements has lead to new initiatives at the Council and municipal levels to provide for site inspections (CRWC memo to MERB/WRC 1986).

7.1.6 Enforcement

Point source discharges to the Clinton River system and the AOC are in substantial compliance with their NPDES permits. However, the Mt. Clemens Wastewater Treatment Plant was the subject of Federal enforcement action in 1984 for failing to analyze and report results of USEPA performance evaluation tests (part of a quality assurance program). In 1985, Mt. Clemens received two notices of noncompliance from MDNR and one also 1986.

Several NPDES permittees in the Clinton River basin have fallen behind their compliance schedules. Mt. Clemens WWTP missed compliance schedule deadlines for upgrading in 1983, 1984, and 1985. Rochester missed its 1984 and 1985 deadlines. Warren missed one compliance schedule deadline in 1984, Romeo missed one deadline in 1986, and Armada missed one in 1985. Notices of Noncompliance were sent to encourage compliance with these schedules.

Compliance schedule deadline violations by industrial dischargers include GM Truck and Bus (date unknown) and GM-CPC (1985, 1986); General Electric Carboloy Systems (1985); and Auburn Heights Manufacturing Company (1985, 1986) (Permit Compliance System and USEPA Region V 1986). Notices of Noncompliance were sent to encourage compliance with permit limits and compliance schedules.

Two recent reports have questioned what is actually known about compliance with discharge permits because of the dependence on self-monitoring, rare MDNR staff sampling of dischargers effluent and very little monitoring of minor discharges. A MDNR Audit Report noted that minor facilities could have a detrimental impact on surface water quality. The Areawide Water Quality Board/East Michigan Environmental Action Council report recommended "a comprehensive inspection and monitoring program should be put in place and funded to enable state agencies to bring hazardous materials handlers, waste handlers, and pollutant discharges into compliance with state environmental programs and permit requirements".

The Michigan United Conservation Club/National Wildlife Federation report recommended (1) development of a state plan for environmental monitoring of issuance of NPDES permits; (2) routine analysis of wastewater discharges where environmental monitoring indicates serious pollution problems, and WWTPs which accept industrial wastes analysis of their discharge for priority toxic pollutants; (3) routine DNR sampling and analysis of dischargers effluent for compliance monitoring funded by user fees.

7.1.7 Private and Nongovernmental Remedial Actions

A variety of stormwater treatment facilities have been incorporated in new developments, including but not limited to small grease/grit collection chambers at edges of commercial parking lots, stone/fabric filtering devices, and oil skimming and sediment settling in major detention basins.

DNR staff have estimated that for every one site of contamination that becomes listed under Act 307, there may be four cases of a spill incident where local governments and private owners have managed the cleanup.

Industries and businesses are becoming increasingly aware of the liability they incur in the event of accidental releases. Because of high insurance costs they are proceeding to institute better practices for the use, storage, and disposal of hazardous substances. For example, many service stations are not waiting for federal and state regulations to force them to replace old underground storage tanks. There are existing requirements for tank registration and removal of abandoned ones. Some local governments are now attempting to identify community tanks and monitor compliance with these regulations.

East Michigan Environmental Action Council and the Cooperative Extensive Service have compiled lists of oil recycling stations and provided the public with an information brochure. Oil discharges are considered major problems on the Clinton River. Often, heavy accumulations in the storm drains are flushed out by the early spring rains. Local government ordinances forbid disposal of waste oils in storm drains but it still occurs because enforcement is difficult.

Trout Unlimited has undertaken at least one stream habitat improvement project (on Paint Creek). That project addressed primarily bank erosion and siltation.

Since 1969, when there was a major Clinton River cleanup by volunteers organized by the Sterling Heights Rotary, there have been many volunteer

cleanups of debris on various river segments. The most recent cleanup was held in August, 1988. The CRWC is supporting proposed legislation that would provide 50% matching grants with the expectation that local governments will agree to establishing on-going cleanup and bank stabilization work.

Developers are, with increasing frequency, featuring wet detention ponds and use of wetlands on development sites not only for aesthetic reasons but as the preferred means for nonpoint source runoff control.

7.1.8 Benefits Derived and Uses Restored

Although sediments in the lower Clinton River remain contaminated with oil, grease, conventional pollutants, heavy metals and organic compounds, the remarkable recovery of the river since the 1960s is a major success story of American pollution abatement programs (Peterson 1986; CRWC 1984; Mertz 1984; MNRC 1985; Natural Resources Register 1985; Richardson 1983). In the mid-1960s, the river was "dead" as far as fish were concerned from Pontiac to Lake St. Clair. The river emitted unpleasant odors and was covered and clogged with debris (Peggy Johnson as quoted in Mertz, 1984). However, by 1980, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service recorded 33 species of fish in the river. This improvement is the result of many of the efforts briefly described in the preceding sections of this RAP and the programs summarized in Chapter 9.

Improvements in Clinton River water quality between 1960 and 1973, mainly the elimination of sewage and reduction of uncontrolled point sources, allowed dissolved oxygen levels to rise to the point where fish could be restocked (CRWC, 1984). Since approximately 1974, 10-30 pound salmon have been found as far upriver as Yates Dam; some eggs and fingerlings have also been found, indicating spawning process. Fishing has had a resurgence at Sterling Heights, Rochester and Avon Township parks. These parks are the center of water-related recreation including fishing and canoeing that would have been unpleasant 20 years ago. Michigan DNR fishery managers requested steelhead for stocking in the lower river, where anglers already fish for smallmouth bass, northern pike, and walleye (CRWC 1984).

Dissolved oxygen and phosphorus levels are good indicators of water quality. In 1966, dissolved oxygen levels in the lower 15 miles of the river were below 5 mg/l (current state minimum standard). By 1973, mean levels had risen to over 7 mg/l upstream from Red Run, but still fell sharply at the confluence with Red Run to a low of 5 mg/l at the confluence with the North Branch (Richardson 1983). Likewise, phosphorus levels also declined during the 1970s and 1980 in large part due to control of point sources and the phosphorus ban in detergents.

Some local governments have placed restrictions on acquiring land in floodplains to prevent development encroachments, reduce flood damages, and improve recreational access to the river. All localities in the AOC currently participate in the National Flood Insurance Program, which requires them to take positive action (ordinances, zoning, etc.) to regulate any new development in the floodplain. Some local governments have local floodplain ordinances with more stringent requirements than

the state and federal laws which allow floodplain development if elevated. The ordinances restrict filling and development to protect the ecological values of the floodplain including the water quality benefits.

Several communities on the Clinton River, including Sterling Heights and Mt. Clemens, have had strong programs to acquire floodplain property for use as parks and open space, providing greater opportunities for public access and recreation on the River and Lake St. Clair. The increasing demand for public access to the water front in the AOC for boating, fishing, walking and even swimming (in Lake St. Clair) in recent years is a strong indication of significant improvement in water quality.

7.2 ACTIONS IN PROGRESS

Many remedial activities directed at improving water or habitat quality and restoring impaired uses in the AOC are in progress or are being planned.

7.2.1 Mt. Clemens Wastewater Treatment Facility Construction

In 1978, Mt. Clemens submitted to MDNR an amendment to its 1974 facilities plan showing that building an interceptor to Detroit was no longer cost-effective, based in part on increased treatment fees by Detroit. In 1982, the US District Court rescinded the contract for regional treatment between Mt. Clemens and Detroit, and Mt. Clemens submitted a final facilities plan amendment which recommended that it build its own tertiary oxidation ditch plant with an average capacity of 6 mgd. This plant was expected to reduce dissolved oxygen and fecal coliform problems. The facilities plan also included provisions for reducing discharges from Mt. Clemens' combined sewer overflows, including the retention basin (which began operation in 1980) (Spalding, Dedecker & Associates, Inc. 1982). In 1978, the expected total project cost for the proposed plant was \$6,302,000; by 1982, the estimated total project cost had risen to \$15.2 million.

Mt. Clemens submitted plans and specifications to MDNR in 1983 and hoped to begin construction in 1984. The project was expected to include two oxidation ditches, final clarifiers, chlorination, and sludge management systems. In late 1985, USEPA disagreed with Mt. Clemens and MDNR that construction of a treatment plant in Mt. Clemens would be the most cost-effective alternative. (MDNR had agreed with the cost-effective proposal in the Mt. Clemens 1982 facilities plan amendment.) USEPA staff did not believe that the plant could be built for the estimated cost. However, in the summer of 1986, Mt. Clemens obtained bids for the work at less than the estimated cost and has let contracts for construction (R. Schrameck, MDNR, personal communication, 1986) which began in February 1987. The Mt. Clemens facility will be completed in December of 1988.

7.2.2 Dredging and Dredge Spoil Disposal

Two dredging projects which may constitute remedial actions for aquatic habitat restoration in the AOC are in their initial planning stages. The first would remove several thousand tons of sediment from the Clinton River just upstream of the spillway to improve flow down the natural

channel. The first dredging was by the City of Mt. Clemens in 1961; a second was by the Clinton River Inter County Drainage Board in 1975. Dredging costs were estimated at \$100,000 (G. Winn, Macomb County Department of Public Works, personal communication, 1987).

A disposal site has not been designated, but these sediments may be codisposed with sediments from other dredging projects, or in a new diked area adjacent to the one used for the mid-1970s disposal area across from Shady Side Park. This project would help alleviate low dissolved oxygen problems downstream of Mt. Clemens and would help restore acceptable bottom necessary for resident fisheries. Sediment removal would also help prevent flooding. A meeting of interested parties was convened by the Clinton River Watershed Council in November of 1987 to review dredging feasibility and reconstruction of a variable spillway weir.

The second proposed dredging project is considerably more extensive. The U.S. Army Corps of Engineers proposed to dredge the recreational navigation channel in the lower Clinton River and construct a Confined Disposal Facility (CDF) for dredged material on 30 acres of a 37-acre site located about 1.8 miles upstream from the mouth of the Clinton River, next to the Selfridge Air National Guard Base (USCOE 1985). In addition to allowing continued use of the lower Clinton River for recreational navigation, the project would remove sediments that contain high concentrations of oil, grease, metals, and organic contaminants. (USCOE 1987).


After the initial dredging, maintenance dredging would remove an additional 52,500 to 70,000 cubic yards of sediments every 3 to 4 years. The CDF would have a 10 year capacity if the present "backlog" of sediments is removed the first year (USCOE 1987).

Figure 7-1 shows the location of the proposed CDF. The river segment to be dredged is the authorized Federal navigation channel from the City of Mt. Clemens into Lake St. Clair or the lower 7.5 miles of the river.

The proposed CDF site is owned by the State of Michigan, the local sponsor for this project. The dredging and the CDF was reviewed by standard environmental review processes under several Federal initiatives. (These include the River and Harbor Act/Section 10; the Clean Water Act/ Section 404; Executive Order 11990 - Wetlands Protection; the Water Resources Development Act of 1976; the National Environmental Policy Act/NEPA; the Fish and Wildlife Act of 1956; the Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act of 1958; and several others.) In addition, the project was subject to State of Michigan review processes prior to any further action.

USEPA Region V and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service recommended close coordination with other planning activity in the lower Clinton River, and have highlighted potential groundwater contamination from the CDF and contamination of terrestrial biota. Detailed groundwater studies and appropriate sealing and capping are recommended to ensure that contaminated sediments are not leached into the groundwater (Best 1986). Since the proposed dredging would remove the contaminated sediments in the navigation channel, it would be a significant remedial action toward restoring aquatic habitat in the AOC.

Figure 7.1. Sediment sampling locations, and the proposed and filled confined disposal facilities in and along the natural channel of the Clinton River, between Ht. Clemens and Lake St. Clair.



Nearby residents have opposed the project since it is located adjacent to homes and would cause noise and inconvenience. Residents have also expressed concern over groundwater contamination and long-term integrity of the site. The CDF was completed in the fall of 1988.

7.2.3 Waste Site Cleanup

Remedial investigations and planning to clean up contamination that may affect water quality and the aquatic habitat in the Clinton River Water-shed are proceeding at five areas including Selfridge Air National Guard Base, Red Run, Liquid Disposal, Inc., G&H Landfill and SMDA 9 and 9A. This section briefly describes steps that have been taken and current status of cleanup activities at each location.

7.2.3.1 Selfridge Air National Guard Base.

The U.S. Air Force has undertaken an Installation Restoration Program at Selfridge to address contaminants at seven locations on the base. The Air Force developed a draft report which recommended future study steps, the "Installation Restoration Program Phase II - Confirmation/ Quantification Stage I" report (R. Weston 1986). Work began on the Remedial Investigation Feasibility Study in December of 1987. See Section 5.6.2.4.

7.2.3.2 Red Run Landfills

In January, 1985, Red Run was listed on Michigan's Act 307 List of Environmental Contamination Sites requiring evaluation and interim response activities (MDNR 1986a). The site is in Macomb County, mostly in the City of Sterling Heights. It includes three distinct areas that contain one or two landfills each (Figure 5.2.7).

Background information indicates that property along Red Run and its tributaries was used for disposal of municipal, industrial, and household wastes in the 1950s and 1960s (E.C. Jordan 1986). In the three-mile long reach of Red Run, there are at least five and as many as seven landfills in five general locations along the drain, covering 200 to 250 acres. (Several hundred acres of landfill also line the banks of Red Run and its tributaries upstream from the designated site.)

MDNR collected leachate samples in 1983. The samples contained benzene, toluene, xylene, dioctylphthalate, dichlorobenzene, and dinitro-o-cresol, as well as lead, nickel, and chromium. Results of these tests led to the State 307 Act listing of the sites in 1985.

The Site Investigation's objectives are to evaluate threats to public health posed by leachate seepage and gas migration from the landfills and to identify alternatives to reduce or eliminate these threats (E.C. Jordan 1986). This will not include identifying and recommending remedial measures for impacts on water and sediment quality in Red Run and the Clinton River. A detailed study of Red Run is beyond the scope of the Site Investigation. According to MDNR's consultants (E.C. Jordan, 1986), the study will address ways to eliminate the direct discharge of leachate

seeps to Red Run as a secondary benefit from the control of leachate seeps in general.

The Red Run Site Remedial Investigation now underway is expected to be completed in February of 1989.

7.2.3.3 Liquid Disposal, Inc.

Liquid Disposal, Inc. (LDI) was a liquid industrial waste incinerator and hazardous waste storage site. The facility was permanently closed in May 1982 after an industrial accident in which two workers died from toxic gas fumes.


The LDI site was submitted by Michigan DNR to USEPA as a remedial action candidate under Superfund (the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act) in May 1982 (Figure 7.2). It was placed on the National Interim Priority List in July 1982. CH₂M Hill, a consultant, completed a draft Remedial Action Master Plan for the site in January 1983. This report reviewed information then available on the site, identified data gaps, and proposed a plan, schedule, and cost estimate for remedial measures and a Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study (RI/FS) (USEPA 1985b).

Since the site was closed, USEPA has spent over \$4 million on emergency actions (MDNR, 1986c). In June 1982, USEPA and MDNR cleaned up a PCB-contaminated oil spill from the site. In 1983, USEPA took emergency action at the site, removing all liquids and sludges from a waste oil lagoon, piles of scrubber lagoon ash, and above ground drums and waste containers (USEPA, 1985b). A groundwater dewatering well and leachate collection sump were installed on the eastern boundary of the site, with their effluents discharged to the onsite incinerator pit.

Michigan DNR has spent \$450,000 investigating LDI impacts on air, the Clinton River, groundwater, and soils. Private wells near the site have been sampled by the Macomb County Health Department (MDNR 1986c).

Michigan DNR was the lead agency in the RI/FS at the site, and contracted with GMC Associates, Inc. to perform the RI/FS. The remedial investigation began with a site visit in October 1983. Onsite work began in April 1984, including a geophysical investigation and a hydrogeologic investigation. Soil contamination and pollutant characterization studies were conducted in 1984 and early 1985. MDNR also undertook a surface water study in September of 1984 (Kenaga and Jones, 1986).

The surface water study concluded that leachate seeps may be contributing to surface water contamination, particularly along the storm water ditch east of the site (Kenaga and Jones 1986). The study also noted that groundwater contamination in offsite areas around LDI is apparently due to actual subsurface seepage into the upper aquifer through the fill beneath LDI. The lack of significant groundwater contamination around LDI may be due to leachate buildup onsite and little migration offsite. However, the east seep is heavily contaminated with compounds from the scrubber lagoon, which is still a significant contaminant source, and the north seeps contain PCB, probably rendered mobile by organic solvents in



the landfill leachate. Removal of the final 40,000 gallons will take place through implementation of the Federal Superfund process.

The remedial investigation feasibility study was completed in August of 1987. The selected remedial action, including solidification of onsite soils, slurry wall around the site and capping the site. Offsite contaminated soils will be moved within site boundaries and solidified. The contaminated groundwater will be purged and treated off site. By late 1988 the cleanup agreement is expected to be signed and by mid-1989, the design work for the actual cleanup will begin.

7.2.3.4 G & H Landfill.

The G&H Landfill was used for disposal of municipal and industrial wastes from the mid-1950s until 1967, when the State of Michigan prohibited the landfill from accepting industrial waste (Figure 7.2). It continued to receive refuse until 1974, when it was permanently closed (MDNR 1986d),

USEPA took emergency action in 1982 and 1983 to contain PCB-contaminated oil seeps from the landfill, at a cost of \$55,000, and to restrict public access to affected areas. From 1983 to March 1986, USEPA spent \$566,000 investigating the impact of the landfill on air, wetlands, groundwater, soils, and the River. USEPA, Macomb County Health Department, and the Michigan Department of Public Health have sampled private wells in the area (MDNR 1986d). While investigations were going on, in 1983 MDNR formed a citizens information committee on the G&H Landfill and established a G&H telephone information answering service.

In September, 1983 and September, 1984, USEPA and MDNR conducted studies to help identify effects of the landfill on the Clinton River. Measurable impacts on the surface water quality of the Clinton River were not documented by these studies.

MDNR estimated in September 1988 that USEPA would need \$1.3 million to complete an expanded RI/FS for the site. MDNR will need an additional \$275,000 to complete a supplementary investigation. The remedial investigation report for the site will be complete in December 1989, and the feasibility study report will follow in early 1990.

7.2.3.5 South Macomb Disposal Authority Landfill 9 and 9A

The results of a 1983 survey of McBride Drain which runs adjacent to South Macomb Disposal Authority 9 and 9A, indicated no measurable impact on surface water quality or the aquatic macroinvertebrate community. There were resident young fish identified in the drain during the survey. The leachate collection system is apparently intercepting the majority of the landfill leachate moving was toward the south from 9A in the aquifer. The leachate tank must be pumped out on a regular basis to prevent overflow from this collection system. Studies are ongoing to determine the impact of leachate reaching McBride Drain during spring runoff from SMDA Landfill 9A. A leachate collection system and slurry wall is being installed along the north boundary of SMDA Landfill 9. In addition, this site has been placed on the Superfund list. An EPA contractor began a Remedial Investigation Feasibility Study in October 1988.

There are other 307 sites in the Clinton River basin that are not in the Area of Concern which are briefly discussed in Chapter 5. Sites that may possibly impact surface water sites are found in Appendix 5.

8. DEFINITION OF SPECIFIC GOALS, OBJECTIVES, AND MILESTONES FOR RESTORATION OF IMPAIRED USES

8.1 USES TO BE RESTORED OR MAINTAINED

The Michigan Water Resources Commission has designated water uses to be met in all Michigan waters in Part 4 of the General Rules of the Water Resources Commission, which covers water quality standards (Appendix 3.3). These rules were recently amended in November, 1987. All waters of the state, including the AOC, are protected for the following uses:

- Agriculture
- Navigation
- Industrial water supply
- Public water supply at the point of water intake
- Warmwater fish
- Other indigenous aquatic life and wildlife
- Partial body contact all year
- Total body contact recreation from May 1 to October 31.

The waters in the AOC have occasionally failed to support agriculture (irrigation) based on the total dissolved solids standard, other aquatic life in the form of a healthy warm water fishery and a healthy benthic macroinvertebrate community, and total body contact recreation due to the presence of fecal coliform bacteria. There are no impairments to navigation, industrial or public water supply or partial body contact recreation based on the minimum standards. Based on current Michigan regulations, all of these uses should continue to be supported or restored by any remedial actions undertaken pursuant to this RAP. All these uses are local impairments that do not result in any impairment of the Great Lakes.

8.2 DESIGNATED USES AND GOALS

8.2.1 Agriculture

The agricultural use impairment is due to Clinton River waters containing TDS in excess of 500 mg/l. This standard will not be met because high TDS are a natural product of the soil types in the Clinton River basin and there is no practical treatment for TDS at industrial or municipal treatment facilities.

GOAL: Minimize TDS from municipal and industrial facilities, reduce nonpoint sources of TDS through BMPs.

8.2.2 Navigation

There is no commercial navigation and recreational navigation is supported by the proposed USCOE dredging.

GOAL: Carry out proposed dredging to maintain recreational navigation in Section 1.

8.2.3 Industrial Water Supply

There are no limitations on industrial water supply.

GOAL: None.

8.2.4 Public Water Supply

There are no public water supply intakes in the AOC.

GOAL: Maintain existing water quality.

8.2.5 Warmwater Fish

There is a substantial resident warmwater fish community in the AOC, especially in the Clinton River SAOC upstream of the mouth of Red Run. There is a growing seasonal anadromous fishery in both spring and fall. several factors contribute to a degraded warmwater fishery in Section 1.

GOAL: Enhance the warmwater fishery in Section 1. Continued stocking of walleye is supported.

8.2.6 Other Indigenous Aquatic Life

Based on Michigan water quality standards, the AOC should support healthy populations of aquatic benthic macroinvertebrates and other aquatic life.

The benthic macroinvertebrate community in Section 1 of the AOC was impacted as of 1983 but the cause is uncertain. Meeting the D.O. standards in the AOC remains a major goal to support healthy aquatic communities.

GOALS:

- A. Minimize effect of contaminated sediments on aquatic organisms.
- B. Improve physical bottom habitat to support a healthy benthic macro-invertebrate community by:
 - 1. Reducing runoff in upstream watershed good soil conservation practices
 - 2. Controlling instream erosion by controlling stormwater flows
- C. Improve dissolved oxygen concentration in the water column so that D.O. standard is met by:
 - 1. Controlling point source discharges
 - 2. Minimizing stormwater loadings
 - 3. Being certain no industrial or sanitary systems are connected to the stormwater system
- D. Improve dissolved oxygen in the natural channel by:
 - Removal of sediments blocking flow to natural channel
 - Weir modifications

- E. To improve future sediment quality, reduce heavy metals and organic contaminants by:
 - 1. Adequate NPDES permit limitations
 - 2. Minimizing stormwater loadings
 - Cleaning up sites of environmental contamination near surface waters.
- F. Improve flow in the natural channel.

8.2.7 Partial Body Contact Recreation

Partial body contact is not impaired.

GOALS: None.

8.2.8 Total Body Contact Recreation

Total body contact recreation has apparently been restored since all CSOs will be treated prior to discharge.

GOALS: Maintain all WWTP and sewer systems in good working order to eliminate or minimize overflows; construct treatment systems to at least give all water primary treatment and disinfection; minimize stormwater loadings; maintain existing improved river quality.

8.3 WATER USE AND QUALITY OBJECTIVES

The goals of the Remedial Action Plan (RAP) are to adequately review existing information and to recommend remedial activities to restore designated uses in the Great Lakes. Most identified impaired uses are localized issues that will need to be dealt with by local corrective actions. PCB from the Clinton River system may result in Great Lakes impairment. PCB loading to and from the Clinton River remains unknown but is assumed to be small based on the data. Therefore, objectives for the Clinton River Area of Concern are the restoration of impaired uses in the Clinton River and the pursuit of data to determine the PCB sources to the Clinton River and PCB loading to the Great Lakes from the Clinton

9. PROGRAMS AND PARTICIPANTS

9.1 REGULATORY AND ADMINISTRATIVE PROGRAMS


A variety of regulatory and administrative programs affect policy and implementation for water quality improvements in the AOC. These include programs carried out by state, local, and federal agencies under appropriate legislative, executive, or other mandates. This section briefly outlines several of the more significant programs and points out their importance for the Clinton River Area of Concern. Most of these programs are related, directly or indirectly, to Michigan's Water Quality Management program, administered by the Department of Natural Resources.

9.1.1 Water Quality Standards

Water Quality Standards. Water quality standards for all surface waters of the State of Michigan have been adopted pursuant to a mandate from the Michigan Water Resources Commission and the federal Clean Water Act. Michigan's Water Resources Commission General Rules state that the purpose of Michigan's water quality standards is "...to protect the public health and welfare, enhance and maintain the quality of water, protect the state's natural resources, and serve the purposes of P.L. 92-500 (the Federal Water Pollution Control and Clean Water Acts) as amended, Act No. 245 of the Public Acts of 1929 (the Michigan Water Resources Commission Act), as amended, being \$323.1 et seq. of the Michigan Compiled Laws, and the Great Lakes Water Quality Agreement enacted November 22, 1978" (MWRC 1986).

The Water Resources Commission was created under Michigan Act 245 of 1929. Its powers and responsibilities were expanded in 1972 (based on Michigan Acts 3, 129, and 293) to bring it into compliance with the Federal Water Pollution Control Act. The administrative functions of the Commission are carried out through the Michigan Department of Natural Resources (Figure 9.1). The Commission is charged with protecting and conserving water resources of the state of Michigan, controlling pollution of any waters of the state and the Great Lakes, and controlling alteration of watercourses and floodplains of all rivers and streams in the state. It was also empowered to make rules, require registration of manufacturing products, materials, and waste products where certain wastes are discharged to state waters, and cover investigation, monitoring, and surveillance necessary to prevent and abate water pollution.

Current standards for the Clinton River are listed in Chapter 3. Appendix 3.3 contains the entire Part 4 Rules. Michigan's water quality standards were amended in November, 1986 to include more stringent minimum standards relative to plant nutrients, designated uses, microorganisms, dissolved oxygen, toxics, and anti-degradation. The new rules also designate certain waters as "protected waters" under state authority, to implement strong anti-degradation goals. Protected waters now include some designated streams in the Clinton River basin.



Technical work for the proposal of water use designations and water quality standards is carried out by MDNR's Surface Water Quality Division (Figure 9.2).

Additional long-term goals for the Clinton River were established in a 1979 Water Quality Management Plan for Southeast Michigan produced by the Southeast Michigan Council of Governments (SEMCOG). SEMCOG's 1981 River Basin Management Strategy Framework for the Clinton River Basin updates these goals, which include the following:

- Clinton River basin waters must be made drinkable, swimmable, and fishable
- Reduce point sources of water pollution
- Ensure that the effect of development does not result in degradation of water quality from previously existing levels
- Pollution from farm activities should be reduced
- Halt any degradation of groundwater through methods such as land use control and strict enforcement of regulatory programs.

The 1981 strategy provides detailed recommendations as to how these general goals should be achieved and which agencies are responsible to carry out necessary programs.

9.1.2 Compliance Status of Point Source Controls

The Water Resources Commission was also empowered to require permits regulating the discharge or storage of any substance that could affect water quality and also to impose restrictions that would assure compliance with state standards, applicable federal laws, and regulations. The Commission is the authorized state agency to cooperate and negotiate with other governments and agencies in matters concerning state water resources and to provide penalties for violations of the Water Resources Commission Act.


Michigan's Water Resources Commission obtained federal approval to administer the NPDES program for Michigan in October 1973. The permit program for municipal and industrial dischargers is operated by the Michigan Department of Natural Resources' Surface Water Quality Division.

Because NPDES permits in Michigan are issued under the authority of the Water Resources Commission Act in addition to the federal Clean Water Act, permit violations are considered violations of the state Act and may be subject to civil or criminal penalties. Dischargers are notified of alleged violations by written notices of determination setting forth specific permit provisions that the Commission, through DNR, asserts have been violated.

NPDES permittees are obliged to comply with the terms and conditions of their discharge permits, normally reissued at 5-year intervals. Permits specify final effluent limits for applicable parameters (and interim

Figure 9.2. Surface Water Quality Division Organizational Chart.

SURFACE WATER QUALITY DIVISION



limits, where applicable), monitoring requirements, test procedures and reporting. Records retention requirements, compliance schedules for completing system upgrading or studies necessary to ensure that dischargers are able to meet effluent limits and avoid causing violations of water quality criteria and standards are also in these permits. Permits may also specify the penalties for noncompliance, indications of need to modify permits, spill containment facility requirements, operator certification requirements, and noncompliance notification procedures. Procedures for spill notification and bypass notification are also included in current permits. Permits also contain industrial pretreatment program requirements.

Michigan's Water Resources Act also requires direct and indirect dischargers to file annual reports with the State describing the nature of the enterprise discharging wastewater; quantities of materials used in or incidental to manufacturing processes; quantities of any by-products and waste products on the Michigan register of critical materials; and volume of wastewater discharged to State waters or any sewer system, including cooling waters.

Table 5.1.1 shows dischargers currently holding NPDES permits for discharge of wastewater or storm water to the Clinton River.

Several dischargers are operating under compliance schedules incorporated into their NPDES permits. Others are subject to milestone dates for achieving various goals of industrial pretreatment programs. The following dischargers are subject to either compliance schedules or pretreatment program milestone dates that have been incorporated into their NPDES permits:

| Permittee | Scheduled Item | Pretreatment Scheduled Item |
|-------------|--|--|
| Rochester | | Verify nondomestic user compliance with local limits |
| Armada | Achieve operational level for new facilities | |
| | • Complete construction | |
| Mt. Clemens | Comply with final limits Groundwater monitoring when new facilities are operational | Verify nondomestic user compliance with categorical pretreatment standards |
| Almont | • Complete construction | |

Several publicly owned treatment works (POTWs) in and tributary to the AOC have received construction grant funds for improving treatment and collection facilities. Facilities plans are reviewed by MDNR for compliance with state and federal regulations on planning for regional wastewater treatment facilities, based on state regulations and Section 201 of the federal Clean Water Act.

SEMCOG, as the regional water quality planning agency designated in accord with Clean Water Act Section 208, also reviews facilities plans for compliance with regional plans and promotes coordination with other water resource planning and management programs in southeast Michigan. SEMCOG is also the areawide clearinghouse for review of federal projects, including construction grants for wastewater systems, based on requirements of Office of Management and Budget Circular A-95.

The wastewater treatment authorities of Mt. Clemens, Pontiac, Romeo, Rochester, and Warren have undertaken programs to regulate discharges of their industrial users in order to prevent plant upsets, treatment interference, or pass-through of pollutants to receiving waters. Pretreatment programs operate under mandates from the federal Clean Water Act, state regulations, and local ordinances. See Chapter 5 for further pretreatment information.

9.1.3 Compliance Status of Hazardous Waste Control Regulations

Razardous waste control regulations in Michigan are designed to protect surface waters, groundwater, and soils from contamination. These programs are especially significant in urban, industrial areas. Hazardous waste control programs are administered by the MDNR based on state mandates from the Water Resources Commission Act and the Solid Waste Management Act (Michigan Public Act 641 of 1978) as well as the federal Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA), and the Hazardous and Solid Waste Amendments of 1984. Michigan also has groundwater rules that prohibit discharges of substances to groundwater that may degrade groundwater quality, or usable aquifers (i.e., aquifers yielding sufficient quantities and qualities to be usable for water supply) (SEMCOG 1981a).

The MDNR licenses and supervises hazardous waste management in the Clinton River basin. Macomb, Oakland, St. Clair and Lapeer counties all have state-approved solid waste plans (Michigan Waste Report, 1986).

The MDNR has had an active hazardous waste program since 1979, when the Hazardous Waste Management Act (Act 64 of 1979) was adopted. The first administrative rules for this act were promulgated in 1981. In October of 1986 the MDNR received final authorization to conduct the RCRA hazardous waste management program from the U.S. EPA under the provision of 40 CFR Part 271. The hazardous waste program has evolved into a comprehensive hazardous waste regulatory program with activities in many areas including identifying and inspecting hazardous waste generators, transporters and treatment, storage or disposal (TSD) facilities, and computerized tracking of manifests and other data pertaining to regulated facilities. Additionally, the program involves permitting hazardous waste TSD facilities and transporters, taking enforcement actions for violations of state and federal hazardous waste rules and overseeing closures of facilities (L. AuBuchon, MDNR Detroit District HWD, personal communication 1987).

9.1.4 Status of Superfund and State Hazardous Waste Site Cleanup

Michigan's Environmental Response Act (MERA, Public Act 307) and Federal Superfund authority, based on the Comprehensive Environmental Response,

Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA), provide for identifying sites, assessing risks, and evaluating priorities for cleaning up environmental contamination at specific sites. MERA and CERCLA both provide means for publicly financing remedial actions at sites where hazardous substances have polluted the environment, and prioritize sites to determine which are most in need of limited public funds. However, MERA provides Michigan with the ability to take action at sites not eligible for remedies through the Superfund program or at sites that do not rank high enough to receive Federal Superfund money. Michigan's priority ranking system ranks sites according to present conditions, while the federal system ranks sites according to the time they were at their worst (MDNR, 1986a).

The programs are administered through MDNR Environmental Protection Bureau's Environmental Response Division and Waste Management Division (WMD). A chart showing the current organization of the WMD is shown in Figure 9-3. Figure 9-4 shows the current organization of the Environmental Response Division. An explanation of the relationship of hazardous site cleanup programs to water quality protection programs is provided in Table 9-1.

The hazardous site slated for cleanup at federal expense in the AOC is the Selfridge Air National Guard Base. Contamination at seven areas of Selfridge have been studied to determine how they should be classified relative to needs for further study and remedial action. In February 1986, the Air Force's Consultants "Phase II Stage I" Confirmation Study indicated that all seven sites at the base should be classified as Category II, meaning that additional work is required to quantify or further assess the extent of existing or future contamination (Roy F. Weston 1986). The study recommended expanded monitoring and sampling to evaluate the nature and extent of contamination and potential of contaminant pathways. Remedies for site contamination at Selfridge will be designed based on the findings of the next phase of studies.


9.1.5 Status of Urban Stormwater Pollution Control Efforts

Recent efforts to control urban stormwater pollution have focused on the need to coordinate water quality improvements with flood minimization. This is especially important since major water quality problems in the AOC occur during high runoff (USCOE 1979). To deal with these two issues it is crucial to differentiate between point and nonpoint sources. Any stormwater runoff reaching the surface water through a pipe, and any channelized runoff can be regulated as a point source under the Clean Water Act.

Michigan has no comprehensive mandate to directly regulate stormwater runoff unless it can be defined as a point source discharge. Several state programs including flood hazard management, water quality, soil erosion and sedimentation, and wetlands have overlapping mandates to address pollution carried to surface waters by urban stormwater.

All WWTPs with discharges, including stormwater discharges, that may affect the AOC have been involved in planning for adequate stormwater retention to avoid flooding and pollution of surface waters during high flows. During the 1980s, formal and informal relationships were developed

Figure 9.3. Waste Management Division Organizational Chart.



313

Figure 9.4. Environmental Response Division Organizational Chart.

ENVIRONMENTAL RESPONSE DIVISION




TABLE 9-1. DIVISION OF RESPONSIBILITY BETWEEN THE WASTE MANAGEMENT DIVISION (WMD) AND THE ENVIRONMENTAL RESPONSE DIVISION (ERD) FEBRUARY 9. 1987

This memorandum provides a detailed list of responsibilities for each of these new divisions. These decisions are based on the general responsibilities outlined with the reorganization announcement of January 7, 1987, recommendations made by a special committee of field staff and discussions with the Division Chiefs. We recognize that there may be extenuating circumstances that will lead us to make case-by-case exceptions to these decisions. We also recognize that this list is not all inconclusive, but should provide adequate guidance to determine reassignments of staff and to the start up of the new division.

Responsibility

- 1. Act 307 Listed Facilities without Operating Licenses or Permits
- 2. Act 307 Listed Facilities holding an operating license that relates to the needed remedial action
- 3. Comprehensive Environmental Response Compensation and Liability Act (CERCLA)
- 4. Underground storage tanks
- 5. PEAS and complaint response

Surface water goes to SVQD.

Air complaints go to AQD.

Assigned To

ERD, unless the facility is operating without a needed license. In that case the licensing division would pursue statutory violations.

WMD for facilities it permits.
WMD checks compliance with the operating license. If remedial action is needed at the site, VMD requests a proposal and turns it over to ERD for review and comment. WMD maintains lead role for facility with input from ERD. For facilities by an NPDES permit, SWQD will function the same as VMD.

ERD

VMD for compliance inspections. ERD for remedial actions.

ERD for managing the PEAS system and having general responsibility. PEAS calls and complaints will be referred to the division managing the affected resource as follows:

TABLE 9-1. DIVISION OF RESPONSIBILITY BETWEEN THE WASTE MANAGEMENT DIVISION (WMD) AND THE ENVIRONMENTAL RESPONSE DIVISION (ERD) FEBRUARY 9, 1987 (Continued)

Responsibility

Assigned To

Ground or soil contaminants to ERD. If the complaint involves Act 64, license, treatment, storage, or disposal facilities, or 641 licensed landfills, the complaints would go to VMD.

6. Act 641

WMD with one exception. Consistent with the assigned responsibility in No. 2. above, if normal compliance activities show a need for remedial action involving hydrogeological work and work plans, WMD will request the work plan and refer to the ERD for review and comment. WMD will maintain the lead and will obtain the remedial action by amending the operating license or other enforcement actions.

7. Act 64

Assigned to WMD with the ERD reviewing remedial action work plans or proposals.

8. Act 98 permits that depend upon a groundwater discharge

WMD. SWQD will assist WMD by providing engineering reviews of the typical sanitary engineering aspects of the facility, such as collection sewers, lift stations, and waste handling facilities up to the groundwater disposal facility.

9. Brine management activities

These activities will continue to be regulated by the Geological Survey Division under Act 61 pending further study.

10. Underground injection control program

WMD for class 1 wells. Other classes of wells will continue to reside with Geological Survey Division pending further study.

11. Act 136, Industrial Waste Haulers Act

WMD

TABLE 9-1. DIVISION OF RESPONSIBILITY BETWEEN THE WASTE MANAGEMENT DIVISION (WMD) AND THE ENVIRONMENTAL RESPONSE DIVISION (ERD) FEBRUARY 9, 1987 (Continued)

| Responsibility | Assigned To | |
|---|--|--|
| 12. Act 245, Groundwater Discharge Permits | WND | |
| 13. Nonroutine sampling of waste | ERD | |
| 14. [Illegible] | VMD | |
| 15. Responsibility Clean Michigan Fund | WMD for administration of the grants. Programs may involve other divisions. | |
| 16. Land application of sludge or wastewater | WHD | |
| 17. Act 181, Septage Haulers | WHD | |
| 18. Pollution Incident Prevention Plans (PIPPs) | WMD for managing the program and setting the standards. SWQD will review PIPPs at NPDES facilities. | |
| 19. Act 245 Storage Permits | VMD | |
| 20. Pump and haul permits | The William Control of the Control o | |
| 21. County health department grants | WMD, except for grants to county air pollution agencies that will remain with AQD. | |
| 22. Unlicensed dumps | WMD, if the facility is still operating in pursuit of Act 641 violations. ERD if the facility is closed. Some of these will need to be assigned a lead division on a case-by-case basis. | |

division on a case-by-case basis.

to facilitate coordination between local WWTPs and the agencies more traditionally responsible for regulating stormwater. Since 1981 a Technical Assistance Directory and Technical Assistance Guide, a public education booklet, and a model ordinance guidelines have been developed. In addition, the MDNR has provided technical assistance through stormwater modeling. Presently, the Clinton River Watershed Council is actively working with several townships to implement local stormwater management ordinances and policies.

SEMCOG and the Clinton River Watershed Council both provide guidance and technical assistance to local governments in reviewing development plans based on water quality guidelines (see SEMCOG 1980b). The City of Mt. Clemens has been particularly active in construction of additional stormwater retention capacity to prevent overflows and bypasses of untreated sewage from its wastewater system. The City of Mt. Clemens' planning and implementation of system upgrading since 1979 has taken place in accordance with regional facilities plans (a "201" study) and SEMCOG's "208" planning efforts.

Several recently issued municipal and industrial NPDES permits include some monitoring and control conditions for stormwater discharges conveyed to surface waters through pipes or channels. The City of Mt. Clemens is attempting to eliminate or reduce stormwater discharges and combined sewer overflows that contribute to flooding and degrade water quality in the AOC during high flows.

The MDNR's Land and Water Management Division and the Clinton River Watershed Council published the Clinton River Basin Stormwater Management Assessment in 1981 (MDNR, CRWC, and EA 1981). The assessment made several recommendations for institutional action that are now being pursued by area agencies to reduce flooding and runoff of pollutants to surface waters.

Recommendations focused on maintaining and providing technical assistance for local management of stormwater problems; local intergovernmental coordination within the watershed; and local government adoption of stormwater management policies and plans. The report indicated that state legislation on stormwater management may be inappropriate, based on lessons learned from local management experience.

The Clinton River Watershed Council was established, in part, to coordinate initiatives under all of these programs at the local level. The Council conducts water resources studies, prepares reports and recommendations, advises other governmental agencies, and cooperates with federal and state agencies to collect water resource data.

Flood Issues. Michigan's Flood Control Act of 1968 regulates activities in riverine floodplains of state waters. Encroachments into the floodway that may adversely affect the stage or discharge characteristics of the stream are prohibited. Michigan requires that any occupation, filling, or grading, except for agricultural activities, in floodplains receive a permit from the MDNR (MDNR, CRWC, and EA 1981).

All communities in the Clinton River AOC participate in the National Flood Insurance Program, which restricts new developments in floodplains. These restrictions provide for flood protection of structures and attendant sanitary sewage and other waste disposal systems. Over 503 communities statewide have had floodplain areas identified under this program with the heaviest concentration in Southeast Michigan. The Corps of Engineers' flood control project proposals for the lower Clinton River and Red Run Drain have addressed water quality issues through the EIS process, but these have not been closely tied to State flood hazard mitigation programs (MDNR, 1979).

Michigan's Subdivision Control Act of 1967 authorizes the MDNR to review and approve or disapprove preliminary plats for new subdivisions if they are to be located in or affected by State floodplains. The Flood Control Act of 1968 and the Subdivision Control Act of 1967 together minimize the potential that new urban development will aggravate flooding problems. The Subdivisions Control Act ensures each lot in the subdivision has an adequate building site and occurs above the floodplain and that the public interest in the waters of the state are protected. They may also be used to regulate the types of potentially polluting activities allowed to be located in floodplains, since the Water Resources Commission and MDNR may act to prevent incidents of water pollution prior to their occurrence.

Soil erosion and sedimentation. Michigan DNR oversees state, county and local public agencies who regulate earth moving within 500 feet of lakes or streams, or that may disturb one or more acres of land under the authority of the State's Soil Erosion and Sedimentation Act of 1972 (Act 347 as ammended). Earth change activities are based on State-approved procedures that describe erosion and sedimentation control measures to be employed as part of the project on a temporary and permanent basis.

County and local agencies administer and enforce permit restrictions authorized by the Soil Erosion and Sedimentation Control Act as well as local ordinances that address land cleaning, excavation, drainage, and earth moving activities. Local agencies inspect sites after earth moving permits are issued to monitor changes and enforce permit conditions. Designated enforcement agencies in the Clinton River basin are the Oakland County Drain Commission, the Macomb County Public Works Commission, the St. Clair County Department of Public Works, and the Lapeer County Drain Commission (MDNR, CRWC, and EA 1981).

Several public agencies "are authorized public agencies" under the Erosion and Sedimentation Control Act to enforce sedimentation and drainage rules for their own construction or maintenance activities, and the tentatively identified projects are shown in Table 9.2 (MDNR, CRWC, and EA, 1981; and SEMCOG, 1981a).

The Inland Lakes and Streams Act of 1972 (Act 346, as amended) allows MDNR to regulate construction, dredging, and filling activities below the ordinary high water mark on the shores of State waters, except the Great Lakes (including Lake St. Clair). Act 247 serves a similar function and applies to the Great Lakes. However, federal programs authorized by Sections 401 and 404 of the Clean Water Act regulate certain dredging and

Table 9.2 Responsible Agencies for Nonpoint Source Activities and a Tenative List of Best Management Practices for Construction Projects

The following governmental entities are serving under one or more of the approved classifications:

ORKLAND COUNTY

Oakland County Drain Commissioner Oakland County Road Commission Berkley Birmingham Lake Angelus Lathrup Madison Heights Pontiac Southfield Troy

LAPEER COUNTY

Lapeer County Planning Commision Lapeer County Drain Commisioner Lapeer County Road Commision

MACOMB COUNTY

Macomb County Department of Public Works
Macomb County Road Commission
Firmada
Center Line
Fraser
Mt. Clemens
Roseville
Sterling Heights

ST. CLAIR COUNTY

St. Clair County Drain Commissioner St. Clair County Road Commission

The following practices have been tentatively identified as Best Management construction projects:

Staging
Scheduling
Unified Keying System
Hydrologic Modeling
Maintenance
Mccess Roads
Land Clearing
Wetland Protection
Bonding
Training and Education
Noncompliance Procedures
Soil Loss Estimating
Sediment Basins
Sodding
Seeding

Construction Barriers
Diversions
Check Dams
Strip Planting
Special Grading Practices
Seawalls/Retaining Walls
Slope Protection
Dewatering
Terraces
Benches
Filters
Dust Control
Detention/Retention Basins
Soil Incutment
Buffer Strips

Riprapping Stream Relocation Cofferdams Stream Crossings Spoil Piles Downdrains Drop Control Structures Mulchang Sprigging pH Control Donmant Seeding Side Drains Grassed Materiagic filler Shrips Soulie aum Castier - Orman in augen Plangering Dreigheat (pess)

filling in any water or shoreline wetland, and fulfill many of the same functions as the state's Inland Lakes and Streams Act with regard to regulation of development that may affect stormwater discharges.

The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) has recently established funding for farmers to convert certain lands to "water quality enhancement zones." The Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service administers this program. Filter strips of 66 to 99 feet along perennial and intermittent streams, lakes, and wetlands greater than five acres are eligible for annual payments for the next 10 years.

Building Codes and Engineering Standards. Prior to starting construction, builders intending to undertake projects above a specified value must apply to local governments for a building permit and submit a site plan to construct or significantly alter any structure. Building code reviews and site development reviews conducted locally require builders, developers, and public agencies to conform to minimum standards for provision of adequate storm sewers, retention basins, and structures to control pollution, erosion, and sedimentation. Many provisions in the Clinton River basin have been adopted under the authority of the state's Subdivision Control Act (MDNR, CRWC, and EA 1981). The relationship between administration of building code and engineering standards and administration of the provisions of state and local soil erosion and sedimentation controls varies between local governments and counties, depending on the local division of code review and enforcement responsibilities. The Clinton River Basin Stormwater Management Technical Assistance Directory provides information on the various functions of state and local agencies in the basin with regard to stormwater management issues (MDNR, CRWC, and EA 1982).

9.1.6 Status of Nonpoint Source Control Efforts

In addition to urban stormwater control efforts in the Clinton River basin, regional nonpoint source control programs are being pursued by state and local agencies. These efforts relate to rural nonpoint sources originating in the upper basin, including the North Branch.

SEMCOG is the regional agency designated to conduct areawide water quality planning activities in the Clinton River basin, under Section 208 of the Clean Water Act. SEMCOG published its Water Quality Management Plan For Southeast Michigan in 1978. This plan was incorporated into Michigan's 1981 Water Quality Management Plan. While funding for the 208 planning program has ended, SEMCOG continues its water quality planning and technical assistance efforts partly with the assistance of Federal "205(j)" grants that are passed through MDNR.

Many mechanisms briefly described for controlling pollution from urban stormwater are also used in more general nonpoint source pollution control in the Clinton River basin. In addition, a range of programs to control nonpoint source pollution and reduce sediment loads from agricultural runoff are being pursued by the County-level Soil Conservation Districts. Surface Water Quality Division district staff, in cooperation with the Cooperative Extension Service, assist farmers in adopting best management practices for soil conservation, gather information, and

conduct studies of the success of agricultural soil conservation projects. District staff identify priority agricultural nonpoint source control areas in each county, based on SEMCOG's and the Districts' joint identification of designated critical areas. Conservation district staff then prepare programs for promoting best management practices in conjunction with county drain commissioners, the Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service, and the Cooperative Extension Service (SEMCOG 1981a).

While the Cooperative Extension Service promotes sound and profitable farm practices, soil conservation and farm waste containment are often major components of best management practices needed to reduce nonpoint sources of water pollution in the Clinton basin. Extension Service educational programs have recently been more explicit in explaining the need for conservation practices from a water quality standpoint as well as an agricultural standpoint. Drain commissioners in rural areas have also focused the implementation of best management practices in rural areas so that downstream water quality considerations are not ignored.

Michigan's Rural Nonpoint Source Pollution Subcommittee of the Governor's Cabinet Council on Environmental Protection, recently recommended a Strategy for the Reduction of Rural Nonpoint Source Pollution in Michigan (Rural Nonpoint Source Pollution Subcommittee, A Strategy for the Reduction of Rural Nonpoint Source Pollution in Michigan: A Report to the Governor's Cabinet Council on Environmental Protection, 1985). A subsequent report on urban nonpoint source pollution control strategy was prepared by MDNR and SEMCOG (1985). A MDNR staff report on nonpoint assessment for small watersheds was also prepared (MDNR, 1985b).

9.1.7 Status of Corps of Engineers Projects

Historically, the Army Corps of Engineers has been active in the AOC reducing flooding and flood damages in the lower Clinton River area by dredging navigation channels and constructing the spillway in 1952. Additional plans to control flooding were investigated, but a final report in 1982 recommended against funding for projects in the Red Run-Lower Clinton River area, based on economic infeasibility (Richardson, 1983). The Water Resources Act of 1986, Section 1002 deauthorized a flood control project in this area authorized by the Flood Control Act of 1970.

The Corps has proposed dredging to facilitate recreational navigation if an appropriate CDF can be built. A 30-acre CDF has been built along the north bank of the Clinton River at about river mile 3.0. The facility has a capacity of 281,200 cubic meters (370,000 cubic yards), about half of which would be filled by a backlog of undredged material (USCOE, 1987). Dredging would fulfill some remedial action goals by removing some contaminated sediments in the Clinton River AOC. The Michigan Natural Resources Commission has confirmed the MDNR as the local sponsor for this project.

9.1.8 Spill Control Measures

Michigan's Water Resources Commission is authorized to take action to reduce damage to water quality resulting from spilled materials that may enter state waters. The Michigan Legislature authorized the Water Resources Commission to develop a Register of Critical Materials which are or may be used or discharged in Michigan (Act 293 of 1972). Every business in the state using critical materials and discharging process or sanitary waste to a sewer system or the surface waters must file an annual report on critical materials use and discharge. This information is used for protection of the environment and human health through water pollution control programs (MDNR 1980).

While the list was originally developed to help the state control toxic materials entering the public sewage treatment systems, it has also been used to identify dischargers at risk of accidentally spilling toxic materials into surface waters. Some of this information was used to require direct dischargers to provide sufficient facilities to contain spills of potentially toxic materials in accordance with Water Resources Commission Rules, Part 5 (see general management requirements in Part II of current Michigan NPDES permits).

Michigan regulations on oil spills and polluting materials (Water Resources Commission Rules, Part 5, Rules 151 to 169) cover requirements for oil loading and unloading, oil storage facilities, surveillance of storage and loading, and emergency containment structures. They also include regulations for salt storage areas and for storage, use, and emergency containment structures for other polluting materials (for liquids, 150 percent of storage capacity is generally required for emergency containment). Oil storage facility owners must submit to the Water Resources Commission a plan for prevention of spills and set forth emergency cleanup procedures and inventory monitoring methods to be used. The rules also authorize companies to form oil spill cooperatives. Provisions of Michigan's spill control rules are enforced by the Water Resources Commission.

Michigan DNR also operates a Pollution Emergency Alert System. A telephone line is maintained on a 24-hour, toll-free basis for callers to report suspected pollution incidents (1-800-292-4706). DNR staff who respond to calls and complaints are designated as Pollution Emergency Communications Coordinators. Coordinators contact appropriate field staff to investigate and respond to emergency situations. Coordinators also administer Water Cleaning Emergency Funds and serve as field staff contacts with regard to Michigan's Hazardous Waste Service funds for emergency situations (memorandum from Gary Guenther, MDNR, to all Environmental Protection Bureau Staff, February 24, 1986).

9.1.9 Land Application

Michigan laws regulating land application of septage have been recently amended to become more in line with laws regulating application of municipal sludges. Sludges from hazardous waste generators are also regulated by stringent State and Federal land application laws.

9.1.10 Chemical Use

Bans have been established on the use of particular chemicals such as DDT, PCB, and Chlordane.

A state pesticides strategy was adopted in 1986.

There are state and federal requirements for Pollution Incident Prevention Plans (PIPP) and Spill Prevention, Control and Counter Measures (SPCC) at specified businesses regulating above ground storage of chemicals and petroleum based products.

Registration of existing underground storage tanks is required. These same regulations require the removal of abandoned tanks. EPA regulations for underground storage tanks are expected to be promulgated in 1988 and a Michigan Underground Storage Tank program is under development. Federal funds were first available in 1987 for states to finance petroleum related cleanups, a complement to the "Superfund" monies for sites contaminated by other hazardous substances.

In 1987, the Macomb County Health Department inaugurated an on-going collection and disposal service for household hazardous wastes.

9.1.11 Management and Planning Activities

Urban and Industrial Storm Drains

In the February, 1987 amendments to the Clean Water Act, Section 405 specifies that EPA regulations regarding storm drains for industries and large municipalities be completed by February, 1989, and that permit applications be submitted by February, 1990. Permit issuance should be completed by February, 1991 and these facilities should be in compliance by February, 1994. For smaller municipalities, the scheduled steps are two years later. The DNR will need to identify the industrial and municipal storm drains subject to permits, determine the nature and extent of pollution through monitoring and modeling, and establish methods of control.

Michigan Nonpoint Source Control Program

The February, 1987 amendments to the Clean Water Act provide for state assessments of nonpoint problems and development of a state Nonpoint Source Management Plan. The plan must be submitted for EPA approval by August of 1988 if the state is to be eligible for federal grants.

The DNR Nonpoint Source Section is expanding its nonpoint problems survey, which will be compiled and assessed by the DNR.

Groundwater Protection

In 1986-87, the CRWC and pilot communities received Groundwater Compliance grants to explore appropriate groundwater protection activities for local government, and opportunities for state/county/local coordination. The focus was on small business activities to prevent leaks/spills/dumping.

Secondary containment requirements were established through local ordinances and inspection programs. The actions will be also protective of surface water quality.

Continued Technical Assistance to Local Governments for Stormwater Management and Groundwater Protection

Local governments in the river basin are requesting assistance from the CRWC for analysis of local problems, development of performance standards, and ordinance amendments. Emphasis is on runoff and erosion control on new development sites, use and protection of wetlands, prevention of leaks/spills/dumping at small businesses, and septic management. Analysis of the Michigan 307 sites list suggests small businesses are a predominant source of contamination.

9.2 PUBLIC INVOLVEMENT

The importance of public involvement in the RAP planning process cannot be overstated. It is the local governments that manage the local community, make laws, establish ordinances, pass edicts as well as enforce "the laws of the land" that are promulgated by the state and federal government. It is the local people who elect local leaders to manage the local government which manages local issues. Since this remedial action plan will be implemented largely at the local level, it is essential that local people, "the public" be involved in the planning and the implementation process.

On June 18, 1986 a technical advisory committee meeting was held consisting of 15 representatives of state, local, federal, and private interests with knowledge or data about the Clinton River. Compiled reports from all MDNR divisions and outside agencies were gathered by the site coordinator and sent to SAIC, a consultant provided to the MDNR by the USEPA.

The MDNR held a public meeting to give and gather information concerning the Clinton River AOC in July 17, 1986, in Mt. Clemens. MDNR personnel offered an overview of problems and positive activities which have occurred recently in the Clinton River basin. The public asked questions and received answers and a promise to respond to all questions. The meeting was well attended and much information was exchanged. The issues discussed and the responses to those issues are seen throughout the RAP and are specifically answered in Appendix 9.1.

Several months later, the Clinton River RAP Status Report was mailed to meeting attendees. In addition, the MDNR kept in continuous contact with the CRWC, SEMCOG, and the Lake St. Clair Advisory Committee in an attempt to keep a finger on the pulse of the Clinton River watershed public interests.

A public meeting was held on February 26, 1987, concerning the proposed Clinton River dredging and confined disposal facility project. The topic of discussion was primarily the CDF location and its impact on the resources and property owners. The meeting was primarily an information sharing meeting. Over 250 people were present.

A copy of the final draft RAP was distributed to all Technical Advisory Committee members and interested public. A public meeting was held on January 27, 1988. Comments from the public and Technical Advisory Committee were consolidated into this RAP.

9.3 INTERAGENCY AGREEMENTS

9.3.1 Great Lakes Water Quality Agreement of 1978

The Great Lakes WQA established water quality planning and regulatory guidelines for the Great Lakes to be followed by the United States and Canada, the two agreement signatories. The International Joint Commission and its Water Quality Board are the principle organizations charged with carrying out the provisions of the agreement through federal agencies, states and provinces in the United States and Canada. Designation of Areas of Concern and drafting of Remedial Action Plans are results of this agreement.

10. RECOMMENDED ACTIONS

10.1 Use Impairments, and Their Historical and Present Causes

The use impairments in the Clinton River AOC are: restricted agricultural water use (for irrigation) because of water quality standard exceedences of total dissolved solids, and locally degraded aquatic macroinvertebrate and fish communities. These impairments are local problems and none of which contribute to impairment in the Great Lakes.

The historical causes of the identified impaired uses include municipal and industrial point source discharges, urban an rural nonpoint sources, combined sewer overflows and sediment contaminants. Urbanization, stream channelization, and natural flow regime also have played a significant role in contributing to use impairments.

Present causes of use impairments are: (1) naturally occurring high total dissolved solids and (2) naturally occurring geographical, hydrological, and mammade conditions resulting in slow-moving or stagnant water compounded by the addition of oxygen demanding substances from municipal and industrial wastewater and extreme hydrologic variations contributed by urban and rural runoff.

10.2 Proposed Actions

Proposed actions for the Clinton River include specific cleanup activities, regulatory restrictions, integrated watershed management planning activities, special studies, and evaluations. Suggested actions presented on the following pages are proposed in light of the fact that all pertinent information is not available. There are many areas where data are ambiguous or outdated. The proposed actions are aimed at filling information gaps, as well as correcting the immediate problems and the long-term causes.

Recommended actions are grouped to reflect the fact that most impairments were local versus Great Lakes impairments. Local or Great Lakes recommended actions are further grouped by the impaired uses they are designed to correct.

10.3 Recommended Actions to Address Local Impairments

Warmwater Fish

1. Design and conduct a fish community study in the Clinton River.

The fish community in the Clinton River in the 1960s was considered "dead." In the 1970s the fish community was poor. To determine if improvements in water treatment since then have created favorable conditions and restored fish communities, 22 representative stations in Section 1(3), the North Branch (2), the spillway (2), Red Run (5), and Section 5(10) should be surveyed to determine the present fish community. Fisheries Division would conduct the study during low flow and spawning runs in the spring and fall. These surveys would take 0.5 years to complete including the shocking, netting,

and reporting. Approximate costs were estimated at \$30,000. This action could be wholly funded by the MDNR.

2. Design caged fish studies to determine river quality.

Certain sections of the fish community between Pontiac and the mouth are degraded. The cause of the degradation is unknown but may be due to poor water conditions (low dissolved oxygen).

To determine if fish can survive in the Clinton River, caged fish studies could be designed to determine river quality conditions during low flow at 12 stations, including the spillway (1), Section 1(3), Section 3(2), Red Run in Section 4(2), the North Branch in Section 6(2), and the Main Branch in Section 5 of the Clinton River (2) downstream of Pontiac. Estimated costs were \$20,000 for the fish studies and \$1,200 for the water sample analysis. Work could be done by the SWQD, MDNR or a contract laboratory over a period of three to four months. Data interpretation and report writing would be approximately \$6,000.

Benthic Macroinvertebrate Community Degradation

1. Conduct a series of sediment bioassays to determine if contaminated sediments are impairing the aquatic macroinvertebrate communities in the AOC, the North Branch, and Red Run.

The macroinvertebrate communities in some portions of the Clinton River watershed were degraded when last surveyed. Several factors may contribute to the degradation of the macroinvertebrate community, including low dissolved oxygen, poor overlying water quality, extreme hydrologic fluctuations, sediment particle size, or contaminated sediments.

To determine the impact of sediment contaminants on the health of the aquatic macroinvertebrate community, a suite of sediment bioassays should be conducted at 30 stations. Sediment chemical analyses need to be paired with the sediment bioassays. Parameters should include heavy metals (including mercury), PCB and other organics, and selected conventional parameters (TOC, BOD, COD, percent solids). Sediment bioassays would be conducted by a suitable contractual laboratory. Samples could be collected by MDNR staff with approximately 12 days required. Project cost is estimated at approximately \$70,000. Total time to complete this action would be approximately seven months.

2. Support the USCOE dredging of the recreational navigation channel in the Clinton River downstream of Mt. Clemens.

Accumulated sediments have partially filled the recreational navigation channel in the lower Clinton River. If the Great Lakes levels decrease, the river will be too shallow for boat passage. These sediments are classified as moderately to heavily polluted, and represent a potential source of PCB, metals, and oil and grease to aquatic life in the Clinton River and the Great Lakes. Removal from

the aquatic system would remove or substantially reduce this potential source. The MDNR is the local sponsor for the confined disposal facility (CDF). For these reasons, the MDNR should continue to support the USCOE's proposed dredging of the recreational navigational channel from river mile 7.5 into Lake St. Clair and continue to act as the local sponsor for the CDF site on North River Road.

All work would be done and paid for by the USCOE at a cost of approximately \$3 million for the construction of the CDF and off-loading site. The actual cost of dredging is unknown but would be done with federal funds. The CDF would be completed by 1989 and dredging could commence at that time. Periodic dredging could occur for 10 years after the CDF is completed.

3. Conduct an intensive macroinvertebrate survey of river Sections 1 through 3 (AOC) of the Clinton River, Red Run, and the North Branch.

The benthic macroinvertebrate community in the lower Clinton River was degraded and dominated by pollution tolerant forms during the last survey. Improvements in point source discharges may now have yielded improved water quality, but not necessarily improved sediment quality. The information is old and very sparse. The current condition of the Clinton River benthic macroinvertebrate community should be determined since they are primary indicators of stream quality and the true measure of water pollution control activities to date.

To accomplish this task, select 30-35 stations above and below suspected contaminant sources using ponar grabs, and qualitative methods to determine the benthic community structure. MDNR staff biologists could conduct the surveys if staffing levels were adequate or it could be contracted out. One and half years (\$65,000) would be required to complete the survey including macroinvertebrate collections, processing, identification, interpretation of data, and report writing. A funding source for this action has not been identified if completed by a contractor.

Local Fish and Benthic Macroinvertebrate Community Degradation

1. Conduct intensive 24-hour water chemistry surveys in the AOC to document pollution sources and the extent of dissolved oxygen problems in the lower Clinton River below Red Run.

The lower Clinton River and Red Run experiences dissolved oxygen concentrations which do not meet Michigan's water quality standards. The dissolved oxygen data are relatively recent, but are available from only one sampling point and only during the oxygen producing rather than the oxygen consuming time of the day. Therefore, they do not represent the critical conditions to which the aquatic community is subject to. To determine the temporal and spatial extent of water quality standards exceedence for dissolved oxygen, eight or 10 stations should be selected in areas having suspected dissolved oxygen problems. Sampling should include diurnal analyses at each station several times during the year. Samples should be

analyzed for D.O., TOC, BOD, total phosphorus, ammonia, fecal coliforms, and other possible constituents of local interest. Analyses of these ambient samples would cost between \$20,000-\$25,000. Point source sampling should be paired with this river survey. Point source studies are estimated to cost \$2,000-\$4,000 for each study. Depending on resources, the data could be evaluated and reported by MDNR staff at a cost of approximately \$20,000. Further remedial measures, including NPDES effluent limits as part of the waste load allocation, could be recommended based on the conclusions of the report. This action would take approximately one year to complete.

2. Conduct a waste load allocation for all industrial and municipal dischargers in the Clinton River Basin.

The Clinton River is experiencing dissolved oxygen problems that result in part from municipal and industrial point source dischargers. The Clinton River is an effluent dominated stream below Pontiac. The impact of these discharges upon river Sections 1, 2, and 3 should be determined so that appropriate effluent limits are assured when permits are reissued in 1990.

To determine the magnitude that each NPDES discharger contributes to this problem, conduct a coordinated waste load allocation for all industrial and municipal dischargers in the basin based on ambient D.O. determined in the proposed River D.O. studies. This activity should be an MDNR Surface Water Quality Division (SWQD) responsibility performed as part of the Division's strategy. Extensive sampling would be required to determine the Clinton River's assimilative capacity and still meet water quality standards for D.O. The studies could take several months to complete, interpret, and write. Each discharger would be surveyed during the river survey. Costs for this portion are described in 10.4.6.b. The actual waste load allocation should be federally funded as part of the NPDES permit program since it will determine the impact these dischargers have upon the Clinton River. Estimated cost of \$25,000.

3. Upgrade the Mt. Clemens and Armada WWTPs.

The Mt. Clemens and Armada WWTPs have historically discharged effluent that caused their respective receiving waters to violate Michigan's water quality standards. In addition, they also have had combined sewer overflows.

To meet water quality standards in the Clinton River, complete the upgrading of the Mt. Clemens and Armada wastewater treatment plants so they meet their final effluent limits and comply with required industrial pretreatment programs. At Mt. Clemens in Section 1 this includes upgrading the retention basin facility to reduce frequency and magnitude of overflows to the Clinton River. At Armada in Section 6 this includes a separation of storm and sanitary sewers. Funding would continue to be incurred by the individual communities or state and federal grants. Both projects are underway and should be completed in 1988. Estimated cost of \$20,900,000.

4. The Southeast Oakland County Sewage Disposal/Pollution Control facility discharges chlorinated combined sewer overflow to Red Run approximately 12 times per year.

Studies to determine the impact of this facility's discharge on aquatic life and the cost to reduce the frequency or eliminate this periodic overflow need to be determined. This would probably be best handled by a consultant. The estimated cost is \$150,000.

5. Conduct dye or smoke testing to identify illegal connections to the storm or sanitary sewer system.

It is suspected that a significant amount of pollutant loadings enter the Clinton River via illegal connections to the storm sewer system. Loading reductions could be accomplished if illegal connections could be corrected. This action could be completed by conducting a dye or smoke testing program to identify all illegal connections to the storm or sanitary sewer system in the AOC and Red Run. This could be accomplished through a contractual agent. Costs are unknown and would be determined by contractor bids. The time to complete this remedial action is also unknown and dependent on contractor availability and the scope of work. Funding would come from local communities. Estimated cost of \$150,000.

6. Implement Michigan's urban and rural nonpoint strategies.

Nonpoint sources contribute a very large portion of the conventional pollutant loadings to the Clinton River.

To improve the river quality, Michigan urban and rural nonpoint strategies should be implemented. This would involve a coordinated effort between the local, county, and state governmental agencies and private land owners, farmers, and businesses. The MDNR should conduct a periodic review of all soil erosion and sedimentation control agencies performing specific responsibilities under the Sediment Act including county enforcing agencies, local enforcing agencies, authorized public agencies, and Soil Conservation Districts. A review for each agency should be completed at least once in each five years as a minimum, or once in three years as the need arises. Costs are estimated at \$15,000,000. Several years would be required to complete this remedial action. Funding sources have not been identified for this action.

7. Enforce Best Management Practices (BMPs) for erosion control and soil conservation at agricultural and construction sites. BMPs are measures that may be employed to help ensure against nonpoint source pollution caused by sediment transport. These practices may be identified in one or more functional groups including planning, administration, enforcement, and construction. The state's Nonpoint Source Control Unit, MDNR is presently formulating information on these practices as a part of the requirements under the U.S. 1972 Clean Water Act.

8. Determine the impact that weir modifications might have on the river quality of the natural channel and the spillway between Mt. Clemens and Lake St. Clair.

River quality in the natural channel between the Clinton River spillway weir and Lake St. Clair has been poor over the past 25 years. The weir installation achieved its purpose of providing flood relief, but has altered the river quality in the natural channel. Low volumes and low velocities down the natural channel are thought to contribute to increased shoaling and low dissolved oxygen in the river reach. This portion of the Clinton River has rather complex hydrology and, therefore, has not been extensively studied. Because the City of Mt. Clemens WWTP NPDES permit limits are based on a design stream flow and a large existing pleasure boating industry also requires certain river flow, it is necessary to maintain a given volume of water down this natural channel. Weir modifications have been suggested, but no one has recently attempted to determine the impact that weir modifications could have upon the natural channel and the spillway downstream of the weir.

To determine what river quality improvements could occur, alternatives for weir modification and their effects should be evaluated by a consultant hired by the Intra County Drainage Board. MDNR's SWQD and Land and Water Management Division staff could work with the consultant to ensure that if weir adjustments are made, they would improve the stream quality of the Clinton River. Land and Water Management Division would be requested to project consultant costs and provide consultant supervision. Funding sources have not been identified and the length of time to complete this action is unknown, but estimated at two years. Estimated cost is \$200,000.

9. Encourage state and federal air quality personnel to monitor airborne chemical (nutrients, metals, and organics) contaminants.

There is presently a very limited air quality monitoring program in the Clinton River watershed which measures only a few constituents. Atmospheric deposition of organic and metal contaminants should be monitored to determine if air is a significant contaminant source to the AOC.

This could be accomplished by encouraging state and fedral air quality personnel to monitor airborne metals, organics, and conventional pollutants. The cost is unknown, but should be funded by the state or federal government since clean air is a major responsibility of the government. Time to complete this action is undetermined. First year cost is estimated at \$105,000.

10. Continue existing remedial actions presently occurring at Act 307 and Superfund landfills in the Clinton River watershed.

Superfund and Act 307 sites are potentially sources of surface water contamination and should be cleaned up. Site cleanup at identified 307 and Superfund landfill sites has proceeded with MDNR assistance resulting in reduced potential for surface water contamination at

some sites. Additional site cleanup would protect groundwater which could eventually discharge to the surface water.

Remedial actions should include encouraging cleanup activities to include determining the impact these facilities have on aquatic life and stream quality. Actions and monitoring at these facilities are conducted by MDNR's Environmental Response Division (ERD) through Public Act 307 and the U.S. EPA through Superfund processes. Costs vary by site, and time to complete remedial actions will also vary by site, but many years would be required to remediate all 307 sites. Funding sources have been the State of Michigan and the federal government. Estimated cost is \$9,000,000.

Potential Local and Great Lakes PCB Contamination of Fish

Collect sediment samples in areas where PCB was previously reported.

PCB contaminated sediments may contribute to fish flesh PCB body burdens. Some elevated PCB levels in carp have been reported in the Clinton River, but PCB sources are unknown. The areal extent and concentrations of PCB contaminated sediments in localized areas are also unknown.

To determine the concentration and extent of PCB contaminated sediments, design and conduct a sediment survey of Amy Drain, Murphy Creek, and the Main Branch of the Clinton River downstream of the confluence of Murphy Creek. Sediments from Greiner Drain, and downstream of the confluence of Greiner Drain in the North Branch of the Clinton River, and in Red Run should also be collected. The number of samples required is presently unknown, but costs of sediment analysis for PCB is \$200 per sample. Time to complete this phase, including study design, sampling, and chemical analysis is approximately four months. The work could be performed by the MDNR's Surface Water Quality Division or a contractor. The source of funding is unknown. Estimated cost of \$20,000.

2. Expand the Fixed Station Ambient Water Monitoring Program on the Clinton River to include sampling for organic contaminants.

Clinton River water analyses for organic contaminants are very sparse, especially for persistent organics with bioaccumulative qualities. It is these organic contaminants (i.e., PCB) that result in fish consumption advisories in local systems and fishing bans in the Great Lakes and their connecting channels. If these contaminants were found in the water, upstream sources could be sought out and remediated.

To achieve this task, fixed station monitoring on the Clinton River could be expanded to include sampling for organic contaminants (especially persistent organics with bioaccumulative qualities) to determine each river section's loadings. Current station samples are analyzed for conventional and metal parameters. Additional samples could be collected at current monitoring stations for

organics analysis. An additional \$400-\$600 per sample would be necessary. Estimated cost is \$22,000/year.

 Collect fish from Clinton River and nearshore Lake St. Clair for chemical analysis.

In the past, certain Clinton River fish tissue have exceeded U.S. FDA guidelines for PCB, DDT, and/or mercury. To monitor the trends, collect fish for chemical analysis in the Clinton River at nine (9) stations. Stations should include Lake St. Clair (vicinity of the Clinton River mouth and spillway), Clinton River mouth (Section 1), the Spillway (Section 2), Section 3, Red Run (Section 4), above and below Yates Dam (Section 5) and in the North Branch of the Clinton River (Section 6).

Ten (10) carp and ten (10) bass and/or walleye should be analyzed for mercury (Hg) and PCB. Two or three different size ranges of these fish may be required to address possible fish consumption advisories. These collections include carp and bass/walleye since these species are major sport fish or have contained elevated levels of PCB, DDT, and/or Hg in the past. Collections would be conducted as part of Michigan's Fish Contaminant Monitoring Program with assistance from Fisheries Division or contracted out over the next three years. Collections may need to be seasonal since anadromous fish may not be in the river year round. Approximately 15 days would be required for the collections which were estimated to cost \$4,000-\$5,000, including salary, travel, and equipment. Tissue analysis could be performed by the Michigan Department of Public Health or a suitable contractor. Processing and analysis of the fish would be approximately \$72,000. If several sizes are required, the cost will increase accordingly. Data interpretation and reporting would be an additional \$20,000. Planning, implementation, and report writing for this project would take approximately four (4) years to complete in the normal course of work.

Sediments Block River Flow

 Define sediment transport and loading of suspended material in the Clinton River.

Suspended sediments settle out in the depositional zones in river Sections 1 and 3. Sources and transport mechanisms should be defined to locate where action could be taken to reduce sediment souces.

To determine suspended solids loadings, sources, and transport mechanisms should be defined. A sediment transport study showing sediment sources should be conducted. The cost is unknown and dependent on proposals submitted by consultants. Time to complete the remedial action and funding sources are also unknown. Estimated cost of \$400,000.

2. Perform maintenance dredging in the natural channel of the Clinton River at Shadyside Park to enhance flow.

Sediments deposited at the spillway/natural channel divergence upstream of Mt. Clemens form shoals which partially block water flow down the natural channel resulting in low water volume, and velocity and low dissolved oxygen.

Dredge the natural channel near Shadyside Park to enhance the flow in the natural channel. In the 1970s approximately 13,000 cubic yards of sediment were removed from this location by Macomb County at a cost of \$78,000. The spoils were placed along the floodplain of the river. New regulations would require sediment chemical analysis prior to removal and disposal. If the spoils require confined disposal, costs would be significantly greater than the last dredging. Dredging should be performed by a contractor funded by the Clinton River Intra-County Drainage Board. Time to complete the dredging is estimated at two months. Estimated cost of \$200,000.

3. Sedimentation and sediment deposition in the Clinton River have been a problem in the lower Clinton River in Sections 1, 2, and 3. Since sediments usually come from upstream sources, these sources should be controlled.

County and federal soil conservation service personnel should strictly enforce the BMPs for erosion control. In addition, local building inspectors or drain commissioners should strictly enforce these laws at construction sites throughout the basin. Costs for these actions should be developed within a basin framework under the auspices of the Clinton River Watershed Council, the Intra-County Drainage Board, and the County Soil Conservation Service offices. Costs, funding sources, and length of time to complete this action is unknown. Costs are included in 10.4.5a.

Clinton River Ecosystem Coordination

Develop a watershed-wide, multi-jurisdictional river basin organization with modest long term assured funding under the control of a watershed board to be a "Center for Clinton River Watershed Actions." This organization would be a vital force in implementation of all watershed actions and issues, including construction site runoff, urban storm drainage, education, public involvement, floodplain issues, agricultural runoff, and other watershed/water quality related activities that the board would determine. Funding should come from the whole watershed since all watershed users contribute to the problems to some extent.

10.4 Recommended Actions to Address Great Lakes Impairments

Impairments

Design a caged fish contaminant uptake study.

Some fish species downstream of Yates Dam contain elevated levels of DDT, PCB, or mercury. Contaminant sources are unknown. We are also uncertain if these fish are resident or Lake St. Clair fish. A

caged fish study could determine contaminant presence in and rate of uptake from Clinton River water.

Caged fish could be analyzed for mercury (Hg), DDT, and PCB, the parameters of concern in Lake St. Clair, to determine presence and/or the rate of uptake. Additional water chemical analysis and D.O. may be necessary at these sampling locations. Five locations, one each in Sections 1, 2, 4, and 6 and two in Section 5 (one upstream and one downstream of Yates Dam) should be selected. Studies would take one month to complete at a cost of approximately \$20,000 for fish cage placement, servicing and fish flesh analysis. Work would be done by the SWQD, MDNR or a contractor. Funding sources are uncertain.

2. See items 1, 2 and 3 under Potential Local and Great Lakes PCB Concentrations in Fish.

Bibliography

- Allen, D. 1981. Interoffice Memorandum January 20, 1981 to Karl Zollner, Jr., Engineering & Technical Services Section. Water Quality-Based Effluent Limits for the Mt. Clemens WWTP. Michigan Department of Natural Resources.
- Allen, D. and S. Buda. 1981. Clinton River Study at Mt. Clemens, October 1, 1980. Michigan Department of Natural Resources.
- AWQB/EMEAC, 1987. "Michigan Environmental Protection System: Assessment and Recommendations for Change." Areawide Water Quality Board (Southeast Michigan) and East Michigan Environmental Action Council, 1987.
- AuBuchon, L. 1987. Personal communication. MDNR Waste Management Division, Northville.
- Baker, D. 1982. Studies of Sediment, Nutrient, and Pesticide Loading in Selected Lake Erie and Lake Ontario Tributaries. Part V Pesticide Concentrations and Loadings in Selected Lake Erie Tributaries 1982.
- Baker, D. 1985. Pesticide Concentrations in Lake Erie Tributaries and in Rainfall 1985, Preliminary Data, November 18, 1985. Heidelberg College, Tiffin, Ohio.
- Baker, D. 1987. Pesticide loading into the St. Clair River and Lake St. Clair in 1985, Heidelburg College, Tiffin, Ohio.
- Bedell, D. 1982. Municipal Water Withdrawals in Michigan. Water Management Division. Michigan Department of Natural Resources.
- Best, D. 1987. Final Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act Report.

 Evaluation of Proposed Confined Disposal and Transfer Facilities for Sediments from the Clinton River, Michigan. East Lansing Field Office, U.S. fish & Wildlife Service.
- Creal, W. 1984. Effects of Ammonia and Chlorine on fish and macroinvertebrates in Michigan Streams in 1983. MDNR, SWOD, Lansing, Michigan #04710.
- CRWC. Undated. Project Summary,
 205(j) Grant Application. A Stormwater Management Planning Strategy
 for Urbanizing Watersheds (Nonpoint Source Control Planning:
 Demonstration Project).
- CRWC. 1981. Stormwater Management Clinton River Basin Assessment. Prepared by MDNR, Clinton River Watershed Council, and evaluation Associates.
- CRWC. 1984. Summer Meeting Report. Clinton River Watershed Council.

- CRWC. 1986. Memo to MERB for November 24, 1986 Briefing on soil Erosion and Sedimentation Control.
- CRWC. 1987. Guide to Stormwater Management for Michigan Communities.
- CRWC. 1987. Clinton River. Reconstruction of Spillway Weir and Sediment Control in Mt. Clemens. Report of a Meeting October 27, 1987.
- Deephouse, 1979. Personal Communication. Fisheries Division, MDNR.
- E. C. Jordan Company. 1986. Red Run Drain Site Investigation. Draft Work Plan. Michigan Department of Natural Resources. Contract No. 1225.
- Environmental Research Group, Inc. 1983. Study of Water, Benthos, Sediment, and Elutriate Water From the Clinton River near Mt. Clemens. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers.
- Great Lakes Water Quality Board. 1985. 1985 Report on Great Lakes Water Quality. Report to the International Joint Commission. Great Lakes Water Quality Board.
- Guenther, G. 1986. Memo to Environmental Protection Bureau staff MDNR February 24, 1986.
- Haas, R. C. 1983. Personal communication. MDNR Fisheries Division, Lake St. Clair Fisheries Station.
- Haas, R. C. 1986. Personal communication. MDNR Fisheries Division, Lake St. Clair Fisheries Station.
- Haas, R. C. 1987. Personal communication. MDNR Fisheries Division, Lake St. Clair fisheries station.
- Herriman, J. 1987. I.P.P. Annual Report, City of Warren WWTP 1987.
- Hesse, J. and E. Evans. 1972. Heavy Metals in Surface Waters, Sediments, and Fish in Michigan. Michigan Water Resources Commission. 58 pp.
- Hubbel, Roth & Clark, Inc. 1969. Study and Report on Abatement of Pollution of the Red Run by the Twelve Towns Relief Drains District. Written for the Southeastern Oakland County Sewage Disposal System.
- Johnson, P. 1983. Speech to Public Group. Clinton River Watershed Council.
- Johnson, P. 1984. The Advisor Newspaper.
- Johnson, P. 1985. Personnal communication. CRWC.

- Johnson, P. 1986. Personnal communication to the Michigan Water Resources Commission.
- Julian, R. 1982. 1981 update on the Clinton River Walleye Population Study. USFWS, 1982.
- Kenaga, D. 1982. Unpublished 1982Clinton River Macroinvertebrate Study.
- Kenaga, D. 1984a. Biological Survey of Trout Creek, Bald Mountain Recreation Area, Oakland Co., Michigan. MDNR SWQD #04780.
- Kenaga, D. 1984b. Water, Sediment and benthic macroinvertebrate survey of McBride Drain in the vicinity of South Macomb Disposal Authority Landfill 9 and 9A, Macomb County, 1983. MDNR, SWQD #04790.
- Kenaga, D. 1988. Unpublished report on techniques used to analyze Clinton River Sediments.
- Kenaga, D. and R. Jones 1986. Report on the Impact of Liquid Disposal, Inc. on the Clinton River, Macomb County, Michigan. Michigan Department of Natural Resources, Surface Water Quality Division and Groundwater Quality Division.
- Limno-Tech., Inc. 1985. Clinton River and spillway water quality and hydraulics surveys of 1984.
- Limno-Tech., Inc. 1985. Summary of the Existing Status of the Upper Great Lakes Connecting Channels Data. Limno-Tech, Inc. Ann Arbor, Michigan.
- McKinnen C. 1987. Personal communication. Southeast Oakland County Sewage Disposal System.
- MDA. 1985. A strategy for reductions of Rural Nonpoint Source pollution in Michigan. 43 pp.
- MDNR. 1973. Biological investigation of the Clinton River. vicinity of the Rochester Paper Company.
- MDNR. 1973. Biological investigation of the Clinton River between Pontiac and Rochester, Oakland County.
- MDNR. 1973. Clinton River study, Rochester to Mouth.
- MDNR. 1973. Biological survey of the Clinton River-Pontiac to Mouth.
- MDNR. 1973. Biological Survey of Paint Creek.
- MDNR. 1973. Paint Creek Study: Lake Orion to Mouth.
- MDNR. 1973. Limnological survey of the Michigan portion of Lake St. Clair.
- MDNR. 1973. Biological survey of the N. Branch Clinton River.
- MDNR. 1973. Three continuous-flow bioassays conducted on the Parke-Davis Company cooling water discharge, Rochester, MI.
- MDNR. 1973. G & H Landfill, Macomb County.
- MDNR. 1973. Continuous flow, on-site bioassay conducted on the Pontiac Wastewater treatment plant, East Blvd.

- MDNR. 1974. Continuous-flow, on-site bioassay conducted on the wastewater discharge of the Pontiac wastewater treatment plant, Audburn Road Plant, Pontiac, Michigan.
- MDNR. 1974. Biological investigation of Red Run and its tributaries, July 11-12, 1973, Macomb County.
- MDNR. 1974. Clinton River Study: Pontiac to Rochester.
- MDNR. 1974. Primary Monitoring network biological stations 1973 results.
- MDNR. 1975. North Branch of the Clinton River at Almont.
- MDNR. 1975. Water quality trends in Michigan, March, 1975.
- MDNR. 1976. Taft Drain Study.
- MDNR. 1976. Sediment survey of the Clinton River, Pontiac to Mouth, September 9, 1976.
- MDNR. 1977. Past and present biological quality of eight Michigan rivers.
- MDNR. 1979 1979 Unpublished field data, lower Clinton River
- MDNR. 1979. Flood Program.
- MDNR. 1980. Biological survey of Greiner Drain and North Branch Clinton River, Macomb County, Michigan.
- MDNR. 1980. Michigan's biological primary monitoring program, 1973-78.
- MDNR. 1980. Report of a wastewater survey conducted at the Almont WWTP, 1980 Macomb County Michigan.
- MDNR. 1980. Michigan Critical Materials Register. Michigan Department of Natural Resources, Environmental Protection Bureau, Environmental Services Division.
- MDNR. 1980. Report of two Municipal Wastewater treatment surveys conducted at Pontiac wastewater treatment plant.
- MDNR. 1981. Clinton River study at Mt. Clemens, October 1, 1980.
- MDNR. 1981. 1980 Survey and modeling results, North Branch of the Clinton River at Almont.
- MDNR. 1981(a). An Evaluation of Bacterial Pollution in Lake St. Clair in the vicinity of the Clinton river, August 1980.

 Michigan

 Department of Natural Resources Publication No. 3730-0033.
- MDNR. 1982. Report of a Wastewater Survey Conducted at the Romeo WWTP 1982, Macomb County, Michigan.
- MDNR. 1984. Report of a Wastewater Survey conducted at the Armada WWTP 1984, Lapeer County, Michigan.
- MDNR. 1984(a). Water Quality and Pollution Control in Michigan: 1984 Report. Michigan Department of Natural Resources, Environmental Protection Bureau. Surface Water Quality Division. Water Quality Surveillance Section.
- MDNR. 1984(b). Site Description/Executive Summary, Red Run Landfill. Groundwater Quality Section.
- MDNR. 1985(a). Water Quality and Pollution Control in Michigan. 1985.
- MDNR. 1985(b). Air Quality Report. Michigan Department of Natural Resources, Air Quality Division.

- MDNR. 1985(c). Jil Gahsman, Toxic Chemical Evaluation Section, Environmental Services Division, May 17, 1985. Subject: Mt. Clemens Industrial Pretreatment Program/Toxic Substances Pre-Screening Monitoring Program.
- MDNR. 1985(d). Draft Remedial Investigation Report. Liquid Disposal, Inc. Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study. Macomb County, Michigan. Michigan Department of Natural Resources.
- MDNR. 1985(e). Water Management in Michigan. Volume III. Previous Water Management Planning efforts in Michigan and Other States.
- MDNR. 1985(f). Staff Report. Nonpoint Assessment for Small Watersheds. Michigan Department of Natural Resources, Surface Water Quality Division, Water Quality Surveillance Section, August 1985.
- MDNR. 1986(a). Michigan Sites of Environmental Contamination Priority Lists, November 1986 for Fiscal Year 1988. Michigan Department of Natural Resources, Site Assessment Unit, Remedial Action Section, Groundwater Quality Division.
- MDNR. 1986(c). Overview of Local, State, and Federal Activities
 Associated with Liquid Disposal, Inc. Since Closure of the Site in
 1982. Michigan Department of Natural Resources.
- MDNR. 1986(d). Overview of Local State, and Federal Activities
 Associated with the G & H Landfill since 1982, March 1986. Michigan
 Department of Natural Resources, Groundwater Quality Division.
- MWRC. 1986. Part 4 Rules. Water Quality Standards, Major Changes from former Standards, November 1986. Michigan Department of Natural Resources. Michigan Water Resources Commission.
- MDNR. Undated(a). Untitled Data Sheet on Rochester Industrial Wastewater Pretreatment.
- MDNR. Undated. Physical Characteristics of the Clinton River Basin.
 Michigan Department of Natural Resources, Water Resources
 Commission.
- MDNR. Undated. Clinton River Designation. Area of Concern. Michigan Department of Natural Resources.
- MDNR. Undated. Point Source Study Mt. Clemens WWTP, Personal visit, James Lafferty.
- MDNR. 1978-1985. Lansing MDNR Fisheries Division Records.
- MDNR, CRWC, and EA. 1981. Stormwater Management: Clinton River Basin Assessment, 1981. Michigan Department of Natural Resources, Clinton River Watershed Council and Evaluation Associates.

- MDNR. CRWC, and EA. 1982. Clinton River Basin Technical Assistance Directory. Michigan Department of Natural Resources, Clinton River Watershed Council, and Evaluation Associates.
- MDNR and SEMCOG. 1985. Michigan Urban Nonpoint Source Pollution Control Strategy, August 1985. Prepared for the Cabinet Council on Environmental Protection by the Urban Nonpoint Task Force. Michigan Department of Natural Resources, Surface Water Quality Division and the Southeast Michigan Council of Governments.
- MDNR and USEPA. 1986. List of POTWs and with Approved Pretreatment Programs, September 10, 1986. Michigan Department of Natural Resources and U.S. Environmental Protection Agency.
- Mertz, L. 1984. The Advisor Newspapers, August 8-14, 1984.
- Miller, T. 1984: Analysis of a Dredging Project. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, East Lansing, Michigan, Office Report.
- MNRC. 1985. Fisheries and Wildlife Report as Requested by Governor Blanchard and Michigan Natural Resources Commission.
- MWRC. (Michigan Water Resources Council) 1966.
- Michigan Waste Report 1986. Vol. 6, August 1986.
- MUCC. 1986. "Watching Our Waters: Study of the Michigan Department of Natural Resources Surface Water Quality Monitoring Programs" Michigan United Conservation Clubs and National Wildlife Federation, 1986.
- Natural Resources Register. 1985. September 1985.
- Nowlin, J. O. Undated. Water Resources of the Clinton River Basin, Southeastern Michigan, Department of the Interior, U.S. Geological Survey.
- Odin, C. 1978-1981. Personal communications, 6-13-78, 7-12-78, 8-22-78, 5-11-79, 8-5-80, and 10-22-81. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, East Lansing.
- Peterson, K. L. 1986. The Clinton: Making a Comeback, Michigan Outof Doors, July 1986.
- Pugsley, C., P. Herbert, and P. McQuarrie. 1984. Patterns of Contaminant Distribution in Clams and Sediments Taken from the Huron-Erie Corridor during 1983. 27th Conference on G. L. Res., Brock Univ., St. Cat. Ont. Apr. 30-May 3, 1983.
- Reznick, R. 1987. Pontiac WWTP/Clinton River Waste Load
 Allocation. June 9, 1987 memo to Fred Cowles, Permits Section,
 SWQD, MDNR.

- Richardson, . 1983. Guidelines for Water Resources Management:

 A Case of Study of Ecodevelopment in the Clinton River watershed.
 University of Michigan Masters Thesis.
- Sapp, B. 1975. Macomb Daily. October 30, 1975.
- Schrameck, R. 1986. Personal communication, MDNR, Surface Water Quality Division, Northville.
- SEMCOG. 1978(a). Water Quality in Southeast Michigan: Clinton River Basin. Southeast Michigan Council of Governments.
- SEMCOG. 1978(b). Information Sheet/No Title, April 1978.
- SEMCOG. 1980(a). Financial Management Bulletin Series.
- SEMCOG. 1980(b). Water Quality Guidelines for Development of Plan Reviews: A Handbook for Local Officials in Southeast Michigan.
- SEMCOG. 1981(a). River Basin Management Strategy Framework for the Clinton River Basin. Southeast Michigan Council of Governments.
- SEMCOG. 1981(b). Effective Stormwater Management Programs: Case Studies of Local Government Experiences in Southeast Michigan.
- SEMCOG. 1981(c). River Basin Management Strategy Framework for the Clinton River Basin.
- SEMCOG. 1981(d). Stormwater Management Options for Southeast Michigan: Workshop Summary.
- SEMCOG. 1981(e). Water Quality Guidelines for Development Plan Reviewers: A Handbook for Local Officials in Southeast Michigan.
- Snyder, D. 1987. Personal communication. Oakland County Drain Commission.
- Spaulding, Dedecker and Associates, Inc. 1982. Mt. Clemens Final Facility Plan.
- Spitler, R. 1987. Personal communication. MDNR Fisheries Division memo, Pontiac Lake Office.
- Stone, W. 1987(a). Report of a Wastewater Survey conducted at the Pontiac WWTP, May 18, 1986, Oakland Co. Pontiac, Michigan.
- Stone, W. 1987(b). Report of a wastewater survey conducted at the Rochester WWTP August 18, 1986, Oakland Col, Rochester, Michigan.
- Stone, W. 1987(c). Report of Wastewater survey conducted at the Warren WWTP, May 18, 1986, Macomb Co., Warren, Michigan.

- Stone, W. 1987(d). Report of a Wastewater survey conducted at the Mt. Clemens WWTP, May 18, 1986, Macomb Co., Mt. Clemens, Michigan.
- USCOE. 1975. Public Notice of Proposed Dredging of the Clinton River at Mt. Clemens, Michigan, December 16, 1975. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers., Detroit District.
- USCOE. 1976. Maintenance dredging of the federal navigation channel at Clinton River, Michigan (final environmental statement). COE 1976.
- USCOE. 1979. Red Run Lower Clinton River, Michigan Flood Control
 Draft Environmental Impact Statement. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers.
 Detroit District.
- USCOE. 1985. Public Notice. Clinton River Diked Disposal and Transfer Facility, Clinton River, Michigan. March 19, 1985, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Detroit District.
- USCOE. 1986. Confined disposal facility for maintenance dredging of the Federal Navigation Channel in the Clinton River (Supplemental Draft EIS).
- USCOE. 1987. Confined disposal facility for maintenance dredging of the federal navigation channel in the Clinton River, Macomb County, Michigan (Supplemental Final EIS).
- USDA. 1971. Soil Survey Macomb County Michigan. U.S. Department of Agriculture Soil Conservation Service in Cooperation with Michigan Agricultural Experiment Station.
- USDA. 1982. Soil Survey Oakland County Michigan. U.S. Department of Agriculture Soil Conservation Service in Cooperation with Michigan Agricultural Experiment Station.
- USEPA. 1977. Dredge Spoil Disposal Criteria Classification Guidelines for Great Lakes Harbors. USEPA, 1977.
- USEPA. 1981. Unpublished data, USEPA (GLNPO).
- USEPA. 1983. Results of the Nationwide Urban Runoff Program Final Report.
- USEPA. 1985. Unpublished data, U.S. EPA (GLNPO).
- USEPA. 1985(a). Great Lakes Connecting Channels Study.
- USEPA. 1985(b). Draft Remedial Investigation Report, Liquid
 Disposal, Inc., Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study, Macomb
 County. Michigan. September 6, 1985.
- USEPA. 1986. Superfund Public Health Evaluation Manual. Office of Emergency and Remedial Response. EPA 540/1-86/060 (OSWER Directive 9285.4-1).

- USEPA. 1986. Comments on first draft (1986) Clinton River RAP November 1986.
- USEPA. 1986. C. Sutfin's comments on first draft of Clinton River RAA, October 9, 1986.
- USEPA. 1986. Methodology for Analysis of Detention Basins for Control of Urban Runoff.
- U.S. Fish Wildlife Service. 1978. Red Run Drain-Lower Clinton River project (letter).
- U.S. Fish Wildlife Service. 1978. Red Run Drain-Lower Clinton River project (letter).
- U.S. Fish Wildlife Service. 1978. Clinton River fish sampling (letter).
- U.S. Fish Wildlife Service. 1979. Clinton River fish sampling (letter).
- U.S. Fish Wildlife Service. 1979. Summary of spring, 1979 electrofishing Survey.
- U.S. Fish Wildlife Service. 1979. Clinton River fish sampling (supplemental letter).
- U.S. Fish Wildlife Service. 1980. Clinton River fish sampling (letter).
- U.S. Fish Wildlife Service. 1980. Spawning walleye population and spawning site estimates.
- U.S. Fish Wildlife Service. 1981. Fisheries report, Red Run Drain and lower Clinton River.
- USGS. 1970. Chemical Quality of Michigan Streams. U.S. Department of Interior, Geological Survey circular 634.
- USGS. 1987. Water Resources Data Michigan Water Year 1986. USGS Water-Data Report MI-86-1, Lansing, Michigan.
- Roy, F. Weston, Inc. 1986. Installation Restoration Program Phase II-Confirmation/Quantification Stage 1, 1986, draft.
- Winn, G. 1987. Personal communication. Macomb County Department of Public Works.
- Zamuda, C., J. Lounsbury, and D. Cooper. 1986. Superfund Risk Assessment: The Process and its Application to Uncontrolled Hazardous Waste Sites. In: Management of Uncontrolled Hazardous Waste Sites, 7th National Conference, Dec. 1-3, 1986.

Appendices

Appendix 3.1 Clinton River Drainage Basin Stream Orders and Lakes Within the Drainage Basin

SECTION 1 - Main Branch of Clinton River Downstream of Spillway Main Branch Clinton River - 5th order

SECTION 2 - Clinton River Spillway

Spillway - 5th order (manmade)

SECTION 3 - Main Br. Clinton R. and Tribs. Between Red Run & Spillway Main Br. of Clinton R. between Red Run & the North Branch- 4th order

Main Br. of Clinton R. downstream of North Branch - 5th order

1st order stream

Harrington Drain

SECTION 4 - Red Run and Tributaries

Red Run - 3rd order

1st order streams
Bear Creek
Plum Brook
2 unnamed streams

2nd order stream Big Beaver Creek

SECTION 5 - Main Br. Clinton R. & Tributaries Upstream of Red Run

Main Branch Clinton River upstream of Red Run - 4th order

Stony Creek - 3rd order 1st order streams West Branch Stony River 9 unnamed streams

2nd order streams 2 unnamed streams

Lakes
Green Lake
Lakeville Lake
Cranberry Lake
Twin Lakes
Dollar Lake
Irwood Lake
Thorington lake
Stony Creek Lake
1 unnamed Lake

Appendix 3.1 continued
Section 5 continued (Stream Orders and Lakes Within Clinton R. Basin)
Paint Creek - 2nd order
1st order streams
Trout Creek
Sargent Creek

2nd order streams 3 unnamed streams

18 unnamed streams

Lakes
Howland Lake
Fish Lake
Pine Lake
Indianwood Lake
Clear Lake
Long Lake
Tan Lake
Davis Lake
Marl Lake
Lake Orion
Pungs Lake
14 unnamed lakes

Main Branch (upstream of Paint Creek)
1st order streams
30 unnamed streams

2nd Order streams
Galloway Creek
4 unnamed streams

Lakes Upper Bushman Lake Whipple Lake Crooked Lake Parke Lake Knox Lake Deer Lake Dixie Lake Greens Lake Lotus Lake Mill Pond Woodhull Lake Eagle Lake Lake Oakland Morgon Lake Mill Lake Voorheis Lake Lake Sixteen Deer Park Lake Tommys Lake Elkhorn Lake Square Lake Judah Lake Mud Lake Carpender Lake Lake Angelus Loon Lake Willian Lake Pleasant Lake Cass Lake Sylvan Lake Crystal Lake Galloway Lake 8 unnamed lakes

Appendix 3.1 continued Stream Orders and Lakes Within the Clinton R. Basin

SECTION 6 - North & Middle Branch of Clinton R. & Their Tributaries

North Branch Clinton River - 4th order

1st order streams
Newland Drain
Mahatty Creek
Camp Brook
McBride Drain
Deer Creek
Highbank Creek
Tupper Brook
Healy Drain
12 unnamed streams

2nd order Streams
Able Drain
East Branch Coon Creek
East Pond Creek
2 unnamed streams

3nd order streams
Coon Creek
Middle Branch Clinton River

Lakes
Streeter Lake
Secord Lake
East Mill Lake
Hidden Lake
Nowland Lake
Fisher Lake

Appendix 3.2. Slope Values for the Clinton River Basin

| Section | Name of Tributary | | Location | Slope× (ft/aile |
|------------|------------------------------------|------|---|--------------------|
| •1 | Main Br. Clinton R. | From | the mouth of Clinton R. to Spillway mouth | 0. |
| *2 | Spillway | From | mouth at L. St. Clair to mouth at weir at Clinton R. | υ. |
| #3 | Main Br. Clinton R. | | the Spillway mouth to the mouth of the North branch of Clinton R | . 0. |
| | Main Br. Clinton R. | | North branch mouth to mouth of Red Run | 1. |
| | Harrington Drn. | From | the mouth to Schroeder Drn. | 4. |
| . #4 | Red Run | | mouth of Red Run to mouth of Big Beaver Cr. | 1. |
| | Red Run | | mouth of Big Beaver Cr. to Lane Ditch(Headwaters) | 8. |
| | Plum Brook | | mouth of Plum Brk. to Gibson Dr. | 5. |
| | Plum Brook | From | Sibson Dr. to source of Plum Brook | <i>ই</i> !u. |
| * 5 | | _ | the mouth of Middle Br. to mouth of Healy Dr. | 1. |
| | | | mouth of Healy Drain to Shelby Road (4.4 miles from source) | 12. |
| | Healy Drain | | the mouth of Healy drain to Jewell Road (1.9 miles below source) | |
| | | | mouth of Red Run to mouth of Stony Cr. | , 6. |
| | Stony Creek | | the mouth of Stony Cr. to mouth of West Branch Stony Cr. mouth of West Br. Stony Cr. to Lakeville Lake | 24. |
| | Stony Creek | | mouth of West Br. Stony Cr. to Lakeville Lake mouth of West Br. Stony Cr. to Harmon Rd. (3.0 miles from Source |) 14 |
| | | | the mouth of Stony Cr. to mouth of Paint Cr. | 15. |
| | Paint Creek | | mouth of Paint Cr. to Highway 24 (at Orion Lake) | 19. |
| | Paint Creek | | lighway 24 to Howland Lake (headwaters of Paint Cr.) | 5 |
| | Main Br. Clinton R. | | mouth of Paint Cr. to mouth of Galloway Cr. | 16. |
| | Galloway Creek | | mouth of Galloway Cr. to Halton Boulevard (2.4 miles from source | |
| | Main Br. Clinton R. | From | mouth of Galloway Cr. to Cass Lake outlet | 8 |
| | Main Br. Clinton R. | From | Cass L. outlet to Halton Blvd. (Between Loon L. and L. Angelys) | 1 |
| | Sashabow Creek | | the mouth of Sashabow Cr. to Voorheis Lake | 4. |
| | Main Br. Clinton R. | From | Malton Blvd. to Deer Lake outlet | 2. |
| * 6 | | | the mouth of the North Branch to mouth of McBride Dr. | 1. |
| | McBride Drain | | the mouth of McBride Dr. to Hest Branch | ь. |
| | | | mouth of McBride Or. to Coon Creek | 2. |
| | Coon Creek | | the mouth of Coon Creek to Armada Center | 10. |
| | East Coon Creek East Coon Creek | From | the mouth of East Coon Cr. to mouth of Highbank Creek | |
| | Deer Creek | From | the mouth of Highbank Creek to within 2 miles of source about to mouth of Deer Creek to mouth of Morton Drain | 10. |
| | | | mouth of Coon Cr. to mouth of Camp Brook Dr. | , , |
| | Camp Brook Drain | | mouth of Camp Brook Dr. to source | 4. 17. |
| | | | wouth of Camp Brook Dr. to mouth of East Pond Cr. | i2. |
| | East Pond Creek | | mouth of East Pond Cr. to Second Lake | 18. |
| | | | mouth of East Pond Cr. to Mouth of Newland Drain | 9. |
| | Newland Drain | | the mouth of Newland Dr. to 4.8 miles upstream | ź. |
| _ | North Br. Clinton R. | | mouth of Newland Oc. to Boardman Rd. (4.4 miles from source) | 9. |

Appendix 3.3 Michigan's Water Quality Standards

DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES

WATER RESOURCES COMMISSION

GENERAL RULES

Filed with the Secretary of State on November 14, 1986 These rules take effect 15 days after filing with the Secretary of State

(By authority conferred on the water resources commission by sections 2 and 5 of Act No. 245 of the Public Acts of 1929, as amended, being \$\$323.2 and 323.5 of the Michigan Compiled Laws)

R 323.1041 to R 323.1050, R 323.1053, R 323.1055, R 323.1058 to R 323.1065, R 323.1070, R 323.1075, R 323.1082, R 323.1092 to R 323.1098, R 323.1100, and R 323.1116 of the Michigan Administrative Code, appearing on pages 1630 and 1632 to 1639 of the 1979 Administrative Code and pages 162 to 164, 166, and 167 of the 1984 Annual Supplement to the Code, are amended, and R 323.1099 is added, to read as hereinafter set forth.

R 323.1074, R 323.1080, R 323.1091, R 323.1110, and R 323.1115 of the Michigan Administrative Code, appearing on pages 1636 to 1644 of the 1979 Michigan Administrative Code, are rescinded.

PART 4. WATER QUALITY STANDARDS

R 323.1041 Purpose.

Rule 41. The purpose of the water quality standards as prescribed by these rules is to establish water quality requirements applicable to the Great Lakes, the connecting waters, and all other surface waters of the state, to protect the public health and welfare, to enhance and maintain the quality of water, to protect the state's natural resources, and serve the purposes of Public Law 92-500, as amended, 33 U.S.C. \$466 et seq., Act No. 245 of the Public Acts of 1929, as amended, being \$323.1 et seq. of the Michigan Compiled Laws, and the Great Lakes water quality agreement enacted November 22, 1978. These standards may not reflect current water quality in all cases, but are minimum water quality requirements for which the waters of the state are to be managed.

R 323.1043 Definitions; A to N.

Rule 43. As used in this part:

- (a) "Agricultural use" means a use of water for agricultural purposes, including livestock watering, irrigation, and crop spraying.
- (b) "Anadromous salmonids" means those trout and salmon which ascend streams to spawn.
- (c) "Carcinogen" means a substance which causes an increased incidence of benign or malignant neoplasms or a substantial decrease in the latency period between exposure and onset of neoplasms through oral or dermal exposure or through inhalation exposure when the cancer occurs at nonrespiratory sites, in at least 1 mammalian species, or man through epidemiological or clinical studies, unless the commission, on the basis of credible scientific evidence, determines that there is significant

uncertainty regarding the credibility, validity, or significance of such study or studies, in which case it shall refer the question of carcinogenicity to experts on carcinogenesis and shall consider the recommendations of those experts in making its final determination.

- (d) "Coldwater fish" means those fish species whose populations thrive in relatively cold water, including trout, salmon, whitefish, and cisco.
- (e) "Commission" means the Michigan water resources commission established pursuant to Act No. 245 of the Public Acts of 1929, as amended, being \$323.1 et seq. of the Michigan Compiled Laws.
 - (f) "Connecting waters" means any of the following:
 - (i) The St. Marys river.
 - (11) The Keweenaw waterway.
 - (111) The Detroit river.
 - (iv) The St. Clair river.
 - (v) Lake St. Clair.
- (g) "Designated use" means a use of the waters of the state as established by these rules, including use for any of the following:
 - (i) Industrial, agricultural, and public water supply.
 - (11) Recreation.
- (iii) Fish, other aquatic life, and wildlife.
- (iv) Navigation.
- (h) "Dissolved oxygen" means the amount of oxygen dissolved in water and is commonly expressed as a concentration in terms of milligrams per liter.
- (i) "Dissolved solids" means the amount of materials dissolved in water and is commonly expressed as a concentration in terms of milligrams per liter.
- (j) "Effluent" means a wastewater discharged from a point source to the waters of the state.
- (k) "Fecal coliforn" means a type of coliforn bacteria found in the intestinal tract of humans and other warm-blooded animals.
- (1) "Final acute value" means the level of a chemical or mixture of chemicals that does not allow the mortality of important fish or fish food organisms to exceed 50% when exposed for 96 hours, except where a shorter time period is appropriate for certain species.
- (m) "Fish, other aquatic life, and wildlife use" means the use of the waters of the state by fish, other aquatic life, and wildlife for any life history stage or activity.
- (n) "Industrial water supply" means a water source intended for use in commercial or industrial applications or for noncontact food processing.
- (o) "Inland lake" means an inland body of standing water of the state situated in a topographic depression other than an artificial agricultural pond less than one acre, unless it is otherwise determined by the commission. The commission may designate a dammed river channel or an impoundment as an inland lake based on aquatic resources to be protected.
- (p) "Keweenaw waterway" means the entire Keweenaw waterway, including Portage lake, Houghton county.
- (q) "MATC" means the maximum acceptable toxicant concentration obtained by calculating the geometric mean of the lower and upper chronic limits from a chronic test. A lower chronic limit is the highest tested concentration which did not cause the occurrence of a specified adverse effect. An upper chronic limit is the lowest tested concentration which

did cause the occurrence of a specified adverse effect and above which all tested concentrations caused such an occurrence.

- (r) "Mixing zone" means that portion of a water body wherein a point source discharge is mixed with the receiving water.
- (s) "Natural water temperature" means the temperature of a body of water without an influence from an artificial source or a temperature as otherwise determined by the commission.
- (t) "NOAEL" means the highest level of toxicant which results in no observable adverse effects to exposed test organisms.
- (u) "Non-point source" means a source of material other than a source defined as a point source.

R 323.1044 Definitions; P to W.

Rule 44. As used in this part:

- (a) "Palatable" means the state of being agreeable or acceptable to the sense of sight, taste, or smell.
- (b) "Plant nutrients" means those chemicals, including nitrogen and phosphorus, necessary for the growth and reproduction of aquatic rooted, attached, and floating plants, fungi, or bacteria.
- (c) "Point source" means a discernible, confined, and discrete conveyance from which wastewater is or may be discharged to the waters of the state, including the following:
 - (i) A pipe.
 - (ii) A ditch.
 - (iii) A channel.
 - (iv) A tunnel.
 - (v) A conduit.
 - (vi) A well.
- (vii) A discrete fissure.
- (viii) A container.
 - (ix) A concentrated animal feeding operation.
 - (x) A boat or other watercraft.
- (d) "Public water supply sources" means a surface raw water source which, after conventional treatment, provides a source of safe water for various uses, including human consumption, food processing, cooking, and as a liquid ingredient in foods and beverages.
 - (e) "Raw water" means the waters of the state before any treatment.
- (f) "Receiving waters" means the waters of the state into which an effluent is or may be discharged.
- (g) "Sanitary sewage" means treated or untreated wastewaters which contain human metabolic and domestic wastes.
- (h) "Standard" means a definite numerical value or narrative statement promulgated by the commission to maintain or restore water quality to provide for, and fully protect, a designated use of the waters of the state.
- (i) "Suspended solids" means the amount of materials suspended in water and is commonly expressed as a concentration in terms of milligrams per liter.
- (j) "Total body contact recreation" means any activity where the human body may come into direct contact with water to the point of complete submergence, including swimming, waterskiing, and skin diving.
- (k) "Toxic substance" means a substance, except heat, when present in sufficient concentrations or quantities which are or may become harmful to plant life, animal life, or designated uses.

- (1) "Warmwater fish" means those fish species whose populations thrive in relatively warm water, including any of the following:
 - (1) Bass.
 - (ii) Pike.
 - (111) Walleye.
 - (iv) Panfish.
- (m) "Wastewater" means storm water runoff which could result in injury to a use designated in R 323.1100; liquid waste resulting from commercial, institutional, domestic, industrial, and agricultural activities, including cooling and condensing waters; sanitary sewage; and industrial waste.
- (n) "Waters of the state" means all of the following, but does not include drainage ways and ponds used solely for wastewater conveyance, treatment, or control:
 - (i) The Great Lakes and their connecting waters.
 - (ii) All inland lakes.
 - (iii) Rivers.
 - (iv) Streams.
 - (v) Impoundments.
 - (vi) Open drains.
 - (vii) Other surface waterbodies within the confines of the state.

R 323.1050 Physical characteristics.

Rule 50. The waters of the state shall not have any of the following unnatural physical properties in quantities which are or may become injurious to any designated use:

- (a) Turbidity.
- (b) Color.
- (c) Oil films.
- (d) Floating solids.
- (e) Foams.
- (f) Settleable solids.
- (g) Suspended solids.
- (h) Deposits.

R 323.1051 Dissolved solids.

- Rule 51. (1) The addition of any dissolved solids shall not exceed concentrations which are or may become injurious to any designated use. Point sources containing dissolved solids shall be considered by the commission on a case-by-case basis and increases of dissolved solids in the waters of the state shall be limited through the application of best practicable control technology currently available as prescribed by the administrator of the United States environmental protection agency pursuant to section 304(b) of Public Law 92-500, as amended, 33 U.S.C. §466 et seq., except that in no instance shall total dissolved solids in the waters of the state exceed a concentration of 500 milligrams per liter as a monthly average nor more than 750 milligrams per liter at any time, as a result of controllable point sources.
- (2) The waters of the state designated as a public water supply source shall not exceed 125 milligrams per liter of chlorides as a monthly average, except for the Great Lakes and connecting waters, where chlorides shall not exceed 50 milligrams per liter as a monthly average.

R 323.1053 Hydrogen ion concentration.

Rule 53. The hydrogen ion concentration expressed as pH shall be maintained within the range of 6.5 to 9.0 in all waters of the state. Any artificially induced variation in the natural pH shall remain within this range and shall not exceed 0.5 units of pH.

R 323.1055 Taste- or odor-producing substances.

Rule 55. The waters of the state shall contain no taste-producing or odor-producing substances in concentrations which impair or may impair their use for a public, industrial, or agricultural water supply source which impair the palatability of fish as measured by test procedures approved by the commission.

R 323.1057. Toxic substances.

Rule 57. (1) Toxic substances shall not be present in the waters of the state at levels which are or may become injurious to the public health, safety, or welfare; plant and animal life; of the designated uses of those waters. Allowable levels of toxic substances shall be determined by the commission using appropriate scientific data.

(2) All of the following provisions apply for purposes of developing allowable levels of toxic substances in the surface waters of the state applicable to point source discharge permits issued pursuant to Act No. 245 of the Public Acts of 1929, as amended, being §323.1 et seq. of the Michigan Compiled Laws:

(a) Water quality-based effluent limits developed pursuant to this subrule shall be used only when they are more restrictive than technology-based limitations required pursuant to R 323.2137 and R 323.2140.

- (b) The toxic substances to which this subrule shall apply are those on the 1984 Michigan critical materials register established pursuant to Act No. 245 of the Public Acts of 1929, as amended, being \$323.1 et seq. of the Michigan Compiled Laws; the priority pollutants and hazardous chemicals in 40 C.F.R. \$122.21, appendix D (1983); and any other toxic substances as the commission may determine are of concern at a specific site.
- (c) Allowable levels of toxic substances in the surface water after a discharge is mixed with the receiving stream volume specified in R 323.1082 shall be determined by applying an adequate margin of safety to the MATC, NOAEL, or other appropriate effect end points, based on knowledge of the behavior of the toxic substance, characteristics of the receiving water, and the organisms to be protected.
- (d) In addition to restrictions pursuant to subdivision (c) of this subrule, a discharge of carcinogens, not determined to cause cancer by a threshold mechanism, shall not create a level of risk to the public health greater than 1 in 100,000 in the surface water after mixing with the allowable receiving stream volume specified in R 323.1082. The commission may require a greater degree of protection pursuant to R 323.1098 where achievable through utilization of control measures already in place or where otherwise determined necessary.
- (e) Guidelines shall be adopted pursuant to Act No. 306 of the Public Acts of 1969, as amended, being \$24.201 et seq. of the Michigan Compiled Laws, setting forth procedures to be used by staff in the development of recommendations to the commission on allowable levels of toxic substances and the minimum data necessary to derive such recommendations. The commission may require the applicant to provide the minimum data when

otherwise not available for derivation of allowable levels of toxic substances.

- (f) For existing discharges, the commission may issue a scheduled abatement permit pursuant to R 323.2145 upon a determination by the commission that the applicant has demonstrated that each of the following conditions is met:
- (i) Immediate attainment of the allowable level of a toxic substance is not economically or technically feasible.
 - (ii) No prudent alternative exists.
- (iii) During the period of scheduled abatement, the permitted discharge will be consistent with the protection of the public health, safety, and welfare.
- (iv) Reasonable progress will be made toward compliance with this rule over the term of the permit, as provided for in a schedule in the permit.

R 323.1058 Radioactive substances.

Rule 58. The control and regulation of radioactive substances discharged to the waters of the state shall be pursuant to the criteria, standards, or requirements prescribed by the United States nuclear regulatory commission in 10 C.F.R. \$20.1 et seq. and by the United States environmental protection agency.

R 323.1060 Plant nutrients.

- Rule 60. (1) Consistent with Great Lakes protection, phosphorus which is or may readily become available as a plant nutrient shall be controlled from point source discharges to achieve I milligram per liter of total phosphorus as a maximum monthly average effluent concentration unless other limits, either higher or lower, are deemed necessary and appropriate by the commission.
- (2) In addition to the protection provided under subrule (1) of this rule, nutrients shall be limited to the extent necessary to prevent stimulation of growths of aquatic rooted, attached, suspended, and floating plants, fungi or bacteria which are or may become injurious to the designated uses of the waters of the state.

R 323.1062 Microorganisms.

- Rule 62. (1) All waters of the state shall contain not more than 200 fecal coliform per 100 milliliters. This concentration may be exceeded if such concentration is due to uncontrollable non-point sources. The commission may suspend this rule from November 1 through April 30 upon determining that designated uses will be protected.
- (2) Compliance with the fecal coliform standards prescribed by subrule (1) of this rule shall be determined on the basis of the geometric average of any series of 5 or more consecutive samples taken over not more than a 30-day period.
- (3) Protection of the waters of the state designated for total body contact recreation and public water supply source by the water quality standards prescribed by this rule may be subject to temporary interruption during or following flood conditions, accidents, or emergencies which affect a sewer or wastewater treatment system. In the event of such occurrences, notice shall be served to those affected in accordance with procedures established by the commission. Prompt corrective action shall be taken by the discharger to restore the designated use.

R 323.1064 Dissolved oxygen in Great Lakes, connecting waters, and inland streams.

- Rule 64. (1) A minimum of 7 milligrams per liter of dissolved oxygen in all Great Lakes and connecting waterways shall be maintained, and, except for inland lakes as prescribed in R 323.1065, a minimum of 7 milligrams per liter of dissolved oxygen shall be maintained at all times in all inland waters designated by these rules to be protected for coldwater fish. In all other waters, except for inland lakes as prescribed by R 323.1065, a minimum of 5 milligrams per liter of dissolved oxygen shall be maintained. These standards do not apply for a limited warmwater fishery use subcategory or limited coldwater fishery use subcategory established pursuant to R 323.1100(10) or during those periods when the standards specified in subrule (2) of this rule apply.
- (2) Waters of the state which do not meet the standards set forth in subrule (1) of this rule shall be upgraded to meet those standards. For existing point source discharges to these waters, the commission may issue permits pursuant to R 323.2145 which establish schedules to achieve the standards set forth in subrule (1) of this rule. If existing point source dischargers demonstrate to the commission that the dissolved oxygen standards specified in subrule (1) of this rule are not attainable through further feasible and prudent reductions in their discharges or that the diurnal variation between the daily average and daily minimum dissolved oxygen concentrations in those waters exceeds 1 milligram per liter, further reductions in oxygen-consuming substances from such discharges will not be required, except as necessary to meet the interim standards specified in this subrule, until comprehensive plans to upgrade these waters to the standards specified in subrule (1) of this rule have been approved by the commission and orders, permits, or other actions necessary to implement the approved plans have been issued by the commission. In the interim, all of the following standards apply:
- (a) For waters of the state designated for use for coldwater fish, except for inland lakes as prescribed in R 323.1065, the dissolved oxygen shall not be lowered below a minimum of 6 milligrams per liter at the design flow during the warm weather season in accordance with R.323.1090(3) and (4). At the design flows during other seasonal periods, as provided in R 323.1090(4), a minimum of 7 milligrams per liter shall be maintained. At flows greater than the design flows, dissolved oxygen shall be higher than the respective minimum values specified in this subdivision.
- (b) For waters of the state designated for use for warmwater fish and other aquatic life, except for inland lakes as prescribed in R 323.1065, the dissolved oxygen shall not be lowered below a minimum of 4 milligrams per liter, or below 5 milligrams per liter as a daily average, at the design flow during the warm weather season in accordance with R 323.1090(3) and (4). At the design flows during other seasonal periods as provided in R 323.1090(4), a minimum of 5 milligrams per liter shall be maintained. At flows greater than the design flows, dissolved oxygen shall be higher than the respective minimum values specified in this subdivision.
- (c) For waters of the state designated for use for warmwater fish and other aquatic life, but also designated as principal migratory routes for anadromous salmonids, except for inland lakes as prescribed in R 323.1065, the dissolved oxygen shall not be lowered below 5 milligrams per liter as a minimum during periods of migration.

(3) The commission may cause a comprehensive plan to be prepared to upgrade waters to the standards specified in subrule (1) of this rule taking into consideration all factors affecting dissolved oxygen in these waters and the cost effectiveness of control measures to upgrade these waters and, after notice and hearing, approve the plan. After notice and hearing, the commission may amend a comprehensive plan for cause. In undertaking the comprehensive planning effort the commission shall provide for and encourage participation by interested and impacted persons in the affected area. Persons directly or indirectly discharging substances which contribute towards these waters not meeting the standards specified in subrule (1) of this rule may be required after notice and order to provide necessary information to assist in the development or amendment of the comprehensive plan. Upon notice and order, permit, or other action of the commission, persons directly or indirectly discharging substances which contribute toward these waters not meeting the standards specified in subrule (1) of this rule shall take the necessary actions consistent with the approved comprehensive plan to control these discharges to upgrade these waters to the standards specified in subrule (1) of this rule.

R 323.1065 Dissolved oxygen; inland lakes.

Rule 65. (1) The following standards for dissolved oxygen shall apply to lakes designated as trout lakes by the natural resources commission or lakes listed in the publication entitled "Coldwater Lakes of Michigan":

- (a) In stratified coldwater lakes which have dissolved oxygen concentrations less than 7 milligrams per liter in the upper half of the hypolimnion, a minimum of 7 milligrams per liter dissolved oxygen shall be maintained throughout the epilimnion and upper 1/3 of the thermocline during stratification. Lakes capable of sustaining oxygen throughout the hypolimnion shall maintain oxygen throughout the hypolimnion. At all other times, dissolved oxygen concentrations greater than 7 milligrams per liter shall be maintained.
- (b) Except for lakes described in subdivision (c) of this subrule, in stratified coldwater lakes which have dissolved oxygen concentrations greater than 7 milligrams per liter in the upper half of the hypolimnion, a minimum of 7 milligrams per liter of dissolved oxygen shall be maintained in the epilimnion, thermocline, and upper half of the hypolimnion. Lakes capable of sustaining oxygen throughout the hypolimnion shall maintain oxygen throughout the hypolimnion. At all other times, dissolved oxygen concentrations greater than 7 milligrams per liter shall be maintained.
- (c) In stratified coldwater lakes which have dissolved oxygen concentrations greater than 7 milligrams per liter throughout the hypolimnion, a minimum of 7 milligrams per liter shall be maintained throughout the lake.
- (d) In unstratified coldwater lakes, a minimum of 7 milligrams per liter of dissolved oxygen shall be maintained throughout the lake.
- (2) For all other inland lakes not specified in subrule (1) of this rule, during stratification, a minimum dissolved oxygen concentration of 5 milligrams per liter shall be maintained throughout the epilimnion. At all other times, dissolved oxygen concentrations greater than 5 milligrams per liter shall be maintained.

- R 323.1069. Temperature; general considerations.
- Rule 69. (1) In all waters of the state, the points of temperature measurement normally shall be in the surface I meter; however, where turbulence, sinking plumes, discharge inertia or other phenomena upset the natural thermal distribution patterns of receiving waters, temperature measurements shall be required to identify the spatial characteristics of the thermal profile.
- (2) Monthly maximum temperatures, based on the ninetieth percentile occurrence of natural water temperatures plus the increase allowed at the edge of the mixing zone and in part on long-term physiological needs of fish, may be exceeded for short periods when natural water temperatures exceed the ninetieth percentile occurrence. Temperature increases during these periods may be permitted by the commission, but in all cases shall not be greater than the natural water temperature plus the increase allowed at the edge of the mixing zone.
- (3) Natural daily and seasonal temperature fluctuations of the receiving waters shall be preserved.
- R 323.1070 Temperature of Great Lakes and connecting waters.
- Rule 70. (1) The Great Lakes and connecting waters shall not receive a heat load which would warm the receiving water at the edge of the mixing zone more than 3 degrees Fahrenheit above the existing natural water temperature.
- (2) The Great Lakes and connecting waters shall not receive a heat load which would warm the receiving water at the edge of the mixing zone to temperatures in degrees Fahrenheit higher than the following monthly maximum temperature:
- (a) Lake Michigan north of a line due west from the city of Pentwater.
 - J F M A M J J A S 0 N D 40 40 40 50 55 70 75 75 75 65 60 45
- (b) Lake Michigan south of a line due west from the city of Pentwater.
 - J F M A M J J A S O N D 45 45 45 55 60 70 80 80 80 65 60 50
 - (c) Lake Superior and the St. Marys river:
 - J F M A M J J A S O N D 38 36 39 46 53 61 71 74 71 61 49 42
 - (d) Lake Huron north of a line due east from Tawas point:
 - J F M A M J J A S O N D 40 40 40 50 60 70 75 80 75 65 55 45

(e) Lake Huron south of a line due east from Tawas point, except Saginaw bay.

J F M A M J J A S O N D 40 40 40 55 60 75 80 80 80 65 55 45

(f) Lake Huron, Saginaw bay:

J F M A M J J A S O N D 45 45 45 60 70 75 80 85 78 65 55 45

(g) St. Clair river:

Secretaria de la companya de la comp

J F M A M J J A S O N D 40 40 40 50 60 70 75 80 75 65 55 50

(h) Lake St. Clair:

J F M A M J J A S O N D 40 40 45 55 70 75 80 83 80 70 55 45

(i) Detroit river:

J F M A M J J A S O N D 40 40 45 60 70 75 80 83 80 70 55 45

(j) Lake Erie:

J F M A M J J A S 0 N D 45 45 45 60 70 75 80 85 80 70 60 50

R 323.1075 Temperature of rivers, streams, and impoundments.

Rule 75. (1) Rivers, streams, and impoundments naturally capable of supporting coldwater fish shall not receive a heat load which would do either of the following:

(a) Increase the temperature of the receiving waters at the edge of the mixing zone more than 2 degrees Fahrenheit above the existing natural water temperature.

(b) Increase the temperature of the receiving waters at the edge of the mixing zone to temperatures greater than the following monthly maximum temperatures:

J F M A M J J A S · O N D 38 38 43 54 65 68 68 68 63 56 48 40

(2) Rivers, streams, and impoundments naturally capable of supporting warmwater fish shall not receive a heat load which would warm the receiving water at the edge of the mixing zone more than 5 degrees Fahrenheit above the existing natural water temperature.

(3) Rivers, streams, and impoundments naturally capable of supporting warmwater fish shall not receive a heat load which would warm the receiving water at the edge of the mixing zone to temperatures greater than the following monthly maximum temperatures:

(a) For rivers, streams, and impoundments north of a line between Bay City, Midland, Alma and North Muskegon:

J F M A M J J A S O N D 38 38 41 56 70 80 83 81 74 64 49 39

(b) For rivers, streams, and impoundments south of a line between Bay City, Midland, Alma, and North Muskegon, except the St. Joseph river:

J F M A M J J A S O N D 41 40 50 63 76 84 85 85 79 68 55 43

(c) St. Joseph river:

J F M A M J J A S O N D 50 50 55 65 75 85 85 85 85 70 60 50

(4) Non-trout rivers and streams that serve as principal migratory routes for anadromous salmonids shall not receive a heat load during periods of migration at such locations and in a manner which may adversely affect salmonid migration or raise the receiving water temperature at the edge of the mixing zone more than 5 degrees Fahrenheit above the existing natural water temperature.

R 323.1082 Mixing zones.

Rule 82. (1) A mixing zone to achieve a mixture of a point source discharge with the receiving waters shall be considered a region in which the response of organisms to water quality characteristics is time dependent. Exposure in mixing zones shall not cause an irreversible response which results in deleterious effects to populations of aquatic life or wildlife. As a minimum restriction, the final acute value for aquatic life shall not be exceeded in the mixing zone at any point inhabitable by these organisms, unless it can be demonstrated to the commission that a higher level is acceptable. The mixing zone shall not prevent the passage of fish or fish food organisms in a manner which would result in adverse impacts on their immediate or future populations. Watercourses or portions thereof which, without 1 or more point source discharge, would have no flow except during periods of surface runoff may be considered as a mixing zone for a point source discharge. The area of mixing zones should be minimized. To this end, devices for rapid mixing, dilution, and dispersion are encouraged where practicable.

(2) For toxic substances, not more than 25% of the receiving water design flow, as stated in R 323.1090, shall be utilized when determining effluent limitations for surface water discharges, unless it can be demonstrated to the commission that the use of a larger volume is acceptable. The commission shall not base a decision to grant more than 25% of the receiving water design flow for purposes of developing effluent limitations for discharges of toxic substances solely on the use of rapid mixing, dilution, or dispersion devices. However, where such a device is or may be employed, the commission may authorize the use of a design flow greater than 25% if the effluent limitations which correspond to such a design flow are shown, based upon a site-specific demonstration, to be consistent with Act No. 245 of the Public Acts of 1929, as amended, being \$323.1 et seq. of the Michigan Compiled Laws, and other applicable law.

- (3) For substances not included in subrule (2) of this rule, the design flow, as stated in R 323.1090, shall be utilized when determining effluent limitations for surface water discharges if the provisions in subrule (1) of this rule are met, unless the commission determines that a more restrictive volume is necessary.
- (4) For all substances, defined mixing zone boundaries may be established and shall be determined on a case-by-case basis.
- (5) Mixing zones in the Great Lakes, their connecting waters, and inland lakes shall be determined on a case-by-case basis.
- R 323.1090. Applicability of water quality standards.
- Rule 90. (1) The water quality standards prescribed by these rules shall not apply within mixing zones, except for those standards prescribed in R 323.1082(1) and R 323.1050.
- (2) Water quality standards prescribed by these rules are minimally acceptable water quality conditions. Water quality shall be equal to or better than such minimal water quality conditions not less than 95% of the time.
- (3) Water quality standards shall apply at all flows equal to or exceeding the design flow. The design flow is equal to the most restrictive of the 12 monthly 95% exceedance flows, except where the commission determines that a more restrictive design flow is necessary or where the commission determines that seasonal design flows may be granted pursuant to R 323.1090(4). The 95% exceedance flow is the flow equal to or exceeded 95% of the time for the specified month.
- (4) A maximum of 4 seasonal design flows may be granted when determining effluent limitations for a surface water discharge if it is determined by the commission that the use of such design flows will protect water quality and be consistent with the protection of the public health, safety, and welfare. The seasonal design flows shall be the most restrictive of the monthly 95% exceedance flow for the months in each season. Seasonal design flows shall not be granted for toxic substances which, on the basis of credible scientific evidence, may bioaccumulate in biota inhabiting or using the waters of the state unless, taking into account the receiving water characteristics the persistence and environmental fate characteristics of the substance or substances and the presence of other discharges of bioaccumulative toxic substances into the same receiving waters, the commission determines that the increased mass loading of the substance or substances resulting from granting seasonal design flows is consistent with Act No. 245 of the Public Acts of 1929, as amended, being \$323.1 et seq. of the Michigan Compiled Laws, and other applicable law.
- R 323.1092 Applicability of water quality standards to dredging or construction activities.
- Rule 92. Unless the commission determines, after consideration of dilution and dispersion, that such activities result in unacceptable adverse impacts on designated uses, the water quality standards prescribed by these rules shall not apply to dredging or construction activities within the waters of the state where such activities occur or during the periods of time when the aftereffects of dredging or construction activities degrade water quality within such waters of the state, if the dredging operations or construction activities have been authorized by the United States army corps of engineers or the department of natural resources. The

water quality standards shall apply, however, in nonconfined waters of the state utilized for the disposal of spoil from dredging operations, except within spoil disposal sites specifically defined by the United States army corps of engineers or the department of natural resources.

R 323.1096 Determinations of compliance with water quality standards. Rule 96. Analysis of the waters of the state to determine compliance with the water quality standards prescribed by these rules shall be made pursuant to procedures outlined in 40 C.F.R. \$136, as amended by F.R. pp. 43234 to 43442 October 26, 1984, and F.R. pp. 690 to 697 January 4, 1985, or pursuant to other methods prescribed or approved by the commission and the United States environmental protection agency.

R 323.1097 Materials applications not subject to standards.

Rule 97. The application of materials for water resource management projects pursuant to state statutory provisions is not subject to the standards prescribed by these rules, but all projects shall be reviewed and approved by the commission before application.

R 323.1098 Antidegradation.

- Rule 98. (1) This rule applies to waters of the state in which the existing water quality is better than the water quality standards prescribed by these rules or than needed to protect existing uses.
- (2) These waters shall not be lowered in quality by action of the commission unless it is determined by the commission that such lowering will not do any of the following:
 - (a) Become injurious to the public health, safety, or welfare.
- (b) Become injurious to domestic, commercial, industrial, agricultural, recreational, or other uses which are or may be made of such waters.
 - (c) Become injurious to the value or utility of riparian lands.
- (d) Become injurious to livestock, wild animals, including birds, fish, and other aquatic animals, or plants, or their growth or propagation.
 - (e) Destroy or impair the value of game, fish, and wildlife.
- (f) Be unreasonable and against the public interest in view of the existing conditions.
 - (3) All of the following waters are designated as protected waters:
- (a) All Michigan waters of the Great Lakes, except as these waters may be affected by discharges to the connecting waters and tributaries.
- (b) Trout streams south of a line between Bay City, Midland, Alma, and North Muskegon.
 - (c) Inland lakes.
- (d) Reaches of country-scenic and wild-scenic rivers designated under Act No. 231 of the Public Acts of 1970, being \$281.761 et seq. of the Michigan Compiled Laws.
- (e) Scenic and recreational rivers designated under the wild and scenic rivers act of 1968, 16 U.S.C. \$1721 et seq.
- (4) In addition to the requirements of subrule (2) of this rule, the waters specified in subrule (3) of this rule shall not be lowered in quality unless, after opportunity for public hearing, it has been demonstrated by the applicant to the commission that a lowering in quality will not be unreasonable, is in the public interest in view of existing conditions, is necessary to accommodate important social or economic

development, and that there are no prudent and feasible alternatives to lowering water quality.

- (5) Reaches of the following rivers have been designated pursuant to Act No. 231 of the Public Acts of 1970, being \$281.761 et seq. of the Michigan Compiled Laws:
 - (a) Jordan river October, 1972, natural river plan.
 - (b) Betsie river July, 1973, natural river plan.
 - (c) Rogue river July, 1973, natural river plan.
 - (d) White river May, 1975, natural river plan.
 - (e) Boardman river December, 1975, natural river plan.
 - (f) Huron river May, 1977, natural river plan.
 - (g) Pere Marquette river July, 1978, natural river plan
 - (h) Flat river October, 1979, natural river plan.
 - (i) Rifle river May, 1980, natural river plan.
 - (j) Kalamazoo river June, 1981, natural river plan.
- (k) Pigeon river June, 1982, natural river plan.

 Designated reaches of these rivers are provided in the department of natural resources natural river plan for each respective river.
- (6) Reaches of the AuSable river November, 1984, have been designated pursuant to the wild and scenic rivers act of 1968, 16 U.S.C. \$1721 et seq.
- (7) Michigan's waters of the Great Lakes are of special significance and are designated as outstanding state resource waters. In addition to the protection specified under subrules (2), (3) and (4) of this rule, mixing zones shall not be used for new or increased discharges to the Great Lakes of toxic substances, as defined by R 323.1057(2)(b), which would result in a lowering of water quality. However, the commission may grant a mixing zone for certain toxic substances on a case-by-case basis, taking into account credible scientific evidence, including persistence and environmental fate characteristics of the substances. Mixing zones for existing discharges of these toxic substances to the Great Lakes and for all discharges of these toxic substances to the connecting waters shall be minimized.
- (8) Before authorizing a new or increased discharge of wastewater directly to the Great Lakes or connecting waters, the commission shall provide, in addition to the public notice required by commission rules, supplemental notice of its intent to authorize such discharge, of its proposed determination with respect to the applicable factors set forth in subrule (4) of this rule, and the proposed national pollutant discharge elimination system permit terms and conditions, to the administrator of the United States environmental protection agency, the director of the state or provincial water pollution control agency for all states or provinces which border the lake or connecting waters which receive the new or increased discharge, the United States fish and wildlife service, and the international joint commission. The commission shall allow not less than 30 days for comments from the recipients of the supplemental notice and shall carefully consider all comments received in making its determination.
- (9) Wild rivers designated under the wild and scenic rivers act of 1968, 16 U.S.C. §1721 et seq., rivers flowing into, through, or out of national parks or national lakeshores, and wilderness rivers designated under Act No. 231 of the Public Acts of 1970, being §281.761 et seq. of the Michigan Compiled Laws, shall not be lowered in quality. Reaches of

the Two Hearted river - December, 1973, natural river plan - are designated under Act No. 231 of the Public Acts of 1970 as a wilderness river.

R 323.1099 Waters which do not meet standards.

Rule 99. Waters of the state which do not meet the water quality standards prescribed by these rules shall be improved to meet those standards. Where the water quality of certain waters of the state does not meet the water quality standards as a result of natural causes or conditions, further reduction of water quality is prohibited.

R 323.1100 Designated uses.

Rule 100. (1) As a minimum, all waters of the state are designated for, and shall be protected for, all of the following uses:

- (a) Agriculture.
- (b) Navigation.(c) Industrial water supply.
- (d) Public water supply at the point of water intake.
- (e) Warmwater fish.
- (f) Other indigenous aquatic life and wildlife.
- (g) Partial body contact recreation.
- (2) All waters of the state are designated for, and shall be protected for, total body contact recreation from May 1 to October 31 in accordance with R 323.1062. The commission will annually publish a list of those waters of the state located immediately downstream of municipal sewage system discharges where total or partial body contact recreation is contrary to prudent public health practices.
- (3) All inland lakes identified in the publication entitled "Coldwater Lakes of Michigan," as published in 1976 by the department of natural resources, are designated for, and shall be protected for, coldwater fish.
- (4) All Great Lakes and their connecting waters, except the entire Keweenaw waterway, including Portage lake, Houghton county, and Lake St. Clair, are designated for, and shall be protected for, coldwater fish.
- (5) All lakes designated as trout lakes by the natural resources commission under the authority of Act No. 165 of the Public Acts of 1929, as amended, being \$301.1 et seq. of the Michigan Compiled Laws, are designated for, and shall be protected for, coldwater fish.
- (6) All waters of the state designated as trout streams by the director of the department pursuant to section 8 of Act No. 165 of the Public Acts of 1929, as amended, being \$301.8 et seq. of the Michigan Compiled Laws, shall be protected for coldwater fish.
- (7) All waters of the state which are designated by the Michigan department of public health as existing or proposed for use as public water supply sources are protected for such use at the point of water intake and in such contiguous areas as the commission may determine necessary for assured protection.
- (8) Water quality of all waters of the state serving as migratory routes for anadromous salmonids shall be protected as necessary to assure that migration is not adversely affected.
- (9) Discharges to wetlands, as defined by Act No. 203 of the Public Acts of 1979, being \$281.701 of the Michigan Compiled Laws, that result in quality less than that prescribed by these rules may be permitted after a use attainability analysis shows that designated uses are not and cannot be attained and shows that attainable uses will be protected.

(10) After completion of a comprehensive plan developed pursuant to R 323.1064(3), upon petition by a municipality or other person, and in conformance with the requirements of 40 C.F.R. §131.10 (1983), the commission may determine that attainment of the dissolved oxygen standards of R 323.1064(1) is not feasible and designate, by amendment to this rule, a limited warmwater fishery use subcategory of the warmwater fishery use or a limited cold water fishery use subcategory of the cold water fishery use. For waters so designated, the dissolved oxygen standards specified in R 323.1064(2) and all other applicable standards of these rules apply. For waters so designated, the dissolved oxygen standards specified in R 323.1064(1) do not apply. Not less than sixty days before filing a petition under this subrule by a municipality or other person, a petitioner shall provide written notice to the executive secretary of the water resources commission and the clerk of the municipalities in which the affected waters are located of its intent to file such petition.

R 323.1105. Multiple designated uses.


Rule 105. When a particular portion of the waters of the state is designated for more than I use, the most restrictive water quality standards for one or more of those designated uses shall apply to that portion.

R 323.1116 Availability of documents.


Rule 116. Documents referenced in R 323.1057, R 323.1058, R 323.1065, R 323.1096, and R 323.1100 may be obtained at current costs as follows:

- (a) "EPA Priority Pollutants and Hazardous Substances," 40 C.F.R. \$122.21, appendix D (1983); copies may be obtained from the Department of Natural Resources, P.O. Box 30028, Lansing, Michigan 48909, at no cost, or from the Office of Water Enforcement, United States Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C. 20460, at no cost.
- (b) "1984 Michigan Critical Materials Register;" copies may be obtained from the Department of Natural Resources, P.O. Box 30028, Lansing, Michigan 48909, at no cost.
- (c) "Guidelines Establishing Test Procedures for Analysis of Pollutants," 40 C.F.R. \$136 as amended by F.R. pp 43234 to 43442, October 26, 1984, and F.R. pp. 690 to 697, January 4, 1985; copies may be obtained from the Department of Natural Resources, P.O. Box 30028, Lansing, Michigan 48909, at no cost.
- (d) "Designated Trout Lakes," a publication of the department of natural resources; copies may be obtained from the Department of Natural Resources, P.O. Box 30028, Lansing, Michigan 48909, at no cost.
- (e) "Coldwater Lakes of Michigan," August, 1976, a publication of the department of natural resources, fisheries division, copies may be obtained from the Department of Natural Resources, P.O. Box 30028, Lansing, Michigan 48909, at no cost.
- (f) "Designated Trout Streams for the State of Michigan," April, 1975, a publication of the department of natural resources; copies may be obtained from the Department of Natural Resources, P.O. Box 30028, Lansing, Michigan 48909, at no cost.
- (g) "Standards for Protection Against Radiation," 10 C.F.R. \$20, January 1, 1985. Copies may be obtained from the Department of Natural Resources, P.O. Box 30028, Lansing, Michigan 48909, at no cost.


(h) "Designation of uses," 40 C.F.R. \$131.10, as published in November 8, 1983 F.R. pp. 51406 and 51407; copies may be obtained from the Department of Natural Resources, P.O. Box 30028, Lansing, Michigan 48909, at no cost.



Appendix 4.1 Total phosphorus concentrations(mg/l) and loadings(lbs/day) at M-59 bridge in Waterford(502), Hamlin Rd Bridge(518), and Gratiot Avenue(310), 1974-1986.



Appendix 4.1 contined





Appendix 4.1 continued


L0401965

Appendix 4.1 continued











Appendix 4.2 Concentrations of Total Nitrite plus Nitrate(mg/l) in the Clinton River at M-59 Bridge in Waterford(502), Hamlin Road Bridge(518), and Gratiot Avenue in Mt. Clemens(310), 1974 to 1987.





Appendix 4.2 continued




BOD loadings in the Clinton River at M-59 Bridge in Waterford(502), Hamlin Road Bridge(518) and Gratiot Avenue at Mt Clemens(310), in lbs/day, 1974-1986. Appendix 4.3

Appendix 4.3 continued









Appendix 4.5 Total dissolved solids concentrations(mg/l) and loadings(lbs/day) in the Clinton River at M-59 Bridge in Waterford(502), Hamlin Road Bridge(518), and Gratiot Avenue in Mt. Clemens(310), 1975 to 1986.

Appendix 4.5 continued



381

Appendix 4.5 continued



apply out the about


Appendix 4.5 continued

APPENDIX 4.6. HEAVY METAL CRITERIA FOR CLINTON RIVER WATER ANALYSIS.

Based on rule " 57 " Mi. WQS, 1987.


| 0.9 0.8 0.6 | 130.0 111.0 | 58.0 | 214.0 181.0 | 17.0 | 249.0 |
|-------------------|---|--|--|--|---|
| 0.8 | 111.0 | - | | | 249.0 |
| | | 49.0 | 181.0 | 17.4 | |
| 0.6 | 93.0 | | | 13.0 | 213.0 |
| | .4.4 | 40.0 | 148.0 | 9.0 | 177.0 |
| 0.5 | 73.0 | 30.0 | 113.0 | 6.0 | 138.0 |
| 0.4 | 52.0 | 21.0 | 78.0 | 3.0 | 98.0 |
| Acute toxi | c criteria | (96 Hou | r LC 50) 4 | ug/l + | |
| 161.0 | 9083.0 | 408.0 | 6684.0 | 1270.0 | 2364.0 |
| 129.0 | 7807.0 | 344.0 | 5652.0 | 961.0 | 2025.0 |
| 99.0 | 6487.0 | 279.0 | 4603.0 | 683.0 | 1675.0 |
| 70.0 | 5109.0 | 213.0 | 3533.0 | 440.0 | 1312.0 |
| 43.0 | 3649.0 | 145.0 | 2433.0 | 236.0 | 929.0 |
| | 0.4 Acute toxi 161.0 129.0 99.0 70.0 | 0.4 52.0 Acute toxic criteria 161.0 9083.0 129.0 7807.0 99.0 6487.0 70.0 5109.0 | 0.4 52.0 21.0 Acute toxic criteria (96 Houseline) 161.0 9083.0 408.0 129.0 7807.0 344.0 99.0 6487.0 279.0 70.0 5109.0 213.0 | 0.4 52.0 21.0 78.0 Acute toxic criteria (96 Hour LC 50) 4 161.0 9083.0 408.0 6684.0 129.0 7807.0 344.0 5652.0 99.0 6487.0 279.0 4603.0 70.0 5109.0 213.0 3533.0 | 0.4 52.0 21.0 78.0 3.0 Acute toxic criteria (96 Hour LC 50) * ug/l * 161.0 9083.0 408.0 6684.0 1270.0 129.0 7807.0 344.0 5652.0 961.0 99.0 6487.0 279.0 4603.0 683.0 70.0 5109.0 213.0 3533.0 440.0 |

- Appendix 4.7. List of References to the background documents which describe the conditions under which the water quality criteria used for the Clinton River apply and the assumptions made in their development.
 - 1. Michigan Department of Natural Resources, Water Resources Commission, General Rules. November 14, 1986. Part 4. Water Quality Standards. Rule 323.1057. Toxic Substances.
 - 2. State of Michigan, Department of Natural Resources, Environmental Protection Bureau. Guidelines for Rule 57(2). January 2, 1985
 - 3. Michigan Department of Natural Resources. March 26, 1984. Support Document for the Proposed Rule 57 Package. Environmental Protection Bureau.
 - 4. Creal, W. and R. Basch. 1981. Water Quality
 Based Effluent limits for Heavy Metals and
 Cyanide. Biology Section, Water Quality Division,
 Michigan Department of Natural Resources, October,
 1981.



Appendix 4.8 Flow of the Clinton River at M-59 Bridge in Waterford(502), Hamlin Road Bridge(518), and Gratiot Avenue at Mt. Clemens(310), in cubic feet per second, 1974 to 1986.

Appendix 4.8 continued



Appendix 4.8 North Branch Clinton River Average Annual, Monthly, and Daily Flows near Mt. Clemens in water year 1985.

Source: USGS 1987, station # 04164500

| | | Mep ID | 101 | 108 | 109 500000 | • | 101 | 105 | 109 | 103 | 1976 | 112 | |
|------------------|---|-------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|---------------|---|--------|--------------|--------|---------------------------------------|-------|-----------|-----|
| Teler. Status | Tates | Storet Document Hethod | p-44 18 200513 | 500814 12 h-d | 14 | | E00213 | 500214 12 | 500000 | 49 | 49 | 49 | • |
| | furbellaria (flata | ~~~~~~~~ | 1 | | h-4 | | Penar | Pener | Pener | Pener | pener | poner | Por |
| ţ | Nemateda Crounduor Pryozea (moss ante | me) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ţ | Oligochaeta (aq ea Hirudinea (leechea | r thuorms) | 105 | | | | 1147 | 2050 | 1204 | 4 | 34 9 | 43 | , |
| į | Bastropoda (snalls | 5 | | | | | , | | | | ~. | ** | • |
| Ě | Ancul 1 dae Hydrobi 1 da | • | : | | . 23 | | | | | | | | |
| · • | Lymiaeldae Physidae | |) 32 | | 16 | | | | | | | | |
| ŕ | Planorbida Valvatidae | • | | | •• | | | | | | | | |
| f | Pelecupada (clama) Sphaerilda | | į | | | | | | | | | | |
| į | lanpoda (sou bugs) Amphipoda (scuds) | • | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Decepeds (craufish | • | • | | | | - | | | | | | |
| | Parities | 1100> | : | | | | | | | | | | |
| - | Ephenoroptera (may Bactidae | (11es) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ŗ | Caent dae | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| į | Ephonorida Hoptagonii | dae : | • | - | | | | | | 6 | | 107 | : |
| - 1 | Leptophi ob Siphi onuri | iidee | } | | | | | | | | | | |
| ţ. | Fricorythi Odanata | die | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | (drago nflies, dans | ·1/11·•> | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <u>.</u> | Assint dee Agrii dee | · | | | | | | | | | | | |
| • | Coloptorygi Goonagriid | 1dee (| 73 | 24 | 45 | | | | | | | | |
| F | Corduleges Cordulida | tridae | , ,, | | . •• | | | | | | | | |
| | Comphidae | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ţ | Homiptora (true bus | 140 | | | | | | | | 6 | | | |
| į | Del ostansti Corini dee | 440 | | | | | 4 - 5 | | | | | | |
| į | Berridse Mesovelild | . ! | | | | | | | | | | | |
| F | Hepi dee Hotoriecti de | _ | | | | | | | | | | | |
| • | Velidae Velidae | • | | | | | | | | | | | |
| į į | legalesters talders | 44940 | | | | | | | | , | | | |
| į ' | richopter a <cadd) a<="" td=""><td>1444 #</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></cadd)> | 1444 # | | | | | | | | | | | |
| i | Helicopsuch | dae i | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ĩ | Hydropsychi Hydroptiid | dee t | | | | | . 14 | | | | | | |
| 1 | Leptocerida Limophillid | + 1 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| į | fiol anni dae | i | | | | | | | | e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e | | | |
| ÷ | Philopotani Polycentrop | dae f od idae f | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ř | Paychanyiid Rhyacophiid | | | | 41 | | | | | | | | |
| į į | epidoptera (ag cat | ersillars)t | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | iptora (files, mid | 20 <i>4</i> > i | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ŧ | Ceratopogon Chironomida | | 1 107 | 1227 | 1336 | | . 14 | | | | | | |
| f | Gulicidee Dolichopedi | i | | | | | . 14 | | | 94 | 25 | 125 25 | 7 |
| F | estibliqua Helelal | | | | | | | | | | | -5 | |
| ŗ | Psychodi dae | | | | | | | | | | | • | |
| F | eat inotesian Similitae | 1 | | | | | | | | 12 | | | |
| r | Strattonyi di Syrphia | •• | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ė | f all and dae | | | | | _ | | ., | | | | | |

| | | Date | | | | | 1963 | | | | |
|------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------|-------|-------------|---------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|
| | | Map IO Storet | | 102 | 104 | 106 | 107 | 100 | 110 | 111 | 113 |
| Folor. Status | Tana | Becument Nethod | | Pone. | g2 pener | \$2 perior | S2 Pontr | 52 pener | 52 Poner | 52 poner | bousi, 25 |
| | Turbellaria < | | - | 903 | 2537 | 430 | 1462 | 402 | 473 | 1540 | 1032 |
| Ţ | Menatoda (rew | nduorns) l | | | | | | | | | |
| Ť | Bryazes (moss Olicochaeta (| eq earthworms | i | | | | | | | | |
| Ť | Mirudines (le | eches) I | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| ŗ | Pastropoda (se Ancyli | | | | | | | | | | |
| • 6 | Hudro | bildee | i | | | | | | | | |
| F | Lymna | aldee l | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| Ţ | Physical | dee rbidee i | | | | | | | | | |
| ř | Valva | tide i | | | | | | | | | |
| • | Pelecupada (c) | lans> I | | | | | 100 | | | | |
| Ţ | Sphael | riidee ! | | | | | | | • | | 123 |
| ė | Amphipeds (sou | ude> | | | | | | | | | |
| Ţ | Decepeda (cra | ALTRIP) i | ļ. | | | | | | | | |
| | Plecoptora (p. Peril | tonefiles> | | | | | | . 1 | | | |
| • | Epheneroptera | (maufites) | , | | | | | | | | |
| . F | Daoti | dae i |) | | | | | 1.3 | | | |
| Ę | Caent | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Henta | eridae l geniidae l | | • | | | | | | | |
| i | Lepta | átil abilidae – i | i . | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Si phi | onuri dee rythidee |) | | | * 14 | | | | | |
| • | Odenata | rythidae i | | | | | | | | | |
| • | (dragonflies, | damselflies | i | | • . | • | | | | | |
| F | Restin | ildae I | | | | | | | | | |
| · • | Agr11 | dae | | | | | | | | - | |
| 7 | Cana | terugidae griidae | | | | | | | | | |
| ř | Cordu | legastridae liidae | i ' | | | | | | | | |
| | Çordu | itidae i | | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | Оснр і. | lulidee | | | | | | | | | |
| ŕ | Hentotera (tr | ue buas) I | 1.5 | * | | | | | | | |
| Ť | 8+10# | tomatidae i | l | | • | | | | | | |
| Ţ | Cortn Geres | 1400 | | | | | | | | | |
| · | Hesou | ellidee i | | | | | | | | | |
| Ť | Nepi 4 | 100 | i | | | ¢. | | | | | |
| Ţ | | ectidee | | | | | | | | | • |
| | Pleid Velii | dad | | | | | | | | | |
| i | Megalopters 4 | alderflies) i | i | | | | | | | | |
| • | Trichopters < | Caddistites> i | | | | r ' | 4.0% | | | | |
| 1 | 0) 044 | ycentr idae i osomatidae i | | | | | | | | | |
| i | Helic | opeuchtidee l | i | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | Mydr o | prychidae i | Ì | | | | | | | | |
| į | Hydr of | pillidae i ceridae i | | | | | | | | | |
| i | Limie | phillides I | | | | | | | | | |
| ì | Mol and | nt dae |) | | | | | · | • | | |
| 1 | Phillip | potantidae f eritropodidae f | | | | | | | | | |
| ŕ | Pauch | onti opoditae | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Rhuse | or-hilidae l | | 4 5 4 | | | | 1.47 | | | |
| Ē | Lepidapter & (| eq caterpillara) | | | | | | | | | |
| F | Dipters (f)is | s. middes) | - | | * | | | | | | |
| ŗ | Cerat | or oganides I | | | | | | | | | |
| F | | nonidae | 1 | | | 43 | 46 | 66 | 43 | 172 | 017 |
| Ī | Culte | idae l hopodidae l | , | | 43 | | | 129 | | | |
| F | Enpi d | idae i | i | | | | | | | | |
| F | 11+1+1 | .tae l | | | | | | | | | |
| F | | odfda d | | | | | | | | | |
| F | Rtiagi Simul | onlike i | | | | | | | | | |
| F | Strat | Longidae . I | | | | | | | | | |
| T | Sur plu | 4-14- | | | | | | 7 | | | |
| F | Tate are | 1.144 |) | | | | | • | | | |

- Quantitative benthic mecrainvertebrate sumpling in Section 2 Clinton River, the spillusy (1973). Results in organisms/sq. meter

| | Bosults in organi | ons/sq. × | 1979 | | | | 1973 | |
|--------|---|------------------------------|------------|------|----|---|--------|---------------|
| Tolor. | | Rep 10 Storet Bocument | 201 201 | 5002 | 12 | | 12 | 202 500221 |
| Btatus | Tamb | Rethod | 1 | p- | 4 | | Per.er | F-01- AF |
| ŗ | Turbellaria (flatuer | ma> | į | | | | | |
| ŗ | Nonatoda (roundworms Bryozea (noss animal | a > | : | | | | | |
| T | Diigochaeta (ag eart | huerns> | 242 | | 87 | | -156 | 5009 |
| Ţ | Hirudines (leeches) Osstropode (spalls) | | 1 | | | | | |
| * F | Ancul I dae | | i | | | | | |
| | Hydrobi i doe | | ! | | | | | |
| Ť | t ymael dae Physi dae | | i | | | | .14 | |
| F | Pl incril dae | | • | | | | | |
| Ţ. | Valvatidae Pelecupada (clama) | | ! | | | | | |
| Ť | Sphaeriidae | | i | | | | | |
| 1 | Isopoda (sou bugs) Amphipoda (scuss) | | ! . | | _ | | | |
| ř | Decapeda (craufish) | | : • | | • | | | |
| i | Decapada (crayfish) Plecoptora (stonefli | 0 | į | | | • | | |
| į | Perlidae | | ! | | | | | |
| F | Ephonoroptera (mayf) | | i | | | | | • |
| F | Gaeni dae | | • | | | | | |
| 1 | Ephonor i Jae Hoptagoni i da | | I A | | | | | |
| i | Leptophi obi i | dae | i | | | | No. 1 | |
| Ī | Siphionuri de Tricorythi de | • | ! | | | | | |
| · | Gionata Tracorytnia | • | : | | | | | |
| • | (dregenflies, dense) Resholdee | flies> | i | | | | | |
| - F | Aeshaldee Agriidee | | ! | | | | | |
| ÷ | Colesterusid | | i | | | | | |
| Ě | Calepterugid Coenagrildae Cordulegastr Cordulildae | | • • • | | • | | | |
| - | Cordul 4 das | 1 440 | : | | | | | |
| ř | Somphi dae | | i | | | | | |
| ŗ | Libeliulidae | | ! | | | | | |
| i | Hemiptora (true bugs Belestenatio | | i | | | | | |
| Ţ | Cortuidee | | ! . | | | | | |
| + | Gerridae Nesoveliidae | | : | | | | | |
| ř | Neptdae | | i | | | | | |
| į | Hotonectidae | | ! | | | | | |
| i | Pleidee Velidee | | i | | | | | |
| I | Megaleptera (alderfl: Tricheptera (caddisf | 100) | • | | | | 1.5 | |
| í | Trichoptera (caddist) Brackycentri | 1100) | 1 | | | | | |
| į | Olessos on a til | dao | į | | | | | |
| ļ | Helicopsychia | di. | | | | | | |
| i | Hydropsychid Hydroptilida | • | i | | | | | |
| į | Leptoceridae | | ! | | | | | |
| i | Limephi i de Noi anni dee | | : | | | | | |
| i | Phil 1 spet and d | •• | i | | | | | |
| Ē | Polycentropo Paythonys i de | 41 444 | ! | | | | | |
| í | Rhuscoshilid | | i | | | | | |
| £ | Lepidepters (sq cate) Coleopters (beetles) | rpillars> | ! | | | | | |
| F | Diptora (flies, midge | | : • | | | | | |
| ř | Cer stopogani Chiranonidse | d to | • | | | | | |
| F | | | 2035 | 19 | 97 | | | 21 |
| Ė | Gul Let dae Dol Lehopadi d | •• | i | | | | | |
| F | Empididae Heleloo | | ! | | | | | |
| F | Helejdee Psychodidee | | 1 | | | | | |
| F | Rive in a state | | i | | | | | |
| F | 51 mil 11 dee | | ! | | | | | |
| ŗ | Strattomytoler Sprattome | • | • | | | | | |
| Ė | fot and day | | i | | | | | |
| | figuil titos | | | | | | | |

| | Qualitative benthic macrol Counts represent muster of Date | | 1973 | | | 1979 | | _ | 1902 | |
|--------|--|--------------|--------------|--------|----------------------|--------|----------------|----|--------------------|------|
| ole. | Map ID Storet Bocument | 500231 12 | 500230 12 | 500201 | 50020 0 29 | 500209 | 50022 5 | | 302 00200 07 | |
| tetus | Гене | | | | | 47 | 27 | | | |
| Ţ | furbellaria (flatuores) | | | | | | | | F | |
| F | Nematoda (roundworms) Bruozoa (moss animals) | ŀ | | | | | | | | |
| Ĭ. | Bryozea (moss animals) Oligochaeta (ag earthworms) Hirudinea (leeches) | 1 40 | 75 | 60 | | 1 2 | 27 | • | ٤ | |
| i | Bastropoda (smalls) | i | • | • | • | - | | | - | |
| Ş | firicul I dae Hydrobi I dae | 2 | | | 2 | | 2 | | ₽ | |
| Ē | Luminet dae | <u>!</u> | _ | | 2 | | | | | |
| F | Physidae Planorbidae | : • | . • | • | - | 2 | 2 | | | |
| Ţ | Valuatidae Pelecypoda (Claus) | ! | | • | | | | | | |
| ŕ | Spheeriidee | i s | | | | • | 2 | | | |
| 1 | lsopoda (sou bugs) Amphipoda (scuds) | 1 | | 6 3 | 54 | 10 | i | | | |
| Ť | Decepode (crayfish) | i | | • | | 7 | | | • | |
| 1 | Plecoptora (stoneflies) forlidae | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| Ė | Ephoneroptora (nauflios) | į . | _ | | | | | | | |
| F | Baetidae Caenidae | 1 1 | | | | | | | | |
| ì | Epheneri dae | į | | | | | | | | |
| ĭ | ' Neptageniidee Leptophlebiidee | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| į | Si phi enur i dee | • | | | | | | | | |
| F | Fricarythidae Odonata | ; | | | | | | | | |
| _ | (dragonflies, danselflies) | ! | | , | | | | | | |
| F | Agriidee | i 2 | | , | | | | | P | |
| ŗ | Calopterugidae Coenagriidae | 1 17 | , | . 23 | | 2 | | | | |
| F | Cordul + gas tri dae Cordul i i dae | ; ·· | • | | | • | • | | • | |
| Ē | Cordul I (dae Bomphi dae | ! | | | | | | | | |
| F | Libellulidae | i . | 1 | | | | | | | |
| Ţ | Moniptora (truebugs) Belostonatidae | ! | | | | | | | | |
| i | Corinidae | i | | | | | | | | |
| ŗ | Gerridae Nesoveliidae | ! | | | | | | J. | | |
| Ė | Hepi dee | į . | | | | | | | | |
| - | Notonecti dee Pleidae | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| İ | Velitare | į | | | | | 1 | | | |
| ÷ | Megaloptera (alderfiles) Trichoptera (caddisfiles) | • | | | | | | | | |
| | Brachycentridae Glessosenatidae | ! | | . 1 | | | | | | |
| i | Melicar guchidee | i | | | | | | | | |
| | Hydropsychidae Hydroptilidae | ! | | . 5 | • | . 1 | | | F. | |
| i | Leptoceridae | į | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Limophi i dee | ! | | | | | | • | | |
| i | Philopotant dae | į | | | | | | | | |
| f | Polycentropodidee Paychanyiidee | ! | | | | | | | | |
| i | Rhyscophi I I dae | į | | | | | | | | |
| F | Lepidopterà (aq caterpillars) Coleoptera (beetles) | 1 17 | | · s | | • | I | | e. | |
| 17 | Diptera (files, midges) | • | | _ | | _ | | | - | |
| į F | Cer atopogoni dae Chi rononi dae | 1 23 | | ٠. د | 61 | 25 | 34 | | F | |
| Ť | Cultictides | <u> </u> | | _ | | | | | - | |
| t. | Dol i chopedi dae Empi di dae | ! | | | | | | | | |
| ř | Helaldee | i | | | | | | | | |
| F | Psychodidae Rhadonidae | ! | | | . 2 | | | | | |
| i. | oabiiiumi2 | į | | | • | • | | | F | |
| F | : Strattowył dae Syrphiciae | ! | | | | | | | | |
| ř | f at ant dae | i | | | | | • | | | |
| £. | I i garl i ale≠ | 1 | | | | | | | | |

Buentitative benthic mecrainvertebrate sampling in Section 3 Clinton River, Red Dum to spillney (1973-1979).

Results in organisms/sq. meter.

Date 1973 1979 1979

| | | Date | | | 1973 | | | | | 1979 | | | 1979 | |
|----------|--|--|---------------------------------------|----------------------------|--------|------------------|-----|---|----------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|---|-------------------------------|----------------------|
| er. | Гана | Mep ID Storet Document Method | 10-4M 500231 12 | 303 500230 12 h-4 | 500201 | P-4 15 302 | | | 502 500208 29 h-d | 304 500203 29 | 306 600225 29 h-4 | | 305 500225 12 (renar | 306 \$00010 12 |
| T | furbellaria <flatno< td=""><td>rms></td><td> </td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>16</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></flatno<> | rms> | | | | | 16 | | | | | | | |
| [| Nemateda (roundusin Dryosos (noss antes | | ! | | | | - | | | | | | | |
| ŕ | Ulimochaeta (ag ear | thuerns) | 162 | 404 | -33 | 1405 | 210 | | 347 | | 57 | | 6.880 | 1261) |
| i . | Hirudines (leeches) | | | | • | | | | | | • | | 6000 | 1241); |
| ÷ | Aricul Ldee | | i | | | | | | 347 | 734 | 1203 | | | |
| <u> </u> | Hydrobi i dae Lymiaei dae | | ! | | | | | | | | 1203 | | | |
| ŕ | Phusi dee | | | • | | 106 | • | | | | 24 | | 14 \$7 | |
| • | Planarei dae Valvati dae | | ! | | | | 4 | | • | | • • | | . 14 | • |
| j . | Pelecupoda (Clams) | • | • | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ŗ | Isopeda (sou bugs) | | • | | • | | | | | | | | | |
| , | (abuse) aboqidqen | | i . | | | | | | 412 | >> | | | | |
| • | Picapada (crayfish) Placoptera (stonefi | 4.00 | ! | | | | | | | - | | | | |
| i | Perlide | | i | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | Ephonoroptera (mayf | 1100> | | | | | | | | _ | | | | |
| F | Caeni dae | | i | | | | | | | • | | | | |
| <u>.</u> | Eptioner I Jao | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| í | Heptogeniid Leptophiebi | 1 4 4 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ! | Siphi onuri d Tricorythi d | | į | | | | | | | | | | | |
| , | Odonata | | ; | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | (dragonflies, danse | 1 (1104) | ! | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Reshnidee Aariidee | | | | | | | | 16 | | ٠. | | | |
| | Callopterugi Goerragi I da | dee | į | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Cordulement | ridae | | | | • | . • | | | | 67 | | . 14 | |
| • | Cordul e gast Cordul I i dae | | i | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Complitate Libellulide | | : | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Hemiptera (truebugs Belastonati | 5 | i | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Belastomati Corinidae | 300 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Garri dee | | ; | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Nepurel Lide | • | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Hotonec ti de | • | | | | | | | • | | | | | |
| | Pleidse Veliidse | | ! | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Megalopter & <alder f<="" td=""><td>1105></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></alder> | 1105> | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Trichopters (cadd) s | 11100> | ! | | | | | , | | | | | | |
| | Olessonati | dee | | | | | | | | | • | | | |
| | Helicapsychi | idae (| | | | | | | | | • | | | |
| | Hydropsychie Hydroptilid | •• | | | | | • | | 6.36 | 100 | 84 | | | |
| | Leptoceride | • 1 | , | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Limephilid Nolannidae | •• | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Phillopot and | dee j | i | | | | | | * | | | | | |
| | Polycentrope Fayahanyi I di | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Rhyacophillic | dee (| 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | 9.2127 | | • | | | | | | | | |
| | Lepidopterà (sq cate Coleoptera (beetles) | rpillere | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| i | Diptora ((lios, mid: | 200) (| | | | | | | • | | | | | |
| | Cer atopogoni Chi rowali da | 4.0 | 2010 | 1032 | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Cultctd. | | 2010 | 1032 | 3914 | 404 | 356 | | 2001 | 624 | 307 | | 18 | 5 30 |
| | Doltchopadta Emplatase | ••• ! | | | | | | | | | | • | | |
| | Heleldre | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | est itensous | | ** | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Ation in the State of the State | | 16 | | 15 | | | | 40 | ٠ | 19 | | | |
| | Strattomyter | i• i | | | | | | | | | | ` | | |
| | Symplities Latinities | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 115-111-10- | i | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| . | Map 10 Storet Document | | 402 | 403 17 | 404 | 405 | 406 500227 23,12 | 407 17 | 400 | 409 | 406 70 |
|----------|---|--------------|------------|-----------|-----|-----|------------------------|-----------|-----|------|-----------|
| us | | | | | •• | | , | •• | •• | •• | |
| | Furbellaria (flatmorms) | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Nemateda (roundworms) Bryozea (moss animals) | 1 | | | | | | | | | . • |
| | Oligochaeta (ag earthworms) | i 10 | . 3 | | 100 | 100 | 27 | 7 | 12 | \$00 | |
| | Hirudines (leethes) | ! | | | • | | | | | | ` _ |
| | Bestropode (snalls) Ancylidee | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Hudrobi i dae | i | | | | | | | | | |
| | Lymnael dae Physi dae | 29 | 11 | 25 | 25 | | | | 36 | | |
| | Planarbidee | 1 1 | | | -2 | | • | | ,,, | | . • |
| | Ual wati dae | • | | | | | | | | | • |
| | Pelecupada (Class) Sphaerlidae | 1 | | | | | | | | | |
| | Isopoda (son bugs) | • • | | | | | | 10 | • | | |
| | Amphi pode (scuds) | • | | | | | | 15 | | | _ |
| | Decapeda (craufish) Plecoptera (stoneflies) | | | | | | | | • | | • |
| | Perlidee | i | | | | | | | | | |
| | Epheneroptera (nauflies) Beetidee | ! | | | | | | | | | |
| | Caenidae | | . • | | • | | | | • | | |
| | Ephonori dae | i | | | | | | | | | |
| | Heptagenii dee | 1 | | | | | | | | | |
| | Leptophlebiidae Siphlonuridae | i | | | | | | | | | |
| | Tri carythi dae | i | | | | | | | | | |
| | Odenata | ! | | - | | | | | | | |
| | (dragonflies, danselflies) Reshnidae | | | | 1 | | | | | | |
| | Bort Ldaa | į | | | - | | | | | | |
| | Cal opter ugidae Coenagriidae Cordulegastridae Corduliidae | ·! | 17 | | 35 | | , | 10 | | | |
| | Cornage : : dae | • | | | 75 | | • • | ,,, | • | | • |
| | Cordul I dae | · į | | | | | | | | | |
| | Ocephidee Libellulidee | • | | | | | | | | | |
| | Hemistera (truebugs) | i | | | | | | | | | |
| | Hemiptera (truebugs) Belostomatidae | į, | | | Ł | | | | | | |
| | Corinidee Gerridee | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Negoveli i dee | i | | | | | | | | | |
| | Hepidae | į | | | | | | | | | |
| | Notonecti dae Plei dae | ! | 1 | | | | | | 1 1 | | |
| | Vellidae | i | | | | | | | | | |
| | Megaloptera (alderfiles) Trichoptera (caddisfiles) | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Trichoptera (caddisfiles) Brachycentridae | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Ol osposonati dae | i | | | | | | | | | |
| | Helicopsychidee | ! | | | | | | | 2 | | |
| | Hydropsychidee Hydroptilidee | i | | | | | | . 1 | - | | |
| | Leptoceridae | į | | | | | | - | | | |
| | Limmephilitae Nolannidae | ! | | | | | | | | | |
| | Philopotanidae | i | | | | | | | | | |
| | Poj ycentropodi dae | į į | | | | | | | | | • |
| | Psychomytidae Rhyacophilidae | • | | | • | | | | | | |
| | Lepidoptera (sq caterpillari | . . i | | | | | | | | | |
| | Coleopters (beetles) | 12 | 19 | 1 | 4 | | 4 | > | 7 | | |
| | Diptera (files, midges) | 1 . | | | | | | | | | |
| | Ceratopogenidae Chirononidae | 212 | ١Ŏ | 50 | 94 | 4 | | 10 | 4 | 31 | P |
| | Cultation | ļ š | | | 1 | | | | | | |
| | Doltchopadidee Enpididee | 1 | | | | | | | | | |
| | 94 D 14 I 4H | i | | | | | | | | | |
| | Pauchodi dee | ! | | | | | | | | | |
| | Rhagi ont dae Simul 11 dae | 1 | the second | | | | | | | | |
| | Strattonul dae | i | | | | | | | | | A |
| | Surphilde | • | | | 1 | | | | | | |
| | Tabani dae | : | | | • | | | | | | |

buentitative benthic macreinvertebrate sampling in Section 4 Clinton River, Red Run to the spilling (1973). Results in organisms/sq. meter. Date 1973

| Toler Statu | Rep ID Storet Becument If Talle Hethod | 404 500227 23,12 h-4m |
|---|--|--------------------------------|
| T | Turbeliaria (flatuores) | |
| Î. | Monatoda (rounduorus) | į |
| | Oligochaeta (ag earthworms) | 170 |
| Ţ | Asstropeds (spette) | ! . |
| į | Aricul I doe | |
| Ŧ. | Aricyl I dae Hydrabi I dae Lymae I dae | ľ |
| *************************************** | Physidae | |
| Ť | Plánorbidae Valuatidae | 1 |
| f | Pelecupads (Clans) | } |
| Ť | Sphaeriidae Isapeda (sou bugs) Amphipeda (scuds) | i |
| ŗ | | } |
| į | Decapeda (crayfish) Plecoptera (stoneflies) Perlidae | |
| . ; | Ephonoroptora (maufiles) | |
| • | Ephonoroptora (mayfliog) Bootidae Coonidae | į |
| í | Ephonori dae | |
| - 1 | Hoptogoniidso Loptophichiidao | |
| Ĭ | 3iphionuridae (| |
| ÷ | Tri còrythi dae | |
| | fricarythidae Odenata (dragenfiles, damselfiles) Reshnidae Ryrildae | |
| ě | Agrildee (| |
| ŗ | Cal opterygldae | 24 |
| Ž | Cal optorugidae Coonagriidae Cordulegastridae Corduliidae | |
| ÷ | Cordul (i dae | |
| **** | Libellulidae i | |
| į | Nentpters (truebugs) Belastonstide | |
| Ť | Corinidae i Berridae i | |
| Ì | Mesovellidae i | |
| . ; | Nepidae Netenectidae | |
| | Pleidae i | |
| *************************************** | Hogaloptora (aldorflies) Trichoptora (caddisfiles) | |
| · · | Frichoptera (caddisfiles) | |
| į | Olossosomatidae Helicopsychidae | |
| į | Hudropsychias i | |
| ļ | Hydroptilidae i | |
| 1 1 1 1 F | Hydropsychidso i Hydropsychidso i Loptoceridso i Limophilidso i | |
| . ! | 401 TOUT 690 1 | |
| Ē | Philopotanidae i Polycentrepodidae i | |
| 1 | Prychonytidae i Rhyscaphilidae i | |
| £ | Lepidepters (eq esterpillars) | |
| F | Enjacephilidae Lepideptora (eq caterpiliars) Coleoptora (beetles) Diptora (files, midges) Corratorocomidae | |
| Ţ | Ceratopogonidae i Chirononidae i | |
| Ţ | Culteldee | |
| ŕ | Dolichopedidee Empididee Heleidee | |
| £ | Heleldae | |
| f | Frychodidae I khagienidae I | |
| F | . 51 mul 1 L d a e | |
| 1 | Strationyldae Syrphidee Fabanidaa | . 1 |
| | Fabrand dad | |

Qualitative benthic necroinvertebrate sampling in Section S Clinton River, upstream of Red Rum (1972-1984). Counts represent number of organisms found, G>-present, (0)-eccasional, (C)-counts, (VC)-very counts. 1972

| | | | | | | | | | | 1972 | | | | | Date | |
|------|---|------------------|------|--------|-------------|-----------------------------|------|-------------------|-------------|------|----------------------|------------------|--------|--------------|--|--------|
| 6306 | 5 3 5 5 6 3 6 5 6 3 6 5 6 3 6 5 6 5 6 5 | 534 0622 0 | 5 63 | 430631 | 522 3,10 | \$21 ú 59 4 10 | 6 63 | 5 10 6 30 5 31 | 30433 10 | 10 | \$14 630632 10 | 430513 430511 | 630600 | 630631 10 | Map ID Storet Document | er. |
| | R-L | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | - Cana | tue |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | i o | Turbellaria (flatuorus) | Ţ |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | i c | lonateda (rounduorns) Iruozea (ness animals) | T F |
| | 0-0 | Ç | | | č | νČ | | VC | VC | UC | VC | • | Ç | 1 0 | Diigochaeta (ag earthuerms) | T |
| | | • | | | | P | | | | | | | • | ! | Htrudines (leeches) Bastropode (smalls) | |
| | C-C | | | | UC | VC | | · VC | | | | | | i | Aricyl 1 dee | , |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | i o | Hudrobiidae . | |
| | C-C | C | | | vc | VC | | c | | | | vc | • | : | Lymaet dee Physi dee | |
| | • • | • | | | ••• | | | • | | | | | | i | Planorbidae | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | i o | Valuatidae | |
| | | • | | | | | | | | | | | | ١., | Pelecupada (Clans) Sphaeriidae | |
| | m-P | è | | | | | | | | | | | | i | Isapada (seu buys) | |
| | (-o | P | | | | P | | | | | | | ç | į vc | tsapoda (seu buys) Ruphtpoda (scuds) Decapeda (srayfish) | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | C | ł | Decapeda (crayfish) Plecoptera (stomeflies) | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | i | Parildae | |
| | | | | | • | _ | | | | | | | | • | Ephonoroptera (mayflies) Daetidee | |
| | P-H | | | | | C | | | | | | | | 1 | Bactidae Caenidae | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | i | Ephonori dae | |
| | 6 -6 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | ! | Heptageni i dae | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | Leptophlobiidae | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | 1. | | i | Siphlonuridee Tricorythidee | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | • | Odonata | |
| | | | | | | | | | | • | | | | ! | (dragonflies, danselflies) Reshnidee | |
| | | P | | | P * | C | | | | | | | | i | Agriidae | |
| | | | | | _ | | | _ | _ | | | | _ | • | Calopterugidae Goenagriidae | |
| | | | | | 0 | VC | | , c | 0 | VC | VC | VC | • | | Coenagriidae Cordulegastridae | |
| | | | | | | | - | | | | | | | ĭ | Cordulidae | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | į. | Bomphi dae | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | • | Libellulidae | |
| | | • | | | | • | | | | | • | c | | : | Hemiptera (truebugs) Belostonatidos | |
| | ⇔ −1) | Ö | | | VC | 0 | | | | • | VC | νč | | i | Corinidae | |
| | | | | | | | .* | | | | | | | • | Gerri dee | |
| | • | | | | | | | | | | | • | | 1 | Resourch Lidae Nepidae | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | • | * | i | Notonect1dae | |
| | | | | | | | | • | • | | | | | <u> </u> | Pleidae | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | : | Veliidae Megaloptera (alderflies) | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | i | Trichoptera <ceddisflies< td=""><td></td></ceddisflies<> | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | į. | Brachucontri dae | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | Messesonatidae Helicopsychidae | |
| | 0-0 | • | | | UC | vc | | | | | | | | ic | Hudi-opsucht dee | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | ! | Hydropsychidee | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | Leptoceridae Limephilidae | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | i | No. 1 arint dae | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | • | Pt.ilopotanidae | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | } | Polycentropodidae Pauchonulidae | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | i | Rhyscophilids. | |
| | | | | | _ | _ | | | | | | _ | _ | 1 | Lecidosterà (an estercillars) | |
| | | | | | P | 0 | | | | | | C | • | ! | Coleoptera (beetles) | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | i | Diptera (flies, Hidges) Ceratopogonidae | |
| | C-0 | C | | | VC | NC | | C | C | C | Ċ | C | C | i c | Chironáni dee | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | ! | Cultatdee Boltahopodtdee | |
| • | | | | | | | | | | | | | | i | Enpi di dee | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | • | į. | Heleldee | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | ! | Psychodi dae | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | Rtingi oni dee Si mul i i dee | |
| | | | | | | | | - | | | A | | | i | Strationul dee | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | ! | Surplit dae | |
| | | | | | P | • | | | | | | | | : | l'abant dae | |
| | | . | | | : | | | | | | | | | • | Figuil Litae | |

Appendix 4.9 continued

| | Doto | | | | 1973 | | | | | | | | | | 1975 |
|-------|---|--------------|---------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------|--------------|--------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------|
| | Neb 10 | 503 | 504 | 804 | 507 | 500 | 509 | \$ 10 | 611 | 512 | 513 | 5,4 | 5 14 | 517 | F 10 |
| eler. | . Storet Becument | 630684 12 | 6 3062# 12 | 15 20075 | 630627 12 | 630620 12 | 630629 12 | 630630 | 420631 12 | 430400 12 | 630 533 | 630632 12 | 430647 12 | 630633 12 | 630595 |
| tatue | - Fame | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ţ | Turbellaria (flatuorne) Henetoda (roundworns) | | 1 | | • | | • | 10 | • | | | | | | |
| Ţ | Renateda (reunduerna) Brussaa (mass animals) | ! | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ť | Dryozoa (moss animals) Oligochaeta (aq earthworms) | į | | 4 | 2 | | | | | • | 100 | 31 | 100 | 40 | >1 |
| Ţ | Mirudinea (leeches) Bastropoda (snails) | ! | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 |
| ė | Ancul I dae | i . | | | | | | | | | | | | | 2 |
| • | Hydrobi i dae | ! . | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ť | Lywrael dae Physi dae | • | | | • | | > | 5 | | | 16 | | . 7 | | 81 |
| £ | Plánorbi dee | ! | | | | | 1 | 1 | | | 2 | | | | • |
| į | Valvatidae Pelecypoda (Clans) | ; | | | | | | | 4 | | | | | | |
| Ţ | Sehaeri i dae | İ | 2 | • | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Isopeda (sou bugs) Amphipada (souds) | 1 | 12 | 11 | 25 | 21 | 21 | 19 | | 2 | | | | | |
| Ţ | Decapeda (crayfish) | į 1 | 7 | | | ž | - 4 | i | | Ť | 2 | | | | |
| | Piecoptera (stoneflies) Perlidae | 1 | | | | • | | | | | | | | | |
| F | Ephonoroptora (mouflies) | i | | | | | | | | • | | | | | |
| Ē | beetldee | 1 15 | | 6 2 | | 4 2 | | | · . | | | | | | |
| ï | Caeni dae Ephener i dae | • | • | | | • | | | • | | | | | | |
| · Ť | Heptageni i dae | • | 1 | 12 | | . 6 | | . 11 | | | | | | | |
| - 1 | Leptopiilebiidae Siphienuridae | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ř | Tricorythi dee | į . | > | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| • | Chicago deman (1400) | • | | | | | | | | | | | i. | | 8 |
| | Cdragonfites, damselfites> Reshnides | i | | | | | 1 | | | | 4 | | | | • |
| £ | Rari i deo | | | | | • | | | | | 7 | | | | |
| - F | Calestoryaldae Coonagrildae | i | | 3 | 6 | 23 | 4 | . 6 | • | | 6 | • | 6 | | 1 |
| Ľ | Cordul egastridae Cordul i i dae | ! | | | | | • | | | | | | | | |
| | Corduit 1 de | : | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ě | Libellulidae | į . | | | | | | | | | | | 20 | | 1 |
| | Hemiptora (truebugs) Belastonatidae | ! | | | | | | | | • | 2 | | | | |
| i | Cortul doe | į | | 1 | | 17 | 3 | 12 | | | | | | | |
| Ţ | ûerri dae Negovol i i dae | : | | | | | 4 | 3 | 2 | | | | | | ı |
| · i | Hepi dee | i | | | | | | | _ | | | | • | | |
| Ţ | Notonecti dee Ploi dee | ! | | | | | | | | | | | 7.3 | | |
| i | Not I I doe | i | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Megaluptera Calderflies) Trichoptera (coddisflies: | ! | | | | | | | | | | | , | | |
| ï | mrachucentri dae | i | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| į | Ol ossosonati dee | ! | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ÷ | Helicopsychidae Hudropsychidae | | •• | 15 | 37 | | • | 12 | 10 | | | | | | |
| į | Hydropsychidae Hydroptilidae | ! | _ | | | 10 | | 2 | | | | | | | |
| | Leptoceridae Limephilidae | : | - | | • | 10 | | - | • | | | | | | |
| į | Not anni dae | <u> </u> | | | | | | | | | | * * | | | |
| 1 | Phi i opotení dee Poi ucentropodí dee | 1 33 | • | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ř | Paychony1 I dee | į | • | | | | | | 1.0 | | | | | | |
| 1 | Rhyacophilidae | ! | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| - | Lepideptora (sq caterpillers) Coleoptora (beetles) | 16 | 2 | . 2 | | | · i | | • | | 2 | 2 | | | 4 |
| F | Diptora (flies, midges) | ! | | | | | | | | | | _ | | - | _ |
| F | Ceratopogonidae Chironomidae | 4 | 13 | 5 | 23 | • | 2 | 4 | 1 1 | | | | | 14 | |
| Ţ | Culteldee | ! ` | | | _ | | _ | | | - | | | | | |
| 5 | Dol I Chapadidee Enpididee | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| F | est is for | • | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| F | Psychodi dae | : | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| F | Rhagi ord dae Si mil I I dae | , | - 4 | | • | | | 10 | 19 | | | | | | |
| F | Strattonyl dee | ! | | | | 4 | | | | | | | | | |
| £ | Syrphiliae Fabantilae | • | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| • | Figural Lilan | i 7 | | | | • | | | | | | | > | | |

Appendix 4.9 continued

| | Dete | | | | | | | | | | 1973 | | | | |
|------|--|----------|--------|--------|---------|--------|--------|--------|--------------|--------------|--------|------------|--------|-----------------|-------------------|
| | Map 10 Storet | 630262 | 630037 | 630894 | 630636 | 630313 | 630614 | 630615 | 630616 13 | 630616 13 | 430619 | 630620 | 630621 | £34 £30£22 (| 53) 53063 1 |
| ler. | Pacument | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 13 | | 12 | | | 13 | | | | • • • • • • |
| ŗ | Turbellaria (flatuerns) | - | | | | C | | c | • | | | | 0 | * | |
| į | Hemateda (reunduorms) Bruozea (mess animals) Oligochaeta (aq earthuorms) | 100 | sia. | • | 50 | P | | VC | c | • | ٠ | νc | vc | 125 | 1 |
| į | Hirudines (leeches) Sastropoda (snails) | | 30 | Ă | 50 6 | | č | Č | _ | | | P | Ó | , , | |
| į | Ancylidee Hydrobiidee | İ | | | | 0 | | | 0 | 0 | F | | P | | |
| Ė | Lynnaet dae Physi dae | 1 10 | | | | 0 | • | | | С | 0 | | | > | |
| Ė | Planorbidae. Valvatidae | " | | · | _ | • | č | . • | | _ | _ | • | | | |
| ÷ | Pelecupada (Clami) | į | | | | | | - | | | • | | _ | | |
| ŗ | Sphaeriidee Isapuda (seu bugs> | i | > | | | | vc | Ę | vc | ۶ | VC | C | į | | |
| ţ | Amphipoda (scuds) Decapoda (crayfish) | | • | • | | vc | • | DC. | 8 | 0 | νĈ | νç | F | 25 | |
| į | Plecoptera (stoneflies) Perlidae | • | _ | _ | _ | | | | | | ۵ | ٥ | | | |
| ř | Ephonoroptora (mayflies) | | | | | _ | c | e e | vc | ć | _ | UC | υc | 21 | |
| F | Caeni dae | | | | | | ř | | • | • | | U C | UC. | | |
| 1 | Ephoner i dae Hoptageni i dae | - | | | | c | | UC | vc | c · | c | | 0 | | |
| į | Leptophiebiidse Siphlenuridse | į | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ė | iri corythi doc | į | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| • | Odonata (dragonflies, demselflies) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ŗ | (dragonfites, denselfites) Reshnidee Regriidae | | ļ | 12 | • | | | • | . P | | | | | | |
| Ė | Cal opterugi dae | j 13 | | | | • | ŗ | | • | 0 | • | r | | . 2 | |
| F | Coenagrii dae Corqui egastri dae | | • | | • | • | _ | | | | | | | | |
| F | Cordul I i dae Comphi dae | | | | | | • | | | | | | | | |
| F | Libellulidae Hemintera (truetuss) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| į | Heniptera (truetugs) Belestonatidae Corinidae | | | • | | | | | | 0 | | | | | |
| ř | Gerri dee | • | 2 | | | | • | | ٥ | ř | Ů | | | | |
| ŗ | Mesovel 1 i dee Nepi dee | - | | | | | | | | P | | | | | |
| ţ | Notanecti dae Plei dae | | . 2 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| į | Uelildee | İ | | | | | | | 0 | | • | 0 | • | | |
| ÷ | Megaloptera (alderfiles) Trichoptera (coddisfiles) | į | | | | | | | ٥ | | • | • • | • | | |
| 1 | Brachycentridae Blessosenatidae | - | | | | | | | U | | 0 | | | | |
| į | Helicopsychidae | 1 | | | | VC | vc | VC | VC | VC | υc | VC | 0 | 16 | |
| į | Hydropsychidae Hydroptijidae | į | | | | c | | | | | | _ | | | |
| i | Leptoceridae Linnephilidae | - i | | | | • | | • | c | • | | | . • | | |
| 1 | Mol anni dae Phi l apotani dae | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| į | Pol ycentropodi dee | į | | | | | | * | • | | | | | | |
| í | Psychonyi i dae Rhyacophi i i dae | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| F | Lepidopterà (aq caterpillers Coleoptera (beetles) |) | 13 | 2 | | | | | VC | 0 | 0 | O | o | | |
| Ė | Diptera (flies, midges) Ceratorogonidae | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| F | Chirononi dee | | | | | vc | VC | vc | vc | ¢ • | VC | VĊ | vc | 24 | : |
| F | Cultitlee Dollichopodidee | - 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ŗ | Empididae Heleidae | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| F | Psychodidse | į | | | | | | | | ø | | c | • | | |
| F | Rhagi oni dae Sinul I i dae | | | | | . VC | c | C | 5 | ř | P | ŏ | ř | • | |
| F | Strationyldae Syrphidae | <u> </u> | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 3'37 1'11 '30 V | - | | | | | | | | • | | | | | |

Appendix 4.9

continued

| | | | | | 1973 | | | | | | | | | *** |
|----------|--|--------|--------|--------|--------|-------------|---------|-----------------|---------------|----|----------------|---------|--------------------|-----|
| or. | Nop 10 Storet Document | 630637 | 430404 | 500201 | 500202 | 500203 E | 00224 8 | 0020 5 1 | 547 100047 | 63 | 510 10430 4 | 30539 4 | 517 20633 31 | 630 |
| tus | Fana | .1 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| T | Turbellaria (flatuerns) Henateda (rounduerns) | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Britaria (wadd balwald) | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Ditochaeta (an earthwarms) | | | 10 | | 13 | | 27 | 24 | | • | 100 | 119 | |
| ř | Oligochaeta (eq earthworms) Hirudinea (leeches) | iż | > | ••• | ĭ | - 4 | | - 2 | | | 3 t | ••• | ••• | |
| ř | Bastropada (SMALLS) | i | _ | | _ | • | | _ | | | | | | |
| F | Ancyl I dae | • | | 4 | | 2 | | | | | | | | |
| r | Mydrobiidso | • | - 4 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <u> </u> | Lympeidse | | | _ | | _ | _ | _ | | | | | | |
| | Physides Planortides | : • | | • | | - | - | • | 7 | | | | • | |
| r | Valuatidae | i | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Pelecupeds (Clams) | i | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| r | Sahaeriidae | i | | | | | • | | | | | | | |
| Ì | Isopoda (seu bugs) Amphipoda (scuds) | i | | | | | | 2 | | • | | | | |
| • | Amphipada (scudš) | 1 | 3 | • | • | 20 | > | 11 | 4 | | 55 | 10 | | |
| r | Decapeda (crayfish) | | > | 3 | • | • | • | 3 | | | | | | |
| | Plecopters (stoneflies) | ļ. | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Periidee | ! | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Ephonoroptora (mayfiles) | ! | _ | 17 | | 2 | | | | | | | | |
| , | Coont doe | 1 | , • | ** | | . • | | . # | | | | | | |
| | Ephoner I dae | i | | | | | | | | | • | | | |
| | Heptageni i dae | i | | | | | | | | | • | | | |
| | Lantanii abiidaa | i | | | | | | | | | _ | | | |
| | Sight onur! dee | i | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | |
| | Sighleruridee Fricorythidee | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Ddonat a | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | (dragonflies, damselflies) Reshnidae | ! | | _ | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Reshni dee | 1 15 | • | ž | | | _ | | _ | | 2 | | | |
| | Agriidee . | i 32 | • | • | 3 | • | = | 15 | 2 | | | | | |
| | Caleptorugidae Coenagriidae Coenagriidae Coenagriidae Coenagriidae | ! | ٠ , | | • | | 4 | | 2 | | • • | _ | 445 | |
| | Conducated | : | • | 20 | • | | • | 4 | 4 | | - 16 | • | tů | • |
| | Cordultidae | • | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| • | Bonphi dee | i | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Libellulidee | i | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| , | Hemiptera (truebugs) | i | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| • | Bel de tomatidae | i | | | | • | | | | | | | | |
| | Corinidae | 1 | | | | | | | | | i | | 1 | |
| | Oerri Jae | 1 | Īr | | 2 | | 3 | | | | | | | |
| | Mesovel I I dee | • | | | | _ | | | | | | | | |
| | Hep! dee | ! | | | | | | | | | | | > | |
| | Notonectidae Pleidae | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Voltidoo | : | | | | | | ٠. | | | | | | |
| | Mogslaptora (aldorflies) | i | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| , ' | Trichantera (caddisilles) | i | | | • | | | | | | | | | |
| | Brachycentri dae | İ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Q[| • | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Hell copsychides | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| • | Hydropsychidee Hydroptilidee | ! | 31 | • | | \$ | 13 | 1 | 7 | | 4 | | | |
| | Hydrop1111dae | ! | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Leptoceridae Limophiildae | : | | | | | | | | • | | | | , |
| | No) anni dae | I | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Phi lopotani dae | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Polycentropodi dee | i | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Paychonyl I dae | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Rhuacochi i Litae | • | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Lepidoptera (aq caterpillara) Coleoptera (beetles) | 1 | | _ | | • | | | | | 2 | | 1 | |
| | Coleoptere (beetles) | i 10 | 1 | • | | 1 | | 9 | | | | | 1 1 | |
| - | Diptera (files, midges) | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Ceratorogonidae Chironomidae | ! . | 11 | 34 | _ | 36 | _ | 34 | 25 | | _ | | •= | |
| , | Culicidee | : • | • • • | 3-4 | • | 25 | • | 47 | 47 | | • | 10 | 15 | |
| | eet iteachtae | : | | | | | | | | | | | • | |
| | Enpi di dee | ì | | | | | | | | | | | • | |
| | He) of dee | i | | | | | • | | | | | | | |
| | Psychodi dee | • | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Rt.agt or it dae | • | | • | | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| | Staulttdae | 1 | | | | | | | | | 10 | | • | |
| | Strationyi dae | • | | | | | 4 | | | | | | | |
| | Surptitiae Tabantiae | ! | | | | (43) | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | Date | 1977 | | | | 1970 | 1 | | 197 | • | | | |
|------------------|---|-------------|--------|-----|-----|------|--------|----------|-------------|-------------------|------|-------|------------|
| | Map 1D Storet | 513 | 630633 | | 510 | 513 | 630633 | 510 | 54 50020 | 6 547 5 500047 | 50 | | 50£ |
| folor. Status | Document | 31 | 31 | | 31 | | | 51 | 2 | | | 10 70 | 70 |
| ŗ | furbellaria (flatuores) | | * | | | | | | | | P | c | F |
| Ţ | Menateda (rounduerna) Bryozoa (mess animals) | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | F |
| Ť | Diigochaeta (sq earthuorms) Hirudinea (leeches) | 22 | 44 | | | . 11 | | 1 2 | | , ; | | ţ | |
| | Pastropede (snells) | i | | | | | | • | | • • | | | |
| . Ė | Ancyl 1 -14 e Hydrob I I dee | ! | | | | | | | | 1 | | | |
| | Lumaei dae | i | | | | | | | | | P | · P | |
| Ī | Physidee Planarbidee | 1 7 | > | | : | • | • • | | | 3 | | 5 | ٤٠ |
| . * | Valuatidae | į | | | | | | | | | | • | • |
| ŗ | Pelecypoda (Clans) Spheeriidae | ! | • | | , | | | | | | . • | • | s . |
| ř | Isopada (sou bugs) Amphipada (souds) | i | | | | | • | | | | • | è | į. |
| ŗ | Amphipeda (scuds) Decapada (crayfish) | 1 . | | | 24 | ٠, | | 4 | 2 | 50 | . • | r P | f f |
| i | Plecoptera (stoneflies) | i | | • | | | | • | | | | • | |
| į | Perlide | 1 | | | | | | | | | . • | | |
| · • | Epheneroptera (may(lies) Beetidee | į | 1 | | | 3 | | 10 | | 2 | C | • | |
| • | Caeni dae Ephaner i dae | 1 | | | | 1 | | | | | | | P |
| į | Heptageni i dae | į | | | • | | | | | | ç | ` P | r |
| Į | Leptaphiebiidae Siphieruridae | 1 | | | | | | | | | . vc | . P | |
| · • | Trì corythi dae | į | | | | | | | | | ř | r | ě |
| • | Odonata (dragonflies, danselflies) | i | | | | | | | • | | | | |
| ₹ | Resh nidse | ! > | | | 4 | • • | l | | | | • | | . • |
| | figriidae Calopterugidae | ; | | | 1 | | 2 | . | | 2 | • | • | |
| Ě | Coenagriidae Cordulegaetridae | ! • | 23 | | . • | • | 3 | 2 | | | | P | F. |
| į | Cordul I i dae | i | | | | | . • | | | | | | |
| · • | Gomphidee Libellulidee | 1 . | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ť | Henipters (truebugs) Belostonatidae | i | | | | | | _ | | | | | |
| Ţ | Belostonatidae Gorinidae | ! ; | | | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | | | | | |
| i | Serri dee | • | _ | | | • | • | ž | | | į. | | F. |
| • | Hesovel Lidee Hepidae | 1 . | 1 | | | | | 1 | | | | | . • |
| <u>i</u> | Notonecti dee | į | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ţ | Pleidse Veliidse | i | • | | 1 | 1 | | | 1 | | | | |
| į | Hegalopters (alderfiles) | 1 | | | | | | | | | , • | | |
| ĭ | Trichoptera <caddisflies> Orachycentridae</caddisflies> | i | | | | | | 19,1 | | | • | | |
| Ì | Ol espasonati dee Hel I capsychi dee | ! | | | | | | | | | ٠ و | L | |
| ÷ | Hydropsychidse Hydroptilidse | į | | 9.1 | 5 | | | Ţ | 4 | 4 23 | ċ | ř | • |
| | Lentoceridae | ! | | | | | | 2 | | | | | |
| į | Li maphil i dee | ! | | | | | | | | | | • | |
| . ! . | hel anni dae Phil i spot ani dae | 1 | | | • | • | | | | | | ŀ | |
| Ě | Polycentropodidae Psychonylidae | ! | | | | | | | | | | | |
| i | Rhuacopht 1 I dae | i | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ē | Lepidopters (an esterpillars) Coleopters (beetles) | `! * | 1 | | 3 | | | | | | | | |
| F | Diptora (flies, midges) | • | - | | - | | | | | - | • | | |
| Ţ | Ceratopogoni dae Chi ranoni dae | 37 | 23 | | 1 | t 6 | 20 | 60 | • | 7 23 | • | r | |
| Ţ | Cultaidee | 1 > | | | _ | _ | | | | | | - | - |
| . f | Bolictopodidae Empididae | 5 | 1 | | | | 4 | | | | | | |
| Ē | · eablefell | ! | • | | | | | | | | | | |
| ŗ | Prychodidae Rhagionidae | 1 | | | | | | | | 2 • | | | |
| F | Simulitae | ! | | | | | | > | | | ₽ | 14 | 49 |
| F | Strattonyldee Symplicae | i | | | | | | | | | 100 | | |
| F | fateant dae | ! | | | | • | 2 | 2 | | | | | |
| • | Tipulidee | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | Date | | 1902 | | | | | | | | | | | | 190 |
|----|--|--------------|--------------|--------------|-----|--------------|---------------------|--------------|--------------|---------------------|--------------|---------------------------------|--------------|-----------------------------|------|
| r. | Map IB Storet Bocument | 630627 70 | 630628 70 | 630629 70 | | 630631 F0 | 513 630519 70 | 630632 70 | 630597 70 | 517 620633 70 | 630252 TO | \$20 \$300 \$ 7 70 | 630638 70 | 5 3 5 6 3 û 6 3 6 7 0 | 430£ |
| 40 | Tana | | | | | | | · | | | | | - | | |
| | Hensteds (roundworks) Brucess (moss enimals) | | • | • | • | • | • | | | | | | • | • | |
| | Oligochaeta (ag earthwerms) | | • | _ | • | _ | • • | - | ŗ | | • | | ř | • | |
| • | Hirudinea (leeches) Bastropode (snalls) | ; | P | • | | • | | | | | | • | • | • | • |
| , | Ancyl I dae Hydrabi i dae | ! | | • | | | | P | • | , 🟲 | P | P | | P | ₽ |
| | Lymnaeldae | • | | | • | _ | _ | _ | | P | | _ | | | _ |
| • | Physidae Planortidae | | | • | . • | • | - | • | 7 | | | • | • | | |
| | Volvatidae Polecypoda (Clams) | : | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| • | Sphaeri i dae | 1 | | 2 | ŗ | 2 | P | . • | | | | | | _ | |
| | lsopode (sew bugs) Amphipade (scuds) | | , nÇ | Ě | νĊ | • | _ | _ | _ | _ | | • | Ě | Ę | į |
| | Decapeda (crayfish) Plecoptera (stoneflies) | : | • | • | | r | | • | • | | • | • | • | • | ; |
| | Portido | | | | | | | | | | | | P | P | |
| | Ephonoroptera (may/lies) Bactidae | _ | _ | | • | | | | | • | • | • | • | • | v |
| | Caeni dae Ephoner i dae | • | | | . • | r | .8 | | | | | | • | | |
| • | Hoptagentidae Leptophiobiidae | • | • | P | | P | | | | | | | P | | • |
| | Si phi enuri dee Tri corythi dee | į | | _ | | | | | | | | • | | | _ |
| | Odonata | | | • | | | | | | | | | | | • |
| | (dragenflies, damselflies) Reshnidae | i | | | | 1 | • | | • | | | • | | | |
| | Rorlldso I | į | | | . • | | | | | ₽ | ř | P | | ₽. | : |
| | Gal opterugidae Ceenagriidae | • | • | • | | | · • | ₽ | • | • | | | | | i |
| | Cordulogastridae Corduliidae | ; | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Comphidae (| : | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| : | Heniptera (truebugs) ##10stonatidae | ! | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Corinidae | _ | · • | F | • | • | • | | P | _ | | _ | | | 9 |
| | Gerridae Mesoveliidae | | | P | · F | r | 7 | | P | • | F | • | | | - 1 |
| | Nepi dae Notonecti dae | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Pieidae | | | | | | | | • | | • | | | | |
| | Velidae (Negaloptera (alderflies) (Trichoptera (caddisflies) (| | • | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Trichoptera <caddisfiles> (Brachycentridae)</caddisfiles> | 1 | | | | | | | 4 | | * | | | | |
| | Alessasenatidae Helicopsychidae | į | | | _ | | | * Y | | | | | | | |
| | Hudropsuchi dae | P | . • | F | F | • | | | | P | P | • | - | P | • |
| | Hydroptilidse Leptoceridse | 1 | | | . p | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Limephilidee |) | | P | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Philopotanidae (| P | | | | | | | | | | | ₽. | | 3 |
| | Polycentropodidae Psychonylidae | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Rhúscophilidae Lepidoptera (ag caterpillars) | | | | | | • | | | | | | | | |
| | Colecptora (beetles) | • | • | • | • | ν | • | P | P | | | P | | • | • |
| | Diptera (flies, midges) Ceratopogonidae Chironomidae | _ | | _ | _ | _ | _ | _ | | | | _ | | | |
| | Cultctdae | • | P | • | . • | P | . • | P | P | * | • | . P | • | P | , |
| | Doll chapacit dae |] | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Engl di dee Hel el dee | | | | • | | | | | • | | | | | |
| | Psychoilidee Rhagionidee | ł | | | | | .* | | | | | • | | F | U |
| | Simulidae Strationyldae | | | . • | C | • | | | | | | P | • | . ř | VC |
| | Syrphidee I | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Tābanidae I | ! } | | | | | } | | | | | • | • | | |

| | Date | | | | | | | | | 1903 | | | 1904 | |
|----------|--|--------|---------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------------|-----|-----|--------------|------|-----|---------------|--------|-----|
| | Map 10 | 637 | 539 500201 | 643 | 546 | 847 | | 530 | | \$40 | 841 | 542 | 520 | 5 |
| er. | Storet Decument | 630606 | #00201 | 5002 0 2 | 5002 0 5 | 500047 70 | | 36 | 500201 30 | >• | 30 | >• | 39 | : |
| ŧ | Tana | | | | | | | | | - | | | | • |
| · | Turbeliaria (flatueres) | | P | <u>-</u> | P | | | | | | | | ****** | |
| Ť | Menatada (roundworms) | ! | _ | | • | | | | _ | - | | | | |
| 7 | Bruezea (mess animals) Oligochaeta (ag earthuorms) | i | | • | • | | | | • | | | | | |
| ţ | Oligochaeta (aq earthuorms) Hirudinea (leeches) | ! • | P | P | P | • | | | _ | _ | | _ | | |
| Ţ | Bastropoda (snalls) Ancylldae | | | • | . • | • | | | C | C | C | C | | UC. |
| ř | Hydrobi i dae | į | • | . • | • | | | | | | | | | |
| F | Lýmaeldee Physidee | | | _ | • | | | C | | | | | | |
| F | Pl anorbi dee | i ' | | | . Þ | | | • | | | | | VC | |
| Ţ | Valvatidae Polocypoda (Clams) | ! | | | | | | | | | | | | c |
| ř | Sphaoriidae | i | | | | | | | | | | | I/C | |
| Ì | Isopoda (seu bugs) | ! ! | 2 | | F | 2 | | ç | ç | Ç | Ç | Ç | | . 0 |
| F | Amphipoda (scuds) Decapada (crayfish) | 1 5 | | | - | | | nč | Ç | Ĉ | Ĉ | nc C | o C | VC |
| i | Placamtera (stone/lies) | i | _ | • | | · | | Č | Č | vč | vč | C | _ | - |
| Į | Perlidee | ! P | • | | | | | | | | | ř | | |
| F | Ephoneroptera (nayfiles) Bactidee | i P | VC | vc | P | · • | | | Ģ | ٥ | • | | | |
| • | Caeni dee | ! | | | | | | C | vc | C | C | C | | |
| ; | Epheneri dae Heptageni i dae | | E | • | P | | 1.5 | · c | VC | c | C | C | | |
| į | Leptophiebiidae | į Þ | - | - | | | | | | | | | | |
| Į | Si phi onuri dae Tri corythi dae | | • | | • | | | | | | | | | |
| ŕ | Odenata | į i | • | • | • | | | C | C | VC | VC | C | · vc | |
| _ | (dragenfiles, damaelflies) | | _ | _ | | | | | | | | | | 2.5 |
| | Reshni dae Agrii dae | 1 | • | - | • | | | | | | | | | |
| Ż | Calopterygidee | • | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ŗ | Coenage I i dae Cordul egastri dae | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | • | |
| Ė | Cal opterugidae Coenagriidae Coenagriidae Cordulegastridae Corduliidae | į | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Comphidee Libellulidee | 1 | | | | | | | | | 4.5 | | | |
| Ť | Hemiptera (truebugs) | i | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ţ | Belestonatidae Corinidae | ! | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ł | Oerridse | i p | . • | • | • | | | C | c | c | ċ | c | | |
| į | Mesovel I I dae | ! | | - | | | | , | | _ | _ | _ | | • |
| • | Hepi dae Hotonecti dae | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| i | Pl e i dae | į | | | | | | | _ | | | | | |
| ŗ | Veliidae | ! | | • | | | | c | C | ٠. | c | Ç | | |
| Ė | Megalopters (alderflies) Trichopters (coddisflies) | i | | • | | | | • | | • | • | • | | |
| | Brachycentri dae Ol ossosonati dae | ! | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| i | Helicopsychidee | i | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ţ | Hudropsuchi dae | . P | , P | P | • | • | | ΛČ | vc C | VC | VC | VC | | • |
| I | Hydroptilidse Leptoceridse | i | | | | | | C. | L | | | C | | |
| i | Limnephilidae | • | | | | | | | | | | | | • |
| ŀ | Mol anni dae Phi l apotani dae | ! | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| i | Polycentropodi dae | i | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ŗ | Pauchomul I dae | ! | • | | | | | | | | | | * | |
| • | Rhyacophilidae Lepidoptera (aq caterpillars) | i | • | | | | • | | | | | | | |
| ř | Coleoptera (beetles) | | • | · • | , * P | • | | C | C | Ċ | C | C | I/C | |
| • | Diptera (flies, midges) Ceratopogonidae | ! | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ė | Chirononi dae | i p | P | • | • | ٠, | | | c | Ċ | C | C | į. | |
| Ţ | Culticide+ | ! | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ę | Doli chapadi dee Enpi di dee | : | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| F | Heleldae | i · | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| <u>r</u> | Psychodi dee | ! _ | _ | | P | | | | | | | | | |
| ř | Rhàgi ani dae Si nul i i dae | | vc | F | υÇ | | | | c | | | c | | |
| F | Strationyldae | i | | • | | | | | - | | | - | | |
| Ţ | Syrphidae | ! | | | | | | | c | | | | | |
| = | Fabantitae Fipuliiae | • | | | | | | c | νČ | Ç | Ç | c c | | |

Quantitative benthic macreinvertebrate sumpling in Section 5 Clinton River, upstream of Red Sum (1975-1979).

| | Results in organis | sme/sq. m Date | eter. | | | | 1973 | ı | | | | | | | | 1973 | |
|----------|---|--|------------------------|--------|---------------------|-----------------------------|---------------|--------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|--------|--------|-----------------------------|------|----------------|
| r. us | Tana | Rop ID Storet Document Hethod | 630629 630629 12 | 630630 | 630631 12 1-d | 5 12 630600 12 h-d | 513 430511 | 630632 | 430517 | \$17 430433 12,23 h-4 | 630595 12 h-d | p-4 930383 813 | 630894 | 630313 | \$25 630614 13 h-d | 526 | P-4 1 906 9 |
| | Turbellaria Kilatuar | | | 113 | | | ~~~~ | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Honotoda (roundum:ns: Bryozoa (nose animal: | 6) | i | | | | | | | | | | | vc | | | |
| | Oligochaeta <aq eart<="" td=""><td>lworns></td><td>1</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>1041</td><td>65</td><td></td><td></td><td>1477</td><td></td><td>11</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></aq> | lworns> | 1 | | | | | 1041 | 65 | | | 1477 | | 11 | | | |
| | Oastropoda (snails) | | i | | | | | | | | | | | | _ | | _ |
| | Ancylidae Hydrobiidae | | ! | • | | | • | 1 | | | 24 | | 73 | 420 | | 16 | • |
| | Lymaeldse | | i | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Physidee Plenerbidee | | • | | | | 276 | | | | 67 | | . • | | | | |
| | Val vati dae | · | į | | | | | | 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | | | | | | | | |
| | Polecypoda (Clams) Sphaoriidee | | ! | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Isopoda (seu bugs) | | i | | _ | _ | | | | | | | | | 170 | 40 | |
| | Amphipade (scuds) Decapade (crayfish) | | 1 405 | 2390 | • | • | 14 | | | | | | | 574 | | | |
| | Plecoptera (stonefil | ** > | į | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Periidae Echemerostera (maufi | 104) | ¦ . | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Epheneroptera (mayf) | | • | • | | | | | | | | | 1104 | | 75 | 500 | 6 .1 |
| | Caeni dee Epheneri dee | | ' | | | | | | | | | | | . 14 | | | |
| | Heptageniida Leptaphiebii | • | ! | 259 | | | | | | | | | | 21 | 11 | 220 | 49 |
| | Siphi onuri de Tri carythi de | • | i | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Trìcorythida Odonata | • | ! | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | (dragonfiles, dansel Reshnidee | flies > | i | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Reshnidee Rgriidee | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Calcateruald | •• | į . | | | | | | | | _ | | _ | | | | |
| | Comagniidae Cordulegastr | l dae | 1 | | | | 97 | ' | •1 | | • | | • | • | . 16 | | |
| | Cordul I I dae | | į | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Quephi dee Libelluli dee | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Homiptora (truebugs) Belastonatid | | į | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Corinidae | •• | i | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Gerri dee | | ! | | | • | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Hesoveliidae Hepidae | | i | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Notonects dee Ploidee | | ! | | | | | | • | | | | | | | | |
| | Volildae | | i | | | | | | • | | | | | | | | |
| | Mogaloptora Caldorfl Trichoptora Ccaddisf | 108) | ! | | | | | | | | . % | | | | | | |
| | Or achucentri | dee | i | | | | | r. | | | | | | | | | |
| | Olessessis Helicopsychi | dee | • | • | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Hydropsychid Hydropsisid | •• | 242 | 694 | 3330 | | | | | | | | | 954 | 177 | 1033 | 3 |
| | ltjd:optilide Leptoceridee | • | ! | • | | | | | | | | _ | | | | | |
| | Limentill de | • | i | | • | | | | | | | | | • | | | 12. |
| | Not anni dae Phi Lopot ani d | | ! | · . | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Polycentrepo | didee | į | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Psychomylida Rhyacophilid | • | ! | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| - | Lepidopteri (aq cated | rpillars) | i | | - | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Colooptera (beetles) Biptera (flies, mido | > | ! | | | | | | | | | | | 22 | | 16 | |
| | Ceratopegoni Chironomidae | dae | i | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Chironomidae Culticidee | 1 | ! !!> | 64 | 443 | 15 90 | 630 | 545 | 40 | 4600 | 16 | 97 | 496 | 645 | tere t | 269 | 81 |
| | But tehopost de | •• | i | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Enpididae Heleidae | | ! | | | | | | | | | | | 27 | | 5 | |
| | Paucho:11:1ae | | i | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Rhagionidae Simulituse | ! | ! | | _ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Strationul dad | | i | | • | • | , | _ | | | | | | | | 71 | |
| | Suphidee | | ! | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Tabant Jae Facilities | | | | | | | | | | | | • | | | | |

| | fiete | | | | | | | | | 1973 | | | | | |
|----------|---|--------------------|------|--------|--------------|---------|--------|--------|--------|---------|---------|--------|-------------|----------------|--------------|
| | Map 10 | 530 | 531 | 532 | 522 | 834 | 525 | 5 >6 | 537 | 839 | 54) | 544 | 645 | 54: | \$41 |
| | Storet Becument | 630610 | | 630620 | 430421 13 | 620623 | 630636 | 630637 | 630604 | 500201 | 500202 | 500203 | 500224 | 50 0205 | 500047 12 |
| | Tama Hothod | h-d | h-4 | tı-d | h-j | h-4 | h-d | h-4 | h-d | h-4 | h-d | h-d | tı-d | 1,-3 | h-j |
| | Turbellaria (flatuorms) | | | | | 21 | 16 | | | | | | | | |
| | Nematoda (reunduerms) Bryosca (moss animals) | ; | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| , , | Dligochaeta (aq earthuorms) Mirudinea (leeches) | ! | • | | | 54 | 24 | | | • | 41 | | | 663 | 2-1 |
| , 1 | Bastrepode (snells) | | | | | _ | | | | | | | | | |
| , | Ancylidee Hydrobiidee | | 21 | 14 | 32 | | 49 | | 40 | • | 157 | 194 | 57 | 57 | 226 |
| <u>;</u> | Lymnaei dae | į | | | | | 32 | | | | | | | | |
| , | Physidae Planorbidae | • | | | | | 34 | | | | | , | | | |
| Į | Veluatidae Pelecypoda (Clans) | ! | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| r | Sphaer11dae | _ | | | | | | | | | _ | | | | • |
| ļ | lsopoda (sou bugs) Amphipoda (scuds) | 5 | 145 | | 11 | 69 | . • | | | | 41 | | | | |
| • | Decameda (craufish) | | _ | | | | | | | | , | | | | |
| i I | Plecoptera (stoneflies) Perlidae | : | 5 | 11 | | | | | | | | | | | • |
| <u>.</u> | Ephemeroptera (mauflies) | j i 1501 | 48 | 495 | 1216 | | 33 | | 545 | 1364 | | 360 | 961 | | 323 |
| , | Baetidee Ceenidee | 1 1501 | | 700 | 1210 | | | • | | 1307 | | ,,,, | ,. . | | 323 |
| ī. | Epheneridae Heptageniidae | ! ! >46 | 27 | 75 | 65 | | | | | 16 | 24 | | | 2- | • |
| į | Leptophlebiidae | | | | | | | | | | _ | | | | |
| į 5 | Siphienuri dee Fricoruthi dee | ; | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| • | Odenata | • | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| , | (dragonflies, denselflies) Reshuldee | ; | | 5 | | | | 16 | | | | | ., | | |
| , | Agriidae Cal opterugidae Coenagriidae | | 8.2 | | | | • | | | | | | | | |
| | Coenagriidae | | | | | | 24 | • |) | | | | | 14 | |
| • | Cordul egas tri dae Cordul I i dae | • | | | | | | | | | | | - 1 | | |
| , | Comphidae Libellulidae | ! | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ř | Hemipters (truebugs) | į | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| [| Belestanstidse Gorinidse | : | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ī | Berridae Hesovellidae | ! | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| r | Nopidse | į | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| • | Notonecti dee Pleidee | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Vol i i dae | | | | | | . * | | | | | | | | |
| ! | Mogaloptera (alderflies) Trichoptera (caddisflies) | ; - | 8. | | | • | | | | | • | • | | | |
| | Brachycontridae Olegasonatidae | • | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Helicopsuchidae | i I 721 | 950 | 753 | 791 | 16 | | _ | 46.50 | 1363 | 161 | 1429 | 904 | | |
| ľ | Hydropsychidae Hydroptiiidae | , ,,, | -50 | 153 | *** | | | _ | 7670 | 1757 | 761 | 1449 | 704 | 16. | 1074 |
| | Leptoceridae Limephilidae | : | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Mol anni dae | į | | | | | | | | | | * | | | |
| | Philopotanidae Polycentropodidae | i | 11 | 32 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| , | Psychonyl I dae Rhyscophi I I dae | 1 | • | | 5 | | | | | | | | | | |
| ŕ | Legidoptera (aŭ catergillara) | <u>.</u> | | •• | | | | | _ | | | | | | |
| r • | Coleoptera (beetles) Diptera (flies, midges) | l E9 | 75 | 32 | 16 | • | | | • | | | | | | |
| | Ceratopoguni dae Chironomi dae | 1 1 0 27 | 1390 | 909 | 1274 | 639 | 326 | 1554 | 1129 | 766 | 1434 | 1331 | 2495 | 500 | 1969 |
| r | Cul I cl dae | • | ,,,, | ,,, | •••• | | | | | | ••• | | •472 | 390 | .,., |
| ř | Dol i chopodi dae Empi di dae | 1 16 | 16 | 14 | | | | | • | | | • | | ` •, | 16 |
| | Heleidae | ! | | ,,, | | _ | | | • | | | | | ** | •• |
| • | Paychodi de+ Rhagi oni de+ | • | | 5 | | | | 16 | , | 16 | 41 | 16 | 40 | | 97 |
| | Staultidee | 46) | | | 670 | | | 16 | 16 | 129 | | >>1 | • | | 75 |
| `. | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| F F | Strationyldae Syrphidae Fabanidae | į | * | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| | | . 0.4. | | | | | | 1111111 | | | | | |
|--|--|--|----------|-----|----------|------------|------|---|------|------------------|--|----------|---------|
| | | - 4 5 6 | • | • | 100 | | 2277 | 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 200 | | | 950 950 950 950 950 950 950 950 950 950 | K00208 | 5000 A |
| | Turbellarie (f | | | | 2 | E | | 5- | | ; ; ; ; | | | |
| | | ninels) | | | | • | : | • | 1968 | • | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | = | | 1 | ž | • |
| | 140.00 | • | | | | , | ; | | | | • | | |
| | Physical de | 1400 | | | | • | 2 | | | | • | | |
| | Polocupodo (Cl | | | | | | | | 1 | | • | | |
| | Propries | • | • | | | | | | • | | | • | • |
| | Amphipode Cocu | 4 | S | • | - | į. | | 2 | • | | | . | |
| | Pleceptore Asto | no(1100) | | | | | | | | | | | _ |
| | Ephonoroptor | may (110s) | | | | · 4 | | ** | | | 1332 | ň | |
| | 7750 | ••• | | | | : | | } | | | | | |
| | 2044 | 11140 | • | | | : | | 316 | | | | • | |
| | 1.00 to 1.00 t | 1 0 b 1 1 d a o | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Trisery | thi doe | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | • | (set 1/1108) | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 30 4 1 1 0 C | : :: | | | | | | .• | | | | | |
| | Colopt | | * | ï | | | | • | 100 | ij | • | | |
| | Cordul | des tri des | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | J • (| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Cortain | | | | | | | | | | | | • |
| | 70700 | : 14: | | | | | ; | | | | | | |
| | # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # # | ***** | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | . 2 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Wegaleptera (a) | 140-71100 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Dr. achu. | centrides constides | | | | | | | | | | ٠ | |
| | Holico Hudrop | sechidee | <u>.</u> | | - | • | | 374. | | 7 | ?? | • | 4 26.17 |
| | #24-CP | 111400 | <i>r</i> | | | • | | ** | | | : | | |
| | Lienep! | 1111400 | | | | | | *, | | | | | |
| | 40110 | t ani dae | | | | • | | | | | | | |
| ** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** *** | 10000 | 101000 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 77 2007 1600 1600 1600 1600 1600 1600 1600 1 | Lepidopter & Car | q caterpillars> | | | | : | • | 153 | | | | | . 32 |
| | Dipters (files | of does? | | | | | | | | | ! | , | |
| * | | 000011 0000000000000000000000000000000 | | | 7. 7. | 3 | == | 1631 | | | 744 | 9 | 1035 |
| | 200 | • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • | | 122 | | | | | | • | | | |
| | 10 10 T | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 94059 9448 | ••• | | | | | | 7 | | | | | |
| | 1178 15 | * 3 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | STOP | ••• | _ | | | | | | | | | | |

Appendix 4.9 continued

| | Qualitative benthic mecreis Sounts represent organisms Date | found, | (P)-pr | pling sont, | in Sect (0)—ecc | ion 6 Ci asional 1973 | . (C)-c | Alver, enuen, | the Her | th Bran ry Com | ch <197 on. | >- 19 0 3> | 1975 |
|--------|---|--------------|---------------------|----------------|--------------------|-----------------------------|---------|------------------|---------|-------------------|----------------|-------------------|----------------------|
| Toler. | Hap IB Storet Document | 500236 16 | 602 440057 16 | 440058 16 | 440053 | 440060 | 44006 1 | 500237 | 500234 | 500231 | 500240 | 500241 | 612 \$00242 16 |
| Status | Гана | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| T | Turbellaria (flatuores) | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| Ť | Turbellaria (flatuorms) Menatoda (reunduorms) | ! | | | | | | | | | | | |
| • | Bryozea (moss animals) Oligochaeta (aq earthuarms) | | | | | | | | • | | | | |
| ÷ | Hirudines (lesches) | i - | | | ī | | 1 | 6 | . 4 | 2 | | | • |
| į | Bastrapada (snalls) | ! | | | | * ** | | | | | | ** | |
| £ | Ancylidae Hydrobiidae | ! | | | | | | | | | | | |
| · · | Lumaeldae | i | 2 | | | | | 1 | 2 | * 1 | | | |
| į | Physidee | . 2 | > | 3 | 5 | • | | . 2 | 2 | > | 2 | 11 | |
| | Planorbidee · Valvatidee | : | | | | | | | • | | | | |
| ÷ | Pelecupada (clame) | i | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ţ | Sphaer 11 dee | | 4 | • | • | • | 2 | | l | 1 | | | 14 |
| ·Ţ | Repode (son bugs) Amphipode (souds) | | 14 | 3 | • | • | į | |) 15 | 30 | • | 33 | |
| Ť | Decatada (craufian) | i | 1 | _ | • | . 2 | . 5 | 1 | 1 | 2 | i | 11 | 1 |
| į | Plecoptera (stoneflies) | ! | | | | | | | | | | | |
| į | Periidae Ephemeroptera (mayfiles) | : | | | | | | | | | | | |
| F | Beetidee | i | | | | | | | | | | | |
| · F | Coent doe | i ı | 4 2 | . • | 20 | | | | . • | 1 | | | |
| . ! | Ephonoridae Hoptageniidae | | 2 | | | | | • | | | • | | • |
| i | Leptophiebiidee | i - | • | | | | 2 | ! | • | Zú | 24 | 32 | 10 |
| 1 | Siphi onuri dee | ! | | | | | | | _ | | | | |
| | Tricorythidee | : . | | | | | | | • | | | | |
| • | (dragonflies, danselflies) | i | | | | _ | | | | | | | |
| E | Aeshaldee | | 4 | ,8 | | 3 | 2 | | | | | | -4 |
| | Agriidae | | 4 | | 2 | | 2 | ! | | • | | | |
| F | Cal opterugidee Coenagriidee | i - | i | | | | Ĭ | |) S | 2 | 1 | 17 | 15 |
| F | Cordulegastridae | ! | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Cordul I i dae Gomphi dae | : | | | | | | | | | 1 | | |
| F | Petiluliodij | i | | | | | | | | 2 | : 1 | | • |
| Ţ | Hemiptere (true bugs) | ! . | | | | | | | • | | | 10 | . 2. |
| ļ | Belogtomatidae Corinidae | : - | 1 | , i | | : | | | 3 | 4 | | | 2 |
| ŕ | Úerri dee | i | • | _ | 4 | i | > | | _ | i | _ | · . | |
| Ī | Mesouel I dae | ! | | | | | | | | | | 2 | • |
| Ţ | Nepi dee Notonects dee | | | | • | ı | | | | | | • | |
| i | Pleidae | i | | | _ | | | | | | | | |
| Ţ | Velildee | ! | 3 | | | | | | | | | | |
| . ; | Negaloptera (alderflies) Frichoptera (caddisflies) | : | • | • | • | | | | | | | | |
| i | Dr achycentri dae | i - | | | | | | | | | | | |
| . ! | Glossosonati dae | ! | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ė | Helicopsychidae Hudropsychidae | • | 23 | 25 | . 34 | ı | 15 | • | 17 | | 24 | 15 | -20 |
| Ì | flydropsycht dee Hydrop t i i i dee | • | | | | | | | | | | 2 | |
| į | · léptoceridee Limnephilidee | : . | 1 | | 4 | | | | | 12 | | _ | |
| i | Not sunt dee | i • | | | | | | | | | | • | |
| į | Fril 1 opot antidee | ļ. | _ | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Polycentropodidae Psychonys i dae | ! | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ï | Rhuscophilidee | i | • | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ē | Lepidoptera (eq caterpillars) | | | į | | _ | _ | _ | | | | | |
| Ę | Coleopters (beetles) | | | 2 | 2.4 | • | , | | • 1 | • | • | 21 | 16 |
| Ţ | Dipters (files, midges) Cerstopoponidae | i | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ė | Chi ranoni dee | i 16 | 57 | 26 | 19 | 66 | 16 | 32 | : ≩€ | 5 | 24 | • | 96 |
| ï | Cultatidee | • | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ř | Doll chopsal dee Expl di dee | i | 1 | | | | | | | | | | |
| F | Heletase | į | • | | | | | | | | | | |
| Ē | Paychodidae | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Rhagionidae Simuliidae | i | . 2 | | 2 | | | | | | | | . 2 |
| F | Strattonyl dee | i | _ | | _ | - | | | | | | | • |
| ĩ | Symptilitae Fabarititae | | | 3 | | | | | | 2 | | | |
| Ţ | f i pul i -lae | • | 5 | • | 1 | | í | • | • | | • | | |
| • | | - | | | • | | - | | | | | | |

| | | Map 10 | 413 | - 614 | 615 | 61 | 4 411 | 61 | | 619 | 620 | 621 |
|----------|------------------------------------|---|--------------|--------------|-----------------|--------------|-------|----------|----|------|------|-----|
| oler. | | Storet Document | 900243 16 | 800244 16 | 500245 16 | 3 | 0 30 | | 10 | 37 | 27 | 37 |
| tatus | Tana | , | | | | _ | • | • | ~ | | | |
| | Turbellaria (f) | | ! | | 2 | | * | | | | | |
| ř | Henetede (roun | | i | | • | | | | | | | |
| Ť | Bryssas (Moss | entuate> | İ | | | | | | | | | |
| Ţ | Oligochaeta (e | g earthworms) | ! | • | 1 | | E | | | | VÇ | νç |
| Ţ | Mirudines (lee Bestrepeda (sn | ches) | 1 | | | * | | | | c | | C |
| ÷ | Anculi | 444 | | | | C | c | c | | • | · | • |
| ř | Hydrob | i i dae | į | | | | - | | | | | |
| £ | Lymise | | ! | š | | | *** | _ | | | | |
| Ţ | Physid Planor | 60 | : | 1 | | • | vc | • | | | | |
| Ť | Valuat | 1400 | i | | • | | | | | | | |
| ř | Polocypoda (cl. | 6rt 6 > | İ | | | | | | | | | |
| Ţ | Sphaer: | l 1 dae | i 4 | > | • | _ | _ | _ | | | | _ |
| Ţ | Idepode (seu bi | 164> | 20 | • | 23 | P C VC | ¢ | Ç | | | | C |
| - | Rephipoda (scie Decapada (cray | (Leh) | 1 4 | • | - 4 | νč | | č | | · c | | e |
| i | Plecoptera (st | oneflies> | • • | | • | | | _ | | • | | • |
| 1 | Perlid | | • | | | | | | | | | |
| <u> </u> | Ephonoroptera Baetid | (may/lles) | • | | • | | | | | | | |
| 7 | Gaenid | •• | | | 45 | | | | | | | |
| - 5 | Ephone | | ; | | 43 | c | | UC UC | | | | |
| Ť | Heptag | eniidee | i | | | Ť | | ř | • | VC | | • |
| 1 | Leptopl | hiebiidee | 10 | 30 | 22 | P. | | VĊ | | | | |
| Ţ | 31 ph Le | nuridee | ! | 3 | 10 | | | | | | | |
| | Odenata IFECOT | ythil doe | : | 3 | 10 | | | | • | | | |
| • | Sdragonflies. | James I (1 Les) | i | | | | | | | | | |
| * | (dragonflies, | dee | 1 1 | . 3 | > | | | | | | | |
| • | Barild. | | ! | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| ~ | Calopt | rugidae riidae gastridae lidae | 1 12 | 4 | | c | | | | | | |
| | Gordul | egastri dag | : " | | • | • | | | | | | |
| F | Cordul | 11400 | Ĭ | | | | | | | | | |
| F | - doubut | 400 | ļ | | • | | | | | | | 4 |
| • | Libelli | | ! | | | | | | | | | |
| | Homiptora (true | amatidae . | : | | | | | | | | | |
| ř | Corini | 440 | i | | | | | • | | . с | · VC | PH |
| Ì | Berri d | · | i 4 | 2 | | . • | | ř | | • | VČ | PH |
| Ţ | Me sove! | | i | | | | | | | | | |
| Ţ | Hepi de Motone | • | : | | | | | | | | | |
| ÷ | Pletde | | i | | | | | | | | VC | |
| Ť | Velila: | | i . | > | | . VC | | VC | | | •• | |
| İ | Hegaloptera (a) Trichoptera (c) | derfiles) | • | | | * | | | | | | |
| • | Trichoptera (c | eddi efil ee> | ! | | | | | | | | | |
| • | er seny- | contridao sonatidao | ł . | | | | | | | | | |
| i | Helica | esuchidae | i | | | | | | | | | |
| • | Mydr op: | uchidee tildee | • | 52 | 73 | P | | C | | | | |
| į | Hydr op (| tilldse | ! | | | | | | | | | |
| • | Leptoc | | | | | | | | | | | |
| i | Mol anni | dee | • | • | | | | | | | | 7- |
| i | Phil 1 ope | oat inst | i | | | | | | | | | |
| F | Polyce | itropodi dae | į. | | | | | | | | | |
| F | Payaha | ryt i dae | ! | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Rriginos | hilidae | ! | | | | | | | 1 10 | | |
| , | Lepidoptera (a. Goleoptera (be- | tles | i 44 | 2 | 15 | • | • | • | | | | - |
| F | Diptora (flios, | nidges> | i | | | • | • | - | | | | • |
| Ţ | Corato | ogeni dee | ! | | | | | | | | | |
| Ē | Chirone Cultete | MI 400 | . 40 | 27 | 14 | VC | UC | vç | | UC | C | UC |
| į | | prodicte | : | | | | | · | | | | |
| F | Enpi di | 100 | i | | | | | | | | | |
| F | Heleld | • | İ | | | | | | | | | |
| F | Psychoc | i dae | ! | | | | | | | | | |
| F | Rhagior | | ! | | | | | VC | | | | |
| £ | Simulii Strati: | GRE CAR | : | | | C | | VC | | | | |
| 1 | Syrphic | ine | ĭ | | • | | | | | | | |
| F | Tabani. | 100 | i ı | | | | | | | | | |
| | Fi pul to | 1 | | | ` > ' | _ | | | | | | |

1979

1903

Date

| | the Morth Branch (1973). Date | Results in a | 1973 |
|---|---|-----------------------------|--|
| Toler. Status | Nap ID Storet Document Fama Hethod | 622 500210 12 h-dm | 622 800210 12 pener |
| Ţ | Furbellarie (flatuerns) | | |
| Į | Nenatoda (roundworms) Bryozoa (moss animals) Oligochaeta (aq earthworms) | • | |
| Ţ | Hirudines (leeches) | | 2523 14 |
| F | Bastropoda (smails) | | |
| ţ | fincyl i dae Hydrobi i dae Lymael dae | į , | |
| Ť | Physidee Plenorbidee | * . | 29 |
| . F | Valuatidae | | |
| Ť | Pelecypoda (clams) Sphaeriidee | ! | |
| | Sphaeriidee Isopeda (sou bugs) Amphipeda (scuds) Decapeda (crayfish) Plecoptera (stoneflies) Perlidae Epheneroptera (mayfiles) Baetidae | • | |
| f | Decapoda (crayfish) | . • | |
| 1 1 F | Plecoptera (stoneflies) | ! | |
| į | Epheneroptera (mayfiles) | İ | |
| • | Caeni dae | i | |
| 7 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 | Ephonoridae Hoptageniidae | • | 14 |
| i | Leptoot.lebiidae | 703 | . •• |
| ÷ | Sight onuri dee Tri carythi dee | • | |
| • | | ! | |
| £ | Reshni dae | 45 | |
| · [| | i | |
| F | Cal opterugt dae Coenagrii dae Cordulegaarri dae Cordul i i dae | ! | |
| Ė | Cordul 1 (dae | į | |
| F | Genphi dae Li bel lul i dae | • | |
| Ţ | Hemiptera (true bugs) Belostomatidae | 1 1 | |
| r | Corinidae | į | |
| F | Gerridae Mesoveliidae | • | |
| Ŧ | Nepi dee Notonecti dee | ! | |
| Ť | Pleidee | i | |
| - [| Veliidee Megalostera (alderflies) | • | A STATE OF THE STA |
| ŗ | Megaloptera (alderflies) Trichoptera (caddisflies) Brachycentridae | ! | |
| i | Ol osconati dee | | |
| Į | Helicopsychidae Hudropsychidae | 24 | |
| į | Hydropsychidae Hydropsilidae | ! | |
| i | Léptoceridee Limiephilidee | į | |
| | Hol anni dae Phil I opotami dae | | |
| Ė | Polycentropodidee | •• | |
| ï | Psychomytidae Rhyacophilidae | ; | |
| F | Leptdoptera (aq caterpillars) Coleoptera (beetles) | 1 16 | 67 |
| Ė | Diptera (flies, Midges) | | |
| F | Ceratopogonidae Chironomidae | 1605 | 430 |
| Ţ | Culticidae Dolichopodidae | : | 14 |
| ŕ | Empi di dee Hel ei dee | Ī | |
| F | Hel el due Psychodi due | : · | |
| Ė | Rhibal ond dae | | |
| F | Simuliidae Strationyidae | • | . 14 |
| į | Surphidee Fabanidae | } | |
| į | fipultitee | i | |

PART I

- A. EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS AND MONITORING REQUIREMENTS
- 1. Final Effluent Limitations
- a. During the period beginning on permit issuance and lasting until permit expiration, the permittee is authorized to discharge treated municipal wastewaters from the Pontiac wastewater treatment plant through outfall 001 to Clinton River. Such discharges shall be limited and monitored by the permittee as follows:

| | | D1: | scharge Limi | tations | |
|---|--------------------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------------|----------------------|
| Effluent Characteristic | Dates In Effect | Daily Minimum | Daily Maximum | 30-Day Average | 7-Day Average |
| Flow (in MGD) | All Year | | | | |
| Carbonaceous Biochemical Oxygen Demand (CBC | 5/1-11/30 (D ₅) | • | 10 mg/l | 4 mg/l 500 lb/d | 1250 lb/d |
| Carbonaceous Biochemical Oxygen Demand (CBC | 12/1-4/30 DD ₅) | | 22 mg/l | 15 mg/l 1880 lb/d | 2750 lb/d |
| Total Suspended Solids | 5/1-11/30 | | - | 20 mg/l 2500 lb/d | 30 mg/l 3750 lb/d |
| Total Suspended Solids | 12/1-4/30 | | | 30 mg/l 3750 lb/d | 45 mg/l 5630 lb/d |
| Ammonia Nitrogen (as N) | 5/1-11/30 | | 2.0 mg/l | 0.7 mg/1 90 lb/d | 250 lb/d |
| Asmonia Nitrogen (as N) | 12/1-3/31 | - | · ••• | 9.0 mg/1 1130 lb/d | |
| Ammonia Nitrogen (as N) | 4/1-4/30 | | . | 10.3 mg/1 1290 lb/d | - |
| Total Phosphorus (as P) | All Year | - | | 1.0 mg/l 125 lb/d | |
| Dissolved Oxygen | All Year | 6.0 mg/l | | | |
| Fecal Coliform Bacteria | 5/1-10/31 | | - | 200/100ml | 400/100ml |
| Total Residual Chlorine | All Year | | 0.036 mg/l | - | |

PART I

Section A.1

| | | Dis | charge Limi | tations | |
|------------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------|---|
| Effluent Characteristic | Dates In Effect | Daily Minimum | Daily Maximum | 30-Day Average | 7-Day Average |
| pH (standard units) | All Year | 6.5 | 9.0 | | |
| Cadmium | All Year | | | | - |
| Cadmium | All Year beginning 7/1/ | 88 | | 0.7 ug/l | · •••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••• |
| Lead | All Year | | | | - |
| Lead | All Year beginning 7/1/ | 88 | | 9.0 ug/1 | |
| Hexavalent Chromium | 10/87-11/87 | | - | | . |
| Total Silver | 10/87-11/87 | | | | |
| Cyanide (amenable to chlorination) | 10/87-11/87 | | | | |

The following design flows were used in determining the above limitations, but are not to be considered limitations or actual capacities themselves: 15 MGD

PERMIT CONDITIONS PART I

- A. EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS AND MONITORING REQUIREMENTS
- 1. Final Effluent Limitations

| a. During the period beginning | upon permit issuance | and lasting until |
|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|
| permit expiration date | _ the permittee is authorized to | discharge treated |
| municipal wastewaters from the | City of Rochester | wastewater treatment |
| plant through outfall (001) to | the Clinton River | <u>-</u> |
| Such discharges shall be imited | and monitored by the permittee a | is follows: |

| | DATES IN | | נו זאפעון דיפ | MITATIONS | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|----------|---------------|--|--|--------------------------------------|
| EFFLUENT | EFFECT | Minimum | 1 | Maximums | ······································ | TESTING |
| CHARACTERISTICS | EFFECI | Daily | Daily | 1 30-0ay Avg. | /-Jav Avg. | - FREQUENCY |
| 5-Day 20 ^O C Carbonaceous Biochemical | May 1 to Sept. 30 | *** | 30 mg/1 | 20 mg/1 152)kg/day 334 1b/day 25 mg/1 | 228 kg/day 500 lb/day 40 mg/l | 5 x weekly |
| Oxygen Demand | Apr. 30 | *** | | (189) kg/day 417 1b/day | 302 kg/day 667 1b/day | |
| Total Suspended Solids | All Year | | | 30 mg/l 228 kg/day 500 lb/day | 45 mg/l 341 kg/day 1751 lb/day | 5 x weekly |
| Dissolved | Year | | | | | 5 x weekly |
| 0xygen | All Year beginning 12/31/85 | 5.0 mg/l | | | | |
| рН | All Year | 6.0 | 9.0 | | | 5 x weekly |
| Fecal Coliform Bacteria | May 15 to Oct. 15 | | | 200/100 ml | 400/100 ml | 5 x week1 |
| Total | All Year | *** | *** | | | Dadle duming |
| Residual Chlorine | All Year beginning 7/31/88 | | 0.148 mg/l | | ••• | Daily during periods of disinfection |
| Total Phosphorus (as P) | All Year | ••• | ••• | 1.0 mg/1 | | 5 x weekly |
| Ammonia Nitrogen | Year | | ••• | | *** | l x weekly |
| Trichloro- ethylene | All Year | | *** | | *** | Ouarterly |
| | | | | • | | |
| • | • | | | | | |
| | <u> </u> | | | | | 1 |

The following design flows were used in determining the above limitations, but are not to be considered limitations or actual capacities themselves: 2 MGD.

PERMIT CONDITIONS

PART I

- A. EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS AND MONITORING REQUIREMENTS.
- 1. Final Effluent Limitations

a. During the period beginning on the date of issuance and lasting until the date of expiration wastewaters from the City of Warren wastewater treatment plant through outfall 001 to the Clinton River Such discharges shall be limited and monitored by the permittee as follows:

| Г | | DATES IN | | EFFLUENT LIM | | | TESTING |
|---|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------|--------------|--|---|--------------------------------------|
| | EFFLUENT CHARACTERISTICS | EFFECT | Minimum | | Maximums | T Day Live | FREQUENCY |
| 1 | CHARACTERISTICS | EFFEC: | Daily | Daily | 30 Day Avg. | 7 Day Avg. | |
| | | May 1 to Sept. 30 | | 10 mg/1 | 4 mg/l (910) kg/day 2002 lb/day | 2275 kg/day 5004 lb/day | 7 x weekly |
| | Carbonaceous 5-Day 20°C | Oct. 1 to Nov. 30 | | 17 mg/1 | 2502 kg/day 5505 lb/day | 3867 kg/day 8507 lb/day | 7 x weekly |
| | Biochemical Oxygen Demand | Dec. 1 to Mar. 31 | ••• | 25 mg/1 . | 7 mg/1 (3867) kg/day 8507 1b/day | 5687 kg/day 12,510 lb/day | 7 x weekly |
| | | Apr. 1 to Apr. 30 | | 30 mg/1 | (20)mg/1 4550 kg/day 10,008 1b/day | 6824 kg/day 15,012 lb/day | 7 x weekly |
| | | May 1 to Nov. 30 | ••• | 2 mg/1 | 0 5 mg/1 114 kg/day 251 lb/day | 455 kg/day 1,001 lb/day | 7 x weekly |
| | Ammonia Nitrogen | Dec. 1 to Mar. 31 | ••• | *** | 7.7 mg/l (1752) kg/day 3853 lb/day | ••• | 7 x weekly |
| | (as N) | Apr. 1 to Apr. 30 | ••• | | 6.8 mg/l (1547) kg/day 3403 lb/day | ••• | 7 x weekly |
| | Total Suspended | May 1 to Sept. 30 | *** | | 20 mg/l 4550)kg/day 10.008 lb/day | 30 mg/l 6824 kg/day 15,012 lb/day | 7 x weekly |
| | Solids | Oct. I to Apr. 30 | | ••• | 30 mg/1 | 45 mg/l 10,236 kg/day | 7 x weekly |
| | Dissol ved Oxygen | All Year | 5 mg/l | ••• | | | 7 x weekly |
| | Fecal Coliform Bacteria | May 15 to Oct. 15 | | ••• | 200/100 ml | 400/100 m1 | 7 x weekly |
| | Total Residual Chlorine | All Year beginning 5-31-88 | | 0.024 mg/l | | ••• | Daily during periods of chlorination |
| | Total Phosphorus (as P) | All Year | ••• | | 1.0 mg/1 | | 7 x weekly |
| | рН | All Year | 6.0 | 9.0 | | | 7 x weekly |

PART I. Section A-1

| CCC: UCIT | DATES IN | | EFFLUENT L | IMITATIONS | | TESTING |
|---|----------|---------|------------|-------------|------------|--|
| EFFLUENT | EFFECT | Minimum | | Maximums | | FREQUENCY |
| CHARACTERISTICS | EFFECT | Daily | Daily | 30 Day Avg. | 7 Day Avg. | T NE QUENT |
| Total Cadmium | All Year | | | ••• | | 7 x Weekly |
| Total Copper | All Year | | | ••• | ••• | 1 x Weekly |
| Free Cyanide | All Year | ••• | | | | 1 x Weekly |
| Total Mercury | All Year | | ••• | ••• | ••• | 1 x Weekly |
| Total Silver | All Year | | | | | 1 x Weekly |
| Total Zinc | All Year | | | ••• | | 1 x Weekly |
| Total Residual Chlorine | All Year | | | | | Daily During Periods of Disinfection |
| 5-Day 20 ⁰ C Biochemical Oxygen Demand | All Year | ••• | ••• | 30 mg/1 | 45 mg/1 | 1 x Monthly |

The following design flows were used in determining the above limitations, but are not to be considered limitations or actual capacities themselves: 60 MGD.

- b. Effluent Limitations for carbonaceous BOD, Ammonia Nitrogen, Total Phosphorus and metals shall apply to samples collected at the tertiary filter effluent, and effluent limitations for Total Residual Chlorine, Fecal Coliform, Dissolved Oxygen, pH and Cyanide shall apply to samples collected at the chlorine contact tank effluent. Monitoring of the Retention Basin Overflow shall be conducted for Carbonaceous BOD, Suspended Solids, Total Phosphorus, Ammonia Nitrogen and flow prior to mixing with tertiary filter effluent? Samples shall be grab samples for every twelve hours of overflow.
- c. All samples shall be 24-hour composite samples taken prior to disinfection except Fecal Coliform Bacteria, Total Residual Chlorine, Dissolved Oxygen, and pH which shall be grab samples of the effluent.
- d. The total daily effluent flow shall be measured daily.

PERMIT CONDITIONS

PART I

A. EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS AND MONITORING REQUIREMENTS.

Final Effluent Limitations

discharges from the County of Oakland's Southeastern Oakland County Sewage Disposal Syst combined sewer overflow control retention basin outfall OUT shall be limited and monitored by the permittee as specified below:

(a) The following shall be limited and monitored by the permittee as specified:

| EFFLUENT CHARACTERISTIC | | arge mitations (lb/day) | Discha Concentr Limitat | ation | Monito Require | |
|--|-----------------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| | 30 ûay Aver. | Daily Maximum | 30 Day Aver. | Daily Maximum | Measure. Frequency | Sample Type & Location |
| Fecal Coliform Bacteria | | | 200/100 ml | 400/100 ml | 4 x daily during overflow discharge period | Grab following chlorine contact tank |
| 5-Day 20°C Biochemical Oxygen Demand | | | See "Spec Condition following | s" on | l x daily during overflow discharge period | Composite during overflow period |
| Suspended Solids | | | See "Spec Condition following | s" on | l x daily during overflow discharge period | Composite during overflow period |
| Dewatering | sewerage capacity available | system foll prior to the Detroit ou | owing the st e advent of | orm flow per another stor y of 260 cfs | red to the De iod to regain m flow period shall be eff iods. | storage . The full |

⁽b) The pH shall not be less than 6.5 nor greater than 9.5. The pH shall be monitored as follows: Daily grab during overflow periods. The pH limitation is not subject to averaging and must be met at all times.

⁽c) The effluent discharge shall be measured daily.

PERMIT CONDITIONS PART I

- A. EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS AND MONITORING REQUIREMENTS
- 1. Final Effluent Limitations

a. During the period beginning on permit issuance and lasting until permit expiration the permittee is authorized to discharge treated municipal wastewaters from the plant through outfall 001 to East Pond Creek
Such discharges shall be limited and monitored by the permittee as follows:

| EFFLUENT | DATES IN | | EFFLUENT L | | | TESTING |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------|------------|---|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| CHARACTERISTICS | EFFECT - | Minimum | <u> </u> | Maximums | | FREQUENCY |
| CHARACIERISTICS | C. 201 | Dally | Daily | 1 30-0ay Avg. | /-UAV AVG. | |
| Carbonaceous | May 1 to Sept.30 | | 12 mg/1 | 8 mg/l 48 kg/day 106 lb/day | 73 kg/day 160 lb/day | 5 x weekly |
| Biological Oxygen Demand | Oct. 1 to April 30 | | 40 mg/1 | 25 mg/l 150 kg/day 330 lb/day | 242 kg/day 532 lb/day | |
| Total Suspended | May 1 to Sept.30 | , | | 24 mg/l 145 kg/day 319 lb/day | | 5 x weekly |
| Solids – | Oct. 1 -to April 30 | | | 30 mg/l 181 kg/day 399 lb/day | 45 mg/l 272 kg/day 599 lb/day | |
| Ammonia Nitrogen | May 1 to Sept.30 | | | 1.3 mg/l 7.9 kg/day 17 lb/day | ••• | |
| as (N) | Oct. 1 to Nov. 30 | | | 2.4 mg/l 14 kg/day 31 lb/day | ••• | 5 x wee |
| • | Dec. 1 to Mar. 31 | | *** | 6.9 mg/l 42 kg/day 92 lb/day | | |
| | April 1 to April 30 | *** | | 6.2 mg/l 37 kg/day 82 lb/day | ••• | ¥ 1 |
| Total Phosphorus as (p) | All Year | ••• | | 1 mg/l 6 kg/day 13.3 lb/day | •-• | 5 x weekly |
| Dissolved | May 1 to Nov. 30 | 7.0 mg/1 | *** | | ••• | 5 x weekly |
| Oxygen | Dec. 1 to April 30 | 3.0 mg/1 | ••• | ••• | ••• | |
| Total Residual Chlorine | All Year beginning July 1, 198 | | 0.040 mg/1 | | | Daily during chlorinati |

PERMIT CONDITIONS

PART I. Section A-

| EFFLUENT | DATES IN | | EFFLUENT L | IMITATIONS | | TESTING |
|-------------------------------|------------------------|---------|------------|--------------|------------|-----------|
| CHARACTERISTICS | EFFECT | Minimum | | | | FREGUENCY |
| GIAIGIGI E.I. E. | | Daily | Daily | 130-Day Avg. | 7-Day Avg. | |
| Fecal Coliform Bacteria | May 1 to Oct. 31 | | | 200/100 ml | 400/100 ml | |
| - | | | • | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | 44. | | [| | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | • | • | | | | • |
| | | | try (| | | |
| - · · | - | | | | | |

The following design flows were used in determining the above limitations, but are not to be considered limitations or actual capacities themselves: 1.6 MGD.

PERMIT CONDITIONS

PART I

- A. EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS AND MONITORING REQUIREMENTS
- 1. Interim Effluent Limitations

| a. During the period beginning _ | | and lasting until |
|--|--------------------------------------|-------------------|
| June 30, 1988 | the permittee is authorized to disc | harge treated |
| municipal wastewaters from the | Almont | wastewater |
| treatment plant through outfall | 001 to North Branch of the Cli | nton River . |
| Such discharges shall be limited | and monitored by the permittee as fo | lows: |

| EFFLUENT | DATES IN | LOAD LIMITATIONS | | CONCEN LIMIT | MONITORING REQUIREMENTS | |
|---|---------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|----------------------|
| CHARACTERISTICS | EFFECT | 30 -0ay Average | 7-Day Average | 30-0ay Average | 7-Day Average | Testing Frequency |
| 5-Day 20 ^O C Biochemical Oxygen Demand | All Year | 49 kg/day 107 lb/day | 73 kg/day 160 lb/day | 40 mg/1 | 60 mg/1 | 3 x weekly |
| Suspended Solids | All Year | 49 kg/day 107 lb/day | 73 kg/day 160 lb/day | 40 mg/1 | 60 mg/l | 3 x weeklv |
| Total Phosphorus (as P) | All Year | | | ••• | ••• | 3 x weekly |
| Fecal Coliform Bacteria | May I to Oct. 31 | ••• | ••• | 200/100 ml | 400/100 ml | 3 x weekly |
| Ammonia Nitrogen | All Year | | | | | 3 x weekly |
| | | | • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • | | | |
| | | | | Daily Min. | Daily Max. | |
| рН | All Year | | ••• | 6.0 | 9.0 | 3 x weekly |
| Dissolved Oxygen | All Year | ••• | | ••• | | 3 x weekly |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

The following design flows were used in determining the above limitations, but are not to be considered limitations or actual capacities themselves: 0.32 MGD.

- b. All samples shall be 24-hour composite samples taken prior to disinfection except Fecal Coliform Bacteria, Total Residual Chlorine, Dissolved Oxygen, and pH which shall be grab samples of the effluent.
- c. The total effluent flow shall be measured daily.
- d. During the periods that Coliform Bacteria Limitations are in effect, the permittee shall provide adequate control and facilities to ensure continuous disinfection.
- e. In addition to the 800 and Suspended Solids limitations above, the 30-day average effluent 800 and Suspended Solids concentrations shall not exceed 15 percent of the average influent concentrations for approximately the same period.

PERMIT CONDITIONS PART I

- A. EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS AND MONITORING REQUIREMENTS
- 2. Final Effluent Limitations

a. During the period beginning July 1, 1988 and lasting until permit expiration the permittee is authorized to discharge treated municipal wastewaters from the Almont wastewater treatment plant through outfall 001 to North Branch of the Clinton River.

Such discharges shall be limited and monitored by the permittee as follows:

| EFFLUENT | DATES IN | | EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS | | | | |
|---|---------------------------------|---------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------|--|
| CHARACTERISTICS | EFFECT | Minimum | | Maximums | ! | TESTING FREQUENCY | |
| CUMMACIENTALIC | | Daily | Daily | 30-Day Avg. | 7-Day Avg. | PREQUENC | |
| | May I to May 31 | *** | 11 mg/1 | 7 mg/l 8.4 kg/day 19 lb/day | 13.4 kg/day 29.4 lb/day | 5 x weekly | |
| 5-Day 20 ⁰ C Carbonaceous | Jun. 1 to Oct. 31 | | 10 mg/1 | 4.85 kg/day 10.7 lb/day | 12.1 kg/day 27 lb/day | 5 x weekl | |
| Biochemical Oxygen Demand | Nov. 1 to Nov. 30 | 704 | 22 mg/l | 15 mq/l 18.2 kg/day 40 lb/day | 27 kg/day 59 1b/day | 5 x weekly | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | Dec. 1 to Apr. 30 | ••- | 32 mg/1 | 21 mg/1 26 kg/day 56 lb/dav | 39 kg/day 86 lb/day | 5 x weekl | |
| | May 1 to May 31 | | ••• | 22 mg/l 27 kg/day 59 lb/day | 33 mg/l 40 kg/day 88 lb/day | 5 x weekl | |
| Total Suspended Solids | Jun. 1 to Oct. 31 | | | 20 mg/l 25 kg/day 54 lb/day | 30 mg/l 36 kg/dav 80 mg/l | 5 x weekl | |
| | Nov. 1 to Apr. 30 | | | 30 mg/l 36 kg/day 80 lb/day | 45 mg/l 55 kg/day 120 lb/day | 5 x weekly | |
| • | May 1 to May 31 | | ••• | 4.6 mn/l 5.6 kg/day 12.3 lb/day | *** | 5 x weekl | |
| Ammonia | Jun. 1 to Sept. 30 | ••• | 2.5 mg/l 3.0 kg/day 6.7 lb/day | 1.5 mg/l 1.9 kg/day 4.0 lb/day | · | 5 x weekl | |
| Nitrogen (as N) | 0ct. 1 to 0ct. 31 | | . ••• | 2.3 mg/l 2.8 kg/day 6.1 lb/day | | 5 x weekly | |
| • | Nov. 1 to Apr. 30 | ••• | 444 | 6.1 mg/l 7.4 kg/day 16.3 lb/day | | 5 x weekly | |
| Fecal Coliform Bacteria | May 1 to - Oct. 31 | *** | ••• | 200/100 m1 | 400/100 m1 | 5 x weekly | |
| Total Residual Chlorine | All Year beginning 7/1/88 | ••• | 0.039 mg/1 | | | 5 x weekl | |

PERMIT CONDITIONS

PART I, Section A-2

| CCELUCAT | DATES IN | | EFFLUENT L | | | TESTING |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------|----------|------------|--------------------------------------|------------|------------|
| EFFLUENT CHARACTERISTICS | EFFECT | Minimum | | Maximums | | FREQUENCY |
| CHARACTERISTICS | EFFECT | Daily | Daily | 30-Day Avg. | 7-Day Avg. | PREQUENCY |
| Dissolved | Jun. 1 to Sept. 30 | 7.0 mg/l | | ••• | ••• | 5 x weekly |
| 0xygen | Oct. 1 to May 31 | 5.0 mg/l | *** | | ••• | 5 x weekly |
| Total Phosphorus (as P) | All Year | ••• | *** | 1.0 mg/l 1.2 kg/day 2.7 lb/day | | 5 x weekly |
| pH | All Year | 6.0 | 9.0 | | | 5 x weekly |
| | | | | | | |
| | | ; | • | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

The following design flows were used in determining the above limitations, but are not to be considered limitations or actual capacities themselves: 0.32 MGD,

PERMIT CONDITIONS PART I

- A. EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS AND MONITORING REQUIREMENTS
- 1. Interim Effluent Limitations

| a. During the period beginning | upon permit issuance | and lasting until |
|--|--|-------------------|
| June 30, 1988 municipal wastewaters from the | the permittee is authorized to Armada | wastewater |
| treatment plant through outfall | 001 to the East Branch of and monitored by the permittee | Coon Creek |

| EFFLUENT | DATES | LOA LIMITA | TIONS | TIONS LIMITATI | | MONITORING REQUIREMENTS |
|---|---------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------------|
| CHARACTERISTICS | EFFECT | 30-0ay Average | 7-Day Average | 30-Day Average | 7-Day Average | Testing Frequency |
| 5-Day 20 ^O C Biochemical Oxygen Demand | All Year | 60 kg/day 131 lb/day | 86 kg/day 190 lb/day | 45 mg/1 | 65 mg/1 | 3 x weekly |
| Suspended Solids | A11 Year | 60 kg/day 131 lb/day | 86 kg/day 190 lb/day | 45-mg/1 | 65 mg/l | 3 x weekly |
| Fecal Coliform Bacteria | May 1 to Oct. 31 | | | 200/100 m1 | 400/100 ml | 3 x weekly |
| | | eg A | | | | |
| | | | | | - 14 - 1 | |
| | | | | Daily Min. | Daily Max. | |
| pH | Year | | | 6.0 | 9.0 | Daily |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | · | | |

The following design flows were used in determining the above limitations, but are not to be considered limitations or actual capacities themselves: 0.35 MGD.

- b. All samples shall be 8-hour composite samples taken prior to disinfection except Fecal Coliform Bacteria and pH which shall be grab samples of the effluent.
- c. The total effluent flow shall be measured daily.
- d. During the periods that Coliform Bacteria Limitations are in effect, the permittee shall provide adequate control and facilities to ensure continuous disinfection.

PERMIT CONDITIONS PART I

A. EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS AND MONITORING REQUIREMENTS

| 2. | Fina | 1 6 | ffl | uent | Lim | itat | ions |
|----|------|-----|-----|------|-----|------|------|
|----|------|-----|-----|------|-----|------|------|

| . During the period beginning | July 1, 1988 | and lasting until |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| permit expiration | the permittee is authorized to | discharge treated |
| municipal wastewaters from the | Armada | <u>wastewater treatment</u> |
| lant through outfall 001 to | the East Branch of Coon Creek | <u> </u> |
| such discharges shall be limited | and monitored by the permittee | as follows: |

| EFFLUENT | DATES IN | EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS | | | | TESTING |
|---|-------------------------|----------------------|------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|
| CHARACTERISTICS | EFFECT | Minimum | | Maximums | | FREQUENCY |
| CUNKACIEKI21172 | EFFECT | Daily | Daily | 30-Day Avg. | 7-Day Avg. | FREQUENCT |
| 5-Day 20 ⁰ C Carbonaceous | May 1 to Sept. 30 | ••• | 22 mg/1 | 15 mg/1 20 kg/day 44 lb/day | 29 kg/day 64 lb/day | 5 x weekly |
| Biochemical Oxygen Demand | Oct. 1 to Apr. 30 | 400 | ••• | 25 mg/l 33 kg/day 73 lb/day | 40 mg/l 53 kg/day 117 lb/day | 5 x weekly |
| | May 1 to Sept. 30 | | 6.6 mg/l | 4.0 mg/l 5 kg/day 12 lb/day | 9 kg/day 19 lb/day | 5 x weekly |
| Ammonia Nitrogen | Oct. 1 to Nov. 30 | | | 4.0 mg/l 5 kg/day 12 lb/day | | 5 x weekly |
| (as N) | Dec. 1 to Mar. 31 | *** | | 5.9 mg/l 8 kg/day 17 lb/day | | 5 x weekly |
| | Apr. 1 to Apr. 30 | | | 6.5 mg/l 9 kg/day 19 lb/day | - | 5 x weekly |
| Total Suspended Solids | All Year | 400 | *** | 30 mg/l 40 kg/day 88 lb/day | 45 mg/l 60 kg/day 131 lb/day | 5 x weekiy |
| Fecal Coliform Bacteria | May T to Oct. 31 | ••• | ••• | 200/100 m1 | 400/100 m1 | 5 x weekly |
| Total Residual Chlorine | All Year | ••• | 0.025 mg/1 | | | Daily durin periods of chlorinatio |
| Dissolved Oxygen | All Year | 5.0 mg/1 | ••• | | | 5 x weekly |
| Total Phosphorus (as P) | | | | 1.0 mg/1 | ••• | 5 x weekly |
| рН | All Year | 6.0 | 9.0 | ••• | | 5 x weekly |
| | | rési v | | A W | | |

The following design flows were used in determining the above limitations, but are not to be considered limitations or actual capacities themselves: 0.35 MgD.

PERMIT CONDITIONS

PART I

- A. EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS AND MONITORING REQUIREMENTS.
- 4. Final Effluent Limitations
- a. During the period beginning Aoril 1, 1988 and lasting until permit expiration the permittee is authorized to discharge treated municipal wastewaters from the Mt. Clemens wastewater treatment plant through outfall 001 to the Clinton River.

 Such discharges shall be limited and monitored by the permittee as follows:

| EFFLUENT | DATES IN | | TESTING | | | |
|---|-------------------------|---------|------------|--|---------------------------------------|----------|
| CHARACTERISTICS | EFFECT | Minimum | | Maximums | | |
| CHARACTERISTICS | Er. Ec. | Daily | Daily | 130 Day Avg. | 1 7 Day Avg. | FREQUENC |
| Carbonaceous 5-Day 20°C Biochemical | May 1 to Sept. 30 | | 10 mg/1 | 4 mg/l 91 kg/day 200 lb/day' | 227 kg/day 7 500 1b/day | Daily |
| Oxygen Demand | Oct. 1 to Nov. 30 | | 18 mg/1 | 12 mg/l 273 kg/day 600 lb/day | 410 kg/day 900 lb/day | Daily |
| 5-Day 20°C Biochemical Oxygen | Dec. 1 to Apr. 30 | | • | 30 mg/l ₁ 67 682 kg/day 1500 lb/day | 1024 kg/day | -Daily |
| Demand | May 1 to Nov. 30 | ••• | ••• | 30 mg/l 682 kg/day 1500 lb/day | 45 mg/l 1024 kg/day 2251 lb/day | Week1 |
| Total Supsended Solids | May 1 to Sept. 30 | ••• | | 20 mg/l 455 kg/day 1000 lb/day | 30 mg/l 682 kg/day 11500 lb/day | Daily |
| • | Oct. 1 to Apr. 30 | ! | *** | 30 mg/l 682 kg/day 1500 lb/day | 45 mg/l 1024 kg/day 2251 lb/day | Daily |
| Anmonia Nitrogen | May 1 to Sept. 30 | • | 2 mg/1 | 0.5 mg/1 11 kg/day 25 lb/day | 45 kg/day 100 lb/day | Daily |
| as N | Oct. 1 to Nov. 30 | | 10 mg/1 | 7 mg/l 160 kg/day 350 lb/day | 227 kg/day 500 lb/day | Oaily |
| Dissolved Oxygen | All Year | 5 mg/1 | | | | Daily |
| Fecal Coliform Bacteria | May 15 to Oct. 15 | ••• | *** | 200/100 m1 | 400/100 ml | Daily |
| Total Residual Chlorine | All Year | | 0,061 mg/1 | *** | | Daily |
| Total Phosphorus as P | All Year | | ••- | 1.0 mg/1 | | Daily |
| рH | All Year | 6.0 | 9.0 | | | Daily |
| | | | | | · | |

The following design flows were used in determining the above limitations, but are not to be considered limitations or actual capacities themselves: Annual Average Design Flow 6 MGD.

Permit No. MI 0023647

PERMIT CONDITIONS

.FART :. Section ALL

| EFFLUENT | DATES IN | EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS | | | | |
|------------------------|-------------|----------------------|-----------|-------------|------------|---|
| CHARACTERISTICS | , EFFECT - | អាការជាកា | : | Maximums | | |
| | 1 6 | Dativ | Jaily | 30-Jav 4vc. | 7-Jav -vc. | ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, |
| Cadmium | All Year | | 21.5 ug/l | 1.5 ug/l | : | E x weekly |
| Hexavalent Chromium | All Year | ••• | 67 ug/1 | : | | 5 x weekly |
| Cyanide - A | All Year | ••• | 15 ug/1 | | | [5 x weekly |
| Lead | All Year ; | *** | 160 ug/1 | : 25 ug/1 | | 5 x weekly |
| Mercury | :All Year ; | | | ••• | | 5 x weekly |
| Silver | All Year | | 1.3 ug/1 | 0.4 ug/l | | 5 x weekly |
| Zinc | All Year | | 337 ug/1 | | | 5 x weekly |
| | | , | | | | - |
| | 1 | | | İ | | |
| • | | | | | | 1 |
| | | | | | ļ | |

PERMIT CONDITIONS

PART I

- A. EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS AND MONITORING REQUIREMENTS.
- 5. Final Effluent Limitations

a. During the period beginning April 1, 1988 and lasting until permit expiration the permittee is authorized to discharge treated municipy wastewaters from the Mt. Clemens wet weather facility through outfall 002 to the Clinton River Such discharges shall be limited and monitored by the permittee as follows:

| EFFLUENT | DATES IN - | EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS Minimum i Maximums | | | TESTING | |
|--|---|---|--------------------------------------|--------------|------------|------------------------------|
| CHARACTERISTICS | EFFECT - | | | 130 Day Avg. | 7 Day Avg. | FREQUENC |
| | When stream flow is less than 300 cfs | | 5 mg/l 170 lb/day | | | Daily During Discharge |
| Carbonaceous 5-Day 20°C Biochemical Oxygen Demand | When stream flow is between 300 cfs & 500 cfs | • | 40 mg/l 1400 lb/day | | | Daily During Discharg |
| | When stream flow is greater than 500 cfs | | 60 mg/l 2000 lb/day | | | Dailv During Discharg |
| Ammonia Nitrogen as N | When stream flow is less than 300 cfs | | 2.0 mg/l . 60 lb/day | | | Daily During Discharde |
| (in effect only from May 15 to September 30) | When stream flow is between 300 cfs 500 cfs | | 9.0 mg/l 300 lb/da _. v | | | Daily During Discharge |
| | When stream flow is greater than 500 cfs | ••• | 15.0 mg/l 500 lb/day | | | Daily During Discharge |
| рН | All Year | 6.0 | 9.0 | ••• | | Daily During Discharge |
| Dissolved Oxygen | When stream flow is less than 300 cfs | 5.0 mg/1 | | | | Daily During Discharg |
| Suspended Solids | All Year | | | 15 mg. 1 | 25 mg/1 | Daily Du Discharg |

| | 20775 14 | N EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS | | | | |
|--|-------------------------|------------------------|-------|--------------|------------|------------------------------|
| EFFLUENT CHAPACTERISTICS | DATES IN EFFECT | Minimum | 1 | Ha x Timums | | TESTING FREQUENCY |
| | trreu, | Daily | Oailv | 130 Jay Avg. | 7 Day Avg. | PREGOSTICI |
| Total Phosphorus (as P) | All Year | *** | | | 1.0 mg/1 | Daily During Discharge |
| Fecal Coliform Sacteria | Mav 15 to Oct. 15 | ••• | ••• | 200/100 ml | 400/100 m1 | Dailv During Discharge |
| | | | | 4 | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | 1, ,,* | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| en en en en en en en en en en en en en e | | • de | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Ankara da sa sa sa sa sa sa sa sa sa sa sa sa sa | A Company | | | | | |
| • | | | | | • | |

The stream flow of the Clinton River shall be monitored at the U.S.G.S. stream gauging station at Mt. Clemens daily during discharge from outfall 002 and the flow shall be reported in accordance with Part II, Section A of this permit.

The following design flows were used in determining the above limitations, but are not to be considered limitations or actual capacities themselves: 4 MGD (dewatering discharge flow).

PERMIT CONDITIONS PART I

- A. EFFLUENT LIMITATIONS AND MONITORING REQUIREMENTS.
- 3. Discharges From Outfall 003 (Retention Basin Overflow)
- a. During the period beginning on the date of issuance and lasting until the date of expiration, overflows from the retention basin through outfall 003 to the Clinton River shall be monitored by the permittee as follows:

| EFFLUENT CHARACTERISTICS | CONCENTRATION LIMITATIONS | | MONITORING REQUIREMENTS |
|---|------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Parameter | Monthly Average | Average of 7 Consecutive Days | Testing . Frequency |
| 5 Day 20 ⁰ C Biochemical Oxygen Demand | | | Daily During • Discharge |
| Suspended Solids | ′ | . | Daily During Discharge |
| Total Phosphorus (as P) | | | Daily Ouring Discharge |
| рН | ••• | | Daily During Discharge |
| Fecal Col iform Bacteria | 200/100 ml | 400/100 ml | Daily During Discharge |
| Total Residual Chlorine | | | Daily During Discharge |

- b. All samples shall be composite during period of discharge taken prior to disinfectio except Fecal Coliform Bacteria, pH, and Total Residual Chlorine which shall be grab samples of the effluent.
- c. The total daily effluent flow shall be measured daily.
- d. The permittee shall provide adequate control and facilities to ensure continuous disinfection during periods of discharge.
- e. An overflow shall not be permitted until the retention basin is full and the wastewater treatment plant is operating at its design hydraulic capacity.
- f. The retention basin shall be promptly dewatered after each storm for subsequent treatment at the Mt. Clemens Wastewater Treatment Facility to regain storage capacit prior to subsequent storm flows. The full available dewatering capacity (maximum hydraulic flow rate of 2773 gallongs per minute) shall be effectively utilized during and following the wet weather period.

SITE DESCRIPTION/EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Site Name and Location

Closed Hamlin Road Landfill West

Utica, MI 48087

County: Macomb

3451 Hamlin Road

Michigan Code Number: 50-03N-12E-19DC

DNR District: Detroit

EPA ID Number:

SAS Score/Screen No.: 05

Hamlin Road Landfill West is a closed landfill located between Hamlin Road Landfill (HRL) East on the east and Hamlin Road Development Co. Landfill on the west. It was first licensed under Act 87 in 1966, but is believed to have accepted wastes before this date. It was licensed to receive what are now known as type II wastes including household refuse. However, there is strong evidence that chemical wastes were dumped here. It was closed under Act 87 in 1971, the same year that HRL East was closed. Hamlin Road Dev. Co. Landfill is still operating, as is Mallow Landfill directly across Hamlin Road from HRL East and West.

HRL West, like most other landfills in this area is located in an old gravel pit. Gravel excavation proceeded below the water table which was lowered with draining. The pit was then filled in. A two foot clay layer was placed upon both HRL West and East although permeability within the clay is not known. So called "interceptor" drains help delineate HRL West and HRL East from each other, as they line the west, east and south sides of both facilities and drain off to the north. These interceptor drains were installed so that elevated water tables surrounding the two facilities did not flow through the landfills, but instead the groundwater is intercepted and diverted around, and out the north side.

Sampling of the outfall from the drain surrounding HRL West has determined that no contamination has entered the groundwater by-pass system.

HRL East has been oozing leachate on the north side forming a leachate tributary which flows via another tributary to the Clinton River less than & mile away. This raises serious questions about HRL West. HRL West was open longer presumably receiving more wastes. It received the same waste types as did the leaking HRL East, and was capped at the same time using the same material. Sampling from the HRL East seep has revealed benzene, xylene, methylene chloride and others.

Few if any wells are threatened by these landfills. Immediately downgradient is the Clinton River which probably represents a "sink" for groundwater contaminants.

Date of Previous Summary: 10/11/84

Previous Author: Lonnie Lee

Current Date: 4/24/86

Author: Barry J. Christi

SITE DESCRIPTION/EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

| Sica Name: | 7,044:11 F200 | County: Michigan Code Number: | Macomb | |
|-----------------------|--------------------|----------------------------------|--------|--|
| Street Number & Name: | | DNR District: EPA ID Number: | | |
| JEST Wastin Park | | TE TO transer. | | |
| C157: | | | | |
| 7-1-2 | | | | |
| State: | Zip Code: 48087 | SAS Score/Screen No.: | 503 | |

This sits is a closed landfill in a moderately heavily populated area between Rochester and Otica, Michigan. It was one of two adjoining landfills, both closed, which accepted general refuse from Detroit. No liner is present, and the extent of the underlying clay is unknown. Cover is considered inadequate (leachage seeps on north slope), fencing is adequate. Presently the site is used as an auto junkyard. During operations, J. Fons Company disposed of wastes (limed as operator).

surface water contamination from leachate seeps has been observed. The current owner's private well has been tested, and the Health Department has advised the owners not to use it for drinking. Groundwater contamination from this site will be difficult to define, as there are 16 known landfills/dumps within two miles of the site.

Data of Previous Summary: 8/3/84
Previous Author:

Current Date: 10/11/84
Author:

SITE DESCRIPTION/EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Site Name and Location

Fini Finish Products 24657 Mound Road

Warren, Michigan 48092

County: Macomb

Michigan Code Number: 50-01N-12E-29AA

DNR District: Detroit EPA ID Number: None

SAS Score/Screen No.: 06

The Fini Finish Products facility currently operates as a small plating shop. The company's processes have allowed condensation, runoff and spillage, both inside and outside of their building. Ponded water in their unrestricted parking area revealed cyanide at 1500 ppm and chromium at 3900 ppm. The property owner performed a limited cleanup in the summer of 1987, but the soil is still contaminated by plating chemicals.

Date of Previous Summary: Previous Author: Current Date: 12/29/87

Author: S. Cunningham/

B. Fitzpatrick

Site Assessment Unit Environmental Response Division Michigan Dept. of Natural Resources

SITE DESCRIPTION/EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Site Name and Location

South Macomb Disposal 9 and 9A 2001 Pleasant Avenue St. Clair Shores, MI 48080 County: Macomb

Michigan Code Number: 50-03N-113E-15BC

DNR District: Detroit
EPA ID Number: MID069826170

SAS Score/Screen No.: 428

The South Macomb Disposal Authority Sites #9 and 9A are located in Section 15 of Macomb Township, just off of 24 mile road. For Act 307 purposes they are viewed as one site which is comprised of two parcels of land, parcel 9, owned by the Township and 9A which is owned by the Disposal Authority. Together they occupy roughly 150 acres. Estimated waste quantities at the site total approximately 1,300,000 cubic yards. The Disposal Authority operated both facilities. Site #9 was completed and capped in 1971 and #9A was completed and capped in 1975. Neither site is secured by fencing.

General refuse is the only known type of waste deposited in the landfills. Leachate problems have developed both on and off the sites. In
1983, a water balance analysis was conducted for #9A, it indicated that
on the average, approximately 11 or 12 million gallons of leachate may be
generated annually. Contaminants found in the leachate include heavy
metals such as Arsenic, Cadmium, and Chromium and volatile organic
compounds such as Benzene, 1,2-Dichloropropane, Phenols, 1,1
Dichloroethane, Tetrachloroethane, Vinyl Chloride, Methylene Chloride and
Toluene.

The hydrogeologic conditions adjacent to the SMDA sites are comprised of a shallow water table aquifer and two deeper artesian aquifers. Volatile organic compounds have been found at varying concentrations in domestic water wells completed in both the water table and upper artesian aquifers. There is an indication that the two aquifers may be hydraulically connected. Four residential wells have shown contamination, the most likely source being the landfills. These residences are being provided with bottled water through Act 307 funds.

Date of Previous Summary: 10-12-84
Previous Author: Lomie Lee

Current Date: 6-05-85
Author: Steve Cunningham

SITE DESCRIPTION/EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

| Size Name: G and H LF Screek Number & Name: | | County: Macomb Michigan Code Number: 50-03N-12E-19A DNR District: Detroit | | | |
|---|--------------------|---|--|--|--|
| 27 4110 Bood Shalley . | | EPA ID Number: | | | |
| Prim | | | | | |
| State: | Zip Code: 48087 | SAS Score/Screen No.: 1036 | | | |

Pros the late 1950's to 1966, millions of gallons of industrial waste liquids, including oils, solvents, and process sludges, were disposed of at this now closed landfill located at Ryan and 23 Mile Roads in Utica, Macomb County, Michigan. Liquid wastes were dumped in pits and Lagoons on the 40-acre site. Pursuant to a law mit filed by the State of Michigan, a Consent Order was entered in 1967 requiring the company to clean up the wastes. The settlement, however, did not require the company to clean up the wastes already dumped at the site. The site was operated as a refuse landfill from 1967 until it closed in 1974. U.S. Was apported Superfund action on July 23, 1982, to erect a fence around a PCB-contaminated area. U.S. SPA and the State of Michigan have documented contamination of mil, surface water, and groundwater in the vicinity of the site.

A full field investigation and feasibility study is underway to determine the full extent of soil, surface vater, and groundwater contamination at the site and to evaluate alternatives to eliminate or mitigate the impacts of the site.

Data of Previous Summary: 2/15/84
Previous Author:

Current Date: 10/12/84
Anthor: Lonnie Lee

SITE DESCRIPTION/EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

| Site Name: Red Run Drain LF Street Number & Name: Between Maple Lane & Hayes Rd. | County: Macamb Michigan Code Number: 50-02N-12E-252 DNR District: Detroit EPA ID Number: | | |
|--|---|--|--|
| City: Sterling Reights | | | |
| State: Zip Code: 48078 | SAS Score/Screen No.: 815 | | |

Train are approximately seven (7) landfills along the banks of the Red Run.
Train in a two (2) mile stretch. Nine leachate outbreaks are visible in the vicinity, most evident at a residence on Maple Lane in Baumgardner Park, and at Sterling Heights High School. To a large degree, the leachate outbreaks may have occurred as a natural erosion of the banks or as a result of maintenance activities.

Date of Previous Summary: Previous Author: Current Date:12/10/84
Author:Lonnie Lee

SITE DESCRIPTION/EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

| Pontiac GMC Truck and Coach Division | | Oakland 63-02N-10E-03AA Detroit | |
|--------------------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|--|
| Street Number & Name: | DNR District: | | |
| 660 S. Blvd. E. | EPA ID Number: | | |
| ity: Pontiac | | | |
| | en en en en en en en en en en en en en e | | |
| itate: Zip Code: | SAS Score/Screen Ng.: | 08 | |

Pontiac GMC Truck & Coach Division disposed of plating wastes and plating sludges in an area at their east plant. Barrels of cyanide plating sludges were buried at the site and could be leaking so there is a potential for groundwater contamination. The facility is in a clay bound area but the potential still exists for groundwater contamination and the City of Pontiac takes there drinking water from the ground.

There is known surface water contamination to Amy Creek and the Clinton River. Contamination includes PCB's and other contaminants that could be harmful to the aquaticecosystem.

It should be recommended that further groundwater studies be conducted to determine if contaminated groundwater is leaving the site.

Date of Previous Summery: Previous Author: Current Date: 10/3/84
Author: Lonnie Lee

SITE DESCRIPTION/ELECUTIVE SUMMARY

| Site Name: <u>Limit Disposal Inc</u> Street Number & Nam | i: | County: Macomb Michigan Code Num::: 50-03N-12E-30AA DNR District: Detroit EPA ID Number: |
|--|-----------|--|
| City: | | — to the control of |
| \$1314: Y.:.::41 | Zip Code: | SAS Score/Screen No.: 676 |

pisposal, Inc. (IDI) is an abandoned liquid waste incineration famility located at 3901 Hamlim Road, Utica, Macomb County, Michigan. The 6-acre site contains an inoperative incinerator and various incistrial liquid wastes and sludges contained in two waste lacoons, mercus above and below ground tanks, over 1,000 drums and numerous stall containers. Following an incident in which toxic hydrogen sulfide ms produced and two workers were killed, the citizens of Shelby jourship filed suit on January 22, 1982, to permanently enjoin IDI from coerating. On April 27, 1982, LDI was forced into voluntary bankrintoy. The firm was permanently closed on May 7, 1982, by the Macomb County Circuit Court. U.S. EPA and State investigations have revealed contamination of air, soil, surface water, and groundwater in the vicinity of LDI. On May 20, 1982, U.S. EPA approved a Superfund action to clean up a PCB - contaminated oil spill at the site. One July 23, 1982, U.S. EPA approved another Superfund action to remove liquid wastes from a lagoon that was threatening to overflow and to remove contaminated water from the area surrounding the abandoned incinerator.

A full field investigation and feasibility study is underway to determine the source(s) and full extent of soil, surface water, and groundwater contamination at the site and to evaluate alternatives to eliminate or mitigate the impacts of the site.

Control or removal of hazardous substances from above ground waste lagoons, drums, tanks, and other containers has been completed.

435

Date of Previous Summary: 11/17/83 Previous Author:

Current Date: 10/11/84
Author: Lonnie Lee

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Site Name and Location Sanices Landfill/J. Fons Co. 4901 S. Lapeer Road

Michigan Code Number: 63-03N-10E-02AA

County: Oakland

DNR District: Detroit

Pontiac, Michigan 48054

EPA ID Number: MID 980678304

This is a closed landfill which operated from 1969-1978. No known disposal of anything other than general refuse occurred, but contaminants found indicate sludges and possible industrial wastes are present. This is uncertain, however, as at least two other landfills are located nearby: Silver Bell Ski Area, and Oakland County Road Commission Sanitary Landfill #2.

A leachage collection system is in place, but its effectiveness is uncertain. Several leachate outbreaks have been reported, with the collection system being extended each time to incorporate the new SOUTCE.

The one problem definately attributable to Senicem is the (low) potential for lateral gas migration, especially W-NW towards a house. Site inspections have found transient gas bubbles on the cover, some reaching explosive methane concentrations, despite numerous vents.

Follow Up Recommendation for EPA

Monitor wells on site should be sampled regularly. Additional wells may be needed to determine the source of contamination found in private wells.

The continuing problem of high gas concentrations is of more concern, and for this reason this site has been given a "medium" priority for site inspection.

> Date: July 31, 1984 Hame: Lonnie Lee Mark Petrie

SITE DESCRIPTION/EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

| Site Name: Oakland Co. Rd. Comm. | lk Orion | County: Oakland | |
|--|-----------|--|--|
| Oakland Co. Rd. Comm. Street Number & Name; | | Michigan Code Number: DNR District:Detroi EPA ID Number: | |
| Clarkston Rd, West of | M-24 | | |
| City: Lake Orion | | | |
| State: 2 Michigan | Cip Code: | SAS Scare/Screen No.: 05 | |

This site which should be combined with Residential Wells Lake Orion is the source of contamination to a number of residential well on Bald Mountain Rd. in Lake Orion. A thorough investigation and hydrogeo study confirmed the OCRC as being the source of chloride contamination in the residential wells.

Date of Previous Summary: Previous Author: Current Date: 10/11/84
Author: Lonnie Lee

SITE DESCRIPTION/EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Site Name and Location

Great Lakes Container Corporation

415 Collier Rd

Pontiac, Michigan 48055

County: Oakland

Michigan Code Number: 63-03N-10E-08AA

DNR District: Detroit

EPA ID Number:

SAS Score/Screen No.: 934

This Company, located 0.5 miles north of Pontiac, recycled 55 gallon drums. Their permit required: 1) no barrels with more than 1 inch of material on the bottom, 2) no waste material, 3) no herbicides or pesticides. Their operation included taking barrels that had one end removed, they were upended and placed on a trck which leads thru a sprav wash and furnace. The furnace burned off all residue and water, and the only permitted emission was by air.

A 9/4/80 site inspection indicated "a lot of sludge (from the furnace hauled by Standard Disposal) caked on the ground around the furnace", and "all along the northern end of the property it appeared that several hundred drums had been landfilled. All along a bank 8-15 feet high and 500-600 feet long there were drums sticking out of the bank." Most were empty, others had solids, no liquids were observed.

A Marsh on the north end drains into Galloway Creek which runs past several homes. Allegedly drums are buried right up to the marsh. It is also alleged that barrels were routinely tossed over the NE corner fence into the adjacent city landfill. Sediment and water samples (12/13/79) showed traces of C-56, dieldrin, other organics and metals such as Zn. Pb, Cr, Cd and Ni.

A site visit on May 13, 1986 by Bob Hayes (GQD, MDNR) reveled many drums had been burried at the site including areas under the driveways. Barrels at the site are labled to contain a variety of solvents, paint sludges, and oils. Stained soils are evident in many parts of the site. The estimated number of drums presently at the site is around 4.500. This number included about 3,000 drums which are partially burried. Groundwater Contamination is highly suspected.

Date of Previous Summary: 10-11-84 Previous Author: Lonnie Lee Current Date: 11-10-86

Author: Steve Cunningham

SITE DESCRIPTION/EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Site Name and Location

Anchor Motor Freight

1280 Joslyn

Pontiac. Michigan 48055

County:

Oakland

Michigan Code Number:

63-03N-10E-26BA

DNR District:

Detroit

EPA ID Number:

None

SAS Score/Screen No.: 783

The Anchor Motor Freight site includes a three (3) acre marsh, which has been contaminated by years of floor drain waste being released into it. Contaminants include fuel oil, diesel fuel, solvents, gasoline, motor oil and other liquids associated with motor repair and maintenance.

Michigan Department of Natural Resources (MDNR) staff had observed one (1) inch of free product (petroleum) floating on the marsh surface. There was a large fire in April 1986, which burned off much of the free product.

MDNR staff became aware of the prior mentioned problem when responding to a leaking underground storage tank (LUST) problem. There was one (1) 2,000 gallon oil storage tank and one (1) 500 gallon waste oil tank, which were removed. Contamination of soil from the LUST problem was observed and the soil was removed. The effect on groundwater was not determined.

Date of Previous Summary: Previous Author: Current Date: 12-29-87

Author: S. Cunningham/

B. Fitzpatrick

Site Assessment Unit Environmental Response Division Michigan Dept. of Natural Resources

SITE DESCRIPTION/EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Site Name and Location

Mt. Clemens Plastics

Michigan Code Number: 50-02N-11E-02DC

County: Macomb

151 Lafayette St.

Mt. Clemens, MI 48043

DNR District: Detroit

EPA ID Number: MID076342708

SAS Score/Screen No.:

The Mt. Clemens Plastics Plant is located in northern Mt. Clemens, Macomb County. The plant produces over 80% of vinyl utilized by the Ford Motor Company. PVC, plasticizers and pigments are the primary ingredients used to produce vinyl sheets an extruded beads. Various solvents are used as carriers for pigments in the color process. Plasticizers are stored in two 20,000 gallon, two 15,000 gallon and two 8,000 gallon above-ground storage tanks. Solvents are stored in two 10,000 gallon and three 12,000 gallon underground storage tanks. There were at least 5 losses of solvents and plasticizers to Greiner Drain from 1968 to 1978. The number or magnitude of spills to the drain since 1978 is not presently known. No facilities or procedures are utilized which would detect slow leaks from the underground tanks. No underground drainage system is provided at this site to divert groundwaters or leakage to collection systems. The plant obtains its water supply from a municipal system. Stormwater from the grounds and unloading area carry various amounts of plasticizer, oil, phthalates, PVC powder and organic solvents to a drainage interceptor and oil-water separator. The interceptor diverts the dry weather flow to the sanitary sewer but storm water surges allow discharge to Greiner Drain, a tributary of the Clinton River. During sampling of wastewater in 7/72 and 3/77, elevated levels of PCBs (5.1 mg/l), phahalates (1.5 mg/l) and methyl ethyl ketone (110 mg/l) were detected. A high potential exists for the contamination of the waters and sediments of the drain due to the overflow of contaminated wastewater. No hydrogeological investigations or groundwater sampling have occurred on-site.

Recommendations for EPA

The site is given a high priority for inspection due to the high potential for contamination of the surface water and sediments in Greiner Drain and associated downstream bodies of water. Analysis of the drain's sediments and surface waters should be undertaken in order to allow for a more adequate evaluation of the site to occur.

Date of Previous Summary: Previous Author: Current Date: 11/25/85

Author: N. Rottschafer

APPENDIX 9.1 Response to public concerns expressed at the Public Meeting held Thursday, July 29, 1986 at the Verkuilen Building, Mt. Clemens, Michigan.

C = Public Comment R = DNR Response

- C. A watershed approach should be used for the NPDES permit.
 R. We are using the watershed approach to issue NPDES permits.
- 2. C. The water quality data base should be added to the existing Clinton computer model used by the Macomb County Public Works Commission as a tool for flood control and stormwater management.

R. The data are all available on computer disks for anyone who desires a copy. Please submit 4 blank disks and we will send you a copy of all sediment, water, aquatic macroinvertebrates and fish data contained in this report.

- 3. C. There are many areas that need attention on the River and require state and local cooperation. There is a need to talk between levels of government on, for example, sedimentation.
 - R. We need to work together, but local problems require local initiatives as well. We should work through the CRWC and SEMCOG to cut across government boundaries. The two listed above provide a forum for this kind of cooperation.
- 4. C. There is a need to upgrade any facilities that may be still discharging toxic chemicals to the River from the Mt. Clemens area.
 - R. All NPDES permitted facilities were issued new permits in 1985. They will be reissued in another five years unless there is a reason to do so sooner.
- 5. C. We need funding for the Mt. Clemens wastewater treatment plant.
 R. Local issues need to be funded by local people. However, the state revolving fund may provide some relief.
- 6. C. Stormwater run-off is the main problem. We need to be treating it.
 - R. Stormwater is a major problem in terms of flow and pollution. The plan suggests a pilot approach to monitor storm water impacts. Control is another problem. It's expensive!
- 7. C. Pollution from trash and debris is also a problem. For example, near Moravian and Cass Avenue. This causes flooding siltation, damming of the river, soil erosion, and the loss of trees and aquatic life.
 - R. Get together for your "Clean up the Clinton" Days. These have occurred in the past and one is scheduled for 1988. Join your Clinton River Watershed Council and "Clean up the Clinton". You can help by doing your part.

- 8. C. Sediments are building up with the spillway. Short-term and long-term plans need to include removing debris, river maintenance, monitoring and sharing information, responsible development, and assistance to communities so they can comply with EPA and DNR regulations.
 - R. The Intracounty Drainage Board should be monitoring the sediment build-up and needs to set aside funds or raise a bond issue to fund sediment removal.
- 9. C. We need to look at both quantity and quality issues on the Clinton River.
 - R. Too much water can be as detrimental as not enough. Options for quality water start with control of the quantity. Again, stormwater management is the key here, but it won't solve all your problems.
- 10. C. We support the Joint Rules Committee passing the new Part IV. We believe they will help Clinton River problems a great deal.
 - R. The Part IV Rules for the Water Quality Standards were passed. Thank you for your support. Effective regulation is important to progress.
- 11. C. We are concerned about a dam on the Clinton River at the Old Cascade golf course owned by Pine Valley.
 - R. A memo to the MDNR dam inspector will be written to inform him of your concern.
- 12. C. We are concerned about development in the floodplain from the M-59 freeway extension in Utica.
 - R. This project has been reviewed and determined to be in the best interest of the greatest number of prople.
- 13. C. A caustic solution from Michigan Nut Products is going directly into the Clinton River because the storm sewer is hooked into the sanitary sewer.
 - R. A memo to the SWQD district staff will be written and an inspection by the district staff will be performed.
- 14. C. Concerned about the building permits for five duplexes in the floodplain. There has been a lack of response of state officials to this issue.
 - C. Commercial operations can build in a floodplain, but residential people cannot. We should control building in the floodplain with no exceptions and have policies to control easements.
 - R. Building in the floodplain should be discouraged. One way to discourage this type of development is to work on your own local ordinances so that they are even more restrictive than the State regulations. Local government needs to control local development.
- 16. C. Even though environmental conditions are getting better, there still are problems.

- R. There will always be problems. But don't worry about tomorrows problems, each day has enough of its own.
- 17. C. Treatment plants need the capacity to hook up to the Detroit system and not to the Clinton River when the local systems get overloaded.
 - R. This is a local political issue which has been resolved by your own local officials. I agree with the concept and support it especially since the interceptor is so near.
- 18. C. We should retain stormwater in new developments so that it doesn't go directly to the Clinton River.
 - R. Stormwater retention basins would be extremely helpful in newly developing areas, especially in the upper river reaches. This is one area where your involvement with local building codes can really have an impact. Work with your watershed council to draft and implement these new regulations to preserve the excellent quality that exists in the upper reaches of the Clinton River.
- 19. C. Dumping in the River should not be allowed.
 - R. Dumping in the River is prohibited unless regulated by an NPDES permit which has been reviewed and limits issued which will assure that the effluent will not harm aquatic life or degrade water quality.
- 20. C. Sites like LDI should not be allowed to be built.
 - R. We have learned much from our past. We have made many mistakes. Cradle to grave management of toxic or hazardous wastes is coming but there are many ways to beat the system. It takes lots of local eyes and ears to maintain high quality surface waters. Let's all help by calling the PEAS number (1-800-292-4706) if we see something suspicious.
- 21. C. We need to quickly put in wells for people in areas of contamination or we need to connect them to sewer lines.
 - R. Good, safe drinking water is a must. Local governments need to be good stewards of their landfills as well as their people. Service should be provided by those who pollute.
- 22. C. What is the latest information on the newly discovered landfills in the Clinton River basin?
 - R. The details are partly in the RAP, but for more specific information on particular sites, call the district office at Northville, Environmental Response Division, 313-344-9440.
- 23. C. Why is the City of Mt. Clemens getting stagnant water at the spillway?
 - R. The problem is described in the RAP in chapter 3.
- 24. C. Where is the sedimentation coming from?
 - R. Sediments are naturally eroded by running water. The erosion problems are made worse by flooding, poor soil conservation practices, lack of green belting, no cover crops, erosion storm

water runoff and channel bank erosion due to out of control river hydraulics.

- 25. C. It seems as if the City of Mt. Clemens is being forced into the Detroit system, but we should get dollars to do it ourselves.
 - R. The City of Mt. Clemens is building their own WWTP.
- 26. C. There was concern about the LDI site and that the Red Run Drain is being used as a dumping area.
 - R. Both LDI and Red Run are receiving attention through 307 and Superfund monies.
- 27. C. There was concern that groundwater will eventually seep down from the 31 Mile Road landfill into the nature center below the landfill. There is concern about pollution from the Clawson Concrete Company and the lack of clarity as to whether or not this area has been cleaned up.
 - R. The only way to tell the groundwater direction is to put down wells and measure the contaminants. This is expensive and without funding it won't be done. Again, those responsible for creating the dump need to do the cleanup.

A memo will be written to Northville district SWQD staff concerning the Clawson Concrete Company and the district staff will make a site inspection and initiate any required action.

- 28. C. It was noted that cars have been dumped into streams of the Clinton River.
 - R. Those cars should be removed by the local property owners or the township. Again, lots of local eyes and ears reduce these types of activities if they are reported.
- 29. C. It was mentioned that the DNR needs to do more inspections and to be allocated more money to do them.
 - R. The MDNR is grossly understaffed. Letters to your congressman or congresswoman really do help. Write one today and explain your desire for them to lobby for additional funds for MDNR staff.
- 30. C. What part of the River will be included in the Remedial Action Plan? The RAP should cover the area up through Pontiac to get most of the urban run-off problem.
 - R. The RAP includes the entire Clinton River watershed although the AOC is specifically stated as Sections 1, 2, and 3.
- 31. C. We need a systematic testing of all fish species to determine the magnitude of the fish contamination problem.
 - R. An enhanced fish monitoring plan is part of the recommendations in Chapter 10.
- 32. C. We need to identify all the combined sewer overflows on the River and determine if the retention basins are working.
 - R. CSO's and retention basins are discussed and described in the RAP in Chapters 3, 4 and 5.

- 33. C. Substances found in landfills are here for thousands of years and we can't ignore them.
 - R. Unfortunately, the statement is correct. We need to develop more of a recycling attitude than our present "throwaway society".
- 34. C. What is happening to the sediments from dredging operations?

 This is described in the PAP in Chapters 3. 4 and 5.
 - R. This is described in the RAP in Chapters 3, 4, and 5.
- 35. C. The state needs to take the lead role so that each municipality is not competing with everyone else to develop in floodplains and wetlands.
 - R. There are state regulations but local regulations with local inspections and follow-up are more effective in the long-run.
- 36. C. We need stiff fines for pollution so that it is not profitable to pollute.
 - R. I'll say "yes" to that!
- 37. C. We need quicker response and a change of attitude on the part of governments. It shouldn't have taken six years to get action on 24 Mile landfill.
 - R. I agree but local people set local funding priorities. Get involved with your local government.
- 38. C. We need to be tougher in the issuance of permits in wetlands.
 - R. We need to be tough, fair and consistent. Local wetland ordinances have proved very effective where they have been developed, promulgated and enforced locally.
- C. Landfills should be engineered ahead of time and to strict standards.
 - R. Agreed. Let's learn from the past. Not everything should go in landfills. We need to recycle, reuse, and rethink our attitudes toward our environment and our future.
- 40. C. We need to ask politicians what their commitments are to the lakes and the rivers of our state before we cast our ballots.
 - R. Good idea then hold them to their claims after they are elected.
- 41. C. In the City of Mt. Clemens, sediments are accumulating where the River drops and we are getting stagnant water at the spillway.
 - R. This is discussed in the RAP in Chapters 3, 4, and 5.
- 42. C. We need to support the Part IV Water Quality Rules that have been in the works for over 10 years.
 - R. They were passed! Thanks for the support.
- 43. C. How does the audit trail that will not be available for hazardous cargos work so that we can reduce midnight dumping problems.

- R. Call you Northville office on this one. Phone 313-344-4670 for Water Management Division.
- 44. C. There was a concern that the criteria used to clean up Areas of Concern meet the water quality objectives of the Great Lakes Water Quality Agreement especially in terms of fecal coliforms and dissolved oxygen.
 - R. This is addressed in Chapters 3, 4, and 5 in the RAP.
- 45. C. There are still many water quality problems in the River.

 There is sewage in the Red Run drain. There are dissolved oxygen problems, fecal coliform, heavy metals, and toxics contaminate the fish. Sedimentation is not meeting criteria for metals, and PCBs and mercury are bioaccumulating in fish.

 Combined sewer overflows could be bringing in toxics.
 - R. All of these issues are described in the RAP. Solutions or studies are proposed to look at those issues which we know what to do with.
- 46. C. Remedial action plans need to address the fisheries and headwaters problem.
 - R. The headwaters are in pretty good shape. See the fisheries section of Chapter 4.
- 47. C. Human pollution is the problem on the River in terms of no place to clean out the holding tanks on boats which have valves that allow the residue to be dumped right into the River and not be cleaned out. There are no ordinances for pumping out sites.
 - R. There are ordinances for this. It is illegal to dump human pollution. Pump out facilities are available at many marinas.
- 48. C. There are tons of debris that enter the Lake from the River every year. We need a screen at the mouth of the spillway to collect the debris before it goes out into the Lake and causes navigational problmes. Many large, 30-foot timbers flow through at the areas which are dangerous to boaters.
 - R. Rivers carry all kinds of debris, but it is a normal thing which occurs everywhere. A screen would soon be plugged and then flooding would occur. I don't have a good solution to this one.
- 49. C. Concern was raised about airborne pollution and the need for more research.
 - R. This is one of the Remedial Action Plan recommendations.
- 50. C. Recommendation for ongoing fish studies.
 - R. This is also a recommendation of the plan.
- 51. C. Recommendation to set up a secret witness program to report all polluters and give rewards to the reporters.
 - R. This could be done on a local basis. Local people seeing and hearing things shouldn't need to be paid to do what they know

is right to do. All who live there share the responsibility. It's not somebody elses problem, it's your problem.

- 52. C. Comment about spraying herbicides and pesticides. We should encourage use of the less toxic materials and closely monitor its use and train the personnel who handle these substances.
 - R. There are excellent instructions for use of herbicides and pesticides on the labels of the containers in which they come. The government takes tremendous care to see that they are written so people will use these chemicals properly. Hopefully, they will. A county soil conservation service person or local extension agent could help if this is deemed a problem.
- 53. C. Concern about the G&H Landfill and the five carcinogenic chemicals including Agent Orange that are still believed to be on the site.
 - R. There is a great deal of activity occurring at G&H landfill and the problem will be resolved.
- 54. C. Disagreement was expressed with the statement that there are metals in the Red Run. Does the DNR send reports only to Oakland County so that Macomb county does not know about heavy metal problems in the Red Run Drain.
 - R. All the sediment and water chemical data (including metals data) that could be found are in this document. Also, the CRWC has an extensive library of Clinton River Reports. There may be a lack of information on this subject, but it has not been hidden. All MDNR information is public information and anyone has a right to see it.
- 55. C. Believes that the DNR is already protective enough of wetlands. R. We need to be firm and fair with wetlands protection when they are truly of use to wetland organisms.

